STATE PARTY SELF-ASSESSMENT ANNUAL REPORTING TOOL

Second Edition















STATE PARTY SELF-ASSESSMENT ANNUAL REPORTING TOOL

Second Edition











International Health Regulations (2005): State Party Self-assessment annual reporting tool, second edition.

ISBN 978-92-4-004012-0 (electronic version) ISBN 978-92-4-004013-7 (print version)

© World Health Organization 2021

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization (http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules/).

Suggested citation. International Health Regulations (2005): State Party Self-assessment annual reporting tool, second edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. Licence: <u>CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO</u>.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see http://apps.who.int/bookorders. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see https://www.who.int/copyright.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

CONTENTS

ACRUNYMS	6
INTRODUCTION	7
The SPAR tool	8
Processing of data	8
e-SPAR electronic platform for online reporting	8
RESPONDING THE SELF-ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE	9
Respondent identification	9
Completion of annual report	9
 Reporting level of capacities using indicators and its attributes 	10
List of capacities and indicators	11
Questionnaire for reporting level of capacities	12
C1. Policy, legal and normative Instruments to implement IHR	12
 C2. IHR Coordination, National IHR Focal Point functions and advocacy 	14
C3. Financing	17
• C4. Laboratory	19
C5. Surveillance	24
C6. Human resources	26
C7. Health emergency management	28
C8. Health services provision	31
C9. Infection prevention and control (IPC)	34
C10. Risk communication and community engagement (RCCE)	38
C11. Points of entry (PoEs) and border health	42
Section 1. Information by type of PoE	42
Section 2. Core capacities at PoEs and international travel-related measures	42
C12. Zoonotic diseases	46
• C13. Food safety	48
• C14. Chemical events	50
C15. Radiation emergencies	52
ANNEX 1. IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SPAR SECOND EDITION (2021)	54
ANNEX 2. EXAMPLES OF SELECTING LEVELS FOR CAPACITIES AND ITS INDICATORS	58
ANNEX 3. EXAMPLES OF THE USE OF COMMENT BOXES FOR INDICATORS AND CAPACITIES	59
ANNEX 4 GLOSSARY WORKING DEFINITIONS	60

ACRONYMS

AAR After Action Review

AMR Antimicrobial resistance

EHS Essential health services

EOC Emergency operation centre

HCAI Health care acquired infections

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

IAR Intra-action review

IATA International Air Transport Association
ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization
IHR International Health Regulations (2005)

INFOSAN International Food Safety Authorities Network

IPC Infection prevention and control

NFP National IHR Focal Point

PHEIC Public health emergency of international concern

PoE Point of entry

RCCE Risk communication and community engagement

SimEx Simulation exercise

SOP Standard operating procedure

SPAR States Party self-assessment annual reporting tool

SSC Ship Sanitation Certificates

SSCC Ship Sanitation Control Certificate

SSCEC Ship Sanitation Control Exemption Certificate

WASH Water, sanitation and hygiene

WHA World Health Assembly
WHO World Health Organization

INTRODUCTION

Under the International Health Regulations (2005) – (IHR) (available at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580496), States Parties are obliged to develop and maintain minimum core capacities for surveillance and response, including at points of entry, in order to detect, assess, notify and respond to any potential public health events of international concern.

Article 54 of the IHR request that States Parties and the Director-General shall report to the World Health Assembly (WHA) on the implementation of these Regulations as decided by the WHA. In 2008, the WHA, through the adoption of Resolution WHA61(2), and later on 2018 with the Resolution WHA71(15), confirmed that "that States Parties and the Director-General shall continue to report annually to the WHA on the implementation of the International Health Regulations (2005), using the self-assessment annual reporting tool".

This IHR States Party self-assessment annual reporting tool (SPAR) is intended to support State Parties to fulfil these obligations. The submission of IHR Annual Reports using the SPAR tool allows the WHO Secretariat to compile a consistent report for the WHA.

THE SPAR TOOL:

The annual report questionnaire used by States Parties from 2010 to 2017 was revised in 2018, with changes to the format, capacities and indicators. This version renamed the States Party self-assessment annual reporting tool (SPAR) was used for reporting from 2018 to 2020.

Beginning in 2021 the SPAR was reviewed and further improved, considering the initial experience of countries, to the COVID-19 pandemic. While improving the tool, these changes may mean limitations to the comparison of scores from previous years but will contribute to the better understanding of preparedness strengths and gaps based on the global experience of the COVID-19 pandemic. The second edition of SPAR (2021) has 15 capacities and 35 indicators compared to 13 capacities and 24 indicators in the first edition. Details of the changes are included in Annex 1.

The SPAR IHR annual report database is generated from information received from States Parties, based on national self-assessment and self-reporting. For that purpose WHO has made available an electronic platform for online reporting (e-SPAR, available at: https://extranet.who.int/e-spar/).

Exceptionally, standardized interactive PDF and Excel forms (Excel file is used sharing specific detailed data on identified/designated port, airport and ground crossings) may be used when difficulties to access the Internet arise. Submission of States Parties annual reports in other formats will be considered as a submission of an annual report to the WHA but cannot be considered for statistics of capacities as, since it will not allow WHO Secretariat to analyse the data in a standardized manner.

PROCESSING OF DATA

WHO receives the data send by each State Party, from the designated National IHR Focal Point or designated officers that have access to the e-SPAR page for online reporting. After submission of data by States Parties, WHO acknowledges receipt and reviews all data received, in coordination with WHO Regional and Country Offices, and produces a global report to be submitted for adoption by the WHA. All data are recorded safely in the e-SPAR platform and available through the e-SPAR webpage at: https://extranet.who.int/e-spar/.

Production of statistics by WHO is processed as follows:1

At the indicator level:

The e-SPAR tool is based on the assessment of a level of performance for each the indicator, on a "1 to 5" scale. When processed, the score of each indicator level is classified as a percentage of performance along the "1 to 5" scale, e.g., for a country selecting level 3 for indicator 2.1, the level of performance is expressed as: 3/5*100=60%

At the capacity level:

The level of performance at the capacity level is expressed as the average of the indicators related to this capacity, e.g., for a country selecting Level 3 for indicator 2.1 and Level 4 for indicator 2.2. the level of performance of Capacity 2 will be expressed as: [(3/5x100) + (4/5x100)]/2 = 70%

E-SPAR ELECTRONIC PLATFORM FOR ONLINE REPORTING

The e-SPAR electronic platform is available in all six United Nations official languages (Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish), with statistical reports, data analysis (with maps, graphs, tables, PDF and Excel files), important links, references, manuals, videos and interactive tutorials.

To ensure IHR-NFP access to the restricted part of e-SPAR for a State Party, inclusive for online reporting and accessing detailed information of all annual reports since 2010, the designated national authorities responsible for IHR annual reporting are requested to update their contacts details in the WHO contact list for National IHR Focal Points, as appropriate, by contacting the WHO Secretariat (ihradmin@who.int). Once contact details have been verified and added to the WHO IHRADMIN database, the designated officer is granted access to e-SPAR and is able to consult all existing data of the State Party and to initiate and to submit new report.

For online reporting, the e-SPAR contains automatic checks and pop-up alerts to help avoid potential errors in data entry before submission on the e-SPAR public page at: https://extranet.who.int/e-spar/.

For any further information about SPAR tool the users can send an email to ihrmonitoring@who.int.

¹ For the details on the analysis, please refer to International Health Regulations (2005): guidance document for the State Party self-assessment annual reporting tool (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-WHE-CPI-2018.17, accessed 17 November 2021). This document is being updated for SPAR Second Edition (2021).

RESPONDING THE SELF-ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE

This section details the different steps to use the online version of the SPAR

RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION

Respondents must identif	y themselves according	g to the info	ormation field	ls below

. ,						
Date of report						
State party						
Name of the contact offic completing this report	er					
Title of the contact officer completing this report						
E-mail address of the con officer completing this rep						
Telephone number of the c officer completing this rep		et				
COMPLETION OF THE	ANN	IUAL REPORT				
Respondent is requested to idenvolved and the consultative					ectors	
1. Compiled by:						
An individual Governmen	nt Offi	cial 🔲 Officials repr	esen	ting several sectors		
2. Sectors involved in con	npilin	g report:				
human health		fisheries		environment		foreign affairs
animal health		trade		finance		Civil Society
agriculture		International transport		chemical safety		Other sectors
disaster management		/point of entry		radiation safety		
☐ food safety	_	tourism/travel		labour		
☐ livestock		emergency services		education		
3. Consultative process ir	com	piling report:				
■ Via e-mail		Virtual meeting				
☐ Face-to-face meeting		Other				
Please provide information on a	any Si	mEx, IAR and/or AAR condu	ıcted	during the reporting per	iod.	
4. Simulation Exercises (S	SimE	α), Intra-action reviews ((IAR)	and After action rev	iews (A	AR):
Has your country conduct a	SimE	k, an IAR or an AAR this yea	ar? If	so, kindly check below	the relev	/ant box(es):
☐ SimEx		AAR		IAR		
If you are willing to share the please send them to: cer@w			es w	ith WHO (for internal us	se only),	

REPORTING LEVEL OF CAPACITIES USING INDICATORS AND ITS ATTRIBUTES

The tool covers 15 capacities, each of which consists 1 to 5 indicators, with a total number of 35 indicators. Each indicator is graded into five levels, corresponding to a continuum from limited to consolidated performance in the area indicated. Actions associated with each level and named attributes are described. Explanatory notes are provided as footnotes, as necessary. Further information is also available in Annex 1 – For improvements to the SPAR Second edition (2021).

For each indicator, only one level of performance can be selected and should be one that best describes the State Party's implementation status. All attributes associated with a level must be in place in order to consider the next level. For example, it is a prerequisite to comply with all the attributes of Level 1 in order to examine the attributes in Level 2. If Level 2 is selected, this indicates that all attributes in Level 1 and Level 2 are fulfilled. Please see Annex 2 for examples of selecting levels for capacities.

It is recommended to respond to all the indicators, even if the final report will provide data at the capacity level. If no level is selected, it is regarded as the absence of performance and the score of zero is associated for statistics to this indicator, impacting the result for the capacity.

If a capacity is not applicable within a country context all the check boxes for that indicator should be left blank and it should be indicated, in the comments box as 'not applicable' and add any clarifications needed in the comment box provided below each indicator. Other additional comments or contributions including actions planned or ongoing to improve performance or help plan and monitor progress in the implementation, can also added. Please see Annex 3 for examples on the use of comment boxes.

LIST OF CAPACITIES AND INDICATORS

- C1. Policy, legal and normative instruments to implement IHR
 - C1.1. Policy, legal and normative instruments
 - C1.2. Gender equality in health emergencies
- C2. IHR coordination and National IHR Focal Point
 - C2.1. National IHR Focal Point functions
 - C2.2. Multisectoral coordination mechanisms
 - C2.3. Advocacy for IHR implementation
- C.3. Financing
 - C3.1. Financing for IHR implementation
 - C3.2. Financing for public health emergency response
- C4. Laboratory
 - C4.1. Specimen referral and transport system
 - C4.2. Implementation of a laboratory biosafety and biosecurity regime
 - C4.3. Laboratory quality system
 - C4.4. Laboratory testing capacity modalities
 - C4.5. Effective national diagnostic network
- C5. Surveillance
 - C5.1. Early warning surveillance function
 - C5.2. Event management
- C6. Human resources
 - C6.1. Human resources for implementation of IHR
 - C6.2. Workforce surge during a public health event
- C7. Health emergency management
 - C7.1. Planning for health emergencies
 - C7.2. Management of health emergency response
 - C7.3. Emergency logistic and supply chain management
- C8. Health services provision
 - C8.1 Case management
 - C8.2 Utilization of health services
 - C8.3 Continuity of essential health services (EHS)

- C9. Infection prevention and control (IPC)
 - C9.1. Infection prevention and control programmes
 - C9.2 Health care-associated infections (HCAI) surveillance
 - C9.3 Safe environment in health facilities
- C10. Risk communication and community engagement (RCCE)
 - C10.1. RCCE system for emergencies
 - C10.2. Risk communication
 - C10.3. Community engagement
- C11. Points of entry (PoEs) and border health Section 1. Information by type of PoE Section 2. Core capacities at PoEs and international travel-related measures
 - C11.1. Core capacity requirements at all times for PoEs (airports, ports and ground crossings)
 - C11.2. Public health response at PoEs
 - C11.3. Risk-based approach to international travel-related measures
- C12. Zoonotic diseases
 - C12.1. One Health collaborative efforts across sectors on activities to address zoonoses
- C13. Food safety
 - C13.1. Multisectoral collaboration mechanism for food safety events
- C14. Chemical events
 - C14.1. Resources for detection and alert
- C15. Radiation emergencies
 - C15.1 Capacity and resources

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR REPORTING LEVEL OF CAPACITIES

C1. POLICY, LEGAL AND NORMATIVE INSTRUMENTS TO IMPLEMENT IHR²

States Parties should have an adequate legal framework in all relevant sectors³ to support and facilitate the effective and efficient implementation of all of their obligations and rights under the IHR. In some States Parties, IHR implementation may require new or modified legal instruments. Even where new or revised legal instruments may not be specifically required under a State Party's legal system, States Parties may still choose

to revise some legislation, regulations or other instruments to facilitate their implementation and maintenance of IHR in a more efficient, effective or beneficial manner. Through legal frameworks, the IHR should serve to institutionalize and strengthen essential public health functions to sustain improvements of overall health systems capacities. Policies for adopting health measures and IHR implementation should follow IHR principles (IHR Article 3) and should be applied in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner, including gender equality.⁴

	Indicators										
el			C1.1. Policy, lega	l and	d normative instruments ⁵						
		ndu	ıcted a mapping ⁶ of relevant	lega	l and normative instruments	and p	policies for IHR				
el 2	normative instruments	s an	d policies for IHR implemer								
el 3	The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health sector and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational levels, where applicable										
el 4	revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational levels, where applicable										
el 5	The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levels and developed and/ or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation in all sectors and across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and improved based on lessons learned from real-world events and exercises (as applicable)										
	uilding for this indicator.							ur			
us of ir	mplementation:										
plann	ed		achieved		strength/best practice						
ongoi	ing		challenges/gaps		other						
Involv	red:										
financ	cing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
guide	lines & SOPs		infrastructure &				legislation				
collab	ooration		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others				
	rel 2 rel 3 rel 5 rel 5 rel 5 rel 5 rel 5 rel 6 rel 7 rel 8 rel 8 rel 9	The country has not complementation The country has conducted and normative instruments documented, where applicable The country has identified and normative in where applicable The country has identified the necessary subnational levels, where applicable across government levels across government levels and exercises (see add below comments described).	The country has not condumplementation The country has conducter normative instruments and documented, where applicated and normative instruments and documented, where applicable and normative instruments and the country has identified revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or revised the necessary legal subnational levels, where a the country has identified or rev	The country has not conducted a mapping ⁶ of relevant implementation The country has conducted a legal analysis (e.g., a le normative instruments and policies for IHR implement documented, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and exercises (as applicable) In the country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated events and exercises (as applicable) In the country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated events and exercises (as applicable) In the country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated events and exercises (as applicable) In the country has identified and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across governments events and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across governments events and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across governments events and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across governments events and reviewed gaps in all servised the necessary legal and normative instruments across governments events and reviewed gaps in all servised	C1.1. Policy, legal and cel 1 The country has not conducted a mapping ⁶ of relevant legal implementation The country has conducted a legal analysis (e.g., a legal mormative instruments and policies for IHR implementation documented, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated a events and exercises (as applicable) The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated a events and exercises (as applicable) The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated a events and exercises (as applicable) The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated a events and exercises (as applicable) The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and reviewed gaps in all sector or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and reviewed gaps in all sector or rev	The country has not conducted a mapping ⁶ of relevant legal and normative instruments implementation The country has conducted a legal analysis (e.g., a legal mapping and assessment) of normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnat documented, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health sector and developed and/elgal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national a where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levels the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implements subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levels or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implements across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and improved based on lessor events and exercises (as applicable) see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the acity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implement ments us of implementation: planned	el C1.1. Policy, legal and normative instruments and pimplementation The country has not conducted a mapping of relevant legal and normative instruments and pimplementation The country has conducted a legal analysis (e.g., a legal mapping and assessment) of relevant mormative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational documented, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health sector and developed and/or reviced and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational develage and normative instruments and across government levels are revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levels are revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementations and exercises (as applicable) see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the active acity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation ments us of implementation: planned	C1.1. Policy, legal and normative instruments* In the country has not conducted a mapping6 of relevant legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation The country has conducted a legal analysis (e.g., a legal mapping and assessment) of relevant legal and documented, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health sector and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in the health sector and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levelsa and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation at the national and subnational levels, where applicable The country has identified and reviewed gaps in all sectors and across government levels and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation in all sectors and across government levels and developed and/or revised the necessary legal and normative instruments and policies for IHR implementation in all sectors and across government levels, which are regularly evaluated and improved based on lessons learned from real-world events and exercises (as applicable) see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to acity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your ments. Involved: financing policy leadership & risk communication governance legislation legislation others legislation others legislation others legislation others legislation others			

² Questions on these should be answered by legal or legislative advisers, policy experts at the Ministry of Health or other relevant ministries with supporting evidence and documents. These include strategies and national plans to support the implementation of IHR capacities.

These include strategies and national plans to support the implementation of IHR capacities.

3 All sectors including human health, animal health, agriculture, disaster management, food safety, livestock, fisheries, trade, international transport/points of entry, emergency services, environment, finance, chemical safety, radiation safety, labour, education, foreign affairs, civil society and other sectors.

⁴ See definition of "Gender equality" in the Glossary.

⁵ Legal instruments (e.g., constitutions, legislation, arrêté, decrees, regulations, administrative requirements and applicable international agreements).

⁶ Legal mapping helps to understand what a legal instrument says. Mapping provides a look at legal instruments across jurisdictions and/or review of legal instruments within a jurisdiction to understand how public health risks are addressed. Legal mapping involves the review and documentation of what legal authorities exist, what those authorities do or provide, and what they do not provide. Legal mapping is an objective activity. The process does not intend to evaluate the effectiveness of legal instruments, nor analyze its gaps. In the context of this indicator, legal mapping supports and facilitates the development, implementation, and strengthening preparedness for and response to public health risks (in accordance with Article 1 of IHR (2005), a likelihood of an event that may affect adversely the health of human populations, with an emphasis on one which may spread internationally or may present a serious and direct danger). This process is complimented by a legal assessment, as a functional review to evaluate the effectiveness of legal instruments, analyzing gaps with the country's legal system and context in mind.

⁷ This should be at national, intermediate and local levels, as appropriate to the structure of the country.

				Ind	icators						
Lev	el		C1.2. Ge	nder Equalit	y in health emergencie	es					
Lev	el 1 No systemati	c assessmen	t of gender gaps ⁸ in	any of the IH	R capacities has been o	conducted					
Lev	el 2 Systematic a	ssessment ⁹ (of gender gaps has l	been conduc	eted in at least one IHR	capacity					
Lev	An action pla incorporated			ority ¹¹ gende	r gaps in at least one II	-IR capacit	y is developed and				
Lev		The developed action plan(s) to address at least one IHR capacity is funded and being implemented, with mechanisms in place for monitoring, evaluation and reporting									
Lev	el 5 inequalities a	Systematic gender analysis of IHR capacities is conducted, and action plans to address gender gaps and inequalities are developed, funded and operationalized in at least three IHR capacities, with mechanisms in place for monitoring, evaluation and reporting									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
0											
Stati	us of implementation planned	: 	achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
	Involved:										
	financing	u	policy	Ц	leadership & governance	u	risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure & logistics		assessments		legislation				
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	J	others				
Plea	se add any additiona	l comments fo	r this capacity as appl	icable. Choose	e all applicable check box	es accordin	g to the status of				
			your comment on this				,				
Stati	us of implementation	:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	coordination &		logistics		assessments		others				
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce	Ц	health information systems						

⁸ See definition of "Gender equality" in the Glossary.
9 See definition of "Gender systematic assessment" in the Glossary. For further guidance see the following document; WHO (2011) Gender Mainstreaming for Health Managers: A Practical Approach. Participant's Notes. (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241501057, accessed 3 November 2021).
10 See definition of "Gender action plan" in the Glossary.
11 See definition of "Gender high priority gaps" in the Glossary.

C2. IHR COORDINATION, NATIONAL IHR FOCAL POINT FUNCTIONS¹² AND ADVOCACY

Establishing and maintaining IHR capacities requires collaboration among all relevant sectors and ministries, agencies or other government bodies responsible for all aspects of the implementation of IHR capacities at the national, intermediate and local levels. Depending on the country and the capacity, all relevant sectors may include; human health, animal health, agriculture, environment, food safety, livestock, fisheries, finance, transport, trade, PoE, transport, travel, chemical safety, radiation safety, disaster management, emergency services, regulatory bodies, labour, education, foreign affairs, international treaties and convention, and the media. It can also include sectors and agencies responsible for non-key aspects of various capacities, such as private stakeholders (industry, medical associations, farmers' associations) and academia. It is recommended that key members of the multisectoral, multidisciplinary coordination mechanism are gender-diverse. Fundamental to this multisectoral approach is advocacy and coordination to bring all the relevant sectors together and the recognition that risks to human health can emerge from various sources, such as other humans, domestic animals/livestock, wildlife, food, chemicals and/or radiation. Therefore, capacity to prevent, detect, report and respond to events or public health risks should exist within all relevant sectors.

The National IHR Focal Point, designated by each State Party, is the national centre for IHR communications with the WHO regional IHR contact points including notification of events¹³ and with all relevant sectors and bodies in the country. States Parties should provide their National IHR Focal Point with the necessary authority, capacity, training and resources (e.g., competent staff, adequate finances) to fulfil the functions required of them by the IHR. States Parties should provide WHO with contact details of the National IHR Focal Point, continuously update and annually confirm these contact details.

The updated contact details will allow National designated officers to sign into the e-SPAR platform and proceed the online reporting, and access all national information about IHR annual reporting.

	Indicators	
Level	C2.1. National IHR Focal Point functions	
Level 1	The terms of reference describing the roles and responsibilities ¹⁴ of the established IHR National Focal Point are not in place or under development and represented by one individual who is entirely familiar with the mandatory National Focal Point functions under the IHR but lacks the authority, capacity and resources to effectively carry out these functions, including the around-the-clock accessibility	
Level 2	National IHR Focal Point is a designated centre and has a duty officer system to ensure accessibility at all times for urgent communications with WHO but legal, normative and institutional instruments and arrangements, including terms of reference describing the roles and responsibilities, are insufficient to communicate effectively with all levels and relevant sectors of the State Party's administration	
Level 3	National IHR Focal Point is a designated centre and has a clear legal and governmental mandate, with terms of reference describing the roles and responsibilities, is sufficiently organized, resourced and accessible at all times to communicate with WHO, but intersectoral collaboration and communication is inadequate to consolidate surveillance information or to obtain clearance from decision-makers in other domestic sectors	
Level 4	National IHR Focal Point is a centre sufficiently organized, resourced and positioned within the government with levels of authority and institutional arrangements and instruments to access the relevant information sources and decision-making level within the national surveillance and response system	
Level 5	National IHR Focal Point is a centre appropriately organized, positioned, trained and equipped with adequate levels of authority, efficient communication channels as well as administrative, human, technological, and financial resources to meaningfully engage with all relevant sectors and carry out the function as by IHR provisions and its functioning is exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis and actions have been taken to strengthen and maintain its capacities	

¹² See IHR National Focal Point guide: Designation/establishment of national IHR focal points (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/designation-establishment-of-national-ihr-focal-points, accessed 04 November 2021).

¹³ Under IHR Article 6. Each State Party shall notify WHO, by the most efficient means of communication available, by way of the National IHR Focal Point, and within 24 hours of assessment of public health information, of all events which may constitute a public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) within its territory in accordance with the decision instrument, as well as any health measure implemented in response to those events. If the notification received by WHO involves the competency of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), WHO shall immediately notify the IAEA.

¹⁴ See National IHR Focal Point guide: Designation/establishment of National IHR Focal Points (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/designation-establishment-of-national-ihr-focal-points, accessed 1 April 2018).

capa					for this indicator and specify the ording to the status of implement			ur				
Stat	us of implementation:											
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area	a Involved:											
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation					
	coordination &		logistics		assessments		others					
	collaboration mechanisms	Ч	workforce		health information systems							
	Indicators											
Lev	C2.2. Multisectoral coordination mechanisms											
Lev		Multisectoral coordination mechanisms for IHR implementation are not in place or under development. Multisectoral coordination activities occur in ad hoc basis										
Lev		Multisectoral coordination mechanisms for IHR implementation are developed but not disseminated. Multisectoral coordination activities occur in ad hoc basis										
Lev	Multisectoral coordin			emer	ntation are in place, dissemin	ated	and are being					
Lev			n mechanisms for IHR imple and intermediate levels	emer	ntation are in place, dissemin	ated	and are being					
Lev			n mechanisms for IHR imple nated and updated on a regu		ntation are being implemente asis	d at a	all levels, and are					
capa					for this indicator and specify the ording to the status of implement			ur				
Stat	us of implementation:											
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area	a Involved:											
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation					
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others					

					icators					
Leve	el		C2.3. Advocac	y ¹⁵ fc	or IHR implementation					
Leve	Advocacy mechanism conducted on ad hoc			ot in	place or under development. A	Advo	cacy activities are			
Leve	The advocacy mecha basis	nism	ns are developed but not dis	semi	inated. Advocacy activities ar	e co	nducted on ad hoc			
Leve	The advocacy mecha	nism	ns are in place, disseminated	d and	d being implemented at the na	ation	al level			
Leve	The advocacy mecha levels	nism	ns are in place, disseminated	d and	d being implemented at the na	ation	al and intermediate			
Leve	The advocacy mechanisms are implemented at all levels in a multisectoral and whole of society approach. Mechanisms are exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis at national, intermediate and local levels based on best practices and lessons learned									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments										
Statu	us of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved:									
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation			
	coordination &	ordination &	logistics		assessments		others			
	collaboration mechanisms	Ц	workforce	U	health information systems					
			r this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes acco	ording	g to the status of			
Statu	us of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved:									
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation			
	coordination &	_	logistics		assessments		others			
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	_				

Advocacy for IHR implementation may involve different sectors and government agencies and other partners that provide key information for national self-assessment, planning, development, strengthening and maintainance of of IHR capacities. National IHR Focal Point play and important role in dissemination of information to, and consoliding inputs from, relevant sectors of the administration of the state party, including those responsible for surveillance and reporting, point of entry, public health services, clinics and hospital and other government departments (Article 4).

Advocacy mechanisms include strategic frameworks, guidelines, procedures and Standard operating procedures (SOPs), and plans.

C3. FINANCING

States Parties should ensure provision of adequate funding for the implementation of IHR capacities through the national budgetary process.

Budget is an itemized summary of expected income and expenditure of a country over a specified period, usually a financial year, whereas financing and funding refers to money which a government or organization provides for a particular purpose.

	Indicators											
Lev	el		C3.1. Financ	ing fo	r IHR implementation							
Lev	el 1 There Is no financia handled through ex		iing, budget line or budgeta getary ¹⁷ means	ry allo	cation available to finance	IHR im	olementation, and is					
Lev	el 2 Financial planning relevant sectors ¹⁹ a	is limit and the	ed with a budgetary alloca ir respective ministries to	ntion o suppo	r substantial external fina rt the IHR implementation	ncing ¹⁸ at the i	made for some of the national level					
Lev	el 3 substantial externa	l finan	on identified gaps and est cing made for relevant sec g and accountability mech	tors is	s available to support IHR			٥				
Lev	el 4 for IHR implementa a timely manner at	ation, th the na	on identified gaps and est nat may include external fi tional and intermediate lev ns in place to measure im	nancir /els in	ng. The budget is predictal all relevant ministries or s	ble, flexi	ble, and distributed in					
Lev	financing is available budget, distributed countries consider. The budget is mon	Financial planning with sufficient budgetary allocation for IHR implementation, that may include external financing is available at national, intermediate and local levels and all sectors; with predictable and flexible budget, distributed in a timely manner. The country is able to collaborate and provide financial support to other countries considering regional priorities, needs and global threats The budget is monitored against objectives, and accountability mechanisms are in place at each level for transparent and effective use of funds										
capa			g the rationale for the checked lose all applicable check boxe					ur				
Stat	us of implementation:											
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area	Involved:											
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation					
	coordination &	logistics		_	assessments		others					
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems							

¹⁷ Extrabudgetary means: accounts held by government bodies, but not included in the government budget.

External Financing: Financing from non-domestic sources towards the implementation of IHR capacities (that uses the (JEE); whose amounts make up a (SPAR)) majority of national financing for emergency preparedness, detection and response.
 Relevant sectors including human health, animal health, agriculture, disaster management, food safety, livestock, fisheries, trade, international transport/PoEs, emergency

services, environment, finance, chemical safety, radiation safety, labour, education, foreign affairs, civil society, other sectors.

				Indi	icators						
Leve	el		C3.2. Financing for p	ublic	health emergency response	:					
Leve	Public financing for red distributed in an ad ho			encie	es is not identified and funds a	ire al	located and				
Leve	Public financing exist public health emerger			ptior	n, rapid distribution and use o	f fun	ds for responding to				
Leve					ies is identified for immediate advance of a public health em						
Leve					ies is place at national and in ectors during a public health e						
Leve	contingency, at nation sectors during a publ	other countries during a public health emergency									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
Statu	s of implementation:										
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	coordination &		logistics		assessments	\Box	others				
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems		others				
			r this capacity as applicable. Ch your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes acco	ordino	g to the status of				
Statu	s of implementation:										
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
_	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
]	coordination &	7	logistics		assessments] [others				
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	J	oulets				

²⁰ See definition of "Execution of budget" in the Glossary.

C4. LABORATORY

Laboratory is a critical part of the surveillance, preparedness and response. It includes detection, investigation and response, with analysis of samples performed at country level or through international referral to collaborating centres or reference laboratories.

States Parties need to maintain mechanisms that ensure shipment of specimens to appropriate reference laboratories as necessary;²¹ reliable and timely laboratory testing; characterization of infectious agents and other hazards likely to cause public health emergencies of national and international concern; and timely sharing of results.

	Indicators										
el			C4.1. Specimen r	efer	ral and transport system						
el 1				term	ediate levels/districts to nati	onal l	aboratories; only ad				
el 2				rson	ne priority diseases ²⁴ but ma	ıy be ı	restricted within				
el 3				diagr	nostics and/or confirmation	of mo	ost priority diseases				
el 4	Referral and transport of specimens is organized systematically for diagnostics and/or confirmation of all priority diseases at all levels										
el 5	Sustainable referral and transport systems, that are exercised (as appropriate) reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis, are in place for all specimen types ²⁵ and requests for the diagnosis, confirmation, characterization of all specimens with complete coverage at all levels										
capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
			achieved		strength/best practice						
ongo	ping		challenges/gaps		other						
Invol	ved:										
finar	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		3		legislation				
							others				
			workforce	Ц	health information systems						
	el 1 el 2 el 3 el 4 el 5 se ad city-l ments us of i plan ongo Invol finar guid coor colla	No system in place for hoc transportation ²² is Referral and transport districts or at the interior subnational to nate of the system of the	No system in place for transhoc transportation ²² is available 2 Referral and transport of some districts or at the intermed and transport of some subnational to nation and transport of some subnational transport of som	No system in place for transporting specimens from in hoc transportation 22 is available Referral and transport of specimens is organized 23 for districts or at the intermediate and national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized for organized and transport of specimens is organized systems and transport of specimens is organized systems are all levels Sustainable referral and transport systems, that are expected on a regular basis, are in place for all specimens are add below comments describing the rationale for the checked leads to be add below comments describing the rationale for the checked leads on this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes ments Referral and transport of specimens is organized systems are in place for all specimens with complete covers and below comments describing the rationale for the checked leads to be add below comments and in place for all specimens with complete covers and below comments describing the rationale for the checked leads of the che	C4.1. Specimen reference of the content of the cont	C4.1. Specimen referral and transport system No system in place for transporting specimens from intermediate levels/districts to nati hoc transportation ²² is available Referral and transport of specimens is organized ²³ for some priority diseases ²⁴ but madistricts or at the intermediate and national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized for diagnostics and/or confirmation from subnational to national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized systematically for diagnostics and/or diseases at all levels Sustainable referral and transport systems, that are exercised (as appropriate) reviewed characterization of all specimens with complete coverage at all levels see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the city-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementments Involved: financing	C4.1. Specimen referral and transport system No system in place for transporting specimens from intermediate levels/districts to national I hoc transportation ²² is available Referral and transport of specimens is organized ²³ for some priority diseases ²⁴ but may be a districts or at the intermediate and national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized for diagnostics and/or confirmation of more from subnational to national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized systematically for diagnostics and/or condiseases at all levels Sustainable referral and transport systems, that are exercised (as appropriate) reviewed, even updated on a regular basis, are in place for all specimen types ²⁵ and requests for the diagnocharacterization of all specimens with complete coverage at all levels Be add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activicity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation ments Bus of implementation: planned	No system in place for transporting specimens from intermediate levels/districts to national laboratories; only ad hoc transportation ²² is available Referral and transport of specimens is organized ²³ for some priority diseases ²⁴ but may be restricted within districts or at the intermediate and national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized for diagnostics and/or confirmation of most priority diseases from subnational to national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized for diagnostics and/or confirmation of most priority diseases from subnational to national level Referral and transport of specimens is organized systematically for diagnostics and/or confirmation of all priority diseases at all levels Sustainable referral and transport systems, that are exercised (as appropriate) reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis, are in place for all specimen types ²⁵ and requests for the diagnosis, confirmation, characterization of all specimens with complete coverage at all levels se add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to city-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to you ments so of implementation: planned			

²¹ Reference laboratories could be national laboratories and/or international reference laboratory where the country has a formal memorandum of understanding for testing.

²² Ad hoc transportation: no SOP on how to transport samples

²³ This is an organized or established procedure within the country or outside. Some island countries may not require a system in place at the country level and can have access to regional or international laboratories.

²⁴ Priority diseases are based on the local epidemiology and as defined in the national surveillance guidelines for priority diseases and/or notifiable diseases.

²⁵ Define specimen types – include list of common specimen types.

				Ind	icators						
Lev	el	C4	1.2. Implementation of a labo	orato	ry biosafety26 and biosecurit	y ²⁷ re	egime				
Lev	el 1 Nati	onal laboratory biosa	afety and biosecurity guideline	es an	d/or regulations are under de\	/elop	ment				
Lev		onal laboratory bios ne laboratories at the		nes a	nd/or regulations are in place	and	implemented by				
Lev		National laboratory biosafety and biosecurity guidelines and/or regulations are in place and implemented by all laboratories at the national level									
Lev		National laboratory biosafety and biosecurity guidelines and/or regulations are implemented by all laboratories at national, intermediate and local levels									
Lev		National laboratory biosafety and biosecurity guidelines and/or regulations are exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis, as applicable and a system for oversight of the regulation is in place									
capa					or this indicator and specify the a rding to the status of implementa			ur			
Stati	us of imple	mentation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guideline	s & SOPs	infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	coordinat collabora mechanis	tion	logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others				

²⁶ Laboratory biosafety refers to containment principles, technologies and practices that are implemented to prevent unintentional exposure to pathogens and toxins, or their

Laboratory biosecurity refers to institutional and personal security measures designed to prevent the loss, theft, misuse, diversion or intentional release of pathogens and toxins.

Refer to WHO laboratory biosafety manual. Fourth edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240011311, accessed 1 April

		Indicators										
Lev	el			C4.3. Labo	rato	ry quality system ²⁸						
Lev	el 1	National laboratory qu	ality	standards are not available	or ui	nder development						
Lev	el 2	National quality stand	ards	s have been developed but r	not in	nplemented						
Lev	el 3	National quality standards have been developed and implemented at national level. Activities include licensing of laboratories in conformity with national quality standard										
Lev		National quality standards have been developed and are being implemented at national and subnational levels, Activities include mandatory licensing of laboratories in line with basic quality requirements or national laboratory standards										
National quality standards are implemented at all level including mandatory licensing of all laboratories in conformity with international quality standard and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis, as applicable												
сара	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
Statı	us of i	mplementation:										
	plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongo	ping		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Invol	ved:										
	finar	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	colla	dination & boration hanisms	tion workforce	assessmentshealth information systems	others							
						·		·				

In conformity with the national quality standard, based on the quality assurance system of the country. See: WHO manual for organizing a national external quality assessment programme for health laboratories and other testing sites. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250117/1/9789241549677-eng. pdf?ua=1, accessed 1 April 2018).

		Indicators									
Leve	el		C4.4. Laboratory	/ test	ing capacity ²⁹ modalities						
Leve			pport one or two testing mo services for pathogen detec		es such as rapid diagnostic to	esting	g (antigen and				
Leve			ipport testing modalities in ity assurance process is in		ng serological tests (i.e., anti	gen a	nd antibody enzyme				
Leve					testing, bacterial culture wit access to (or has) sequencin						
Leve	el 4 testing with quality	Laboratory system can perform nucleic acid amplification testing, bacterial culture with antimicrobial sensitivity testing with quality assurance process in place and has some basic sequencing capacity and country has ability to test for all its endemic diseases and its priority diseases ³⁰									
Leve	Level 5 Laboratory system can perform in all capacities including access to whole genome sequencing; ³¹ identification of unknown and high consequence pathogens and has access to viral culture. Laboratory networks configured to support all diagnostic services ³² that are integrated ³³ are sustainable, with maximum population coverage, and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis as applicable										
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
Statu	s of implementation:										
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
_	coordination &	_	logistics	_	assessments	others					
co	collaboration mechanisms	ollaboration		health information systems							

Refers to laboratory test capacities that are available within the country (including research laboratories and private laboratories) to support surveillance and response; or that are available through referral mechanisms to designated central or international reference laboratories (e.g., WHO collaborating centres).

Priority diseases include, epidemic prone diseases, diseases earmarked for eradication/elimination and diseases of public health importance.

Access to whole genome sequencing may be through international collaboration including WHO collaborating centres.

This may include whole genomic sequencing and access to whole genome sequencing may be through international collaboration including WHO collaboration including WHO collaborating centres.

Between the human, animal and environmental health sectors.

		Indicators								
Leve	el .		C4.5. Effective I	natio	nal diagnostic network					
Leve	l 1 Tier-specific diagnost	ic te	sting strategies34 are not ava	ilabl	e or under development.					
Leve	Tier-specific diagnost	tic te	sting strategies are develop	ed.						
Leve	el 3 Tier-specific diagnos	tic te	esting strategies exist, but no	ot fu	lly implemented.					
Leve	el 4 Tier-specific diagnos	tic te	esting strategies are being in	nple	mented at national level.					
Leve		Tier-specific diagnostic testing strategies are being implemented at national, intermediate and local levels, and exercised, reviewed, evaluated, and updated on a regular basis, as applicable.								
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments										
Statu	s of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
ongoing			challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved:									
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation			
	coordination &		logistics	Ч	assessments		others			
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	_ 0ti				
			r this capacity as applicable. Ch your comment on this capacity	10056	e all applicable check boxes acco	rding	to the status of			
Statu	s of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved:									
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure & logistics		governance		legislation			
_	coordination & collaboration mechanisms	rdination & aboration			assessments health information systems		others			

³⁴ Tier specific refers to the different administrative levels such as reference laboratories at national level, intermediate and local levels facility laboratories.

C5. SURVEILLANCE

IHR requires rapid detection of public health risks associated with biological, chemical and radiation events, as well as risk assessment, notification and response. A sensitive surveillance³⁵ system, including at PoE, is needed to ensure the early warning function and provide information for an informed decision-making process during public health events and emergencies. This involves a multisectoral and integrated health system approach and may include sentinel surveillance systems and contact tracing during health emergencies.

		Indicators										
Lev	el			C5.1. Early wa	rning	surveillance function						
Lev	el 1	National guidelines and	d/or	SOPs for surveillance are n	ot av	ailable or under development						
Lev	el 2					en developed but not implementing or weekly reporting of						
Lev	el 3			r SOPs for surveillance have late and weekly reporting of		en developed and are being ir nts and/or data	npler	mented at the national				
Lev	el 4	and intermediate levels and provides immediate and weekly reporting of events and/or data										
Lev	National guidelines and/or SOPs for surveillance have been developed and implemented at national, intermediate and local ³⁶ levels; and the system is exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis, with improvement at all levels in the country											
capa	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
Stati	us ot plan	implementation:		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongo			challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Invol	lved:										
	finar	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance	legislation					
		dination & aboration]	assessments health information	others					
		hanisms		worktoice		systems						

See definition of "Surveillance" in the Glossary.

At local level, community participation can be achieved through community based surveillance. Event-based surveillance is a key part of syndromic surveillance and community based surveillance.

		Indicators										
Leve	el C5.2. Event ma	nag	ement (i.e., verification, inv	esti	gation, ³⁷ analysis, ³⁸ and disse	min	ation of information)					
Leve	el 1 Process or mechanism	ns fo	or managing detected events	is n	ot available or under developm	nent						
Leve	Process or mechanisi	ns f	or managing detected event	s ha	s been developed but not imp	leme	ented					
Leve	Process or mechanism	ns f	or managing detected event	s ha	s been developed and is being	g im	olemented at the					
Leve	Process or mechanism			ts ha	s been developed and is bein	g im	olemented at the					
Leve					being implemented at nationa and updated on a regular basi		ermediate and local					
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments												
Statu	Status of implementation:											
_	planned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area	Involved:											
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation					
	coordination &		logistics		assessments		others					
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	_	Culcio					
			r this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes acco	rding	to the status of					
Statu	s of implementation:											
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area	Involved:											
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation					
Coor colla	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others					

Investigation include contact tracing to identify all potential contacts and affected individuals.
 All surveillance data are systematically analysed for informed decision-making and dissemination.

C6. HUMAN RESOURCES

Strategies are in place to ensure that a multisectoral workforce is available and trained to enable early detection, prevention, preparedness and response to potential events of international concern at all levels of health systems, as required by the IHR.

The availability and accessibility of quality health workforce,³⁹ surge capacity in emergencies, including workforce for surveillance (e.g., field investigation and contact tracing teams) is critical to build the resilience of communities and for continuity of health services.

	Indicators									
⁄el			C6.1. Human resou	ırces	for implementation of IHR					
/el 1						ıired,	to detect, assess,			
/el 2				t sec	tors at national level, to dete	ct, as	ssess, notify, report			
vel 3						nedia	ate levels, to detect,			
/el 4	Human resources are available as required in all relevant sectors at the national, intermediate and local levels, to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions									
/el 5	Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources in all relevant sectors to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions, that are exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis and country may assist other countries in planning and developing human resources for IHR implementation, to the extent possible									
acity-	building for this indicator.							ur		
tus of	implementation:									
plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice					
ongo	oing		challenges/gaps		other					
a Invo	lved:									
guid coor colla	elines & SOPs dination & aboration		policy infrastructure & logistics workforce		leadership & governance assessments health information systems		risk communication legislation others			
	rel 1 rel 2 rel 3 rel 4 rel 5 ase adacity- ment us of plan ongo final guid coor colla	Country does not have notify, report and responded and respond to events and respond to events and respond to events assess, notify, report a large of the sectors to detect, assess, and the sectors to detect, as applicable), review planning and developing as add below comments described.	Country does not have appropriate human resour and respond to events accorded a Appropriate human resour assess, notify, report and respond to events accorded a Appropriate human resour assess, notify, report and releast Human resources are avaidated, assess, notify, report and releast Country has documented sectors to detect, assess, (as applicable), reviewed, as applicable), reviewed, as applicable), reviewed, as applicable, reviewed, as a reviewed, as applicable, reviewed, as applicable, reviewed, as applicable, reviewed, as a reviewed,	Country does not have appropriate human resources notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in relevant and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Tel 4 Human resources are available as required in all relevant detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sectors to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to events a	Country does not have appropriate human resources appropriate human resources appropriate human resources are available in relevant second and respond to events according to IHR provisions. Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant second and respond to events according to IHR provisions. Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant second assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHM human resources are available as required in all relevant second detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IMM assession and the second detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according assess and detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according as applicable, reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regulation and developing human resources for IHR implements are add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for accity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes accordination and achieved and updated and updated and updated on a regulation and developing human resources for IHR implements and developing human resourc	Country does not have appropriate human resources for implementation of IHR country, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in relevant sectors at national level, to deter and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant sectors at national and internates assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Human resources are available as required in all relevant sectors at the national, internated tect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources for sustainable appropriate human resources for detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources for sustainable appropriate human resources for IHR implementation, to the extent possil (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis and country may applanning and developing human resources for IHR implementation, to the extent possil use add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the acity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implement imments The planned	Country does not have appropriate human resources for implementation of IHR notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in relevant sectors at national level, to detect, as and respond to events according to IHR provisions Appropriate human resources are available in all relevant sectors at national and intermedia assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Human resources are available as required in all relevant sectors at the national, intermedia detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources according to IHR provisions (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis and country may assist planning and developing human resources for IHR implementation, to the extent possible use add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activiacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation ments us of implementation: planned	Country does not have appropriate human resources for implementation of IHR country does not have appropriate human resources apacity in relevant sectors required, to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions appropriate human resources are available in relevant sectors at national level, to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions appropriate human resources are available in all relevant sectors at national and intermediate levels, to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions appropriate human resources are available in all relevant sectors at the national, intermediate and local levels, to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions Country has documented policies or procedures for sustainable appropriate human resources in all relevant sectors to detect, assess, notify, report and respond to events according to IHR provisions, that are exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis and country may assist other countries in planning and developing human resources for IHR implementation, to the extent possible see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to acity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to you ments a Involved: financing policy leadership & risk communication governance legislation legislation others legislation ot		

³⁹ Attention to gender differentials in proportion of males to females holding decision making roles.

⁴⁰ Appropriate human resources may include doctors, nurses, midwives, community-based health workers, clinicians, toxicologists, veterinarians, food safety experts, radiation medicine, field epidemiologists, risk communication specialists, laboratory experts, public health experts, legal/policy experts, officials at human resources unit or department responsible for planning, mapping, development and distribution of public health and emergencies workforce at national and intermediate level, etc., as defined by function, country standards and needs.

⁴¹ Relevant sectors, including human health, animal health, agriculture, disaster management, food safety, livestock, fisheries, trade, international transport/PoEs, emergency services, environment, finance, chemical safety, radiation safety, labour, education, foreign affairs, civil society and other sectors.

	Indicators									
Lev	el		C6.2. Workforce su	rge c	luring a public health event					
Leve	A national multisecto	ral w	orkforce surge strategic plan	n in e	mergencies ⁴² is not available	or is	under development			
Leve					emergencies is developed to and nongovernmental partne					
Leve	attributed at the nation	onal cour	level, with procedures and lii	mite	emergencies is implemented d capacity to send and receiv g the government and nongo	e mu	ıltidisciplinary			
Leve	at national and interr	nedia cour	ate levels, with procedures a	nd a	emergencies is implemented dequate capacity to send and g the government and nongo	d rec	eive multidisciplinary			
Leve	nongovernmental partners workforce, as applicable, and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated annually, as well may provide international collaboration for assisting emergency response.									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments										
Statı	ıs of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved: financing guidelines & SOPs coordination & collaboration mechanisms		policy infrastructure & logistics workforce		leadership & governance assessments health information systems		risk communication legislation others			
			or this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes acc	ordino	g to the status of			
Statu	ıs of implementation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area	Involved:									
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation			
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		logistics workforce	J	assessments health information systems		others			

⁴² A national multisectoral workforce surge strategic plan in emergencies includes a gap analysis for surge workforce required in all sectors for emergencies (e.g., security, human health, animal health, environment) and has a surge workforce plan, with systems in place for identification and recruitment of required surge workforce personnel with programmes for competency development, including procedures or policies for pre-deployment, deployment and post-deployment.

C7. HEALTH EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

This capacity focuses on the national planning for health emergency management and systems for enabling countries to be prepared and operationally ready for response to any public health event, including emergencies, as per the requirement of IHR.

Ensuring risk-based plans for emergency preparedness and response, robust emergency management structures and mobilization of resources during an emergency is critical for a timely response to public health emergencies.

	Indicators										
Lev	el		C7.1. Plannii	ng fo	r health emergencies						
Lev	el 1 A all-	hazard risk informe	d ⁴³ health emergency plan ⁴⁴ is	s not	available or under developme	ent					
Lev	el 2 All-ha	azard risk informed	health emergency plan is de	evelop	oed but not being implement	ed					
Lev	el 3 All-ha	azard risk informed	health emergency plan is de	evelop	ped and being implemented a	at the	national level				
Lev		All-hazard risk informed health emergency plan is developed and being implemented at the national and intermediate levels									
Lev	All-hazard risk informed health emergency plan is developed and being implemented at national, intermediate and local levels and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated, with improvements based on SimEx ⁴⁵ and lessons learned from real-world events, e.g., IARs ⁴⁶ or AARs ⁴⁷										
capa					for this indicator and specify the ording to the status of implement			ur			
Stat	us of implen	entation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines	& SOPs	infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	coordinatio	n &	logistics	assessments		others					
	collaboration mechanism		workforce		health information systems						

⁴³ Health emergency risk profiles should be based on a strategic multisectoral and multihazard health emergency risk assessment, and updated on a regular basis.

There are different types of plans: such as a plan for coordinating emergency preparedness measures, which includes multisectoral, multihazard emergency response plans, contingency plans and business continuity plan for specific hazards or risk scenarios. Plans should be multisectoral, multidisciplinary and interoperable. These plans should be linked to a hazard-specific plan such as for chemical events or radiation emergencies. There should be a chemical/radiation event response plan describing procedures, roles, responsibilities and requirements to ensure an adequate response to a chemical release with the aim of minimizing the impact of the release on human health and the environment.

⁴⁵ A SimEx can help develop, assess and test functional capabilities of emergency systems, procedures and mechanisms to be able to respond to outbreaks or public health emergencies. See definition of "SimEx" in the Glossary. For further information see https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254741/WHO-WHE-CPI-2017.10-eng.pdf, (accessed 3 November 2021).
See definition of "IAR" in the Glossary. For information details see https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/341029, (accessed 3 November 2021).

An AAR provides an opportunity to review the functional capacity of public health and emergency response systems and to identify practical areas for continued improvement. See definition of "AAR" in the Glossary for further information see https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-WHE-CPI-2019.4, (accessed 3 November 2021).

		Indicators										
Leve	l		C7.2. Management	of he	ealth emergency response48							
Leve			ystem ⁴⁹ integrated with a na available or under developm		al public health emergency op	erati	ons centre ⁵⁰ or					
Leve			system integrated with a na eloped but not operational	tion	al public health emergency o	perat	ions centre, or					
Leve			system integrated with a na lace and operational at the		al public health emergency o _l onal level	perat	ions centre, or					
Leve					al public health emergency o onal and able to support inte							
Leve	An incident management system integrated with a national levels public health emergency operations centre, or equivalent structure is in place and operational at national level and is able to support national. Intermediate and local levels and is levels and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated, with improvements based on SimEx and lessons learned from real-world events, e.g., IARs or AARs											
	ity-building for this indicator.				or this indicator and specify the rding to the status of implement			ur				
Status	s of implementation:											
	olanned		achieved		strength/best practice							
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other							
Area I	nvolved:											
☐ f	inancing		policy		leadership &		risk communication					
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation					
_	coordination &	_	logistics	_	assessments		others					
co	collaboration mechanisms	_		health information systems								

These include entities, such as points of contact, emergency operation centres (EOCs), or response committees, to coordinate health sector actors and resources in response to emergencies, and to coordinate health sector response with other sectors. Coordination mechanisms may apply incident management systems to fulfil the coordination function.

See definition of "Incident management system" (or incident command system) in the Glossary.

See definition of "EOC" in the Glossary.

	Indicators										
el			C7.3. Emergency logist	ic ar	nd supply chain management	51					
el 1				stem	n/mechanism ⁵² is under develo	pme	ent and/or not able to				
el 2				yste	m/mechanism is developed b	ut n	ot able to provide				
el 3					m/mechanism is developed a	nd is	s able to provide				
el 4						nd is	s able to provide				
Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is implemented at national, intermediate and local levels, and is exercised (as appropriate), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis											
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments											
Status of implementation:											
	•		achieved		strength/best practice						
ongoing			challenges/gaps		other						
Invol	ved:										
finar	icing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &	governance		legislation					
-			logistics		assessments		-				
colla	boration		workforce		health information systems	J	others				
				10056	e all applicable check boxes acco	rding	to the status of				
us of	mplementation:										
plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice						
ongo	ping		challenges/gaps		other						
Invol	ved:										
finar	cing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &				legislation				
colla	boration		logistics workforce	assessments health information systems others		others					
	el 1 el 2 el 3 el 4 el 5 se addacity-lements us of i plant ongo ul Invol finan guide emen us of i plant ongo ul Invol finan guide emen	el 1 Emergency logistics ar provide adequate support for lel 2 Emergency logistics a adequate support for lel 3 Emergency logistics a adequate support for lel 4 Emergency logistics a adequate support for lel 5 Emergency logistics a adequate support for lel 5 Emergency logistics a and local levels, and is se add below comments descracity-building for this indicator. ments us of implementation: planned ongoing Involved: financing guidelines & SOPs coordination & collaboration mechanisms se add any additional comments	Emergency logistics and si provide adequate support for healts and local levels, and is executed below comments describing acity-building for this indicator. Choose and local levels, and is executed below comments and local levels, and is executed below comments describing acity-building for this indicator. Choose acity building for this indicator.	Emergency logistics and supply chain management sy provide adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s adequate support for health emergencies at national adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s and equate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s and equate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management s adequate support for health emergencies at national adequate support for health emergencies at nat	Emergency logistics and supply chain management system provide adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management system provide adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support for health emergencies at national level adequate support for health emergencies at national level adequate support for health emergencies at national level adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies at national and it adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support system adequate support for health emergencies. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system adequate support system and supply chain management system adequate support system adequate support s	Emergency logistics and supply chain management provide adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed by adequate support for health emergencies Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed by adequate support for health emergencies and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national level Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national level Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed an adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed and seveloped and sevelo	el 1	C7.3. Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism ¹⁰ is under development and/or not able to provide adequate support for health emergencies provide adequate support for health emergencies adequate support for health emergencies adequate support for health emergencies and adequate support for health emergencies at national level Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed and is able to provide adequate support for health emergencies at national level Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is developed and is able to provide adequate support for health emergencies at national and intermediate levels Emergency logistics and supply chain management system/mechanism is implemented at national, intermediate and local levels, and is exercised (as appropriate), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to incircly-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to you ments us of implementation: planned achieved strength/best practice legislation achieved governance legislation development developmen			

To maintain updated emergency logistic and supply chain management system/mechanism may include a robust regulatory system in place that allows for the emergency use and distribution of newly developed or newly available drugs, diagnostics, and other materials.

Mechanism can include: human resources (experts), financial, logistics (medical countermeasures, stockpiles), and health facilities (beds, equipment, etc.).

Emergency logistics and supply chain system and mechanism includes the capacity to purchase, store and deliver essentials products and materials necessary for the response (emergency kits, protective equipment, diagnostics, medical consumables, therapeutics, drugs and biomedical equipment) wherever they may be required in adequate quantity and in a timely manner. It also gathers and organizes the material, the capacities and processes allowing the deployment and the implementation of the response including emergency medical infractive types. emergency medical infrastructures, teams' transportations means, emergency offices and telecommunications.

C8. HEALTH SERVICES PROVISION

Resilient national health systems are essential for countries to prevent, detect, respond to and recover from public health events, while ensuring the continuity of health services at all Particularly in emergencies, health services provision for both event-related case management and routine health services are and equally as important. Moreover, ensuring minimal disruption in health service utilization before, during and beyond an emergency and across the varied contexts within a country is also a critical aspect of a resilient health system.

		Indicators									
Lev	el		C8.1.	Case	management						
Lev	el 1 Natio	nal clinical case mar	nagement guideline for priori	ty he	alth events ⁵⁴ are not available	or ui	nder development				
Lev		onal clinical case ma emented ⁵⁵	nagement guidelines for prid	ority	health events are developed	but n	ot being				
Lev		National clinical case management guidelines for priority health events are developed and being implemented at national level									
Lev		National clinical case management guidelines for priority health events are developed and being implemented at national and subnational levels									
Lev	National clinical case management guidelines for priority health events are implemented at all levels and are exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on regular basis										
capa	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments										
Stat	us of implen	nentation:									
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines	& SOPs	infrastructure &	\Box	governance		legislation				
	coordinatio collaborati mechanism	on \square	logistics workforce		assessments health information systems	others					

These should include SOPs with a list of designated referral health care facilities, referral procedures, field triage, safe transportation and case management guidelines to treat pathologies resulting from events included in the national list of priority health events. (e.g., epidemic prone diseases, trauma, chemical events, radiation emergencies, etc.). Implementation of guidelines includes; dissemination, orientation and training of health workers on guidelines and compliance/use with the guidelines in practice.

Indicators									
el		C8.2. Utiliza	tion	of health services56					
			ient o	department visits per person p	oer ye	ear < 1.00 visit/person/			
			t dep	artment visits per person per	yea	1.0 ≤ X < 2.0 visit/			
	department visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas)								
el 4 level and geographic	Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at intermediate and national level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas)								
Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities at national, intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) and information on service utilization is reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis to inform policy and planning									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments									
s of implementation:									
planned		achieved		strength/best practice					
ongoing		challenges/gaps		other					
Involved:									
financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication			
guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &				legislation			
collaboration	oration workforce health information		others						
	Very low levels of ser year in both urban are Low levels of service person/year, in both Satisfactory levels of department visits person levels of service person year, in both Strong levels of service person year, in both urban and low year, in both urban and low year ≥ 3.0 visit/person year ≥ 3.0 visit/person year building for this indicated	Very low levels of service upear in both urban and rural Low levels of service utilize person/year, in both urban and rural Satisfactory levels of service utilized and geographical conjugation of the service upear in both urban and rural Strong levels of service utilized and geographical conjugation in both urban and rural Strong levels of service utilized and local level year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year ≥ 3.0 vis	Very low levels of service utilization (number of outpat year in both urban and rural areas) Low levels of service utilization (number of outpatien person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Satisfactory levels of service utilization in tertiary headepartment visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/personal level and geographical contexts (number of outpatien year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and so level and geographical contexts (number of outpatien year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secon intermediate and local level and geographical context year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas are add below comments describing the rationale for the checked lead to be low comments describing the rationale for the checked lead to be low comments describing the rationale for the checked lead to be lowed this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes ments Involved: financing	Very low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient of year in both urban and rural areas) Low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient depperson/year, in both urban and rural areas) Satisfactory levels of service utilization in tertiary health of department visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/person/year. Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and second level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient depyear, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient depyear ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) evaluated and updated on a regular basis to inform policy see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level ficity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes accomments Is of implementation: planned	Very low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per year in both urban and rural areas) Low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Satisfactory levels of service utilization in tertiary health care facilities at national level department visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at i level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities at intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) and information on service the evaluated and updated on a regular basis to inform policy and planning see add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the city-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementments Involved: financing	Very low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per year in both urban and rural areas) Low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per year person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Satisfactory levels of service utilization in tertiary health care facilities at national level (num department visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulevel and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities at interrulation on service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities at interrulation on service utilization at all tertiary and secondary and primary health care facilities at interrulation on service utilization at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at litertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at litertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at litertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at litertiary and secondary health care facilities at interrulations at litertiary and secondary health care fac	Very low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per year < 1.00 visit/person/year in both urban and rural areas) Low levels of service utilization (number of outpatient department visits per person per year 1.0 ≤ X < 2.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Satisfactory levels of service utilization in tertiary health care facilities at national level (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 2.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary and secondary health care facilities at intermediate and national level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) Strong levels of service utilization at all tertiary, secondary and primary health care facilities at national, intermediate and local level and geographical contexts (number of outpatient department visits per person per year ≥ 3.0 visit/person/year, in both urban and rural areas) and information on service utilization is reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis to inform policy and planning or all delow comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to one one one of the status of implementation and the area related to you ments as of implementation: planned		

⁵⁶ Utilization of health services is measured by the number of outpatient department visits per person per year. Up to a certain point, the utilization rate goes up when for instance, barriers to service provision are removed or minimized. This indicator can be used as a measure to ascertain the level of disruptions to health services during emergencies by noting changes in utilization rates for the same service during the same time/season. Reference source for Health Service Utilization indicator – Global Reference List of 100 Core Health Indicators (plus health-related SDGs). (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/259951, accessed 3 November 2021).

		Indicators									
Lev	el el	C8.3. Continuity of essential health services (EHS)									
Lev	el 1 A package of EHS ⁵⁷ is	A package of EHS ⁵⁷ is not defined and there are no plans or guidelines for continuity EHS during emergency									
Lev	el 2 A package of EHS is o	A package of EHS is defined but plans/guidelines on continuity of EHS in emergencies is not developed									
Lev		A package of EHS and plans/guidelines on continuity of EHS in emergencies are developed and mechanism for monitoring service continuity during emergency are in place at national level									
Lev		A package of EHS and plans/guidelines on continuity of EHS in emergencies are developed and mechanism for monitoring service continuity during emergency are in place at national and intermediate levels									
Lev	continuity based on e exercised, reviewed, e	A package of EHS, plans/guidelines on continuity of EHS in emergencies, and mechanisms for monitoring service continuity based on existing guidelines are defined and functional at national, intermediate and local ⁵⁸ levels and exercised, reviewed, evaluated and updated, with improvements based on SimEx and lessons learned from real-world events, e.g., IARs or AARs									
capa	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments										
Statı	us of implementation:										
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure & logistics	_	governance		legislation				
	coordination &				assessments		others				
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems						
Please add any additional comments for this capacity as applicable. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comment on this capacity											
	us of implementation:										
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Involved:										
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation				
	coordination &		logistics		assessments		others				
_	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	_					

Essential services: maternal and child health services, health promotion, reproductive health services, prevention and control of communicable and prevention and treatment of noncommunicable diseases, emergency health services, mental health services. (https://digicollections.net/medicinedocs/#p/home, accessed 3 November 2021).

8 ALL levels include national, intermediate and local levels.

C9. INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL (IPC)

Preventing harm to patients, health workers and visitors due to HCAIs contributes to achieve quality care, patient safety, health security and the reduction of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Strong, effective IPC programmes allows safe health care and essential services delivery and prevention and control of outbreaks throughout the health system. It is critical to initially ensure that at least the minimum requirements for IPC are in place, both at the national and facility level, and to gradually

progress to the full achievement of all requirements within the WHO IPC core components recommendations.

IPC minimum requirements are defined as IPC standards that should be in place at both national and health facility level to provide minimum protection and safety to patients, health care workers and visitors, based on the WHO core components for IPC programmes.

The existence of these requirements constitutes the initial starting point for building additional critical components of IPC programmes, according to a stepwise approach based on assessments of the local situation.

		Indicators										
Lev	el	C9.1. IPC programmes										
Lev	el 1	An active ⁵⁹ national IPC programme ⁶⁰ or operational plan according to the WHO minimum requirements ⁶¹ is not available or is under development										
Lev	el 2	An active national IPC programme or operational plan according to WHO minimum requirements exists but is not fully implemented. National IPC guidelines/ standards exist but are not fully implemented										
Lev	el 3	An active national IPC programme exists, and a national IPC operational plan according to the WHO minimum requirements is available. National guidelines/standards for IPC in health care are available and disseminated. Selected health facilities are implementing guidelines using multimodal strategies, 62 including health workers' training and monitoring and feedback										
Lev	el 4	An active national IPC programme is available according to WHO IPC core components guidelines ⁶³ and is leading implementation of the national IPC operational plan and guidelines nationwide using multimodal strategies, including health workers' training and monitoring and feedback in place. More than 75% of health care facilities meet WHO minimum requirements for IPC programmes, guidelines, training, and monitoring/feedback										
Lev	el 5	IPC programmes are in place and functioning at national and health facility levels according to the WHO IPC core components and their compliance and effectiveness are exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and published. Plans and guidance are regularly updated in response to monitoring and feedback										
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments												
Stat	us of	implementation:										
	plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ongo	ping		challenges/gaps		other						
Area	Invo	ved:										
	finar	ncing		policy infrastructure &	leadership & governance	•	risk communicationlegislation					
	guid	elines & SOPs				3						
		pordination &		logistics		assessments		others				
	collaboration mechanisms			workforce		health information systems						

^{59 &}quot;Active" is defined as a functioning programme with annual workplans and budget.

⁶⁰ IPC programmes should have clearly defined objectives based on local epidemiology and priorities according to risk assessment, and defined functions and activities that align with and contribute towards the prevention of health care-associated infections and AMR in health care. They should also include dedicated, trained IPC professionals. See the WHO Guidelines on core components of IPC programmes at the national and acute health care facility level for more information (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549929, accessed 3 November 2021).

⁶¹ IPC minimum requirements are minimum standards identified by WHO and key IPC stakeholders and country representatives, that should be in place at both national and health facility level to provide minimum protection and safety to patients, health care workers and visitors, based on the WHO recommendations on the core components for IPC programmes. The existence of these requirements constitutes the initial starting point for building additional critical elements of the IPC core components according to a stepwise approach based on assessments of the local situation. See the WHO minimum requirements for IPC programmes for more information: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241516945, accessed 3 November 2021.

A multimodal strategy comprises several components or elements (three or more, usually five) implemented in an integrated way with the aim of improving an outcome and changing behaviour. It includes tools, such as bundles and checklists, developed by multidisciplinary teams that consider local conditions. The five most common elements include: (i) system change (availability of the appropriate infrastructure and supplies to enable IPC good practices); (ii) education and training of health care workers and key players (for example, managers); (iii) monitoring infrastructures, practices, processes, outcomes and providing data feedback; (iv) reminders in the workplace/communications; and (v) culture change within the establishment or the strengthening of a safety climate, see: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/who-multimodal-improvement-strategy.

⁶³ These guidelines are to provide evidence- and expert consensus-based recommendations on the core components of IPC programmes that are required to be in place at the national and facility level to prevent HCAI and to combat AMR through IPC good practices. They are intended to provide a feasible, effective and acceptable framework for the development or strengthening of IPC programmes.

		Indicators										
Lev	el	C9.2. Health care-associated infections (HCAI) surveillance										
Lev	el 1	No national HCAI surveillance programme or national strategic plan for HCAI surveillance, including pathogens that are antimicrobial resistant and/or prone to outbreaks is available or under development										
Lev	el 2	A national strategic plan for HCAI surveillance (including antimicrobial resistant pathogens that are antimicrobial resistant and/or prone to outbreaks) is available but not implemented										
Lev	el 3	A national strategic plan for HCAI surveillance (including antimicrobial resistant pathogens that are antimicrobial resistant and/or prone to outbreaks) is available and implemented through a national system. Selected secondary and tertiary health care facilities are conducting HCAI surveillance (as specified above)and provide timely and regular feedback to senior management and health workers										
Lev	el 4	A national strategic plan for HCAI surveillance (including antimicrobial resistant pathogens that are antimicrobial resistant and/or prone to outbreaks) is available and implemented nationwide in all health care facilities through a national system according to the WHO recommendations on IPC core components. Regular reports are available for providing feedback										
Lev	el 5	A national strategic plan for HCAI surveillance (including antimicrobial resistant pathogens that are antimicrobial resistant and/or prone to outbreaks) is available and implemented nationwide in health care facilities through a national system according to the WHO recommendations on IPC core components. Data are shared and being used continuously and in a timely manner to inform prevention efforts. The quality and impact of the system are regularly evaluated, and improvement actions are taken accordingly										
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments									ır			
Stati	us of	implementation:										
	plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice						
	ong	oing		challenges/gaps		other						
Area Involved:												
	fınaı	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication				
	guid	lelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation				
	colla	rdination & aboration hanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others				

		Indicators									
Lev	el	C9.3. Safe environment in health facilities									
Lev	el 1	development									
Lev	el 2	National standards and resources for safe built environment e.g., WASH in health care facilities, including appropriate infrastructure, materials and equipment for IPC; as well as standards for reduction of overcrowding and optimization of staffing levels in health care facilities, according to WHO minimum requirements, exist but they are not fully implemented through a national plan									
Lev	el 3	National standards and resources for safe built environment, e.g., WASH in health care facilities, including appropriate infrastructure, materials and equipment fort IPC; as well as standards for reduction of overcrowding and optimization of staffing levels in health care facilities, according to WHO minimum requirements, exist and are implemented in health care facilities at national level through a national plan									
Lev	el 4	National standards and resources for safe built environment, e.g., WASH in health care facilities, including appropriate infrastructure, materials and equipment for IPC; as well as standards for reduction of overcrowding and optimization of staffing levels in health care facilities, according to WHO minimum requirements, are implemented at national and intermediate levels according to a national plan									
Lev	el 5	National standards and resources for safe built environment, e.g., WASH in health care facilities, including appropriate infrastructure, materials and equipment for IPC; as well as standards for reduction of overcrowding and for optimization of staffing levels in health care facilities, according to WHO minimum requirements, are implemented at national and subnational levels according to a national plan, and are regularly exercised (as applicable) and monitored and improvement actions are taken accordingly									
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments									ur		
Stat	us of	implementation:									
	plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice					
	ongo	oing		challenges/gaps		other					
Area Involved:											
	finar	ncing		policy		leadership &	risk communication				
	guid	lelines & SOPs		infrastructure & logistics		governance assessments	legislation				
	colla	rdination & aboration hanisms		workforce		health information systems	other	others			

See definition of "Safe environment" in the Glossary.

For global standards on WASH in health care facilities refer to: Adams J, Bartram J, Chartier Y. Essential environmental health standards in health care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/43767/9789241547239_eng.pdf, accessed 2 April 2018). WASH in health care facilities should include national WASH policy and standards, operational strategy, and facility guidelines, education and training programmes, and surveillance, monitoring and audit, and maintenance of essential WASH services (see WHO website: https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/water-sanitation-and-health, accessed 2 April 2018).

		for this capacity as applicable. C to your comment on this capacity	e all applicable check boxes accordin	g to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:			
	planned	achieved	strength/best practice	
	ongoing	challenges/gaps	other	
Area	Involved:			
	financing	policy	leadership &	risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	governance	legislation
	coordination &	logistics	assessments	others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce	health information systems	

C10. RISK COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (RCCE)

RCCE have proven to be vital in all public health emergencies. Risk communication refers to real time exchange of information, advice and opinion between experts or officials and people who face a threat. Its ultimate purpose is that everyone at risk is

able to take informed decisions to mitigate the effects of the threat and take protective and preventive action. Community engagement is a more focused series of activities intended to bring communities to the centre of preparedness, readiness and response, providing voices and choices for communities in the decision-making process of community level public health measures.

					Ind	icators			
Lev	el			C10.1. RCCE	sys	tem for emergencies			
Lev	el 1			ation of RCCE functions ⁶⁷ an conducted on an ad hoc ba		ources ⁶⁸ are under developme	ent, c	r coordination of RCCE	
Lev	el 2	Mechanisms for coord arrangements are dev			reso	urces, including plans, SOPs	and f	formal government	
Lev	el 3			tion of RCCE functions and ed and being implemented		urces, including plans, SOPs e national level ⁶⁹	and 1	formal government	
Lev	Level 4 Mechanisms for coordination of RCCE functions and resources, including plans, SOPs and formal government arrangements are developed and being implemented at the national and intermediate levels ⁷⁰								
Lev	el 5		nteg	rated into emergency respo		urces are implemented at the systems; and are exercised, r			
capa	acity-l ments	ouilding for this indicator. s				or this indicator and specify the rding to the status of implement			ur
I		implementation:							
U	plan	ned	Ш	achieved	Ч	strength/best practice			
	ongo	ping		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Invol	ved:							
	finar	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	coor	dination &		logistics	Ц	assessments		others	
		boration hanisms		workforce		health information systems			

Mechanisms include plans, SOPs, guidelines, policies and procedures such as, multi-hazard and multi-sectoral plans for coordination of RCCE functions; formal government arrangements, including policies and procedures, for coordination of RCCE functions as well as arrangement for scale-up in emergencies; quality assurance processes for communication products; and integration of RCCE within the emergency operations centre or incident management system.
 Functions include training of RCCE personnel, communication with other sectors, transparent and early/regular communication with target audiences through conventional

⁶⁷ Functions include training of RCCE personnel, communication with other sectors, transparent and early/regular communication with target audiences through conventional media (print and broadcast), online and offline media monitoring to shape messages and strategies; analyses of target audiences based on online and offline community listening to inform design of communications, interventions, and programmatic improvements; infodemic monitoring.

⁶⁸ Resources include, finance; skilled staff (e.g., at least a risk communication specialist sitting in the emergency response team, adequate number of qualified staff, a trained spokespersons) and arrangements for workforce surge; equipment and materials (e.g., IEC materials); communication platforms for coordination of RCCE functions.

⁶⁹ Formal government arrangements and systems are in place at national level, including national multi-hazard, multisectoral RCCE capability, policies and procedures. However, human and financial resources are limited, and there is sporadic coordination with other technical areas.

⁷⁰ Formal government arrangements and systems are in place at national and intermediate levels, including multi-hazard, multisectoral RCCE capability, policies, procedures. Human and financial resources are available and coordination with other sectors is structured.

⁷¹ The national multi-hazard, multisectoral RCCE plan is reviewed at least every 24 months. Evidence and data gathered are systematically used for measurement, evaluation, learning, and continuous improvement on RCCE interventions.

				Ind	icators				
Leve	el		C10.2. I	Risk	communication				
Leve	Mechanisms for publimplemented on an a			relati	ons, including infodemics, are	e und	er development or		
Leve	Mechanisms for pub implemented with sign			rela	tions, including infodemics, a	are de	eveloped but not fully		
Leve		Mechanisms for public communication and/or media relations, including infodemics, are developed and activities are being implemented at the national level							
Leve					tions, including infodemics, as at national and intermediate				
Leve	activities are being information is shared	npler d in a	mented and coordinated acr	oss	tions, including infodemics, a sectors ⁷⁴ at national, interme ms and related activities are	diate	and local levels, and		
capa	city-building for this indicato ments				or this indicator and specify the rding to the status of implement			ur	
l	us of implementation:		achieved		strength/best practice				
-	•								
	ongoing	_	challenges/gaps	_	other				
Area	Involved:								
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication		
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation		
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others		

The work is limited to conventional media. There are no risk communication specialists in the national incident management system team or emergency operations centre. Infodemic monitoring is not conducted. Please see infodemic definition at Annex 4.

⁷³ Gaps may include limited implementation of best practices and community listening activities to inform design of communication strategy. Trained risk communicators serve

as surge staff in an emergency and are resourced to conduct media relations and maintain a basic online presence.

74 Coordination of RCCE involve the whole of government and sectors; international and national partners. Coordination is facilitated through online and offline channels of communication in an accurate, timely, and understandable way. Risk Communication includes a culture of learning and collaboration with social science researchers. An interdisciplinary team routinely uses online and offline community listening activities to conduct integrated analyses to tailor design of communications, interventions, and

programmatic improvements.

75 Information provided regarding the emergency situation should be up to date, timely and should include government response and health recommendations. The messages address people's concerns and rumours, as well as misinformation, and provide actionable advice. 76 Communities are equal partners in the risk communication and emergency response process and co-design interventions.

					Indi	cators			
Lev	el			C10.3. Con	nmu	nity engagement ⁷⁶			
Leve						olic health emergencies, includ ctivites ⁷⁷ are implemented on			
Leve				cic community engagement ad but not implemented	in pu	ıblic health emergencies, incl	udin	g guidelines and/or	
Leve	el 3 o	supported at the national level							
Leve	Mechanisms for systematic community engagement in public health emergencies, including guidelines and/ or SOPs, have been developed, disseminated and community engagement activities are being implemented and supported at national and intermediate levels								
Leve	Mechanisms for systematic community engagement in public health emergencies, including guidelines and/or SOPs, have been developed, disseminated, and community engagement activities are being implemented and supported at the national, intermediate and local levels. Qualitative and quantitative socio-behavioural research is conducted; ⁷⁹ and mechanisms and activities for community engagement are exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis								
сара						or this indicator and specify the a rding to the status of implementa			ır
Statı	us of im	plementation:							
	planne	ed		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongoir	ng		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Involve	ed:							
	financ	ing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guidel	ines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
		nation &	_	logistics		assessments		others	
		oration anisms		workforce		health information systems			

Communities are equal partners in the risk communication and emergency response process and co-design interventions.

Community activities include establishment of intermittent two-way community feedback communication channels (e.g., hotline, complaint systems, social listening); collection of data from qualitative and quantitative sources including socio-behavioural research of affected and at-risk populations; analysis and integration of social-behavioural and epidemiological data to inform decision-making (e.g., vaccine confidence, or vaccine distribution); training social mobilization and community engagement teams including volunteers regularly, scaling-up and operationalization of surge capacities; mapping of stakeholders, engagement and activation of stakeholders at national and subnational levels including community influencers such as opinion and religious leaders, civil society and community-based organizations as part of the emergency

response system; development of IEC materials; and briefings and training of social mobilization and community engagement teams including volunteers.

Community engagement may be conducted by nongovernmental entities on specific health topics but are not systematically linked to the governmental health system. Some key stakeholders are identified locally. Civil society organizations are not connected to government-level emergency response mechanisms.

Response decisions are informed by qualitative and quantitative socio-behavioural research. Social-behavioural data and epidemiological data are used in an integrated and

equal way to inform decision-making.

		r this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes accor	rdino	g to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:					
	planned	achieved		strength/best practice		
	ongoing	challenges/gaps		other		
Area	a Involved:					
	financing	policy		leadership &		risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation
	coordination &	logistics		assessments		others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce		health information systems		

C11. POINT OF ENTRY (PoE) AND BORDER HEALTH

PoE are defined in the IHR as a passage for international entry or exit of travellers, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods and postal parcels; as well as agencies and areas providing services to them on entry or exit. A PoE is an integral part of surveillance and response systems and helps support public health functions in a country.

Factors to be considered while designating PoE for developing IHR capacities are found in the introductory chapter of the WHO document on core capacity requirements at designated airports, ports and ground crossings.80 Section 1 below requests specific information on the States' designated PoE (the users should create an additional row in the table for each PoE). The scoring table for this core capacity in Section 2 should be based on the results of an in- depth assessment of each designated PoE, as well as some non-designated PoE that are of public health significance, using the detailed WHO document on core capacity requirements at designated airports, ports and ground crossings, as well as the document on coordinated public health surveillance between PoE and national health surveillance systems.81

SECTION 1. INFORMATION BY TYPE OF POINTS OF ENTRY

1. Please indicate the number of designated PoE that shall develop the capacities provided in Annex 1 of the IHR (n/a if not applicable)
Number of designated ports
Number of designated airports
Number of designated ground crossings ⁸²
2. Please list the names of designated PoE (ports, airports and ground crossings as applicable) and indicate the information required related to the designated PoE. To complete this table, fill in information for each designated PoE. Please add lines as needed if there are more than five designated airports, ports or ground crossings.

SECTION 2. CORE CAPACITIES AT POES AND INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL-RELATED MEASURES

3. Has your country authorized ports to issue ship sanitation certificates?

	Download	IATA airport location code or other code for ports and ground crossings ⁸³	ICAO airport or other code for ports and ground crossings ⁸⁴	United Nations Code for Trade and Transport Locations (UNLOCODE)85	Competent authorities identified at designated PoE level (Y/N)	Level® of core capacity requirements at all times for designated POE (routine core capacities, Annex 1B)	Programme for vector surveillance and control at PoE (Y/N)	Level ⁸⁷ of effective public health response at each designated PoE (capacities to respond to emergencies,	PoE public health emergency contingency plan ⁸⁸ (Y/N)
Туре	Name of designated PoE					,		Annex 1B)	
Airports									
Ports									
Ground crossings									

Yes	s 🗖	No 🖵	Not applicable 🖵					
80 4	See: Introdu	ection of access	ment tool for core canacity requirements at	decignated airports	porte and ground crossings	Canava: World Health	Organization: 2000 (http:	o./

- www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-HSE-IHR-LYO-2009-9, accessed 2 April 2018). See also definition of designated point of entry at Annex 4.
- See: Coordinated public health surveillance between points of entry and national health surveillance systems: advising principles. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/144805/WHO_HSE_GCR_LYO_2014.12_eng.pdf, accessed 2 April 2018). Designation of ground crossings is not required by IHR unless deemed necessary by the State Party.
- IATA Airport codes can be found at IATA/International Air Transport Association site (https://www.iata.org/en/publications/directories/code-search/, accessed 21 October 2021). For port facilities and ground crossings countries may use their national location codes for geo-reference
- 84 ICAO Airport code is a four letter code designating aerodromes globally as defined by the ICAO and published in ICAO documents 7910 https://www.icao.int. For port facilities and ground crossings countries may use their national location codes for geo-reference.
 UN/LOCODE is the United Nations Code for Trade and Transport Location it is published by United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (https://unece.org/trade/cefact/
- unlocode-code-list-country-and-territory, accessed 3 November 2021).
- Please refer to the Assessment tool for core capacity requirements at designated airports, ports and ground crossings (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/70839) to determine the level of implementing the IHR routine capacities at each specific point of entry, utilizing the criteria in Section 2, e.g., Level 1: Strategic risk assessment for individual PoE as an integral part of a national risk assessment has not been completed; Level 2: Some designated PoE are implementing routine core capacities based on a completed associated strategic risk assessment; Level 3: Some designated PoE are implementing routine core capacities AND These are integrated into the national surveillance system for biological hazards/all hazards (e.g., event-based and early warning surveillance); Level 4: All designated PoE are implementing routine core capacities with an all-hazard and multisectoral approach integrated into the national surveillance system; Level 5, Routine core capacities implemented at all designated PoE are exercised (as appropriate),
- reviewed, evaluated, updated and actions are taken to improve capacity on a regular basis.

 Please refer to the assessment tool for core capacity requirements at designated airports, ports and ground crossings (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/70839, accessed 3 November 2021) to determine the level of implementing the IHR effective public health response capacities at each specific point of entry, utilizing the criteria in Section 2, e.g., Level 1: PoE designated based on a strategic risk assessment are in the process of developing a PoE public health emergency contingency plan; Level 2: Some designated PoE have developed a PoE public health emergency contingency plan for events caused by biological hazards; Level 3: All designated PoE have developed PoE public health emergency contingency plans for events caused by biological hazards and integrated into national emergency response plans; Level 4: All designated PoE have developed PoE public health emergency contingency plans for events caused by all hazards and integrated into national emergency response plans; Level 5, All POE public health emergency contingency plans for events caused by all hazards all designated PoE are exercised (as appropriate), reviewed, evaluated and updated on a regular basis.
- A public health emergency contingency plan is one of the required capabilities for designated ports, airports and ground crossings, under the IHR framework. For a detailed recommended approach, structure and logical set of considerations to guide the development of a "public health emergency contingency plan" at PoEs, see WHO WPRO document: Guide for public health emergency contingency planning at designated points of entry. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ international-health-regulations-(-2005)-a-guide-for-public-health-emergency-contingency-planning-at-designated-points-of-entry, accessed 2 April 2018).

				Ind	icators			
Lev	el	C11.1 Core	capacity requirements at all	time	s for PoEs (airports, ports an	d gro	ound crossings)	
Lev	Strate comp		nt for individual PoE as an into	egral	part of a national risk assessr	nent	has not been	
Lev	3 /	designated PoE ar sment	re implementing routine core	сара	acities based on a completed	asso	ociated strategic risk	
Lev	AND These				acities n for biological hazards/all ha	zard	s (e.g., event-based	
Lev			mplementing routine core ca nal surveillance system	pacit	ies with an all-hazard and mu	ultise	ctoral approach	
Lev			implemented at all designate taken to improve capacity o		E are exercised (as appropria egular basis	te), r	eviewed, evaluated,	
capa					for this indicator and specify the rding to the status of implement			ur
Stati	ıs of implem	entation:						
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Involved:							
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guidelines 8	SOPs	infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	coordinatio		logistics		assessments		others	
	collaboration mechanism	_	workforce		health information systems			

				Ind	icators			
Lev	el		C11.2. Pul	olic health re	esponse at points of e	ntry		
Lev		ated based on a contingency pl		ssment are ir	n the process of develo	ping a PoE p	oublic health	
Lev	Some designated biological h		ve developed a PoE p	oublic health	emergency continger	ncy plan for	events caused by	
Lev	All designated PoE have developed PoE public health emergency contingency plans for events caused by biological hazards and integrated into national emergency response plans ⁹⁰							
Lev			eveloped PoE public nto national emerge		rgency contingency pl se plans	ans for ever	nts caused by all	
Lev					ents caused by all haz ed on a regular basis	ards all des	ignated PoE are	
capa	city-building for the	is indicator. Cho			or this indicator and sper rding to the status of imp		and the area related to yo	ur
Statu	us of implementati	on:	achieved					
	planned				strength/best practice			
_	ongoing		challenges/gaps	u	other			
Area	Involved:							
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guidelines & SOP	s 🔲	infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others	

PoE public health emergency contingency plan (IHR (2005)) for public health events, including potential PHEIC.

See: Coordinated public health surveillance between points of entry and national health surveillance systems: advising principles. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/144805/WHO_HSE_GCR_LYO_2014.12_eng.pdf, accessed 2 April 2018).

Consistent with any applicable international agreements.

				Indi	cators			
Lev	el	С	11.3. Risk-based approach	to ir	nternational travel-related me	eası	ires	
Lev			cess with mechanisms to de ed manner, is not available or		ine the adoption of internation er development	nal tı	ravel-related	
Lev					nine the adoption of internati guidelines and SOPs for thei			
Lev					mine the adoption of internati g implemented at national lev		travel-related	
Lev					mine the adoption of internati g implemented at national an			
Lev	el 5 measures are being ir	nple	mented at national, interme	diate	nine the adoption of internation and local levels and exercise ponse to an event or emerger	ed (a		
сара					or this indicator and specify the a rding to the status of implementa			ur
Statı	us of implementation:							
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Involved:							
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	coordination &	_	logistics		assessments		others	
	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems			
					e all applicable check boxes acco	rding	g to the status of	
impi	ementation and the area relate	d to	your comment on this capacity					
	us of implementation:							
	planned	_	achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Involved:							
	financing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	coordination &	_	logistics		assessments		others	
_	collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems	_		

⁹² Multisectoral process and mechanism to determine the adoption of travel related measures on a risk-based manner, includes measures at PoE for prevention, detection/investigation, response, and recovery, these also include national plans, guidelines and SOPs.

C12. ZOONOTIC DISEASES

Mechanisms and documented procedures among all relevant sectors, ⁹³ particularly those responsible for human, animal (livestock, pets, wild animals)⁹⁴ and environmental health are in place to ensure operational coordination in preparedness, planning, surveillance and response to zoonotic diseases and other health events existing or emerging at the human—animal-environment interface.

This capacity includes the ability of the country to prepare for, prevent, identify, conduct risk assessment for, and report health concerns at the human—animal-environment interface that may not currently be considered as "zoonoses". For example, diseases circulating in animals that may not be known zoonoses but have characteristics that strongly suggest some potential zoonotic threat in the future. Similarly, investigation of the epidemiology of a new disease identified in humans should include consideration of a possible livestock or wildlife source.

					Ind	icators			
Lev	⁄el	C12.1. C	ne l	Health ⁹⁵ collaborative effort	ts ac	ross sectors on activities to	add	ress zoonoses	,
Lev	vel 1	The animal, human, ar	ıd er	nvironment health sectors w	ork t	ogether on zoonoses on an ac	d hoo	basis	
Lev				nvironment health sectors hoses for coordinated preven		ointly mapped existing and a and control activities	areas	of collaboration and	
Lev	vel 3	The animal, human and environment health sectors collaborate regularly and coordinate their activities at national level to prevent, detect assess/investigate and respond to one or more prioritized zoonoses(s). Their ability to detect new or emerging zoonotic diseases has been demonstrated in some occasions The animal, human and environment health sectors collaborate regularly and coordinate their activities at							
Lev	vel 4	national and intermed	iate	level to prevent, detect asso	ess/i	orate regularly and coordina nvestigate and to respond to ergency, including in case of	prio	ritized zoonoses, and	
Lev	el 5		ng) a	re exercised (as applicable,		sess/investigate and respond ewed, evaluated, updated on			
capa		building for this indicator.				or this indicator and specify the rding to the status of implement			ur
Stat	tus of	implementation:							
	plan	ned		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongo	oing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	a Invo	ved:							
	finar	ncing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guid	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	colla	dination & aboration hanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others	

⁹³ See C2. IHR coordination and National IHR Focal Point functions.

⁹⁴ Technical note on definition of "animal" includes wildlife, domestic, and livestock.

[&]quot;One Health" is an approach for designing and implementing programs, policies, legislation and research in which multiple sectors communicate and work together to achieve better public health outcomes. The areas of work which are One Health approach is particularly relevant include food safety, the control of zoonosis and combating antibiotic (WHO 2017. https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/one-health, accessed 3 November 2021).

⁹⁶ Specific activities could include surveillance (epidemiology and laboratory), data sharing (including cross-sectoral and internationally), situation or risk assessments, planning, risk reduction and risk communication.

		for this capacity as applicable. C to your comment on this capacity	e all applicable check boxes accordin	g to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:			
	planned	achieved	strength/best practice	
	ongoing	challenges/gaps	other	
Area	Involved:			
	financing	policy	leadership &	risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	governance	legislation
	coordination &	logistics	assessments	others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce	health information systems	

C13. FOOD SAFETY

States Parties have the adequate capacity for timely detection, investigation and response to food safety events involving foodborne diseases and/or food contamination that may constitute a public health emergency of national or international concern, through collaboration between

the relevant authorities at national level and through active membership in the International Food Safety Authorities Network (INFOSAN) network. Food safety is multisectoral in nature and the agencies/sectors responsible for detection, investigation and response to a food safety emergency adopt a One Health approach.

		Indicators						
Lev	el	C1	3.1 Multisectoral collabora	tion	mechanism ⁹⁷ for food safety	/ ⁹⁸ ev	rents	
Lev	el 1 A multisectoral colla development, activat			s an I	NFOSAN ⁹⁹ Emergency Contac	ct Po	int ¹⁰⁰ is under	
Lev	national level AND Communication cha							
Lev		lace a	nt the national, intermediate		ition channels that includes t local levels, if appropriate, to			
Lev	el 4 Contact Point, the N	A multisectoral collaboration mechanism and communication channels between the INFOSAN Emergency						
Lev	el 5 the INFOSAN emergincluding emergenci	The multisectoral collaboration mechanism related to food safety events and Communication channels between the INFOSAN emergency contact, the National IHR Focal Point, and other relevant sectors for food safety events including emergencies at national and international level have been exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated and updated as appropriate.						
capa	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments							
Stat	us of implementation:							
	planned		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongoing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Involved:							
	financing		policy		leadership & governance		risk communication	
	guidelines & SOPs		infrastructure & logistics		assessments		legislation	
	coordination & collaboration mechanisms		workforce		health information systems		others	

⁹⁷ A multisectoral collaboration mechanism for food safety should include all sectors relevant to food safety across national, regional and local government, as applicable and industry, with clearly defined, roles and responsibilities, hierarchies and channels of communication between stakeholders documented. Documented procedures for the detection of and response to food safety emergencies should also be specified.

Reflecting the multidisciplinary nature and complexity of food safety, the detection and response to food safety emergencies is very rarely managed within one ministry, and is a collaborative effort between several national authorities, such as food safety, agriculture, fisheries, veterinary services, trade, standards, health and various other authorities dependant on the structure of the respective Member State.

⁹⁹ INFOSAN (https://www.who.int/groups/fao-who-international-food-safety-authorities-network-infosan/about, accessed 1 April 2018).

¹⁰⁰ The INFOSAN Emergency Contact Point is a member of the national authority responsible for the coordination of national food safety emergency response. (See Level 3 for the INFOSAN Emergency Contact Point.).

¹⁰¹ Communication channels refer to the way information flows within and between organizations and stakeholders. This can be informal (i.e., person-to-person, undocumented phone calls and emails), or formal (i.e., following established documented procedures, such as the ones for risk management, documented meetings and teleconferences).

		r this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes accor	rdino	g to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:					
	planned	achieved		strength/best practice		
	ongoing	challenges/gaps		other		
Area	a Involved:					
	financing	policy		leadership &		risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation
	coordination &	logistics		assessments		others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce		health information systems		

C14. CHEMICAL EVENTS

Chemical events, including emergencies arising from technological incidents, natural disasters, deliberate events or contaminated foods and products, are common and occur worldwide. This capacities refers to the resources for detection and alert of those events. Other capacities requested for this sort of events, i.e., legislation and policies, preparedness planning and response, strategic coordination, are incorporated

into relevant capacities covered above. It is important to note that some of the responsibilities for this capacity fall on other sectors than the health sector, such as in the sectors for environment, labour, agriculture, civil protection, transport or customs. Coordination and collaboration between these sectors is therefore important to ensure the timely detection of, and effective response to, potential chemical risks and/or events.¹⁰²

	Indicators								
Lev	rel			C14.1 Resour	ces f	or detection and alert			
Lev	el 1	Surveillance mechanis	ms	and resources ¹⁰³ for chemic	al ev	ents or poisoning are under de	evelo	pment	
Lev	el 2	that operates only dur	ing	office hours or that only ser	ves	on an ad hoc basis, e.g., a po part of the country AND acce s of concern ¹⁰⁵ is available on	ss to	laboratory capacity ¹⁰⁴	
Lev				vice ¹⁰⁶ or equivalent national alerts is in place on a 24/7		rvice that performs surveillan s	ce fo	r chemical exposures,	
Lev				conforms to national qualit Is of concern is in place	y sta	ndard for identifying and qua	ntify	ing chemical	
Lev						with environmental monitorin ces, is under development or			
capa	Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments						ur		
Stat	us of i	mplementation:							
	planr	ned		achieved		strength/best practice			
	ongo	ing		challenges/gaps		other			
Area	Invol	ved:							
	finan	cing		policy		leadership &		risk communication	
	guide	elines & SOPs		infrastructure &		governance		legislation	
	colla	dination & boration nanisms		logistics workforce		assessments health information systems		others	

¹⁰² See also: International Health Regulations (2005) and chemical events. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/249532/9789241509589-eng.pdf, accessed 2 April 2018).

¹⁰³ Mechanisms for surveillance include policies, guidelines and systems for reporting actual or potential chemical events to a central authority, and also guidance for assessing and taking action on these events. The resources needed for this activity include one or more poisons information centres, and toxicological and environmental laboratories.

¹⁰⁴ There should be access to at least one laboratory that is able to measure key chemicals of public health importance in the country, e.g., toxic metals and metalloids, pesticides and persistent organic pollutants.

¹⁰⁵ List to be determined by the responding State Party.

¹⁰⁶ The poisons information service (which may comprise one or more centres) should have dedicated staff and provide national coverage. Its contact (telephone) number should be widely known among its intended users (e.g., published in telephone directories, in hospital and primary care internal directories, on a website, etc.) Refer to: Guidelines for poison control. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997 (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41966, accessed 2 April 2018).

¹⁰⁷ These include primary and secondary health facilities, poisons centres, toxicology laboratories and environmental monitoring

		r this capacity as applicable. Cl your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes accor	rdino	g to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:					
	planned	achieved		strength/best practice		
	ongoing	challenges/gaps		other		
Area	a Involved:					
	financing	policy		leadership &		risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation
	coordination &	logistics		assessments		others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce		health information systems		

C15. RADIATION EMERGENCIES

Radiological emergencies and nuclear accidents, termed as radiation emergencies, ¹⁰⁸ are rare events, but can range from minor to catastrophic. Management of large events can be both exhausting in terms of resource and human capacity, and its consequences may last for decades. Response to such emergencies is multisectoral and requires specific infrastructure and expertise that is different from responding to outbreaks; support of specific legislation; and cross-sector coordination. In most countries, the competence and responsibility for response to radiation emergencies are outside the national health authorities. Therefore, coordination between national radiation authorities, health and non-health sectors

(e.g., meteorological services, environmental protection, trade and travel, law-enforcement, etc.) is required at all stages of preparedness, surveillance, response and long-term consequence management after radiation emergencies. 109 Relevant core capacities are different for countries with dissimilar risk profiles – required core capacities for countries with limited use of radioactive sources, will differ from those in possession of nuclear technologies in industry, medicine and research. The international radiation safety standards published by IAEA and co-sponsored by WHO and other international organizations provides guidance for generic requirements for preparedness and response to radiological emergencies and nuclear accidents.

. , ,					
ented.					
pacity for					
. , ,					
d va ev da eb e					
Access to technical expertise for managing radiation emergencies, including guidelines, protocols and regularly trained experts, is in place AND access to stockpile to support radiation emergency preparedness and response is in place.					
ular basis,					
Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to your comments					
nication					
trained experts, is in place AND access to stockpile to support radiation emergency preparedness and response is in place. Radiation emergency arrangements are formally exercised (as applicable), reviewed, evaluated on a regular basis and improvements are made accordingly. Please add below comments describing the rationale for the checked level for this indicator and specify the activities that are related to capacity-building for this indicator. Choose all applicable check boxes according to the status of implementation and the area related to					

¹⁰⁸ For the purpose of this document the terms radiological emergencies and nuclear accidents are shortened to "radiation emergencies" that encompasses both types of emergencies.
109 Refer to Preparedness and response for a nuclear or radiological emergency: general safety requirements. IAEA Safety Standards No. GSR Part 7. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 2015 (https://www.iaea.org/publications/10905/preparedness-and-response-for-a-nuclear-or-radiological-emergency, accessed 3 November 2021).

¹¹⁰ Mechanisms for surveillance include policies, guidelines and systems for reporting actual or potential radiation emergencies to a central authority, and also guidance for assessing and acting on these events. The resources needed include infrastructure for monitoring, identification and assessment of radiation exposure.

¹¹¹ Radiation risk mapping implies that an inventory of all radiation sources and potential risks has been completed, so that national plans are focused on country-specific scenarios of a potential radiation emergency.

¹¹² This refers to facilities and case management of individuals with radiation injuries.

¹¹³ This refers to public health response to radiation emergencies, such as resource mobilization and risk communication.

		r this capacity as applicable. Ch your comment on this capacity		e all applicable check boxes accord	ding	to the status of
Stat	us of implementation:					
	planned	achieved		strength/best practice		
	ongoing	challenges/gaps		other		
Area	Involved:					
	financing	policy		leadership &		risk communication
	guidelines & SOPs	infrastructure &	_	governance		legislation
	coordination &	logistics	Ц	assessments		others
	collaboration mechanisms	workforce		health information systems		

IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SPAR SECOND EDITION (2021)

The second edition of SPAR (2021) has 15 capacities and 35 indicators compared to 13 capacities and 24 indicators in the first edition.

Summary of changes between version one and two of the SPAR					
New capacities	SPAR 1st ed. 2018-2020	SPAR 2nd ed. 2021			
Splitting capacity, with name change and creating new capacity (Financing)	C1. Legislation and financing	Two separate capacities, renamed to C1 Policy, Legislation and normative instruments to implement the IHR and new capacity as C3. – Financing			
Creating new capacity from previous indicator (IPC)	C9. – Health service provision, indicator C9.2. – Capacity for IPC and chemical and radiation decontamination	Previous indicator C9.2. deleted from capacity C9. – Health service provision and became a new capacity C9. – Infection prevention and control (IPC)			
List of changes in capacities and indicators	SPAR 1st ed. 2018-2020	SPAR 2nd ed. 2021			
Name change of capacities and new indicator	C1. – Legislation and financing	C1. – Policy, Legislation and normative instruments to implement the IHR			
Name change of indicator	C1.1. Legislation, laws, regulations, policy, administrative requirements or other government instruments to implement the IHR	C1.1. Policy, legislation and normative instruments			
New indicator	C1.2. Financing for the implementation of IHR capacities (See new C3.)	C1.2. Gender equality in health emergencies New indicator			
Old indicator had moved for new capacity	C1.3. Financing mechanism and funds for timely response to public health emergencies (See new C3.)				
No change	C2. IHR Coordination and National IHR Focal Point functions	C2. IHR coordination, National IHR Focal Point functions and advocacy			
No change	C2.1. National IHR Focal Point functions under IHR	C2.1. National IHR Focal Point functions under IHR			
No change	C2.2. Multisectoral IHR coordination mechanisms	C2.2. Multisectoral coordination mechanisms			
New indicator C2.3.		C2.3. Advocacy for IHR implementation New indicator			
Change of name for capacity, with new capacity in place	C3. Zoonotic events and the human-animal interface (See new C12.)	C3. Financing			

		,
Change of name of Indicator, with new capacity in place	C3.1. One Health collaborative efforts across sectors on activities to address zoonoses	C3.1. Financing for IHR implementation
New indicator		C3.2. Financing for public health emergency response
Change of place for Laboratory with new indicators	C4. Food Safety (See new C13)	C4. Laboratory
Change of place for indicator	C4.1. Multisectoral collaboration mechanism for food safety events	C4.1. Specimen referral and transport system
Change of place for indicator		C4.2. Implementation of a laboratory biosafety and biosecurity regime
New indicator		C4.3. Laboratory quality system New indicator
Change of name and place for indicator		C4.4. Laboratory testing capacity modalities
New indicator		C4.5. Effective national diagnostic network New indicator
Change of place for Surveillance	C5. Laboratory	C5. Surveillance
Change of place for indicator	C5.1. Specimen referral and transport systems	C5.1. Early warning surveillance function
Change of place for indicator	C5.2. Implementation of a laboratory biosafety and biosecurity regime	C5.2. Event management
Change of place for indicator	C5.3 Access to laboratory testing capacity for priority diseases	
Change of place for Human Resources with new indicators	C6. Surveillance	C6. Human resources
Change of place for indicator	C6.1. Early warning Surveillance function	C6.1. Human resources for implementation of IHR
New indicator	C6.2. Event management	C6.2. Workforce surge during a public health event New indicator
Change of place and name for Health emergency management with new names for indicators	C7. Human resources	C7. Health emergency management
Change of place and name for indicator	C7.1 Human resources for the implementation of IHR capacities	C7.1. Planning for health emergencies
Change of place and name for indicator		C7.2. Management of health emergency response
Change of place and name for indicator		C7.3. Emergency logistic and supply chain management

Change of place with new names for indicators	C8. National Health Emergency Framework (see new C7.)	C8. Health services provision
Change of place and name for indicator	C8.1. Planning for emergency preparedness and response mechanism	C8.1. Case management
Change of place and name for indicator	C8.2. Management of health emergency response operations	C8.2. Utilization of health services
Change of place and name for indicator	C8.3. Emergency resource mobilization	C8.3. Continuity of essential health services (EHS)
New capacity	C9. Health service provision	C9. Infection prevention and control (IPC)
New indicator	C9.1. Case management capacity for IHR relevant hazards	C9.1. IPC programmes New indicator
New indicator	C9.2. Capacity for IPC and chemical and radiation decontamination	C9.2. Health care associated infections (HCAI) surveillance New indicator
New indicator	C9.3. Access to essential health services (EHS)	C9.3. Safe environment in health facilities New indicator
New name for capacity with new indicators	C10. Risk Communication	C10. RCCE
Change of name for indicator	C10.1 Capacity for emergency risk communications	C10.1. RCCE system for emergencies
New indicator		C10.2. Risk communication New indicator
New indicator		C10.3. Community engagement New indicator
New name for capacity with new indicator	C11. Points of entry (PoEs)	C11. Points of entry (PoEs) and border health
Small change, adding field for ICAO, IATA and ports and ground crossings codes for geo-information systems to use it and produce maps	Section 1. Information by type of points of entry	Section 1. Information by type of PoEs
No change	Section 2. Overall national profile of the implementation of core capacities at points of entry	Section 2. Implementation of core capacities at PoEs and travel-related measures
No change	C11.1 Core capacity requirements at all times for designated airports, ports and ground crossings	C11.1. Core capacity requirements at all times for PoEs
No change	C11.2 Effective public health response at PoEs	C11.2. Public health response at PoEs
New indicator		C11.3. Risk-based approach to international travel-related measures New indicator

Change of place	C12. Chemical events	C12. Zoonotic diseases
Change of place	C12.1 Resources for detection and alert	C12.1. One Health collaborative efforts across sectors on activities to address zoonoses
Change of place	C13. Radiation emergencies	C13. Food safety
Change of place	C13.1. Capacity and resources	C13.1 Multisectoral collaboration mechanism for food safety events
Change of place		C14. Chemical events
Change of place		C14.1. Resources for detection and alert
Change of place		C15. Radiation emergencies
Change of place		C15.1. Capacity and resources
Total capacities and indicators	13 capacities 24 indicators	15 capacities 35 indicators

EXAMPLES OF SELECTING LEVELS FOR CAPACITIES AND ITS INDICATORS

	Country implementation status	The level to be selected
Example 1	Level 1 – yes to some elements but not all	No selection (no capacity and score will be "zero") Irrespective of the status of elements in levels 2, 3, 4 and 5 => Please add rationale for this choice in the Comments Box
Example 2	Level 1 – yes to all elements Level 2 – yes to some elements but not all Level 3 – yes to all elements Level 4 – yes to all elements Level 5 – yes to all elements	Level 1 Irrespective of the status of elements in levels 3, 4 and 5
Example 3	Level 1 – yes to all elements Level 2 – no information Level 3 – yes to all elements Level 4 – yes to all elements Level 5 – yes to all elements	Level 1

EXAMPLE OF THE USE OF COMMENT BOXES FOR INDICATORS AND CAPACITIES

		_	xample of country com	ments re	elated to the indicator C1	U	
_			Indicat	tor Comi	ment box		
	k communication strategion munications are done on			veloped;	however, they are not en	dorsed y	et and emergency risk
□	tus of implementation: planned ongoing		achieved challenges/gaps		strength/best practice other		
Are	a Involved: financing guidelines & SOPs coordination & collaboration mechanisms		policy infrastructure & logistics workforce		leadership & governance assessments health information systems		risk communication legislation others
	Ex	xamp			to the capacity C5. Surv	eillance	
			Capac	ity Comr	Helit box		
Sui	rrently there is a need to fin rveillance teams have been ere are SOPs for certain dis	estal	SOP for surveillance tea	ams. se of pub	lic health events/risk.	tor agen	cies (One Health Approach).
Sui	rveillance teams have been	estal	SOP for surveillance tea	ams. se of pub	lic health events/risk.	tor agen	cies (One Health Approach).

GLOSSARY: WORKING DEFINITIONS

Terms and NB: The definitions provided below for words and phrases found in the text relate to their use in the context of this document only and may differ from those used in other documents.

affected

Persons, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods, postal parcels or human remains that are infected or contaminated, or carry sources of infection or contamination, so as to constitute a public health risk.

After Action Review (AAR) Qualitative review of actions taken to respond to an emergency as a means of identifying best practices, gaps and lessons learned, by bringing together relevant stakeholders involved in the preparedness for and the response to the public health event under review (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-WHE-CPI-2019.4).

attribute

One of a set of specific elements or characteristics that reflect the level of performance or achievement of a specific indicator.

Authorized Port to issue Ship Sanitation Certificates (SSCs) According to the IHR, States Parties authorize certain ports to inspect ships and issue the certificates (or their extensions) and to provide related services and control measures, as referred to in Article 20.3 and Annex 1 of the IHR (2005). Any port authorized to issue the Ship sanitation control certificate (SSCC) must have the capability to inspect ships, issue certificates and implement (or supervise the implementation of) necessary health control measures. States Parties can also authorize ports to issue the Ship Sanitation Control Exemption Certificate (SSCEC) or to grant extensions of up to one month to conveyance operators if they are unable to carry out the necessary measures at the port in question. The States Parties must also send to the WHO the list of their ports authorized to:

- issue SSCCs and provide the related services referred to in IHR Annex 3 (Requirements for the SSC) and Annex 1B (Core capacity requirements for designated ports);
- issue SSCECs only and extend a valid SSCEC or SSCC for one month until the ship arrives in a port at which the certificate may be issued.

Each State Party must inform WHO of any changes that occur in the status of the listed ports. WHO publishes and updates a list of these authorized ports, with related information. This list is available on the WHO IHR website (https://www.who.int/activities/minimizing-health-risks-at-airports-ports-and-ground-crossings) and further information on the Handbook for Inspection of Ships and Issuance of Ship Sanitation Certificates — Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/handbook-for-the-inspection-of-ships-and-issuance-of-ship-sanitation-certificates.

biological hazards

Infectious disease events, including zoonotic and food safety events.

biosafety

Maintenance of safe conditions in storing, handling and disposing biological substances to prevent inadvertent exposure of personnel and accidental release to the community or environment.

biosecurity

Institutional and personal security measures designed to prevent the loss, theft, misuse, diversion or intentional release of pathogens and toxins. WHO laboratory biosafety manual. Fourth edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240011311, accessed 2 April 2018).

budget

Itemized summary of expected income and expenditure of a country, company, etc., over a specified period, usually a financial year.

case definition

Set of diagnostic criteria for use during surveillance and outbreak investigations that must be fulfilled for an individual to be regarded as a case of a particular disease for the purposes of surveillance and outbreak investigations. Case definitions can be based on clinical criteria, laboratory criteria or a combination of the two along with the elements of time, place and person. The case definitions relating to the four diseases in connection with which all cases must be notified by States Parties to the WHO, regardless of circumstances, are published on the WHO website under "Annex 2 of the International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005)" (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/annex-2-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005), accessed 2 April 2018).

communicable disease or infectious disease

Illness due to a specific infectious agent or its toxic products that arises through transmission of that agent or its products from an infected person, animal or reservoir to a susceptible host, either directly or indirectly through an intermediate plant or animal host, vector or the inanimate environment (Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001).

competent authority

Authority responsible for the implementation and application of health measures under the IHR. See WHA58.3 Revision of the International Health Regulations. Article 22 Role of competent authorities. (https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA58/WHA58_3-en. pdf, pages 24, 25; accessed 2 April 2018).

contamination

Presence of an infectious or toxic agent or matter on a human or animal body surface, in or on a product prepared for consumption or on other inanimate objects, including conveyances, that may constitute a public health risk.

decontamination

Procedure whereby health measures are taken to eliminate an infectious or toxic agent or matter present on a human or animal body surface, in or on a product prepared for consumption or on other inanimate objects, including conveyances, that may constitute a public health risk.

designated point of entry

According to Articles 20 and 21 of IHR, a designated point of entry is an airport, port or ground crossing designated by a State Party to develop the capacities in Annex 1 of IHR.

disease

Illness or medical condition, irrespective of origin or source, that presents or could present significant harm to humans.

documented procedures

Agreed and approved strategies for operation, standard operating procedures, roles and responsibilities, agreements, terms of reference, chains of command, reporting mechanisms, etc.

early warning system

In disease surveillance is a specific procedure to detect as early as possible any abnormal occurrence or any departure from usual or normally observed frequency of phenomena (e.g., one case of Ebola fever). An early warning system is only useful if it is linked to mechanisms for early response (adapted from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001).

Emergency Operation Centre (EOC)

- The national health EOCs are networked with health EOCs at subnational and local levels, and are interoperable with EOCs in other sectors, including with the National disaster management office.
 - EOC plans and SOPs describe key structural and operational elements; forms and templates for EOC data management, reporting and briefing; role descriptions and job aids for EOC functional positions (including incident management or command, operations, planning, logistics and finance) including information systems to connect public health decision-makers to appropriate data sources;
 - communications equipment; and
 - staff that are trained and capable of coordinating an emergency response.
- National health EOC plans are in place for functions including public health science (epidemiology, medical and other subject matter expertise), public communications and partner liaison.
- There are additional trained staff who can support and replace regular EOC staff on a rotational basis.

evaluation

Process that seeks to determine, as systematically and objectively as possible, the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency and sustainability of a programme or strategy keeping in mind its objectives and accomplishments. This could include evaluation of structures, processes and outcomes (adapted from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001).

event

Manifestation of disease or an occurrence that creates a potential for disease as a result of events including, but not limited to those that are of infectious, zoonotic, food safety, chemical, radiological or nuclear in origin or source.

event-based surveillance Organized and rapid capture of information about events that are a potential risk to public health including events related to the occurrence of disease in humans and events related to potential risk-exposures in humans. This information can be rumours or other ad hoc reports transmitted through formal channels (e.g., established routine reporting systems) or informal channels (e.g., media, health workers and nongovernmental organizations reports). It is a component of early warning surveillance.

execution of budget

A national authority that has coordinated the allocation and execution of financing for activities and interventions to implement IHR capacities.

external financing

Financing from non-domestic sources towards the implementation of IHR capacities; whose amounts make up a majority or complements national financing for emergency preparedness, detection and response.

extrabudgetary

Accounts held by government bodies, but not included in the government budget.

financing

Funds and resources identified, allocated, distributed and executed on activities and interventions. It does not consider costing or identifying how many resources or funds are necessary for the implementation of activities or interventions.

funding

Money which a government or organization provides for a particular purpose.

gender equality

Refers to equal chances or opportunities for groups of women and men to access and control social, economic and political resources, including protection under the law (such as health services, education and voting rights). It is also known as equality of opportunity – or formal equality. Gender equality is often used interchangeably with gender equity, but the two refer to different, complementary strategies that are needed to reduce gender-based health inequities. For more information refer to the following WHO website, WHO and Gender: https://www.who.int/health-topics/gender.

gender gaps

For the purpose of this document refers to sex-based and gender-based differentials or gender inequalities. Thus gender gaps here refer to differences between men and women that can arise because of biological, socioeconomic or sociocultural reasons.

gender systematic assessment

Refers to evidence-based identification of a gender gap to understand the causes of that gender gap (sometimes referred to as gender analysis): without knowing the causes of a gender inequality it is not possible to develop an action plan to address it. Assessments can be done using secondary analysis of available data and research where possible, as well as with novel research.

For further guidance see the following document; WHO (2011) Gender mainstreaming for health managers: a practical approach. participant's notes. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241501057.

gender action plan

Refers to a planning document that includes:

- Activity(ies) that will be undertaken to address identified and assessed gender gap(s)
- · Indicators to assess progress in closing each gender gap
- · Data and measures required to track shifts in each indicator
- Training and (human and institutional) capacity requirements and how these will be met
- An estimated line-item budget
- A timeline

gender high priority gaps Means sex and gender gaps that are assessed to (i) inhibit implementation effectiveness, (ii) potentially affect a large proportion of the population of the disadvantaged sex (women and girls, or men and boys) and (iii) act as a constraint to effective and full preparedness and response that the whole population can access. Based on the gender analysis conducted, each country will determine which elements of gender inequalities are high priority, with due consideration given to the differences across countries in sociocultural contexts and gender norms.

ground crossing

Point of land entry in a State Party, including one utilized by road vehicles and trains.

health care facilities – water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) For global standards on WASH in health care facilities refer to: Adams J, Bartram J, Chartier Y. Essential environmental health standards in health care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43767, accessed 2 April 2018). WASH in health care facilities should include national WASH policy and standards, operational strategy, and facility guidelines, education and training programmes, and surveillance, monitoring and audit, and maintenance of essential WASH services (see WHO website: https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/, accessed 2 April 2018).

health care worker

Any employee in a health care facility who has close contact with patients, patient care areas or patient care items; also referred to as health care personnel or a variety of professionals (such as medical practitioners, nurses, physical and occupational therapists, social workers, pharmacists, spiritual counsellors) who are involved in providing coordinated and comprehensive care (See: IPC of epidemic- and pandemic-prone acute respiratory diseases in health care, WHO Guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/infection-prevention-and-control-of-epidemic-and-pandemic-prone-acute-respiratory-infections-in-health-care, accessed 2 April 2018)).

incidence

The "incidence" of a condition is the number of new cases in a period of time in a specified population (World Health Organization. (2004). The global epidemiology of infectious diseases / edited by Christopher J. L. Murray, Alan D. Lopez, Colin D. Mathers. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43048) incident command system. See Incident management system.

incident command system

See incident management system.

incident management system

Emergency management structure and set of protocols that provides an approach to guiding government agencies, the private sector, nongovernmental organizations and other actors to work in a coordinated manner primarily to respond to and mitigate the effects of all types of emergencies. The incident management system may also be utilized to support other aspects of emergency management, including preparedness and recovery (also called incident command system).

indicator

A variable that can be measured repeatedly (directly or indirectly) over time to reveal change in a system. It can be qualitative or quantitative, allowing the objective measurement of the progress of a programme or event. The quantitative measurements need to be interpreted in the broader context, taking other sources of information (e.g., supervisory reports and special studies) into consideration and supplemented with qualitative information.

indicator-based surveillance

Routine reporting of cases of disease, including through notifiable diseases surveillance systems, sentinel surveillance, laboratory based surveillance, etc. This routine reporting originates typically from a health care facility where reports are submitted at weekly or monthly intervals.

infection

Entry and development or multiplication of an infectious agent in the body of humans and animals that may constitute a public health risk.

infection control

Measures practiced by health care workers in health care settings to limit the introduction, transmission and acquisition of infectious agents in health care settings (e.g., proper hand hygiene, scrupulous work practices and the use of personal protective equipment, such as masks or particulate respirators, gloves, gowns and eye protection). Infection control measures are based on how an infectious agent is transmitted and include standard, contact, droplet and airborne precautions.

infectious disease

See communicable disease.

infection prevention and control (IPC) national programme Ensemble of policies, goals, strategies, legal, technical framework and monitoring of nosocomial infections (core components for infection prevention and control programmes). Report of the Second Meeting. Informal network on infection prevention and control in health care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/69982/WHO_HSE_EPR_2009.1_eng.pdf, accessed 2 April 2018).

infodemic

An Infodemic is an overabundance of information, both online and offline. It includes deliberate attempts to disseminate wrong information to undermine the public health response and advance alternative agendas of groups or individuals. (https://www.who.int/news/item/23-09-2020-managing-the-covid-19-infodemic-promoting-healthy-behaviours-and-mitigating-the-harm-from-misinformation-and-disinformation).

intra-action review (IAR)

Country-led facilitated discussion that allows national and subnational stakeholders of the COVID-19 response to reflect on actions being undertaken to prepare for and respond to the COVID-19 outbreak at the country level in order to identify current best practices, gaps and lessons learned, and propose corrective actions to improve and strengthen the continued response to COVID-19. Additionally, IAR findings and recommendations may contribute to improving the management of concurrent emergencies and to long-term health security. (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Country_IAR-2020.1).

intermediate level

Administrative level next to the national level and below, but above the local community level/primary public health response level, such as state, district, province, region (from International Health Regulations (2005). Second edition. Annex 1. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580410, accessed 2 April 2018).

legislation

Range of legal, administrative or other governmental instruments which may be available for States Parties for the implementation of IHR. This includes legally binding instruments, such as state constitutions, laws, acts, decrees, orders, regulations and ordinances; legally non-binding instruments, such as guidelines, standards, operating rules, administrative procedures or rules; and other types of instruments, such as protocols, resolutions, and multisectoral or inter-ministerial agreements. This encompasses legislation in all relevant sectors, i.e., health, agriculture, transportation, environment, ports and airports, and at all applicable governmental levels, such as national, intermediate, community and primary.

legal instrument

Measures enacted and implemented by national or subnational levels of government that are legally binding and enforceable. The types of legal instruments vary depending on the country's legal system. Legal instruments include, but are not limited to, constitutions, legislation, arête, decrees, regulations, administrative requirements and applicable international agreements. The type and number of legal documents which make up a legal system vary from country to country. As a result, the rules, rights and obligations of the government, its citizens and other entities also vary across countries. For further details see this WHO guidance document; (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/international-health-regulations-(2005)-toolkit-for-implementation-in-national-legislation).

local level

The local community level/primary public health response level (from International Health Regulations (2005). Second edition. Annex 1. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580410, accessed 2 April 2018).

Member States (WHO)

196 current Member States of the WHO, in accordance with Chapter III of the WHO Constitution and currently identified on the WHO website "IHR Committees" (https://www.who.int/health-topics/international-health-regulations) and any States which may hereafter become a Member State of the WHO in accordance with the WHO constitution.

monitoring

Process of regular planning for and oversight of the implementation of activities, which seeks to ensure that inputs, work schedules, targeted outputs and other required actions are progressing as planned. The intermittent performance and analysis of routine measurements, aimed at detecting changes in the environment and health status of populations (adapted from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001). Monitoring in the context of surveillance and response refers to the routine and continuous tracking of the implementation of planned activities and of the overall performance of surveillance and response systems. It allows for tracking of progress in implementation of planned activities, ensuring that planned targets are achieved in a timely manner, identifying problems in the system that require corrective measures, providing a basis for readjustment of resource allocation based on ongoing needs and priorities, and ensuring responsibility and accountability for defined activities.

national legislation

See Legislation.

National IHR
Focal Point (NFP)

National centre, designated by each State Party, which shall be accessible at all times for communications with WHO IHR contact points in accordance with IHR.

notifiable disease

Disease that, by statutory/legal requirements, must be reported to the public health or other authority in the pertinent jurisdiction when the diagnosis is made (adapted from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press;2001).

notification

Official communication of a disease/health event to the WHO by the health administration of the Member State affected by the disease/health event or national notification of an event to the national surveillance system, occurring at the country level, and not subject to notification to WHO.

One Health approach

In the context of the WHO IHR monitoring and evaluation framework means including, from all relevant sectors, national information, expertise, perspectives and experience necessary to conduct the assessments, evaluations and reporting.

outbreak

An outbreak is defined as more cases of a disease than expected in a specific location over a specific time period. (Houlihan CF, Whitworth JA. Outbreak science: recent progress in the detection and response to outbreaks of infectious diseases. Clin Med (Lond). 2019;19(2):140-144. doi:10.7861/clinmedicine.19-2-140).

personal protective equipment

Specialized clothing and equipment designed to create a barrier against health and safety hazards; examples include eye protection (such as goggles or face shields), gloves, surgical masks and particulate respirators.

point of entry (PoE)

Passage for international entry or exit of travellers, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods and postal parcels as well as agencies and areas providing services to them on entry or exit.

port

Seaport or a port on an inland body of water where ships on an international voyage arrive or depart.

priority diseases

Diseases of concern for a country with set criteria for the identification of these diseases.

public health

Science and art of preventing disease, prolonging life and promoting health through organized efforts of society. It is a combination of sciences, skills and beliefs that is directed to the maintenance and improvement of the health of all people through collective or social actions. The goals are to reduce the amount of disease, premature death and disease produced discomfort and disability in the population (summarized from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001).

public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) Extraordinary event which is determined to: (i) constitute a public health risk to other States through the international spread of disease, and (ii) potentially requires a coordinated international response public health risk (See definition of "public health risk" in IHR (2005) (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580410, accessed 2 April 2018)).

public health risk

Likelihood that an event may adversely affect the health of human populations, with an emphasis in the IHR for events that may spread internationally or may present a serious and direct danger.

relevant sector

Ministries or agencies that are key to the technical area. Depending on the country and the technical area, these may include human health, animal health, agriculture, environment, food safety, finance, transport, trade/ports of entry, chemical safety, radiation safety, disaster management, emergency services, regulatory bodies and the media. Sectors and agencies responsible for aspects of the technical area but not key, such as private stakeholders (e.g., industry, medical associations, farmers associations) and academia may be included as needed.

reservoir

Animal, plant or substance in which an infectious agent normally lives and whose presence may constitute a public health risk.

risk

Situation in which there is a probability that the use of, or exposure to an agent or contaminated product will cause adverse health consequences or death.

risk assessment

Qualitative or quantitative estimation of the likelihood of adverse effects that may result from exposure to specified health hazards or the absence of beneficial influences (adapted from Last JM, Spasoff RA, Harris SS, ed. A dictionary of epidemiology. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001).

risk communication

Real time exchange of information, advice and opinion between experts or officials and people who are faced with a health risk or threat. Its purpose is to enable everyone at risk to take informed decisions for protective and preventive action. Risk communication includes a mix of communication and engagement strategies built on the basis of a sustainable system with dedicated resources to support the deployment of interventions that include public communication, media communication, social media communication, social mobilization, health promotion, health education, community engagement and operational and formative researches, before, during and after health emergencies.

safe environment

Also called the "built environment", is a core component for IPC programmes which enables delivery of patient care activities in a clean and/or hygienic environment that facilitates practices related to the prevention and control of HCAI, as well as AMR, including all elements around WASH infrastructure and services and the availability of appropriate IPC materials and equipment (i.e., personal protective equipment, hand hygiene related products, etc.).

Ship Sanitation Certificates (SSC) When the IHR came into force on 15 June 2007, competent authorities could require from international ships the IHR SSC (IHR Annex 3), which covers public health risks on board, and the necessary inspections and control measures taken in accordance with the IHR (2005). Competent authorities are required to use the IHR Annex 3 – SSC to identify and record all evidence of contamination or infection and other risks to human health in different areas, facilities or systems, together with any required control measures that must be applied (as authorized by the IHR) to control public health risks. The SSCs may be required from all ships, whether seagoing or inland navigation ships, on international voyages that call at a port of a State Party. (Handbook for Inspection of Ships and Issuance of Ship Sanitation Certificates, available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ handbook-for-the-inspection-of-ships-and-issuance-of-ship-sanitation-certificates).

Simulation Exercise (SimEx)

Operational tool for continuous learning and system improvements as it tests and enhances emergency preparedness and response functions. SimEx include discussionbased table top exercises as well as operations-based exercises such as drills, functional exercises and field/full scale exercises (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-WHE-CPI-2017.10).

States Parties

These are the 194 WHO Member States, and the Holy See and Liechtenstein, currently identified by IHR (see website https://www.who.int/health-topics/international-healthregulations#tab=tab_1 accessed19 October 2021) and any States which may hereafter accede to the IHR in accordance with the terms of the Regulations and the WHO Constitution.

surveillance

Systematic ongoing collection, collation and analysis of data for public health purposes and the timely dissemination of public health information for assessment and public health response, as necessary. Key components of surveillance include indicator-based surveillance and event-based surveillance.

trained staff

Individuals who have gained necessary educational credentials and/or have received appropriate instruction on how to deal with a specific task or situation.

urgent event

Manifestation of a disease or an occurrence that creates a potential for disease which may have a serious public health impact and/or is of an unusual or unexpected nature, with a high potential for spread. The term 'urgent' has been used in combination with other terms, e.g., infectious event or chemical event, in order to simultaneously convey both the nature of the event and the characteristics that make it 'urgent' (i.e., serious public health impact and/or unusual or unexpected nature with high potential for spread).

vector

Insect or other animal which normally transports an infectious agent that constitutes a public health risk.

verification

Provision of information by a State Party to WHO confirming the status of an event within the territory or territories of that State Party.

WHO IHR Contact Point The IHR contact points are located at regional offices in all six WHO regions and are accessible at all times for communication with the National IHR Focal Point.

zoonosis

Infection or disease that is transmissible between animals and humans.









CONTACT DETAILS

Country Capacity Assessment and Planning Team (CAP)
Country Capacity for IHR Unit (CCI)
Department of Health Security Preparedness (HSP)
Division of Emergency Preparedness (WPE)
WHO Health Emergency Programme (WHE)
World Health Organization
20 Avenue Appia
CH-1211 Geneva
Switzerland

E-MAIL ihrmonitoring@who.int



