

Astro 204 Problem Set 4

Charles Gannon

November 2, 2024

Contents

1 Numerical Time-Evolution to a Parker Wind	1
2 Wave Breaking in the Upper Atmosphere	2

1 Numerical Time-Evolution to a Parker Wind

(a) The mass conservation equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

simplifies to

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 \rho v) = 0, \quad (2)$$

under the assumption of spherical symmetry, which can be expanded to

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{r} \rho v + v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = 0, \quad (3)$$

using the chain rule. The momentum conservation equation is

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\vec{\nabla} P + \rho \vec{f} \quad (4)$$

which can be expanded by applying the chain rule

$$\frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) \hat{r}, \quad (5)$$

Additionally upon substituting the isothermal equation of state $P = c_s^2 \rho$ the gradient of pressure becomes

$$\vec{\nabla} P = c_s^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \hat{r}. \quad (6)$$

Combining everything, we get

$$\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \rho v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = -c_s^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} - \rho \frac{GM}{r^2} \quad (7)$$

(b) Upon substitution of $x = \ln r$ the equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + e^{-2x} \frac{d}{dx} (e^{2x} \rho v) = 0 \quad (8)$$

and equation 2 becomes

$$e^x \left(e^x \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \rho v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + c_s^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right) = -GM\rho. \quad (9)$$

(c) See jupyter notebook

2 Wave Breaking in the Upper Atmosphere

(a) For our, the mass conservation equation is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \quad (10)$$

and momentum conservation is

$$\rho \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\vec{\nabla} P + g\rho \hat{z}, \quad (11)$$

if we take the near surface limit for the gravitational force. Now, we add perturbations P_1 , ρ_1 , v_1 to a set of know solutions, P_0 , ρ_0 and v_0 , like the derivation in class, I choose $v_0 = 0$. First, I calculate the scale height of the atmosphere. Under the assumption $v_0 = 0$

$$\vec{\nabla} P_1 = \frac{dP_1}{d\rho_1} \vec{\nabla} \rho_1 = g\rho_1 \hat{z}, \quad (12)$$

which upon substituting $a_0^2 = \frac{dP}{d\rho}$ and expanding $\vec{\nabla} \rho$ the equation becomes

$$a_0^2 \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial z} \hat{z} = g\rho_1 \hat{z}, \quad (13)$$

which gives a scale height

$$H = \rho / \left| \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial z} \right| = \frac{a_0^2}{g}. \quad (14)$$

Applying perturbation theory to the first equation, gives the same result as for the case without considering gravity (the solution we derived in class)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} + \rho_0 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Applying perturbation theory to the second equation we and applying similar arguments as were applied in class get

$$\rho_0 \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -a_0^2 \vec{\nabla} \rho_1 - g \rho_1 \hat{z} \quad (16)$$

Combining the energy and momentum equation into the wave equation, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho_1}{\partial t^2} - a_0^2 \nabla^2 \rho_1 - \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (17)$$

Assuming the solution

$$\rho = \delta \rho e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t)} \quad (18)$$

and plugging we get

$$-\omega^2 + a_0^2 k^2 - i g k_z = 0 \quad (19)$$

which for a wave traveling in the z direction becomes

$$\omega^2 = a_0^2 \left(k^2 - i \frac{k}{H} \right) \quad (20)$$

after substituting $H = a_0^2/g$ (b) Rearranging for k , we have

$$k^2 - \frac{ik}{H} - \frac{\omega^2}{a_0^2} = 0, \quad (21)$$

solving using the quadratic equation we get

$$k = \frac{i}{2H} \pm \sqrt{-\frac{1}{(2H)^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{a_0^2}}. \quad (22)$$

Plugging into our assumed solution, we get

$$\rho_1 = \delta \rho e^{-z/(2H)} e^{\text{Imaginary Part}} \quad (23)$$

the fractional amplitude is

$$\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho_0} = \frac{\delta}{\rho_0} e^{-z/(2H)} \quad (24)$$

however the background density decreases with height as $\rho \propto e^{-z/H}$ so the fractional amplitude is proportional to

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_0} \propto \frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_0} e^{-z/(2H)} e^{z/H} = e^{z/(2H)} \quad (25)$$

so the fractional density amplitude increases with height.