



Southwest Center
for Arithmetic Geometry

ARIZONA WINTER SCHOOL 2019

Department of Mathematics
The University of Arizona®

Deadline to apply for funding:
November 12, 2018

<http://swc.math.arizona.edu>

TOPOLOGY AND ARITHMETIC

Michael Hopkins

Lubin–Tate spaces: old and new questions

Jacob Lurie

Tamagawa numbers in the function field case

Matthew Morrow

*Topological Hochschild homology
in arithmetic geometry*

Kirsten Wickelgren

A^1 -enumerative geometry

TUCSON, MARCH 2-6, 2019

Funded by the National Science Foundation
and organized in partnership
with the Clay Mathematics Institute



University of Arizona

Arizona Winter School 2019 Topology and Arithmetic

Notes By: Caleb McWhorter

March 2019

Contents

1	Michael Hopkins: Lubin-Tate spaces: old and new questions	4
1.1	Lecture 1	4
1.2	Lecture 2	6
1.3	Lecture 3	8
1.4	Lecture 4	9
2	Jacob Lurie: Tamagawa numbers in the function field case	10
2.1	Lecture 1	10
2.2	Lecture 2	12
2.3	Lecture 3	14
2.4	Lecture 4	16
2.5	Lecture 5	17
3	Matthew Morrow: Topological Hochschild homology in arithmetic geometry	18
3.1	Lecture 1	18
3.2	Lecture 2	20
3.3	Lecture 3	22
3.4	Lecture 4	23
3.5	Lecture 5	24
4	Kirsten Wickelgren: \mathbb{A}^1-enumerative geometry	25
4.1	Lecture 1	25
4.2	Lecture 2	27
4.3	Lecture 3	29
4.4	Lecture 4	31

1 Michael Hopkins: Lubin-Tate spaces: old and new questions

1.1 Lecture 1

Abelian Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} are $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, where ζ_n is the n th root of 1.

Lubin-Tate: Get Galois extensions using formal groups. Formal group law over R . $F(x, y) = x +_F y = x + y + \dots$

$$x +_F 0 = 0 +_F x = x \quad x +_F y = y +_F x \quad (x +_F y) +_F z = x +_F (y +_F z)$$

Lie variety over R Objects \mathbb{A}^n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$ maps $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
 $\mathbb{A}^n = A^n \rightarrow \prod \mathbb{A}^1$

Question: How many formal group laws are there? How to construct formal group laws?

Theorem 1.1 (Lazard). $R \mapsto$ formal group laws over R , $\text{ring}(L, R)$, $L = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$.

Isomorphism $F \xrightarrow{g} G$ $g(x) g(x +_F y) = g(x) +_F g(y)$

Universal isomorphism over $L[s_1, s_2, \dots]$

Algebraic Topology

Cohomology theories E with Chern classes in complex line bundles, $V/X \rightarrow c_i(X) \in E^{2n}(X)$

$$c_n(V\mathbb{C})_N = \sum_{i+j=n} c_i(Y) c_j(W)$$

Not true in general $c_1(L_1 \otimes L_2) = c_1(L_1)$

Theorem 1.2 (Quillen). For general, E , there exists a formal group law F $c_1(L_1 \otimes L_2) = F(c_1(L_1), c_1(L_2)) = c_1(L_1) +_F c_1(L_2)$

$$H^s(\mathfrak{m}_{FG}, \omega^*) \Rightarrow \pi_{2t-s} S^0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{2t-s+n} S^n \quad \omega = \text{Lie } F^*$$

Example 1.1. $G_n x + y G_m x + y - xy = 1 - (1 - x)(1 - y)$

Are these isomorphic?

$g(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ $g(x + y)$ maybe $= g(x)g(x) - g(x)g(y)$ over \mathbb{Q} -algebra So isomorphic over rationals.

Are they isomorphic over \mathbb{F}_p ? Are there even homomorphisms between them? Suppose $g : G_n \rightarrow G_m$ is one such $g(x + \dots + x) = 1 - (1 - g(x))^p$ $0 = g(0) = g(x)^4 = g^0(x^p)$ so that $g = 0$ so no homomorphisms from additive group to multiplicative group.

Height:

$R = k$ field of char $p > 0$ $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ Then there exists unique $g(x)$, $g'(0) \neq 0$, $y = p^a$ $f(x) = g(x^q)$ a is the height of f . Height of a formal group is by definition the height of mult by p height $G_n = \infty$ height $G_m = 1$

Theorem 1.3 (Dieudonne). k perfect algebraically closed, any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

Lubin-Tate deformation spaces Γ , k field char $p > 0$, B complete local \mathfrak{m} -maximal local

A deformation of Γ to B $B \xrightarrow{r} B/\mathfrak{m} \xleftarrow{i} k$

(G, i, f) , $G \xrightarrow{f} i^* \Gamma \text{ Deform}_\Gamma(B) \leftarrow$ groupoid

Theorem 1.4 (L-T). $n = \text{height of } \Gamma$ $\pi_0 \text{ Deform}_\Gamma(B) = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$

We want to understand this set \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} mod by automorphisms of Γ .

G_{univ} universal deformation $W[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]$ W witt vectors of k Universal deformation

$$E_0 = W[[u_1 - u_{n-1}]] \quad E_* = W[[U_1 - u_{n-1}]] [u, u^{-1}], |u| = -2$$

$\text{Aut } \Gamma = S_n$, acts on E_* $UE_0 = E_{-2}$ sections of Lie G interested in $H^*(S_n; E_0)$, not the symmetric group $H^*(S_n; E_{2n})$

Question: Can one write down explicitly the action of $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ on $W[[u_1 - u_{n-1}]]$.

Question: What is $\text{Pic}(\text{Lubin Tate}) = H^1(\text{Aut } \Gamma; E_0^*)$, conjectured answer enlists known $n = 2$, $p > 5$

Observation: $n = 2$, $H^*(S_n; W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(S_n; E_0)$ $p > 3$ Shimomura $p \leq 3$ Beaudiy, Bobkova, Behrens, Wenn, ... True for $n > 2$?

1.2 Lecture 2

Ex: G_m , $x + y - xy = 1 - (1 - x)(1 - y)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p $\text{Aut } G_m = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ $x \mapsto 1 - (1 - x)^\lambda$

Lubin-Tate ring \mathbb{Z}_p $\text{Aut } G_m$ acts trivially.

$E_0 = W[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]$ $E_* = W[[u \cdots u_{n+1}[u^{\pm 1}], |u| = -2]$

$\mathbb{Z}_p[u^{1/2}]$ $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(G_m) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ How does λ act on u ?

u^{-1} is an invariant differential on G_m u^{-1} is $dx + \cdots = (1 - x) dx$

$1 - (1 - x)^\lambda = \lambda x + \text{hot } u^{-1} \mapsto \lambda u^{-1}$.

$H^*(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times : \mathbb{Z}_p[u^{\pm 1}])$, $p > 2$ $\lambda^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ λ^{-1} generates \mathbb{Z}_p^\times $\text{map } (\lambda^{-1})^{p-1} \neq 1 \pmod{p^2}$

$H^1(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times : u^n \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p / (\lambda^n - 1)$ $n \neq 0$

Makes sense for $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^n$ Replace by $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$

$n = 2$ Γ is formal group over k of height 2 $H^*(\text{Aut } \Gamma; W[[u_1]]) = ?$

It turns out, $p > 3$ $\Lambda[x_1, x_3] = H^*(\text{Aut } \Gamma; W) \xrightarrow{x} H^*(\text{Aut } \Gamma; W[[u_1]])$

Dieudonne modules

k perfect field W ring of Witt vectors of k k acts on u , $u(x) = x^p$ W acts on u

Dieudonne-module: M free W -module of finite rank $F : u^* M \rightarrow M$ $F(am) = a^u F(m)$ for

$aa \in W$,

$V : M \rightarrow u^* M$ $V(a^u m) = aUM$, $FU = UF = p$

Formal groups over $k \leftrightarrow$ dieudonne modules

height $\leftrightarrow \dim_W M$ $\dim \leftrightarrow \dim_k M/VM$

Ex: M basis γ , $U\gamma$ $F\gamma = V\gamma$

This $M \leftrightarrow$ height 2 formal group over k

$n \neq$

$\{\gamma, V\gamma, \dots, V^{n-1}\gamma\}$ $F\gamma = V^{b-1}\gamma$

$\text{Aut } \Gamma$, ht 2 $\gamma \rightarrow a\gamma + bV\gamma$ $V\gamma \rightarrow a^{\phi^{-1}}V\gamma + b^{\phi^{-1}}V^2\gamma = a^{\phi^{-1}}V\gamma + pb^{\phi^{-1}}\gamma$

$F\gamma \rightarrow a^{\phi}V\gamma + pb^{\phi}\gamma$ $a, b \in W\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$

$F\gamma = V\gamma$ $p\gamma = VF\gamma = V^2\gamma$

$\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & pb^{\phi^{-1}} \\ b & a^{\phi^{-1}} \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in W\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \right\}$.

Tapis de Cartier

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad T \quad} & W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M/VM & \xrightarrow{\sim} & k \end{array}$$

lifts of Γ to W .

Dotted map W -linear specialization $\gamma \rightarrow 1$?

Bottom map $\gamma \mapsto 1$

$U_1(T) = T(V\gamma)$ $W[[u_1]]$

$\gamma \xrightarrow{y} a\gamma + bV\gamma$ $V\gamma \rightarrow a^{\phi^{-1}}V\gamma + pb^{\phi^{-1}}\gamma$ $y(u_1) = (a^{\phi^{-1}}u_1 + pb^{\phi^{-1}})/(bu_1 + a)$

Crystalline Approximation

$M \rightarrow E_{-2} = uW[[u_1 \cdots u_{n-1}]]$

$\gamma \rightarrow u$ $V\gamma \rightarrow uu_1$ $V^{n-1}\gamma \rightarrow uu_{n-1}$ $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ equivalent.

$G k \leftarrow W \rightarrow u \otimes W$ $G \cong O_n$

Γ

$f(\gamma) = \log_G(x) = x + \cdots + u \otimes w[[x]]$ $f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y)) = x +_F y$

Ex: $\log_{G_m}(x) = \sum x^n/n$

$T : M \rightarrow W$ $f(x) = \sum T(F^n \gamma) x^{p^n} / p^n$ is the log of a formal γ over W

Ex: $G = G_m$ $M = \langle \gamma \rangle$ $F\gamma = \gamma$

$\log = \sum x^{p^n} / p^n$

Ex: $\text{ht} = 2$ $T(\gamma) = 1$ $T(v\gamma) = 0$ $f(y) = \sum x^{p^{2n}} / p^n = l(x)$

$W[[w_1]]$ $T(\gamma) = 1$ $T(V\gamma) = W_1$

$f(x) = l(x) + w_1 / pl(x^p)$ $f^{-1}(f(y) + f(y))$ does not have coefficients in $W[[w_1]]$

It does have coefficient in the divided power completion $w \ll w_1 \gg$.

1.3 Lecture 3

1.4 Lecture 4

2 Jacob Lurie: Tamagawa numbers in the function field case

2.1 Lecture 1

Definition. q and q' are in the same genus if they are $\simeq \bmod N$ for all $N > 0$.

If q is a form over \mathbb{Z} and R a commutative ring.

$$\{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R) : q \circ A = q\} = O_q(R) \supseteq O_q(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq O_q(\mathbb{Z})$$

a compact Lie group of dimension $n(n-1)/2$.

$$\mathrm{Mass}(q) = \sum_{q' \text{ of genus } q} \frac{1}{|O_{q'}(\mathbb{Z})|},$$

where the sum is taken over equivalence classes of quadratic forms.

Definition (Unimodular). q is unimodular if nondegenerate mod p for all p

$$x^2 + y^2 \equiv (x+y)^2 \pmod{2}.$$

Mass Formula (Unimodular Case):

$8 \mid n$ $\mathrm{Mass}(q)$ = something else but

$$\mathrm{Mass}(q) = \sum_{q' \text{ unimodular}} \frac{1}{|O_{q'}(\mathbb{Z})|} = \frac{\zeta(n/2)\zeta(2)\zeta(4)\cdots\zeta(n-2)}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^2)\cdots\mathrm{Vol}(S^{n-1})}$$

Example 2.1. $n = 8$

$$RHS = \frac{1}{2^{14} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7}$$

Then Mass-formula tells you there is a unique unimodular form in 3 variables.

Example 2.2. $n = 32$ RHS is approximately 40,000,000. Looking at left side, this implies there exists a lot of inequivalent unimodular forms in 32 variables.

Let q, q' are in the same genus. $q = q' \circ A_N$ for some $A_N \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. WLOG $\{A_N\} = A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{projlim} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$ $q = q' \circ A \Rightarrow q, q'$ are equivalent over \mathbb{Z}_p for all p Then q, q' are equivalent over $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$.

Hasse-Minkowski: Then $q = q' \circ B$, where $B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ $q = q' \circ A = q \circ B^{-1} \circ A$ $B^{-1} \circ A \in O_q(\mathbb{Q})/O_q(A^{\mathrm{fin}})/O_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ Want to count size of this.

$$B^{-1} \circ A \in O_q(\mathbb{Q})/O_q(A)/O_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R})$$

A has a natural topology that makes it into a locally compact ring containing \mathbb{Q} as a discrete subring. This induces $O_q(A)$, which has the structure of a locally compact group with discrete subgroup $O_q(\mathbb{Q})$ and $O_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R})$, a compact open subgroup.

$$O_q(\mathbb{Q})/O_q(\mathbb{A}) \text{ acted on by } O_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R})$$

$$\# \text{ of orbits} = \frac{\mu(O_p(\mathbb{Q}) O_q(\mathbb{A}))}{\mu(O_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}))}$$

Not quite correct.

$\mathrm{SO}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ has a canonical Haar measure called Tamagawa measure

$$2^k \mathrm{Mass}(q) = \frac{\mu(\mathrm{SO}_q(\mathbb{Q})/\mathrm{SO}_q(A))}{\mu(\mathrm{SO}_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}))}$$

$SO_q(A) = SO_q(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_p^{\text{res}} SO_q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the space of translation invariant topological forms on $SO_q(\mathbb{R})$. $V_{\mathbb{R}} \supseteq V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the space of translation invariant topological forms on $SO_q(\mathbb{Q})$

$V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the space of translation invariant topological forms on $SO(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

$SO_q(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a p -adic analytic Lie group.

$0 \neq \omega \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \mapsto \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{R}}$

$0 \neq \omega \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \mapsto \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{Q}_p}$

Tamagawa Measure

$$\mu_{\text{Tam}} = \prod_p \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{Q}_p} \times \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{R}}$$

independent of ω

$$\text{Mass}(q) = 2^{-k} \frac{\mu_{\text{Tam}}(SO_q(\mathbb{Q}) / SO_q(\mathbb{R}))}{\mu_{\text{Tam}}(SO_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}))}$$

$$SO_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}) = SO_q(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_p SO_q(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \mu_{\text{Tam}}(SO_q(\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R})) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{R}}(SO_q(\mathbb{R})) \times \prod_p \mu_{\omega, \mathbb{Q}_p}(SO_q(\mathbb{Z}_p))$$

Mass Formula (Tamagawa-Weil Version) $\mu_{\text{Tam}}(SO_q(\mathbb{Q}) / SO_q(\mathbb{A})) = \mathbb{Z}$ SO_q has a two-sheeted

double cover Spin_q

Equivalent: $\mu_{\text{Tam}}(\text{Spin}_q(\mathbb{Q}) / \text{Spin}_q(A)) = 1$

Conjecture (Weil)

Let G be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} $\mu_{\text{Tam}}(G(\mathbb{Q}) / G(\mathbb{A})) = 1$, where $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is τ_G , the Tamagawa number of G .

Now a theorem, proved by Weil in many cases, Langlands when split group, \dots ,

2.2 Lecture 2

$X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where X smooth projective curve over \mathbb{F}_q , write K_X for the fraction field of X . A field which arrives this way is called a function field.

Function Fields closed points $x \in X$ $k(x)$ field at x \mathcal{O}_x complete local ring of X at $\mathcal{O}_x \cong k(x)[[z]]$ $K_a \sim k(x)((t))$ $\mathbb{A}_x = \prod_{x \in X}^{\text{res}} K_x$ semisimple group G_0 over K_x $G_0(K_x) \subseteq G_0(A_x)$ μ_{Tam} $\mu_{\text{Tam}}(G(K_x)/G_0(A_x)) = 1$ group scheme $G \rightarrow X$ (Ex: $G = X \times \text{GL}_n$, $G = X \times \text{SL}_n$) q quadratic form over \mathbb{Z} $\text{SO}_q(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ $G(X(x))$ $\sum_{\text{Prin } G\text{-bund } P \text{ on } X} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(P)|}$ Mass Formula $\text{Mass}(q) = \sum_{q' \text{ quad at } q} \frac{1}{|O_q(\mathbb{Z})|}$ $\sum_p \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(P)|} = q^D \prod_{x \in X} \frac{[??]}{[??]}$, where $d = \dim(G_0 Y K_x)$

$\text{Bun}_G(X)$ the moduli stack of G -bundles

Maps: $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(X)$ similar G -bundle on $X \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{Spec } R$

Goal: Compute $\sum \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(S)|} =: |\text{Bun}_G(X)(\mathbb{F}_q)|$

Digression Y algebraic variety over \mathbb{F}_q $|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ Idea: $\bar{Y} := Y \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q} \text{Spec}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ Think of $Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \subseteq \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{u} \bar{Y}$, where u is geometric frobenius

$$\bar{Y} \xrightarrow{u} \bar{Y}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{u} \mathbb{P}^n$$

$$[x_0 : \dots : x_n], [x_0^q : \dots : x_n^q]$$

$Y(\mathbb{F}_q)$ fixed points of u

Ideal (Weil) $|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ should be $\sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(u | H^i(\bar{Y}))$

This is now a theorem of Grothendieck-Lefschetz Formula

Assume Y smooth of dimension d

$H_i^i(\bar{Y}) \sim H^{2d-i}(\bar{Y})^\vee$ poicare duality Not u -equivariant

$$\sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(u^{-1} | H^i(\bar{Y})) = \frac{|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^d}$$

Idea apply this to $Y = \text{Bun}_G(X)$

Definition. $Y = \text{Bun}_G(x)$ satisfies the trace formula if

$$q^{\dim \text{Bun}_G(X)} = \sum (-1)^i \frac{\text{Tr}(u^{-1})}{|H^i(\text{Bun}_G(x))|} =: \text{Tr} |u^{-1}| H^*(\overline{\text{Bun}_G(X)})$$

Weil's conjecture follows from two assertions

1. $\text{Bun}_G X$ satisfies GL $\frac{\sum 1/|\text{Aut}(P)|}{q^D} = \text{Tr}(u^{-1} | H^*(\overline{\text{Bun}_G(X)})) =$

$$2 \prod_{x \in X} \left(\frac{|G(k(X))|}{|K(x)|^d} \right)^{-1}$$

First equality in 1. shown by theorem of Behrend in case G is a constant group, or everywhere semisimple.

Digression:

Let $x \in X$ be closed point. $\text{Bun}_G(\{x\}) = BG_x$.

$\text{Bun}_G(\{x\})(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is set of principle G -bundles on $\text{Spec}(k(X))$ has one object, namely symmetry group is $G(k(x))$

$$\frac{|\text{Bun}_G(\{x\})(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^{\dim \text{Bun}_G(\{x\})}} = \frac{|k(x)|^d}{|G(k(x))|}$$

$\text{Bun}_G(\{x\})$ satisfy GL trace formula

$$\frac{|k(x)|^d}{|G(k(x))|} = \text{Tr}(u^{-1} \mid H^*(\overline{\text{Bun}_G(\{x\})}))$$

$$\text{Tr}(u^{-1} \mid H^*(\text{Bun}_G(X))) = \prod_{x \in X} \text{Tr}(u^{-1} \mid H^*(\text{Bun}_G(\{x\}))).$$

$$\text{Bun}_G(X) = \prod_{x \in X}^{\text{cont}} \text{Bun}_G(\{x\})$$

$H^*(\overline{\text{Bun}_G(X)}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X}^{\text{cont}} H^*(\text{Bun}_G(\{x\}))$ Makes sense using theory of factorization homology

$$\prod_{x \in X} \frac{1}{1 - 1/|k(x)|^2} |\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|/q^{\dim} = (q^3 - q)/q^3 = 1 - 1/q^2$$

$$\text{Bun}_G(X) = \sqcup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Bun}_G^?(x)$$

2.3 Lecture 3

$$Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad |Y(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \sum_{y \in Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ iso classes}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(y)|}$$

Definition. Y satisfies the G-L trace formula if

$$\frac{|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^D} = \text{Tr}(u^{-1}: H^*(\bar{Y})) := \sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(u^{-1}: H^i(\bar{Y}))$$

For example, true if Y is a variety

Example: G linear algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q $Y = BG \text{ Spec } R \rightarrow Y = BG$ equivalent to principle G -bundle on $\text{Spec } R$

Example: $G = G_m$ $Y = BG_m$ $Y(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the category of 1-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_q
 $|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 1/(q-1)$

$$D = \dim B|G_m BG_m = * // G_m \dim BG_m = -1$$

$$\frac{|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^{\dim Y}} = \frac{q}{q-1}$$

RHS: $\text{Tr}(u^{-1}: H^*(\overline{BG_m})) \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^* BG_m, BC^*$, quotient of a contractible space by free action of \mathbb{C}^*

\mathbb{C}^* acts freely on $V \setminus \{0\}$ V has dimension n $V \setminus \{0\} = S^{2n-1}$

If $\dim V = \infty$ $V \setminus \{0\}$ is contractible $BC^* = (V \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^* =: \mathbb{C}P^\infty$

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; A) \cong \Lambda[t], \deg t = 2$$

$$H^*(\overline{BG_m}) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell[t], \text{ where } \deg t = 2$$

$$u(t) = q^t u(t^n) = q^n t^n \text{Tr}(u^{-1}: H^*(BG_m)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{-n} = q/(q-1)$$

Conclusion: G-L is okay for BG_m .

ℓ -adic homotopy:

\bar{Y} is an algebraic-geometric object over an algebraically closed field $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ $y \in \bar{Y}(k)$

$\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{Y}, y)$ profinite group. Assume \bar{Y} is connected.

Then finite etale covers of \bar{Y} are in correspondence with finite sets with continuous action $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{Y}, y)$.

$\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{Y}, y)_\ell$ the maximal pro- ℓ quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{Y}, y)$, $\ell \neq 0$ in k

Artin-Mazur (ℓ -adic version)

Assume: $\pi_1(\bar{Y}, y)_\ell = 0$ if and only if $H_{\text{et}}^1(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = 0$ Also: $H_{\text{et}}^n(\bar{Y}; \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ finite.

To \bar{Y} , they associate a topological space Z (ℓ -adic homotopy type at y) with

1) Z is simply connected to $\pi_n Z$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module for all n

2) $H_{\text{sing}}^*(Z; \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq H_{\text{et}}^*(\bar{Y}; \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$

For each $n > 0$, $\pi_n(\bar{Y}) := \pi_n(Z)$ a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -module

$\pi_n(\bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} := \pi_n(\bar{Y})[1/\ell]$ finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ

Have a canonical pairing $b: \pi_n(\bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times H^n(\bar{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ $f: S^n \rightarrow Z$ $b(f, \eta) = f^* \eta \in H^n(S^n; \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell$
 $I = H_{\text{red}}^*(\bar{Y}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n(\bar{Y})$ $b: \pi_*(\bar{Y}) \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ descends to a pairing $b: \pi_*(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \times I/I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$,
 $\eta = \eta' \eta''$ $f^*(\eta) = f^*(\eta') f^*(\eta'')$

Assertion: If $H^*(\bar{Y})$ is polynomial ring (or even generators) then \bar{b} is a perfect pairing.

$$\dots \subseteq I^3 \subseteq I^2 \subseteq I \subseteq H^2(\bar{Y})$$

Ex: $\bar{Y} = \overline{BG_m}$, this applies

Suppose $H(\bar{Y})$ is a polynomial ring $\bar{Y} = Y \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q} \text{Spec}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$

$\mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1}: H^*(\bar{Y})) := \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1}|H^i(\bar{Y})) \pi_* \bar{Y}|_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \simeq (I/I^2)^\vee$ finite dimensional over \mathbb{Q}_ℓ u has complete eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on $\pi_*(\bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. u^{-1} has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ $\mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1}|H^*(\bar{Y})) = \mathrm{Tr} * u^{-1} | \mathrm{gr}(H^*(\bar{Y})) = \mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1} | \mathrm{Sym}^*(I/I^2))$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1} | \mathrm{Sym}^*(I/I^2)) = \sum_{e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 0} \lambda_1^{e_1} \lambda_2^{e_2} \cdots \lambda_n^{e_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n 1/(1 - \lambda_i)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1} | H^*(\bar{Y})) = (\det(1 - u | (\pi_* \bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}))^{-1}$$

Ex $\bar{Y} = B\mathbb{G}_m(\pi_* \bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ 1-dimensional vector space acted on by $u = 1/q = \det(1 - u) = 1 - 1/q$.

Ex: Let G be any connected linear algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q over \mathbb{F}_q , G-L trace formula for BG.

$$\frac{|BG(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^{\dim BG}} = \mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1} | H^*(\overline{BG}))$$

LHS is $q^{\dim G} / |G(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ RHS is $(\det(1 - u | \pi_*(\bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}))^{-1}$

Steinberg's Formula $|G(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{\dim G} \det(1 - u | \pi_*(\overline{BG})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$

Ex: $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ $H^*(\overline{BG}) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell[c_1, \dots, c_n]$ $\pi_*(\overline{BG})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ $u(c_n) = q^i c_i$ $u(e_i) = q^{-i} e_i$

Steinberg $|\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^{n^2} (1 - 1/q)(1 - 1/q^2) \cdots (1 - 1/q^n)$

In general (not assuming \bar{Y} is polynomial)

There is a spectral sequence $\mathrm{Sym}^*(\pi_* \bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee \rightarrow H^*(\bar{Y})$

Gives some conclusion $\mathrm{Tr}(u^{-1} | H^*(\bar{Y})) = \det(1 - u | \pi_*(\bar{Y}))^{-1} := \prod_i \det(1 - u | \pi_1(\bar{Y}))^{(-1)^i}$ assuming everything converges. [For example, if $\pi_*(\bar{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is finite dimensional.]

This will apply when $Y = \mathrm{Bun}_G(X)$.

2.4 Lecture 4

2.5 Lecture 5

3 Matthew Morrow: Topological Hochschild homology in arithmetic geometry

3.1 Lecture 1

Goal: Classical Hochschild/cyclic homology Topological versions relations to alg/arith geometry

Today: Classical Theory Fix commutative base ring k For any k -algebra A have hochschild complex

$$\mathrm{HH}(A/k) := A \leftarrow A \otimes_k A \leftarrow A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A$$

given by maps $a_0 \otimes a_1 = a_0 a_1 - a_1 a_0$ $a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto a_0 a_1 \otimes a_2 - a_0 \otimes a_1 a_2 + a_2 a_0 \otimes a_1$

hochschild homology $\mathrm{HH}_n(A/k)$, $n \geq 0$, are homology of $\mathrm{HH}(A/k)$.

1. $\mathrm{HH}_0(A/k) = A / \langle ab - ba \rangle = A / [A, A] = A$ (if A is commutative)

2. If A is commutative then $\mathrm{HH}_1(A/k) = A \otimes_k A / \langle ab \otimes c - a \otimes bc + ac \otimes b : a, b, c, d \rangle$ (Leibniz ??? $\leftrightarrow a \otimes b = \Omega_{A/k}^1$)

3. $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/k) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{HH}_n(A/k)$ as commutative k -algebra (A -algebra) A commutative

4. 1.-s. then by universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}^* = \Lambda_A^* \Omega_{A/k}^1$

Theorem 3.1 (Hochschild-Kashent-Rosenberg, 60s). *If A is smooth over k , then the maps $\epsilon_n : \Omega_{A/k}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_n(A/k)$ are isomorphisms.*

Philosophy (connes, feigir-Tsygs lodey-quillen) think of HH_* as generators of diff. forms (even if A is noncommutative).

To prove HKR, adopt homological perspective on HH.

Lemma 3.1. *For any flat k -algebra A , $\mathrm{HH}(A/k) \cong A \otimes_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}} A$.*

Proof. Explicit isom. of complexes

$$\mathrm{HH}(A/k) \cong A \otimes_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}} \underbrace{[A \otimes_k A \leftarrow A \otimes_k \otimes_k A \otimes_k A]}_{\text{Bar complex}}$$

Bar complex is resolution of A by flat $A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}}$ modules.

Corollary 3.1. $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/k) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_*^{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(A, A)$

Proof. (HKR thm) A smooth k -algebra must show that $\mathrm{HH}_*(A/k)$ is the exterior algebra on its degree 1 elements—this is well known for this graded algebra.

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^B(C, C)$$

when $B \rightarrow C$ (surj?) has kernel is locally generated by a regular sequence $A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ (given $k \rightarrow A$ smooth).

Next: cyclic homology. $\mathrm{HH}(A/k) = A_{\mathbb{Z}/1} \leftarrow \underbrace{A \otimes_k A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \leftarrow \dots$ where $\mathbb{Z}/n+1CA^{\otimes n+1}$ generated

$$t_n : a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto a_n \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

Set 'norm' $N := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i t_n : A^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n+1}$

Extra degeneracy: $s : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n+1}$ given by $a_0 \otimes a_n \mapsto 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$

Connes operator: $S : A^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{N} A^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{s} A^{\otimes n+1} \xrightarrow{1 - (-1)^n t_n}$

Check: $B^2 = 0$, $Bb = -bB$, where b is the boundary map in HH. 'mixed complex or an algebraic S^1 -complex.

i.e. $B : \mathrm{HH}(A/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}(A/k)[-1]$

Idea: This refers to de Rham diff. commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HH}_n(A/k) & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathrm{HH}_{n+1}(A/k) \\ \epsilon_n \uparrow & & \\ \Omega_{A/k}^n & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

Def: hochschild complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A^{\otimes 4} & \xleftarrow{B} & A^{\otimes 3} & \xleftarrow{B} & A^{\otimes 2} & \xleftarrow{B} & A \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \\ A^{\otimes 3} & \xleftarrow{B} & A^{\otimes 2} & \xleftarrow{B} & A & & \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & & & \\ A^{\otimes 2} & \xleftarrow{B} & A & & & & \\ \downarrow b & & & & & & \\ A & & & & & & \end{array}$$

right section $x \geq 0$ while left is ≤ 0

$\mathrm{HP}(A/K)$ (periodic cyclic homology) product totalization of this complex

$\mathrm{HC}(A/k)$ (cyclic homology) totalization of $x \geq 0$

$\mathrm{HC}^-(A/k)$ (negative cyclic homology) totalization of $x \leq 0$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC} \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}[2] \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}^-[-2] \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}^- \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH} \longrightarrow 0$$

Norm sequence: $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}^- \longrightarrow \mathrm{HP} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}[s] \longrightarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{HP} \cong \mathrm{proj} \lim(\cdot \mathrm{HC}[-4] \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}[-1] \xrightarrow{3} \mathrm{HC}) \rightarrow S : \mathrm{HP} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{HP}[z]$$

$\mathrm{HP}_n(A/k) \cong \mathrm{HP}_{n+z}(A/k)$.

coarse info about hh gives coarse info about $\mathrm{HP}, \mathrm{HC}^-, \mathrm{HC}$

Example: assume $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{odd}}(A/k) = 0$, e.g. a perfectoidish. Then $\mathrm{HP}_0(A/k)$ is a complex filtered ring which encodes a lot of the above data. Here precisely $\mathrm{HP}_0(A/k)$ is a ring with filtered by ideals

$$\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{HP}_0(A/k) = S^n(\mathrm{HC}_{2n}^-(A/k))$$

such that $\mathrm{HP}_0(A/k) / \mathrm{Fil}^n \cong \mathrm{HC}_{2n-2}(A/k)$ and $\mathrm{gr}^h \cong \mathrm{HH}_{2n}()$

3.2 Lecture 2

A brief review

A a k -algebra \rightarrow Hochschild complex

$$\mathrm{HH}(A/k) := A \xleftarrow{k} A^{\otimes 2} \xleftarrow{k} A^{\otimes 3} \xleftarrow{k} \dots$$

$$\mathrm{HH}_n(A/k) \leftrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^n$$

Norm sequence: $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}^-(A/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{HP}(A/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}(A/k)[2] \rightarrow 0$

If $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{odd}}(A/k) = 0$, then also for HC^- , HP , HC and get $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2n}^-(A/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{HP}_{2n}(A/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2n-2}(A/k) \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{HP}_{2n}(A/k) \cong \mathrm{HP}_0(A/k)$$

$\mathrm{HP}_0(A/k)$ is filtered ring with associated graded $= \mathrm{HH}_{2*}(A/k)$

Main theorem about smooth algebras (Loday-Quillen, Feigin-Tsyon, Connes) If A is a smooth k -algebra and $k \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, then the norm sequence looks like $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ?[2i]$, where $?$ is

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{\geq i} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{< i} \rightarrow 0$$

i.e. $\mathrm{HP} \leftrightarrow$ de Rham cohomology $\mathrm{HC}^- \leftrightarrow$ hodge filtration

Proof. Explicit map of chain complexes $\mathrm{HH}(A/k) \xrightarrow{\delta} [A \leftarrow \Omega_{A/k}^1 \leftarrow \Omega_{A/k}^2 \leftarrow \dots] a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} a_0 da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n$ on $\mathrm{HH}_n(-)$, this splits $\epsilon : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_n$.

Because smooth algebra, maps are isomorphisms. Tells that δ is quism. So looking at double complex, replace up to quism columns by the 'dumb' complex here. Know b operator compatible with de Rham so looks like sums of direct copies of de Rham complexes. \square

HC etc in characteristic p

Thm: R smooth over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the classical theorem is still true but the filtration is not naturally split, e.g. $\mathrm{HP}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$ has a filtration whose graded pieces are $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}^i[2i]$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

'classical' proof: yoga if able ??

Today: Analysis if $\mathrm{HP}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$ via perfectish map – will generalize to topological case.

Idea: Don't study smooth algebra but instead quasiregular semiperfect (qrsp) \mathbb{F}_p -algebras – big (non-noetherian) – but homologically simple

Def: An \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A is qrsp if there exist

a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra B , $B \xrightarrow{\cong} B$, $b \mapsto b^p$ a regular ideal $I \subseteq B$ I/I^2 is a finite projective B/I -module such that $B/I = A$.

eg of regular ideal: generated by a regular sequence

Examples: 1) $\mathbb{F}_p[t^{1/p^\infty}]/(t)$ 2) if R smooth \mathbb{F}_p -algebra then its perfection $R_{\mathrm{perf}} := \mathrm{inj} \lim R$, where limit over $x \mapsto x^p$ then $R_{\mathrm{perf}} \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R R_{\mathrm{perf}}$ is qrsp

$$\text{eg, } \mathbb{F}_p[t]_{\mathrm{perf}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[t]} \mathbb{F}_p[t]_{\mathrm{perf}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[t^{1/p^\infty}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[t]} \mathbb{F}_p[t^{1/p^\infty}] = \mathbb{F}_p[t_1^{1/p^\infty}, t_2^{1/p^\infty}]/(t_1 - t_2)$$

Technique: form qrsp to smooth all of our homology theories $F = \mathrm{HH}(-/\mathbb{F}_p), \mathrm{HC}(-/\mathbb{F}_p)$, etc, \mathbb{F}_p -algebra $\rightarrow D(\mathbb{F}_p)$

satisfy flat descent, meaning $S \rightarrow S'$ is a faithfully flat map of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. then $F(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(F(S') \rightrightarrows F(S' \otimes_S S') \dots)$

eg, R smooth over \mathbb{F}_p , then $R \rightarrow R_{\mathrm{perf}}$ is faithfully flat.

So: $F(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(F(R_{\mathrm{perf}})) \rightarrow F(R_{\mathrm{perf}} \otimes_R R) \rightarrow R$

all are qrsp.

Most understand The other homologies HC^- , HP , HC of any group qrs \mathbb{F}_p -algebra \mathbb{Q}_p

Let A be qrsp

Step 1: $\mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{odd}}(A/\mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ and $\mathrm{HH}_0(A) \mathrm{HH}_k = I/I^2 I$, where $A = B/I$ $\mathrm{HH}_{2n} = \Gamma_A^n(I/I^2)$ n th divided power of I/I^2

$\cong \text{Sym}_A^n(I/I^2)$ but mult. is twisted by $m!, n!/(n!m!)$

$\rightarrow \text{HH}_{2*}(A/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \Gamma^{A*}(I/I^2)$ Key words; cotangent complex.

Step 2: $\text{HP}_0(A/\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a filtered ring with associated graded $\Gamma_A^*(I/I^2)$ What is it?

ANswer: hcc divided power envelope of completed B onto A , regular defin. able $f^n/n!$ to surject to A .

Computation: $\text{HP}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$ (R smooth) is built from copies of

$$\text{Tot}(\text{HP}_0(R_{\text{perf}}/\mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow \rightarrow \text{HP}_0(R_{\text{perf}} \otimes \cdots$$

, where R smooth, contributed by divided power envelopes

Also show up in theory of derived de Rham cohomology (Bhatt)

so tot is $\cong \Omega_{R/\mathbb{F}_l}$

3.3 Lecture 3

3.4 Lecture 4

3.5 Lecture 5

4 Kirsten Wickelgren: \mathbb{A}^1 -enumerative geometry

4.1 Lecture 1

Enumerative Geometry: counts algebraic-geometric objects satisfying conditions over \mathbb{C}

Goal: To record information about fields of definition

Arithmetic count of the lines on a smooth cubic surface

Definition. Cubic surface is $\{(x, y, z) : f(x, y, z) = 0\}$, where f is degree 3

Better: $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3 = \{[w, x, y, z]\}$, $[w, x, y, z] = [\lambda w, \lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z]$, where $\lambda \in K^\times$ $X = \{[w, x, y, z] : f(w, x, y, z) = 0\}$

Theorem 4.1 (Salmon, Cayley 1849). *Let X be a smooth, cubic surface over \mathbb{C} . Then X contains exactly 27 lines.*

Example 4.1. Fermat $f(w, x, y, z) = w^3 + x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ $L = \{[S, -S, T, -T] : [S, T] \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ $\lambda, \omega : \lambda^3 = \omega^3 = -1$

Lines $\{[S, \lambda S, T, \omega T] : S, T \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ This produces $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 27$ lines

Modern proof: $\text{Gr}(1, 3)$, the Grassmannian parametrizing lines in \mathbb{P}^3 , equivalently $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4$, $\dim W = 2$

Let $S \rightarrow \text{Gr}(1, 3)$ be the tautological bundle, $S_W = W \text{Sym}^3 S^* \rightarrow \text{Gr}(1, 3)$ $\text{Sym}^3 S_W^*$ is the cubic polynomial on W , i.e. $\text{Sym}^3 W^*$ determines element $\text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$ then f determines a section of $\text{Sym}^3 S^*$ by $\sigma_f(W) = f|_W$

Note: the line $\mathbb{P}W$ corresponding to W is in $X \Leftrightarrow \sigma_f(W) = 0$.

Want: to count zeros of σ_f Euler class: $V \rightarrow M$ be a rank r \mathbb{R} -vector bundle on a dimension r \mathbb{R} -manifold M . Assume V is oriented

Choose a section σ with only isolated zeros. $\deg[S^{r-1}, S^{r-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, homotopy classes of maps

$P \in M, \sigma(p) = 0$ To define: $\deg_p \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$

Here's how: choose local coordinates on M around p . There's a small ball around p with no other zeros. Choose local trivialization of V . Then σ can be identified with a function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ given by $0 \mapsto 0$, $\sigma(\overline{B_0(1)} \cap \sigma^{-1}(0)) \subset \mathbb{R}^r = 0$ $S^{r-1} = \partial B_0(1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} \partial B_0(1) = S^{r-1}$ given by $x \mapsto \sigma(x)/|\sigma(x)|$

Then $\deg_p \sigma = \deg(\bar{\sigma})$

Euler class $e(V) = \sum_{p: \sigma(p)=0} \deg_p \sigma$

Fact: X smooth then $\deg_p \sigma = 1$, then number of lines on $X = e(\text{Sym}^3 S^*)$. In particular, number of lines is independent of X $e(\text{Sym}^3 S^*) = 27$

Question: What about cubic surfaces over \mathbb{R} ?

Segre in 20th century showed X can have 3, 7, 15, or 27 real lines.

Segre 1942 distinguished between different hyperbolic and elliptic real lines on X

Recall: L real line, $L \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, $\text{Aut}(L) \cong \mathbb{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ $I \leftrightarrow I = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ $\text{Fix}(I) = \{z : cz^2 + (d-a)z + b = 0\}$ either consists of 3 real points if and only if I hyperbolic. a ?? conjugate pair of points elliptic.

We associate an involution I to $L \subset X$ a real line on a real cubic surface.

$p \in L$ $T_p X \cap X = L \cup Q$ $Q \cap L = \text{points } q \text{ such that } T_q X = T_p X = \{p, p'\}$ $I(p) = p'$

Definition. L is elliptic/hyperbolic when I is

Alternatively, spin structure.

Example 4.2. Fermat cubic surface $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = -1$ hyperbolic

Theorem 4.2 (Segre, Okonek, Teleman, ...). *Number of hyperbolic lines – number of elliptic lines = 3.*

\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (due to Morel-Voevodsky)

On smooth schemes over k , k a field. Morel deg: $[\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}] \rightarrow GW(k)$ where $GW(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt-group completion of semiring \oplus, \otimes isomorphism classes of (nondegenerate symmetric) bilinear forms $B : V \times V$, finite dimensional k vector spaces

presentation: generators: $\langle a \rangle$, $a \in k^\times$ $\langle a \rangle : k \times k \rightarrow k$, $(x, y) \mapsto axy$ relations: $\langle ab^2 \rangle = \langle a \rangle$, $b \in k^\times$ $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle a + b \rangle = \langle ab(a + b) \rangle$

Example 4.3. $GW(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, rank, $B \mapsto \dim V$

Example 4.4. $GW(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by signatures \times rank. Also iso to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ $GW(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times / (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ given by signatures \times rank, isomorphism

There is an Euler class

$$e(V) = \sum_{p: \sigma(p)=0} \deg_p \sigma$$

R field char not 2. X a smooth cubic surface over k line $L \subseteq X$ is a closed point of $\text{Gr}(1, 3)$ $L = \{[a, b, c, d]S + [a', b', c', d']T : [S, T] \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ $k(L) = k(a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d') \mathbb{P}_{k(L)}^1 \cong L \subseteq X_{k(L)} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{k(L)}^3$ Given a line L on X , obtain involution $I \in \text{Aut}(L) \cong \text{PGL}_2 k(L)$ $\text{Fix}(I)$ is either 2 $k(L)$ points or a conjugate pair of points in $k(L)[\sqrt{D}]$ for $D \in k(L)^* / (k(L)^*)^2$

Definition. $\text{Type}(L) := \langle D \rangle \in GW(k(L))$

Equivalent to $D = ab - cd$, $I = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ $\text{Type}(L) = \langle -1 \rangle \deg I$

Theorem 4.3 (Kass-W.). *char $R \neq 2$, X smooth cubic surface*

$$\sum_{\text{lines } L \text{ of } X} \text{Tr}_{k(L)/k} \text{Type}(L) = 15\langle 1 \rangle + 12\langle -1 \rangle$$

$\text{Tr}_{k(L)/k} : GW(k(L)) \rightarrow GW(k)$ given by $(B : V \times V \rightarrow k(L)) \mapsto V \times V \xrightarrow{B} k(L) \rightarrow k$

$R = \mathbb{C}$, apply rank, number of lines is 27 $k = \mathbb{R}$ apply signature Number of hyperbolic lines – number elliptic lines = 3

Corollary 4.1. $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ Number of elliptic lines L with $k(L) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n+1}}$ plus number of hyperbolic lines with $k(L) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n+1}}$ is equivalent to 0.

4.2 Lecture 2

User's guide to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

Want: $\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, colimit

Ex:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

Ex: Open sets U, V

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \cap V & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \\ V & \longrightarrow & U \cup V \end{array}$$

Want to glue, crash schemes like topological spaces

treat smooth schemes like manifolds construction of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory (Morel-Voevodsky)

$\mathrm{Sm}_k = \text{smooth schemes}/k \rightarrow \mathrm{Func}(\mathrm{Sm}_k^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{sset}) \quad Y \mapsto \mathrm{Mor}(-, Y)$

Homotopy theory can mean: simplicial model category or ∞ -category $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathrm{Sm}_k) = \mathrm{Func}(\mathrm{Sm}_k^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{sset})$ freely adding relations

Problem: had colimits from 2 in Sm_k

Fix: force certain classes of maps to be weak equivalences. Bousfield localization

For an open cover $B = \sqcup_k U_k \rightarrow X$ force $\mathrm{cosk}_x \sqcup_x U_x \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ $\mathrm{Pre}(\mathrm{Sm}_k) \xrightarrow{L\tau} \mathrm{Sh}_R$, τ a Grothendieck topology.

(more open sets left to right) Choices: Zariski topology, Nisnevich, étale topology

Def: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (not necessarily smooth, Sm_k) is étale at x if $T_x X \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{f(x)} Y$

Def: $U = \sqcup_x U_x \rightarrow X$ is an étale cover if it is étale and surjective

Def: $U = \sqcup_x U_x$ is a Nisnevich cover if it is an étale cover and for every $x \in X$ there exists $u \in U$ such that $u \mapsto x$, $k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(u)$

Nice properties: $Z \hookrightarrow X$ in Sm_k can often be viewed as $\mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$

$\mathrm{Sm}_k \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathrm{PSh}_k = \mathrm{Func}(\mathrm{Sm}_k^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{sset}) \xrightarrow{L_1} \mathrm{Sh}_k \xrightarrow{L_2} \mathrm{Spc}_k$ where last arrow force $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X$
 Spc_k is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory.

Spheres:

Def: Given pointed spaces X, Y $X \wedge Y := (X \times Y) / (X * U * Y)$

Ex: $S^n \wedge S^m = S^{n+m}$

Spheres: $S^1, \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ $S^{p+q\alpha} = S^{p+q,q} = (S^1)^{\wedge p} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^?$

Ex:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq * & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

then $\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \Sigma \mathbb{G}_m = S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$

Ex: $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \simeq (S^1)^{\wedge n-1} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge n}$ induction and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{A}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{A}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \wedge Y \end{array}$$

Ex: $\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \simeq (S^1)^{\wedge n}(\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge n} \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^h \setminus \{0\} \simeq \mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \simeq */\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \simeq \Sigma(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\})$.

Thom Space: Let $V \rightarrow X$ algebraic vector space $\text{Th}(V) = V/V - X \simeq \mathbb{P}(V \oplus \mathcal{O})/\mathbb{P}(V)$

$S \in \text{sset}$ $S \in \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}_k) = \text{Func}(\text{Sm}_k^{\text{op}}, \text{sset})$

Purity Theorem: $Z \hookrightarrow X$ closed immersion in Sm_k $X/X - Z \simeq \text{Th}(N_Z X)$

Ex: $\text{Spec } k \hookrightarrow X$, where X is a smooth scheme U open neighborhood of z $U/U - z \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k(x)}^n/\mathbb{P}_{k(x)}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \wedge (\text{Spec } k(z) +)$

Compare: z point on manifold, U small ball around z $\Sigma \partial U \simeq U/U - z$

$\text{GW}(k), k_*(k)$:

$\text{GW}(k)$ is group completion of isomorphism classes of symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear forms over k , \otimes gives ring structure

Generators: $\langle a \rangle, a \in k^*$ $\langle a \rangle : k \times k \rightarrow k$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto axy$ relations: $\langle a, b^* \rangle = a \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle = \langle ab \rangle$
 $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle a + b \rangle + \langle ab(a + b) \rangle$

then $h := \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle = \langle a \rangle + \langle -a \rangle$ for all a .

Acted on by hyperbolic forms

rank: $\text{GW}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $B : V \times V \rightarrow k \mapsto \dim V$

Fundamental ideal: $I := \ker \text{rank}$

$\text{GW}(k) \supseteq I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \dots$ $K_i^M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \bigotimes_{j=1}^i k^* / \langle a \otimes (1 - a) \rangle$, Milnor K -theory groups

Milnor conjecture, theorem of Voevodsky $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow 1$ $k^* \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2)$
 $I^n/I^{n+1} \xleftarrow{\sim} K_n^M(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^n(k, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with left map $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto (\langle 1 \rangle - \langle a_1 \rangle) \dots (\langle 1 \rangle - \langle a_n \rangle)$

view maps $I^n \rightarrow I^n/I^{n+1}$ as invariants on $\text{GW}(k)$ $n = 0$ rank $n = 1$ discriminant $n = 2$ hasse-witt invariant

$B : V \times V \rightarrow k$ disc $B = \det(B(v_i, v_j))$ $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis $n = 3$ Arason invariant

$K_*^{MW}(k)$ Milnor-Witt k -theory (hopkins-morel)

Generators: $[a]$ $a \in k^*$ deg 1, deg -1 η

relations: $\eta[a] = [a]\eta$ $[a][1 - a] = 0$ (Steinberg relation) $[ab] = [a] + [b] + \eta[a][b]$ $\eta h = 0$

$\text{GW}(k) \cong K_0^{MW}(k)$ $\langle a \rangle \mapsto 1 + \eta[a]$ $h = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle \mapsto h = 2 + \eta[-1]$

Degrees Theorem (Morel) $n \geq 2$

$[(S^1)^{\wedge n} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j}, (S^1)^{\wedge n} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j}] \cong K_{r-j}^{MW} \text{ eg } [\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}] \cong \text{GW}(k), j = r = n$ $R = \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [S^{2n}, S^{2n}] & \xleftarrow{\text{G-pts}} & [\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}] & \xrightarrow{R\text{-pts}} & [S^n, S^n] \\ \downarrow \text{deg} & & \downarrow \text{deg} & & \downarrow \text{deg} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{\text{rank}} & \text{GW}(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{signature}} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

$\text{GW}(?)K_*^{MW}(k)K_y^M(k)$ are global sections of sheaves.

Procedure for producing a sheaf K_*^{MW} from $K_*^{MW}(E)$, E finite type over k field.

plus data $V : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ valuation $\mathcal{O}_V = \{e \in E : v(e) \geq 0\}$ π uniformizer $v(\pi) = 1$
 $k(V) := \mathcal{O}_V/(\pi)$

$\partial_V^\pi : K_*^{MW}(E) \rightarrow K_{*-1}^{MW}(k(V))$ $\partial_V^\pi([\pi][a_1] \dots [a_n]) = [\bar{a}_1] \dots [\bar{a}_n]$ $q_i \in \mathcal{O}_V^* \partial_V^\pi([a_1] \dots [a_n]) = 0$

Correction: $\delta_x^\pi \eta = \eta \delta_V^\pi \delta_x^\pi \eta = 0$

4.3 Lecture 3

Sheaves: K_*^{MW}, GW $GW(\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L) = \text{restruction } \mathcal{O}_K L$ or bilinear forms

Transfers: $K \subseteq L$ finite extension of finite schemes over R

$\text{Tr}_{L/K} : GW(L) \rightarrow GW(K)$

geometric transfer, cohomological transfer, absolute transfer depends on generators, does not,

twisted $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(B : V \times V \rightarrow L) = V \times V \xrightarrow{B} L \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{L/K}} K$ when $K \subseteq L$ is separable.

geometric: $L = k[z]/\langle f \rangle$

$\text{Spec } L \xrightarrow{z} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{z\}$ is a map $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^{\text{geo}} GW(L) \rightarrow GW(K)$

CH: Chow groups $X \in \text{Sm}_k$

$X^{(i)}$ is codimension i reduced, irreducible subschemes of X

$\text{CH}^i(X) = \bigoplus_{X^{(i)}} \mathbb{Z}/\text{rational equivalence}$

$V \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \quad V \wedge (X \times \{0\}) \sim V \wedge (X \times \{1\})$

useful in enumerative geometry: chern classes, pushforward pullbacks, ring structure Bloch

$\text{CH}^i * X = H^i(X, K_i^M)$

Oriented Chow groups or Chow-Witt group

$\tilde{\text{CH}}^i(X) = H^i(X, K_i^{MW})$ elements are formal rank $Z \in X^{(i)}$ and

Barge-Morel

Computed by Rost-Schmidt complex

$\bigoplus_{Z \in X^{(i+1)}} K_1^{MV}(k(x), \det) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Z \in X^i} GW(k(z), \det_{k(z)} T_Z X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Z \in X^{(i+1)}} K_{-1}^{MW}(k(z), \det)$

Fasel, M. Levine: pullbacks $f : X \rightarrow Y$ pushforward, non-commutative ring structure

E field, Λ 1-dimensional E vector space $K_i^{MW}(E, \Lambda) = K_i^{MW}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[E] \tilde{\text{CH}}^i(X, L) = H^i(X, K_i^{MW}(i))$

$L \rightarrow X$ line bundle $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper $\dim Y - \dim X = r \quad f_* \tilde{\text{CH}}(X, \omega_{X/Z} \otimes f^* y) \det TX$

$\rightarrow \tilde{\text{CH}}^{i-r}(Y, w_{Y/Z} BX)$

Degree via local degree

Algebraic topology

$f : S^n \rightarrow S^n \quad p \in S \quad \deg f = \sum \deg_q f \quad f^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$

Differential topology formula for $\deg_{x_i} f$ choose coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n near $q_i \quad y_1, \dots, y_n$ near P

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$\text{Jac } f = \deg \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$

$$\deg_{q_i} f = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{Jac } f > 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } \text{Jac } f < 0 \end{cases}$$

\mathbb{A}^1 -alg topology

Lanes/Morel: $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1/k \quad p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k) \quad f^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \quad \deg f = \sum (\text{Jac}_{q_i} f) \in GW(k)$

this does not depend on P

Prop: (Global degree is a sum of local degrees)

$f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ finite $f^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^n) = \mathbb{A}^n \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$\deg \bar{f} = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \deg_q^{\mathbb{A}^1} f \quad p \in \mathbb{A}^n(k)$

where $\deg_q^{\mathbb{A}^1} f$ is degree of composite

$$\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cong U / U - q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{A}^n - p \cong \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

$k(q) = k, \text{Th} N_p \mathbb{A}^*$

otherwise $\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^n - q$

If f is étale at q , then $\deg_q^{\mathbb{A}^1} f = \text{Tr}_{k(q)/k} \langle \text{Jac } f(q) \rangle$ and $k(q) \supseteq R$ separable.

A: Eisenbud-Lenine-Khinskikh Signature formula

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ $0 \mapsto 0$ isolated zero

$\deg f = \text{signature } \omega^{EKL}$

ω^{EKL} is a bilinear form $Q = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_0 / \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$

$\text{Jac } f \in Q$ pick any $\eta : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ \mathbb{R} -linear so that $\eta(\text{Jac } f) = \dim Q$ $\omega^{EKL} : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} (a, b) \mapsto \eta(ab)$

Q (Eisenbud): ω^{EKL} could be a degree even replacing \mathbb{R} with K . Does this have an interpretation?

Thm: (Kass-W.) $\deg_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} f = \omega^{EKL}$

Project: remove $k(x) = k$ hypothesis

Ex: ω^{EKL} for $f(x) = x^2$ $Q = k[x] / \langle x^2 \rangle$ basis $\{1, x\}$ $\text{Jac } f = \partial x \eta : k[x] / \langle x^1 \rangle \rightarrow k \eta(2x) = 2 \eta(1) = 0$

$\begin{matrix} & 1 & x \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ x & 1 & 0 \end{matrix}$
 $\omega^{EKL} = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$

\mathbb{A}^1 -milnor numbers

joint with Jesse Kass

Def: A point p on a scheme X is a node if after base change to k^s $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \cong k^s[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + \text{hot})$

Let X be a hypersurface $X = \{f = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ $p \in X$ be a singularity As X is perturbed in a family P bifurcates into nodes for (a_1, \dots, a_n) have a family of hypersurfaces $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = f$ parametrized by +

Milnor $k = \mathbb{C}$ For any sufficiently small (a_1, \dots, a_n) the family contains $\mu(P)$ nodes

$\mu(P) = \text{milnor } \# = \deg(\text{grad } f)(p)$

When k is not algebraically closed, nodes p contain arithmetic data

$R = \mathbb{R}$

nonsplit node, i.e. tangent directions not defined over k

split node

Def: The type of a node $p \in \{f = 0\}$ $\deg_p^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{grad } f$

Ex: Choose preimage of p after base change to $k(p)$

$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} = k[[p]][[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (a_1 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^2 + \text{hot})$

$\text{type}(p) = \text{Tr}_{k(p)/k} \langle 2^n a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \rangle, k(p)/k$ always a separable extension.

Ex: $\text{type}(x^2 + y^2) = \langle a \rangle$

Def: p hypersurface singularity $p \in \{f = 0\}$ $M^{\mathbb{A}^1} = \deg_p \text{grad } f$

Thm: For generic (a_1, \dots, a_n) Crass-W.

$\sum_{X \text{ nodes in family}} \text{type}(X) = M^{\mathbb{A}^1}(p)$ in $\text{GW}(k)$.

Ex: $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2$ $\text{grad } f = (-3x^2, 2y)$ $M^{\mathbb{A}^1}(0) = \deg_0 \text{grad } f = \deg_0(x \mapsto -3x^1) \deg_0(y \mapsto 2y) = \langle -3 \rangle (\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle) \langle 2 \rangle = \langle -6 \rangle + \langle 6 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle = h$

Family parametrized by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + t$

$a = 0$

nodes occur when $x^3 + ax + t$ has a double root iff $= 27t^2 - 4a^3$

$\mathbb{F}_3 : \langle 1 \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle$ in a family can't have one split and one nonsplit rational nodes

$\mathbb{F}_7 : \langle 1 \rangle \neq \langle -1 \rangle$ can't have 2 split or 2 nonsplit nodes.

4.4 Lecture 4