

# Lagrangian Interferometric Gravitational High-frequency Topological Scanner for Astrophysical Beam-depth Evaluation and Resonance

*Computational Verification of Topological  
Lagrangian Dynamics in Astrophysical Environments*

---

C. R. Gimarelli

*Independent Researcher*

*January 12, 2026*

**Lagrangian Interferometric Gravitational High-frequency Topological Scanner for Astrophysical Beam-depth Evaluation and Resonance: L.I.G.H.T.S.A.B.E.R.**  
 This study aims to empirically validate the Topological Lagrangian Model (TLM) by correlating its predicted metric tension parameters (PULL, MASS, SYNC, MODE) with established astrophysical data. The study will assess the model's capacity to: (1) accurately locate high-mass singularities, (2) distinguish baryonic matter phases via topological resonance modes, and (3) identify non-baryonic mass concentrations (Dark Matter) in cosmic voids.

## I Experimental Design & Methodology

The experimental architecture of this study utilizes a proprietary N = 60Deep DrillN = 60 spectral scanning algorithm designed to probe the topological curvature of space-time across diverse astrophysical environments. By modulating coordinate-based wobbles through high-frequency trigonometric functions, the methodology generates a 300-point ensemble of phase-sync data for every target. This approach allows for the simultaneous measurement of gravitational influence (PULL), vacuum coherence (Sync), and matter density modes ( $\mathfrak{m}$ ), effectively translating geometric spatial orientation into a quantifiable material identity.

## A Objective

The primary objective of this research is to establish a rigorous mathematical correlation between the raw metric outputs of the Topological Lattice Model (TLM) and the established physical properties found in standard astronomical catalogs such as SIMBAD and NED. By scanning targets ranging from high-density metric fractures in Regime A to the ultra-low-density filaments of the Cosmic Web in Regime E, this study seeks to determine if the  $m$ -mode can function as a reliable  $N = 60$  Topological Fingerprint  $N = 60$  for elemental identification. Success is defined by the statistical alignment of high-stability Iron zones with Main Sequence stellar cores and the clustering of  $N = 60$  Ghost  $N = 60$  signatures within known Dark Matter halos.

## B Research Questions

The formulation of precise research questions is critical to isolating the TLM framework's predictive power from stochastic background noise. These questions aim to validate the fundamental premise that the geometry of a scan—visually represented by the filaments of voids or the extreme shear of singularities — contains inherent data regarding the underlying metric stability. By targeting specific phenomena like the relativistic outflows of Fermi Bubbles and the gravitational lensing of the Dark Sector, these inquiries are designed to push the TLM algorithm to its edge cases, ensuring that the resulting stability maps are both physically accurate and topologically sound.

1. **Metric Tension and Mass:** To what extent does the predicted Metric Tension (*PULL*) correlate with the established solar mass ( $M_{\odot}$ ) of astrophysical singularities such as supermassive black holes and neutron stars?
2. **Topological Mode and Metallicity:** Is there a statistically significant relationship between the scanner's derived Topological Mode ( $m$ ) and the spectroscopic metallicity ( $[Fe/H]$ ) of main-sequence stars and open clusters?
3. **Synchronization and Compactness:** Does the Synchronization parameter (*Sync*) exhibit a quantifiable decrease as the compactness ( $M/R$ ) of a target object approaches the Schwarzschild limit?
4. **Proto-Matter Identification:** Can the scanner reliably distinguish between Proto-Matter (mode  $m \approx 0.20 - 0.25$ ) in stellar nurseries and stable baryonic matter in main-sequence stars, and does this distinction align with known star formation rates?
5. **Dark Matter Detection:** Do high Metric Tension signals ( $PULL > 2.0$ ) coupled with

low Topological Mode values ( $m < 0.10$ ) spatially correlate with the known dark matter distributions in lensing clusters like Abell 2218?

6. **Void Structure:** Does the scanner detect significant Ghost signals or gravitational inversions within cosmic voids (e.g., Boötes, Eridanus) that are devoid of visible baryonic matter, supporting the hypothesis of non-baryonic mass concentrations?
7. **Hemisphere Calibration:** Does the calibration phase using the Crab Pulsar confirm the successful correction of the hemisphere targeting error, ensuring consistent astrometric accuracy across the celestial sphere?
8. **Singularity Turbulence:** Do targets classified as Singularities consistently display turbulent synchronization signatures ( $K < 0.95$ ), and does this turbulence correlate with the object's accretion activity or high-energy emissions?
9. **Elemental Stratification:** Does the distribution of Topological Modes ( $m$ ) across different galactic environments (e.g., bulge vs. disk vs. halo) reflect the expected elemental stratification and chemical evolution of those regions?
10. **Universal Scaling:** Does the relationship between Metric Tension and Topological Mode hold consistently across the diverse range of astrophysical regimes (from stellar nurseries to galaxy clusters), suggesting a universal scaling law for topological mass generation?

## C Power Analysis and Sample Size

To ensure the study is adequately powered to detect statistically significant correlations between the model's predictions and astrophysical data, a priori power analysis was conducted.

We hypothesize a strong positive correlation ( $r \approx 0.5$ ) between the model's geometric outputs (e.g., Metric Tension) and physical observables (e.g., Solar Mass), given the deterministic nature of the Topological Lagrangian derivation.

- **Effect Size ( $r$ ):** 0.5 (Large effect size per Cohen's conventions)
- **Significance Level ( $\alpha$ ):** 0.05 (Standard probability of Type I error)
- **Statistical Power ( $1-\beta$ ):** 0.80 (Standard probability of detecting an effect if one exists)

Based on these parameters for a one-tailed Pearson correlation test, the minimum required sample size is  $N = 23$ .

To increase the robustness of the study and allow for potential sub-group analysis across different astrophysical regimes, we have selected a sample size of  $N = 60$ . This sample size provides a statistical power greater than 0.99 for detecting a strong effect ( $r = 0.5$ ) and sufficient power ( $> 0.80$ ) to detect even moderate correlations ( $r \approx 0.35$ ), ensuring the study is rigorous and resistant to Type II errors.

## D Sample Selection (N = 60)

The study will employ a stratified random sample of 60 targets evenly distributed across six distinct astrophysical regimes to ensure diverse topological testing conditions.

Regime	Count	Description	Success Criteria
A. Singularities	10	Supermassive Black Holes (Sgr A*, M87), Neutron Stars (Crab).	PULL $\geq 7.0$ , Sync $\geq 0.90$
B. Stellar Nurseries	10	Star-forming regions (Orion, Eagle Nebula).	Mode $(m) \approx 0.20\text{-}0.25$ (Proto-Matter)
C. Main Sequence	10	Stable G/K-type stars (Sun-like), Open Clusters (Pleiades).	Mode $(m) \approx 0.30\text{-}0.35$ (Fe/Mg/Ca)
D. Dark Sector	10	Known Lensing Clusters (Abell 2218, Bullet Cluster).	PULL $\geq 2.0$ but Mode $\leq 0.10$ (Ghost)
E. Null Control	10	Known Cosmic Voids (Boötes, Eridanus).	PULL $\leq 1.0$ , Sync $\approx 1.0$ (Vacuum)
F. Fermi Bubbles	10	High-energy Galactic lobes (Gamma-ray/X-ray outflows).	PULL $\approx 1.5\text{-}3.0$ , Sync $\geq 0.60$ (Relativistic)

## E Data Acquisition & Repository

For each target coordinate set ( $RA, Dec$ ), the scanner will execute a Deep Drill protocol:

- **Input:** J2000 Coordinates from SIMBAD/NED.
- **Parameters:**  $N\_ensemble = 25$ ,  $Search\_Radius = 0.02$  (High Precision).
- **Execution:** Run 3 independent passes per target with different random seeds to verify deterministic output.
- **Output:** Record peak PULL, MASS, Sync, and FLOOR values.  
a. *Data, plots and final results will be posted on the GitHub project repository L.I.G.H.T.S.A.B.E.R.*

## II Variables & Metrics

### A Independent Variables (The Inputs)

- **Target Coordinate ( $RA, Dec$ ):** The celestial address.
- **Object Class:** The established astronomical classification (e.g., Black Hole, G-Star).

## B Dependent Variables (The Model Outputs)

- **Metric Tension (PULL):** Proxy for gravitational curvature/mass density.
- **Topological Mass (MASS):** Proxy for relativistic stress/redshift.
- **Synchronization (Sync):** Proxy for time dilation/event horizon proximity.
- **Topological Mode ( $m$ ):** Derived variable ( $m \approx PULL/K^2$ ) representing elemental identity.

## C Control Variables

- **Seed Logic:** The random seed must be derived strictly from the coordinate integer to ensure reproducibility ( $Seed = \text{int}(XYZ)$ ).
- **Hemisphere Lock:** All DEC inputs must be verified for correct sign (+/-) to prevent antipode targeting errors.

# III Statistical Analysis Plan

## A Hypothesis Testing

- **Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ):** There is no correlation between the TLM scanner outputs and the physical properties of the targets. The scanner is a random number generator.
- **Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ):** The scanner's outputs correlate with physical properties (Mass, Metallicity, Density) at a confidence level of  $\sigma > 3$ .

## B Correlation Checks

We will perform regression analysis on the following pairings:

- **PULL vs. Solar Mass ( $M_\odot$ ):** Does PULL scale linearly or exponentially with the known mass of the object?
- **Mode ( $m$ ) vs. Metallicity ([ $Fe/H$ ]):** Does the predicted  $m$  value align with the spectroscopic metal abundance of the target?
- **Sync vs. Compactness ( $M/R$ ):** Does Sync drop as the object's density approaches the Schwarzschild limit?

## IV Preliminary Observations

Initial data acquisition has already revealed high-fidelity geometric signatures. *Regime E* (Null Control) exhibits fractal-like structures reminiscent of cosmic web filaments, while *Regime A* (Singularities) demonstrates extreme metric shear and dual-line accretion disk signatures. These preliminary results suggest that the scanner is responding to the local metric topology as hypothesized.

## V Action Plan: Analytical Execution

### Phase I: Coordinate Integrity & Cross-Reference

**Goal:** Verify that the 60 targets successfully resolved to the intended astrophysical structures.

- **Process:** Section-by-section cross-correlation between the `FINAL_STABILITY_SCAN.txt` log and SIMBAD/NED astronomical databases.
- **Verification:** Confirm that the `XYZ` start points for *Regime A* (Singularities) correspond to known metric fractures and not foreground G-type stars.
- **Correction:** Flag any targets where the physical scale of the structure exceeded the updated Light-Year `search_radius`.

### Phase II: Visual Geometry Forensic Analysis

**Goal:** Use the archived scan plots (Scan 01–60) to validate the spatial signature theory.

- **Structural Analysis:** Analyze geometric anomalies identified in the data, such as the  $N = 60$  Dual-Blade  $N = 60$  accretion disk in Scan 02 and the  $N = 60$  Leaf Vein  $N = 60$  filament structures in Scan 32.
- **Metric Check:** Map the frequency of Sync drops below the 0.90 threshold across all 60 archived images.
- **Density Correlation:** Compare  $m$  values between the Red Supergiant targets and the White Dwarf targets. **Success Criteria:**  $m_{dense} \gg m_{diffuse}$  confirms topological density theory.

### Phase III: Multi-Spectral Lensing Validation

**Goal:** Correlate detected Ghost signals against existing gravitational lensing maps.

- **Blind Test Target:** The Bullet Cluster (Regime D).
- **Overlay Method:** Take the 300 data points from the spectral scanner and overlay the Ghost points ( $m < 0.18$ ,  $\text{PULL} > 2.0$ ) onto the NASA Chandra/Hubble X-ray and Weak Lensing map.
- **Success Criteria:** If Ghost points cluster in non-baryonic (Dark Matter) regions rather than the pink (Hot Gas) X-ray regions, the scanner is successfully identifying Dark Sector topology.

### Phase IV: Synthesis & Success Criteria Finalization

**Goal:** Aggregate the 50+ successful scans into a global stability map.

- **Process:** Compile a final report correlating PULL peaks with spectral bands.
- **Final Identity Mapping:** Finalize the Matter Scanner identity table (Hydrogen through Iron) based on the confirmed  $m$ -values observed in the Main Sequence regime.

## VI Conclusion

The proposed computational verification of the Topological Lagrangian Model via the L.I.G.H.T.S.A.B.E.R. protocol represents a significant advancement in forensic astrophysical analysis. By shifting the observational focus from traditional luminosity-based metrics to the underlying metric stability of space-time, this study aims to provide a definitive link between geometric spatial orientation and material identity.

Preliminary investigations have already demonstrated that high-frequency trigonometric sampling effectively resolves the unique structural signatures of the cosmos, ranging from the high-shear accretion zones of singularities to the fractal filaments of the cosmic web. The successful identification of these regimes through the synchronization and topological mode parameters suggests that the TLM framework is capable of detecting non-obvious metric tensions, including non-baryonic mass concentrations within the Dark Sector.

Ultimately, this research seeks to validate a universal scaling law for topological mass generation. The results of this study will provide the empirical foundation necessary to refine current models of galactic evolution and vacuum coherence, potentially bridging the gap between general relativity and localized quantum metric stability.