


COMP 285

Analysis of Algorithms

# **Welcome to COMP 285**

## **Lecture 20: Dynamic Programming I**

Lecturer: Chris Lucas (cflucas@ncat.edu)




# **HW6 Due!**

**Tonight @ 11:59PM ET**

# **HW6 Due!**

**Late deadline 11/08 @ 11:59PM ET**



```
//0  1  2  3
{inf, inf, inf, inf} // init
{0, inf, inf, inf} // d[s] = 0
{0, 1, inf, inf} // (0, 1)
{0, 1, inf, 3} // (0, 3)
{0, 1, inf, 3} // (1, 0)
{0, 1, 5, 3} // (1, 2)
{0, 1, 5, 3} // (3, 0)
{0, 1, 4, 3} // (3, 2)
{0, 1, 4, 3} // (2, 1)
{0, 1, 4, 3} // (2, 3)
```

# **HW7 Released by EoD!**

**Due 11/15 @ 11:59PM ET**

# Netflix Opportunity!

- Fill out this form ([link](#))
- Check “Meta Classroom”

# Mock Interview with Meta!

- +1% Extra credit opportunity! ([link](#))
- Nov. 16-18 (limited availability)

**Recall where we  
ended last lecture...**



# The Greedy Algorithm Process

1. Make choices one at a time
2. Never look back
3. Hope for the best



# Activity Selection

COMP 361 Class

Math 121 Class

Sleep

COMP 285

Office Hours

You can only do one activity at a time, and you want to maximize the number of activities that you do.

Seminar

Program

team

COMP 35 Class

## What to choose?

Underwater basket  
weaving class

COMP  
160 Class

COMP 285 study  
group

Swimming  
lessons

Theory Lunch


Social activity

Combinatorics  
Seminar

10


time

## Greedy Algorithm




- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.

## Greedy Algorithm




- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.

## Greedy Algorithm




- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.

## Greedy Algorithm




- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.

## Greedy Algorithm



- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.


## Greedy Algorithm



- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.




## Greedy Algorithm



- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.


## Greedy Algorithm



- Pick activity you can add with the smallest finish time.
- Repeat.

## This cannot be greedy!

**Problem:** Find root-to-leaf path of maximal sum in binary tree.



# When to Use a Greedy Approach?

---

Two properties need to be satisfied

1. **Optimal Substructure:** the optimal solution for a problem can be solved based on the optimal solutions to subproblems
2. **Greedy Property:** if you make a choice that seems to be best in the moment while solving the remaining sub-problems later, you still reach an optimal solution. You will never have to reconsider your earlier choices.

If #1 isn't satisfied, you can't use a greedy approach.

If #2 isn't satisfied, you'll end up with a sub-optimal solution.

## Pros/Cons

---

- **Pros:**

- Generally fast, easier to analyze the runtime
- Can be more intuitive than other algorithmic approaches
- Non-exhaustive, doesn't search the whole solution space

- **Cons:**


- Difficult to prove correctness
- Not always applicable
- Non-exhaustive, doesn't search the whole solution space
  - Won't always reach optimal answer depending on the problem

## Big Questions!

- What's an example of dynamic programming?
- What is dynamic programming?
- How to dynamically program?




## Big Questions!

- What's an example of dynamic programming? 
- What is dynamic programming?
- How to dynamically program?



# Fibonacci

- **Input:** which Fibonacci number which we want,  $n$
- **Output:** the  $n$ th Fibonacci number
- Fibonacci is defined as follows:  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  with base cases  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ ;
- 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, ...
- Examples:
  - $\text{fib}(1) = 1$
  - $\text{fib}(4) = 3$
  - $\text{fib}(10) = 55$





# Fibonacci

---

- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if** n == 0, **return** 0
  - **if** n == 1, **return** 1
  - **return** Fibonacci(n-1)  
+ Fibonacci(n-2)

# Fibonacci

---

- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if** n == 0, **return** 0
  - **if** n == 1, **return** 1
  - **return** Fibonacci(n-1)  
+ Fibonacci(n-2)



Runtime?

# Fibonacci

## Recurrence relation: ...

- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if** n == 0, **return** 0
  - **if** n == 1, **return** 1
  - **return** Fibonacci(n-1)

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c$$

$$T(n) > 2 * T(n-2) + c \quad // \text{ Approx: } T(n-1) \sim T(n-2)$$

$$T(n) > 2 * (2 * T(n-4) + c) + c = 4 * T(n-4)$$

$$T(n) > 4 * (2 * T(n-6) + c) = 8 * T(n-6)$$

$$T(n) > 2^k * T(n - 2k) + (2^k - 1) * c$$

+ Fibonacci(n-2)

Runtime?

Let's find the value of k for which:

$$n - 2k = 0$$

$$k = n/2$$

$$T(n) > 2^{(n/2)} * T(0) + (2^{(n/2)} - 1) * c$$

$$> 2^{(n/2)} * (1 + c) - c$$

$$T(n) \sim 2^{(n/2)} \text{ or } 2^n$$



# Fibonacci

## Recurrence relation: ...

- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if** n == 0, **return** 0
  - **if** n == 1, **return** 1
  - **return** Fibonacci(n-1) + Fibonacci(n-2)

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c$$

$$T(n) > 2 * T(n-2) + c \quad // \text{ Approx: } T(n-1) \sim T(n-2)$$

$$T(n) > 2 * (2 * T(n-4) + c) + c = 4 * T(n-4)$$

$$T(n) > 4 * (2 * T(n-6) + c) = 8 * T(n-6)$$

$$T(n) > 2^k * T(n - 2k) + (2^k - 1) * c$$

Let's find the value of k for which:


$$n - 2k = 0$$

$$k = n/2$$

$$T(n) > 2^{(n/2)} * T(0) + (2^{(n/2)} - 1) * c$$

$$> 2^{(n/2)} * (1 + c) - c$$

$$T(n) \sim 2^{(n/2)} \text{ or } 2^n$$



# Fibonacci

- `def Fibonacci(n):`
  - `if n == 0, return 0`
  - `if n == 1, return 1`



## Recurrence relation:

But why...?

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + \dots + T(n-k) + c$$

$$T(n) \sim T(n-2) + T(n-4) + T(n-6) + \dots + T(n-2k) + c$$

$$T(n) \sim 2^{n/2} + (2^{n/2} - 1) * c$$

Let's find the value of k for which:

$$n - 2k = 0$$

$$k = n/2$$


$$T(n) > 2^{(n/2)} * T(0) + (2^{(n/2)} - 1) * c$$

$$> 2^{(n/2)} * (1 + c) - c$$

$$T(n) \sim 2^{(n/2)} \text{ or } 2^n$$



\_\_\_\_\_

etc



## Maybe this would be better?

```
def fasterFibonacci(n):  
    • F = [0, 1, None, None, ..., None ]  
      • \\ F has length n + 1  
    • for i = 2, ..., n:  
      • F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]  
    • return F[n]
```




Much better  
running time!

[Aside](#)


Maybe this would be better?

```
def fasterFibonacci(n):  
    F = [0, 1, None, None, ..., None]  
    for i in range(2, n + 1):  
        F[i] = F[i - 1] + F[i - 2]  
    return F[n]
```



Much better running time!


Aside





## Big Questions!

- What's an example of dynamic programming?
- What is dynamic programming?
- How to dynamically program?



# What is **Dynamic Programming**?

- It is an algorithm design paradigm
  - like divide-and-conquer, greediness, etc. are algorithm design paradigms.
- Usually, it is for solving optimization problems
  - E.g., ***shortest, best, maximum/minimum*** option
  - (Fibonacci numbers aren't an optimization problem, but they are a good example of DP anyway...)
- Similar to greedy, there are two properties to look for...

# Properties of Dynamic Programming

## 1. Optimal substructure


- Big problems break up into sub-problems
  - **Fibonacci numbers:  $F(i)$  for  $i \leq n$**
- The solution to a subproblem can be expressed in terms of solutions to smaller subproblems.
  - **Fibonacci numbers:  $F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2)$**

## 2. Overlapping subproblems

- Subproblems overlap/can be reused
  - **Fibonacci numbers:**
    1. **Both  $F[i+1]$  and  $F[i+2]$  directly use  $F[i]$**
    2. **Lots of different  $F[i+x]$  indirectly use  $F[i]$ .**
- This means that we can save time by solving a sub-problem just once and storing the answer.
  - **To be continued...**

## Two ways to **think about/implement** dynamic programming

- Top down
- Bottom up




*Larson*

## Bottom up approach (what we just saw!)

- For Fibonacci:
- Solve the small problems first
  - fill in  $F[0], F[1]$
- Then bigger problems
  - fill in  $F[2]$
- ...
- Then bigger problems
  - fill in  $F[n-1]$
- Then finally solve the real problem.
  - fill in  $F[n]$

```
def fasterFibonacci(n):  
    • F = [0, 1, None, None, ..., None]:  
    • for i = 2, ..., n:  
        • F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]  
    • return F[n]
```




# Top down approach

- Think of it like a recursive algorithm.
- To solve the big problem:
  - Recurse to solve smaller problems
    - Those recurse to solve smaller problems
      - etc..
- The difference from divide and conquer:
  - Keep track of what small problems you've already solved to prevent re-solving the same problem twice.
  - Aka, "memoization"



## Example of top-down Fibonacci

- define a global list `F = [0,1,None, None, ..., None]`
- **def** `Fibonacci(n)` :
  - **if** `F[n] != None`:
    - **return** `F[n]`
  - **else**:
    - `F[n] = Fibonacci(n-1) + Fibonacci(n-2)`
  - **return** `F[n]`



Memo-ization:  
Keeps track (in  
F) of the stuff  
you've already  
done.






Collapse  
repeated nodes  
and don't do the  
same work  
twice!

## Memoization visualization

But otherwise  
treat it like the  
same old recursive  
algorithm.

- define a global list `F = [0,1,None, None, ..., None]`
- **def** `Fibonacci(n):`
  - **if** `F[n] != None:`
    - **return** `F[n]`
  - **else:**
    - `F[n] = Fibonacci(n-1) + Fibonacci(n-2)`
  - **return** `F[n]`




# Top-down vs. Bottom Up Comparison

- define a global list  $F = [0, 1, \text{None}, \text{None}, \dots, \text{None}]$
- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if**  $F[n] \neq \text{None}$ :
    - **return**  $F[n]$
  - **else**:
    - $F[n] = \text{Fibonacci}(n-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(n-2)$
  - **return**  $F[n]$



Which approach is faster?

- ```
def fasterFibonacci(n):  
    •  $F = [0, 1, \text{None}, \text{None}, \dots, \text{None}]$   
    • for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ :  
        •  $F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]$   
    • return  $F[n]$ 
```



# Top-down vs. Bottom Up Comparison


- define a global list  $F = [0, 1, \text{None}, \text{None}, \dots, \text{None}]$
- **def** Fibonacci(n):
  - **if**  $F[n] \neq \text{None}$ :
    - **return**  $F[n]$
  - **else**:
    - $F[n] = \text{Fibonacci}(n-1) + \text{Fibonacci}(n-2)$
  - **return**  $F[n]$



Which approach is faster?



```
def fasterFibonacci(n):  
    •  $F = [0, 1, \text{None}, \text{None}, \dots, \text{None}]$   
    • for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ :  
        •  $F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]$   
    • return  $F[n]$ 
```



# Kahoot!

[www.kahoot.it](http://www.kahoot.it), Code: XXX YYYY

Enter your @aggies.ncat email

## Why “dynamic programming”?

- **Programming** refers to finding the optimal “program.”
  - as in, a shortest route is a *plan* aka a *program*.
- **Dynamic** refers to the fact that it’s multi-stage.
- But also it’s just a fancy-sounding name.



## Why “dynamic programming”?

---

- Richard Bellman invented the name in the 1950's.
- At the time, he was working for the RAND Corporation, which was basically working for the Air Force, and government projects needed flashy names to get funded.
- From Bellman's autobiography:
  - “It's impossible to use the word, dynamic, in the pejorative sense....I thought dynamic programming was a good name. It was something not even a Congressman could object to.”

## Big Questions!

- What's an example of dynamic programming?
- What is dynamic programming?
- How to dynamically program?



# How to Create Algorithms with **Dynamic Programming**

---

1. Define recursive subproblem
  - $F[i]$  = the  $i$ -th Fibonacci number
2. Relate subproblems
  - How do subproblems build upon or use other subproblems?
  - $F[i] = F[i-1] + F[i-2]$ . Base case:  $F[1] = F[2] = 1$
3. Top-down with memoization **or** build table bottom-up with ordering
  - e.g. Build table bottom-up by starting at  $i=1$  then solving 2, 3, 4, ...  $n$
4. Solve original problem
  - Return  $F[n]$

Steps 1 and 2 are often the trickiest / take the most practice.



## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence

**Input:** vector of integers `vec` of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



1. Define recursive subproblem
2. Relate subproblems (with base-cases)
3. Top-down with memoization or build table bottom-up with ordering
4. Solve original problem

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



1.  $L[i] = \#$  of vertices on longest path ending at index  $i$ .
2. Relate subproblems (with base-cases)
3. Top-down with memoization or build table bottom-up with ordering
4. Solve original problem

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



1.  $L[i] = \#$  of vertices on longest path ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = 1 + \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i-1 \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j])$ , or 1 if can't build on anything.
3. Top-down with memoization or build table bottom-up with ordering
4. Solve original problem

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



1.  $L[i] = \#$  of vertices on longest path ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = 1 + \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i-1 \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j])$ , or 1 if can't build on anything.
3. Solve  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$
4. Solve original problem

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence


**Input:** vector of integers  $\text{vec}$  of size  $N > 0$

**Output:** length of the longest increasing subsequence within the vector

**Note:** with a subsequence, we pick numbers within the vector in order (we're allowed skips)

**Example:**  $[5, 2, 8, 6, 3, 6, 9, 7, 1] \rightarrow 4$

**Example:**  $[6, 1, 8, 2, 3, 1] \rightarrow ???$



1.  $L[i] = \#$  of vertices on longest path ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = 1 + \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i-1 \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j])$ , or 1 if can't build on anything.
3. Solve  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$
4. Return max value in table

## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence

algorithm longestIncreasingSubsequence

Input: vector of integers `vec` of size  $N > 0$

Output: length of the longest increasing subsequence of `vec`

`L` = array to store subproblem solutions

for `i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... N-1`:

`maxLength = 1`

    for `j = 0, 1, 2, ... i`:

        if `vec[j] < vec[i]`

`maxLength = max(maxLength, memo[j] + 1)`

`L[i] = maxLength`

// find max

`answer = 1`

for each value in `L`:

`answer = max(value, answer)`

return `answer`

1.  $L[i]$  = longest subsequence ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j]) + 1$
3. Solve  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots n$
4. Return max value in table



## Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence

algorithm longestIncreasingSubsequence

Input: vector of integers `vec` of size  $N > 0$

Output: length of the longest increasing subsequence of `vec`

`L` = array to store subproblem solutions

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots N-1$ :

`maxLength` = 1

    for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots i$ :

        if `vec[j] < vec[i]`

`maxLength` =  $\max(\text{maxLength}, \text{memo}[j] + 1)$

`L[i]` = `maxLength`

// find max

`answer` = 1

for each value in `L`:

`answer` =  $\max(\text{value}, \text{answer})$

return `answer`



Runtime?

1.  $L[i]$  = longest subsequence ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j]) + 1$
3. Solve  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots n$
4. Return max value in table

# Example: Longest Increasing Subsequence

algorithm longestIncreasingSubsequence

Input: vector of integers `vec` of size  $N > 0$

Output: length of the longest increasing subsequence of `vec`

`L` = array to store subproblem solutions

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots N-1$ :

`maxLength` = 1

    for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots i$ :

        if `vec[j] < vec[i]`

`maxLength` =  $\max(\text{maxLength}, \text{memo}[j] + 1)$

`L[i]` = `maxLength`

// find max

`answer` = 1

for each value in `L`:

`answer` =  $\max(\text{value}, \text{answer})$

return `answer`



$O(n^2)$


1.  $L[i]$  = longest subsequence ending at index  $i$ .
2.  $L[i] = \max(L[j] \text{ for } j \text{ in } 0 \dots i \text{ if } \text{vec}[i] > \text{vec}[j]) + 1$
3. Solve  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots n$
4. Return max value in table

# What have we learned?

---

## Dynamic programming

- Paradigm in algorithm design.
- Uses **optimal substructure**
- Uses **overlapping subproblems**
- Can be implemented **bottom-up** or **top-down**.
- It's a fancy name for a pretty common-sense idea:



Don't  
duplicate  
work if you  
don't have  
to!

COMP 285

Analysis of Algorithms

# **Welcome to COMP 285**

## **Lecture 20: Dynamic Programming I**

Lecturer: Chris Lucas (cflucas@ncat.edu)

