Table 1
Concentration of domoic acid in hepatopancreas of four size groups of king scallop from February 2003 to February 2004

| | Small | | | Medium | | | Large | | | Very large | | |
|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | n | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | n | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | n | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | n | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) |
| 26 February 2003 | 10 | 1066.8 ± 242.5 | 22.7 | 12 | 323.2 ± 68.6 | 21.2 | 12 | 272.6 ± 59.8 | 21.9 | | | |
| 3 April 2003 | 11 | 330.8 ± 272.8 | 82.5 | 12 | 452.3 ± 200.4 | 44.3 | 11 | 698.0 ± 156.6 | 22.4 | 12 | 599.5 ± 234.1 | 39.0 |
| 23 May 2003 | 12 | 414.0 ± 112.7 | 27.2 | 12 | 467.4 ± 167.2 | 35.8 | 12 | 457.7 ± 134.6 | 29.4 | 12 | 524.0 ± 65.5 | 12.5 |
| 3 July 2003 | 11 | 228.6 ± 89.5 | 39.1 | 12 | 209.5 ± 72.6 | 34.7 | 12 | 216.5 ± 107.2 | 49.5 | 11 | 261.2 ± 89.4 | 34.2 |
| 30 July 2003 | 12 | 277.3 ± 83.3 | 30.0 | 11 | 297.3 ± 44.7 | 15.0 | 12 | 332.7 ± 140.6 | 42.3 | 12 | 364.9 ± 75.5 | 20.7 |
| 3 September 2003 | 12 | 220.8 ± 70.1 | 31.7 | 12 | 219.7 ± 84.8 | 38.6 | 12 | 305.0 ± 69.4 | 22.8 | 12 | 245.0 ± 59.5 | 24.3 |
| 25 September 2003 | 12 | 236.3 ± 83.8 | 35.5 | 12 | 226.2 ± 81.9 | 36.2 | 12 | 223.7 ± 65.4 | 29.2 | 12 | 210.5 ± 47.6 | 22.6 |
| 12 November 2003 | 12 | 81.1 ± 38.8 | 47.8 | 12 | 186.3 ± 86.5 | 46.4 | 12 | 298.3 ± 89.4 | 30.0 | 12 | 270.4 ± 109.1 | 40.3 |
| 4 December 2003 | 12 | 59.9 ± 24.6 | 41.1 | 12 | 230.3 ± 90.7 | 39.4 | 12 | 239.0 ± 109.4 | 45.8 | 12 | 241.5 ± 69.8 | 28.9 |
| 11 February 2004 | 12 | 49.6 ± 15.9 | 32.0 | 11 | 170.9 ± 42.5 | 24.9 | 11 | 245.2 ± 43.8 | 17.8 | 12 | 211.8 ± 62.0 | 29.3 |

tion in hepatopancreas in sample batches was detected, individual scallop minimum of $49.6 \pm 15.9 \mu g$ g ranged from a maximum of $1066.8 \pm 242.5 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ to a of 20 µg g hepatopancreas exceeded the regulatory concentration R.S.D.s ranged from a Considerable inter-animal variation of DA concentra-DA recorded in in all size groups from all samples and was 1348.1 μg g minimum of hepatopancreas ⁻¹. The highest concenin this study. 12.5% to a of

maximum of 82.5%.

 $0.00~\mu g~g$ composite of gonad tissue, are shown in Table 2. DA each sampling date, based on triplicate analysis of a inadequate blending of the limited tissue available. Higher than anticipated R.S.D.s recorded in some recorded in a gonad composite sample was 9.59 µg g sample for analysis. The highest concentration of DA scallops provided sufficient tissue for only one 4 g occasions the concentration concentration in gonad samples of each size group on DA present and poor instances were attributed to the low concentrations of $9.18 \pm 0.15 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ $0 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ over the The mean, standard deviation and R.S.D. of DA in gonad ranged from a maximum gonad composite study duration. tissue 5 а homogeneity due to minimum from small-sized On several of $0.16 \pm$

DA concentrations in composite samples of adductor muscle never exceeded the limit of quantification (LOQ = 1.00 $\mu g g^{-1}$).

Variation with scallop size

3.2.

studies suggested that differences in DA concentration gonad and composite adductor muscle samples of each and very large scallops. Triplicate analysis of composite significant differences comparison of variability both within a sample, within a DA concentration within each sample batch, to allow scallop was performed to provide data on variability in Individual analysis adductor muscle tissue weight and weight of hepatopancreas, gonad and Scallop shell length, shell height, shell depth, total $108.75 \pm 3.90 \, \mathrm{mm}$ and very large $119.98 \pm 5.07 \, \mathrm{mm}$ scallops in the four size groups were as follows: small 2004, mean shell length and standard deviation of lower concentrations present. more difficult to confirm in these tissues due to the between scallops of different size, if present, would be $81.63 \pm 6.91 \text{ mm},$ During the study from February 2003 to February group and between size groups to highlight any batch were were medium of the performed because between small, medium, large recorded hepatopancreas $96.92 \pm 5.61 \text{ mm},$ for each preliminary of scallop. each

February 2003 to February 2004 (Fig.

on 26 February 2003,

which comprised only

2).

hepatopancreas and size group of scallop varied from

The relationship between mean DA concentration in

three samples from 23 November 2003 to 11 February

showed no significant relationship and the

samples from 23

May 2003 to

25 September 2003

The following five

inclusive

small, medium and large size groups.

date; the data exhibited a positive correlation between

with scallop size. On 3 April 2003, the second sampling

scallops,

exhibited a

negative correlation

three of the four size groups due to unavailability of

Table 2
Concentration of domoic acid in gonad of four size groups of king scallop from February 2003 to February 2004

| | Small | | Medium | | Large | | Very large | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) | Mean \pm S.D. $(\mu g g^{-1})$ | R.S.D. (%) |
| 26 February 2003 | 4.91 ± 0.25 | 5.10 | 2.26 ± 0.19 | 8.18 | 2.00 ± 0.24 | 12.08 | | |
| 3 April 2003 | 2.43 ± 0.46 | 18.95 | 0.35 ± 0.03 | 8.70 | 5.35 ± 0.64 | 12.03 | 2.77 ± 0.16 | 5.79 |
| 23 May 2003 | 0.16 ± 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04 ± 0.14 | 13.46 | 4.07 ± 0.46 | 11.30 | 0.43 ± 0.01 | 2.33 |
| 3 July 2003 | 3.66 ± 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.51 ± 0.16 | 4.65 | 3.00 ± 0.43 | 14.43 | 4.51 ± 0.19 | 4.21 |
| 30 July 2003 | 1.43 ± 0.11 | 7.41 | 4.02 ± 0.92 | 22.80 | 6.07 ± 1.21 | 19.90 | 4.35 ± 0.55 | 12.57 |
| 3 September 2003 | 4.24 ± 0.29 | 6.79 | 5.98 ± 0.61 | 10.16 | 6.72 ± 0.21 | 3.08 | 3.79 ± 0.09 | 2.35 |
| 25 September 2003 | 4.35 ± 0.75 | 17.27 | 4.55 ± 0.52 | 11.36 | 8.45 ± 1.01 | 11.98 | 5.15 ± 0.36 | 7.00 |
| 12 November 2003 | 3.70 ± 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.47 ± 0.68 | 27.42 | 3.55 ± 1.12 | 31.38 | 6.03 ± 2.59 | 42.92 |
| 4 December 2003 | 3.98 ± 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.24 ± 0.93 | 17.82 | 6.56 ± 2.65 | 40.33 | 9.18 ± 0.15 | 1.61 |
| 11 February 2004 | 2.79 ± 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.96 ± 0.75 | 12.58 | 4.02 ± 0.66 | 16.43 | 3.03 ± 0.46 | 15.19 |

in hepatopancreas and shell length. on the same sample dates though correlation coeffihighly significant negative and positive relationships concentration during the earlier high toxin concentration phase. DA tions were not as high during this depuration phase as $p = 0.000, R^2$ concentration in hepatopancreas and shell length on 12 cant positive relationships were recorded between DA creas and shell length on 26 February 2003 $(F_{1,32} = 77.54, p = 0.000, R^2 = 0.7079)$. Highly significorrelation between DA concentration in hepatopanusing composites of hepatopancreas of each size group, individually on each sampling the mean DA concentration in the sample. regulations, the shell length of scallops can influence demonstrated that based on sample batches comprising 40.3% (mean 28.0%) for very large scallops. The results to 49.5% (mean 31.1%) for large scallops and 12.5% to to 82.5% (mean 39.0%) for small scallops, 15.0% to in hepatopancreas within a size group resulted in large scallop size. Inter-animal variation in DA concentration 2004 inclusive exhibited a positive correlation with cients were lower than those between DA concentration with both total tissue weight and hepatopancreas weight $(F_{1,44} = 38.47, p = 0.000, R^2 = 0.4665)$ though correla- $R^2 = 0.4536$), November February between 12 scallops, a number exceeding that specified in EU 46.4% (mean more Because each scallop hepatopancreas was analysed <u>3</u>). standard There DA concentration and detailed 2003 shell in =0.3459) and on 11 on 2003 33.6%) was ō investigation 1 December 2003 hepatopancreas deviations length February а for medium scallops, $(F_{1,46} = 38.19,$ highly significant ranging of occasion rather than 26 February 2004 scallop size exhibited the February $(F_{1,46} =$ was from relationship p = 0.000negative possible similar 17.8% 24.33 2004 from 2003

Variations in DA concentration in hepatopancreas depend not only on the mass of toxin present but also on the size of the hepatopancreas itself. Consider for example a unit mass of DA taken into a large