

# MATH 330 – HW #23

Cristobal Forno

November 15, 2017

**Proposition 10.23:**

(iii)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_k + b_k) = A + B$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  then there exists  $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m \geq M_1 \implies |a_m - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and there exists  $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m \geq M_2 \implies |b_m - B| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

We define  $M = \max M_1, M_2$ . Then, for all  $n \leq M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_m + b_m) - (A + B)| &= |(a_m - A) + (b_m - B)| \\ &\leq |a_m - A| + |b_m - B| \text{ (by the triangle inequality)} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

(v) If  $A \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_k} = \frac{1}{A}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $A \neq 0$  and  $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for  $m \geq M_1$ , we have

$$|a_m - A| \leq \frac{|A|}{2} \implies |A| - |a_m| \leq ||A| - |a_m|| \leq |A - a_m| < \frac{|A|}{2} \implies \frac{|A|}{2} < |a_m|,$$

where, in the second inequality after the first implication, we used Proposition 10.10 (v). Thus for all  $m \geq M_1$ , we have

$$0 < \frac{|A|}{2} < |a_m| \implies 0 < \frac{1}{|a_m|} < \frac{2}{|A|}.$$

Thus, for  $m \geq M_1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{A} \right| = \left| \frac{A - a_m}{A \cdot a_m} \right| = \frac{|A - a_m|}{|A| |a_m|} \leq \frac{2}{|A|^2} \cdot |A - a_m|.$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = A$ , we can choose  $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m \leq M_2 \implies |A - a_m| < \frac{|A|^2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon.$$

We now define  $N = \max M_1, M_2$ . Then, for  $m \geq M$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{A} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|A|^2} \cdot |A - a_m| < \frac{2}{|A|^2} \cdot \frac{|A|^2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

□