CS4780 Project Proposal

1. Size of Team

000

006

007

008

009

010

012

022

026

029

039

Size of team is four people.

2. Motivation

Before making a purchase on Amazon.com, buyers typically read reviews of the product to determine if it will meet their requirements. Although reviews are a great resource, it is very time consuming to read through many to find the information that is relevant to buyers. Furthermore, buyers must filter out a large number of unhelpful reviews. Although Amazon attempts to ease the problem by ranking its reviews in order of helpfulness, the top reviews may not address all the product features that the buyer is interested in, may be too old to still be reliable, or may still be considered a top review simply because of visibility.

To solve this issue, we propose to automatically extract relevant information from the entire set of reviews with their related sentiment and present it in a way that is easier to digest and to compare different products.

3. Statement of Problem and Key Questions

Using user reviews, help consumers easily and accurately decide whether a product meets their requirements.

Key Questions:

- 1. What are the characteristics of the product that reviewers find important?
- 2. How do reviewers rate these features?

4. General Approach

We will restrict our focus to one type of product (headphones) to make evaluation easier. We see 3 main stages to this project:

1. Preprocessing stage: Extract relevant product features

1.1. In order to determine if a review contains relevant features, we will extract the product specifications from the product description using a web scraper. Using TFIDF from NLTK we will generate a list of words that have high frequency. These, in addition to the words sound, audio, comfort, base, highs, mids, tones, durability, quality, blocking, noise, sharp, deep, and tangle will be considered important aspects.

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

081

082

087

089

090

093

096

099

100

106

1.2. Using the scraper extract all user reviews and split by sentence to generate a list of sentences from all the reviews per product.

2. Processing stage

- 2.1. Using SVM or Naive Bayes annotate each sentence with a sentiment score based on the previously created list of relevant features for the product.
- 2.2. Using a parse tree split each sentence into bigrams and trigrams with the grammar format of <adj><noun> to represent candidate product aspects of importance to reviewers. We can filter this list using stopword filters and other grammatical based rules to get rid of false positives. Using a hashmap store the phrase with the sentence it came from. Find the frequency of all these phrases in the entire set of sentences from reviews.
- 3. Post-processing stage: Aggregation
 - 3.1. Average the sentiment scores from each sentiment the aspect was tagged in to give an output sentiment score for the aspect. We can then output the sentence with highest sentiment score tagged with that aspect with same sign as output sentiment score for the aspect.

Evaluation: Compare the results with annotated libraries of training and testing data from a project on reviews to find our accuracy on tagging aspect features and sentiment intensity/polarity.

5. Progress

112

113

114

119

120

125

126

127

128

129

136

138

139

141

142

147

- 1. Refinement of Problem and General Approach
 - 1.1. We have decided to change the problem and our approach to it to focus more on the text summarization aspect of the project rather than the dashboard GUI. We have refined our project to be an attempt to make a framework to summarize the Amazon reviews with respect to the features of the reviewed product. We specifically have chosen headphones to shrink the scope of the problem and focus on one specific product area. Given a corpus of reviews for a headphone product, and our framework will be able to output a list of sentences that best summarize the sentiment in the reviews towards the product and its features.
 - 1.2. Our new approach adapts a technique described in a 2008 paper about using a lexicon based system for summarizing review sentiment in relation to local services such as restaurants and hotels. To improve on this technique, we will also be doing feature engineering to improve the accuracy using prior mined information about the product. This takes place in the pre-processing stage described above, in which we generate relevant features from product descriptions and prior knowledge about headphones.

2. Project Progress

2.1. Data collection

The scraper is operational for collecting Amazon review data for any given product. We have already gathered 3,152 reviews and have parsed them into sentences, broken them up into tokens and tagged each token with part of speech. The scraper is currently being updated to intake product descriptions to generate the relevant features using the frequency distribution of words in the combined product descriptions. For analytical purposes, we will be copy and pasting directly into the program for parsing, tagging, and finding the frequency distribution until the scraper is updated.

3. Problems

3.1. Review Syntax

Reviews may contain misspelled words, slang, or contractions that must be escaped. To resolve this issue, we will be using NLP's similar words function and slang libraries to match up the words with associated relevant features. To escape contractions, the text will be pre-processed before being parsed.

3.2. Lack of Product Description If an item has no product description, we plan to simply parse its name and give it a generic list of words (as listed in the general

approach) as its important features.

3.3.

IS THE BELOW GOING TO BE COMPLETE? IF SO PLEASE STATE IN PRESENT TENSE. IF NOT I'M NOT SURE WHY IT'S HERE....SINCE THE GENERAL APPROACH HAS BEEN DESCRIBED ABOVE? UNLESS YOU ARE ELABORATING. Following this, we will use a binary classifier to determine if the sentence's sentiment polarity is neutral or has sentiment. If the polarity is too low then we will discard the sentence.

At this point pre-processing is complete and we will get into the main part of the system. Next, we will tag each sentence with any aspect features it may contain and create an inverted index of aspect features mapped to the sentences they occurred in. An aspect feature is defined as a phrase or group of words that describe a specific feature of the product. Following this we can rank the aspect features based on their frequency of occurrence in the data set.

At this point we need to aggregate the sentiments associated with each aspect feature, a simple approach could be based on averaging the sentiment scores towards a product.

6. Resources

Python libraries: Sci-Kit Learn, NLTK, Numpy, Scipy Data for benchmarking and testing accuracy

- Web scraper written in Node.js to parse relevant data (reviews, product descriptions, etc)
- Annotated data from SemEval2014-Task4 on aspect feature based sentiment analysis http://alt.qcri.org/semeval2014/task4/ index.php?id=data-and-tools
- Amazon product review data mined by Stanford http://snap.stanford.edu/data/ web-Amazon-links.html

Readings on Parsing & Sentiment Analysis:

Submission and Formatting Instructions for ICML 2012

• Stanford paper on parsing & sentiment analysis: http://nlp.stanford.edu/~socherr/	275 270
EMNLP2013_RNTN.pdf	27
• Multi-aspect sentiment analysis	27
http://www.cs.cornell.edu/home/cardie/	27
papers/masa-sentire-2011.pdf	28
	28
• Catching the Drift: Probabilistic Content Models,	28
with Applications to Generation and Summariza-	28
tion	28 28
http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/NO4-1015	280
	28'
7. Schedule	28
	289
By December 4^{th} , the due date of the poster, complete:	290
	290
 aggregate sentiments using the dashboard to deal 	292
with conflicting sentiments for same feature by	299
aggregating and displaying them.	294
For instance: If 4 people say they like the texture	295
of a phone case, but 6 people say it slips around	290
too much, display 40% like texture, 60% dislike	29'
texture.	298
	299
 rank sentiments in terms of relevance to consumer 	300
requirements (can use combination of either prod-	30:
uct description, weight from all the reviews that	302
expressed the sentiment, or an alternative method	305
to relate the sentiment back to corpus of reviews	304
and use the frequency to determine rank)	305
	300
Final report due: December 10^{th}	307
	308
	309
	310
	311
	312
	313
	314
	31
	310
	31'
	31
	319
	320
	32:
	329
	325
	32°
	32
	320
	321
	328