Name: Solutions — Caleb McWhorter

MATH 308

Fall 2021

HW 13: Due 11/22

"All generalizations are false, including this one."

-Mark Twain

**Problem 1.** (10pt) Prove that the product of two even integers is even and that the product of an even integer with an odd integer is even.

**Solution.** Let n, m be even integers. But then because n, m are even, there exist integers  $k_n, k_m$  such that  $n = 2k_n$  and  $m = 2k_m$ . But then...

$$nm = (2k_n)(2k_m) = 4k_nk_m = 2(2k_nk_m)$$

Now  $k := 2k_nk_m$  is an integer because  $k_n, k_m$  are integers. But then nm = 2k. Therefore, nm is even.

Now let n be an even integer and m be an odd integer. Because n is even, there exists an integer  $k_n$  such that  $n=2k_n$ . Because m is odd, there exists an integer  $k_m$  such that  $m=2k_m+1$ . But then...

$$nm = (2k_n)(2k_m + 1) = 4k_nk_m + 2k_n = 2(2k_nk_m + k_n)$$

Now  $k := 2k_nk_m + k_n$  is an integer because  $k_n, k_m$  are integers. Therefore, nm = 2k is even.

**Problem 2.** (10pt) Prove that if the square of an integer is even, then the integer is even. Use this to prove that if  $n^2 + 1$  is a prime greater than 5, then the digit in the 1's place of n is 0, 4, or 6.

**Solution.** Let n be an integer such that its square is even. Because  $n^2$  is even, we know there exists an integer k such that  $n^2=2k$ . Clearly, 2 divides 2k. Therefore, 2 divides  $n^2=2k$ . By Euclid's Lemma, if a prime p divides ab, then p divides a or p divides b. We know that 2 divides  $n^2=n\cdot n$ . But then by Euclid's Lemma, 2 divides n. Therefore, there exists an integer j such that n=2j. Therefore, n is even.

Now suppose that  $n^2+1$  is a prime greater than 5. All primes greater than 2 are odd (otherwise, they would be divisible by 2 and hence not prime). Therefore,  $n^2+1$  is odd. But then there exists an integer s such that  $n^2+1=2s+1$ . This implies  $n^2=2s$ , so that  $n^2$  is even. By the work above, this implies that n is even. Because n is even, the digit in its 1's place must be 0, 2, 4, 6, or 8. It only remains to show that the digit in the 1's place cannot be 2 or 8.

Now use the division algorithm to write n=10q+r, where q,r are integers and  $0 \le r \le 9$ . Clearly, r is the 1's digit of n. We prove that  $r \ne 2, 8$  by contrapositive; that is, we prove that if the 1's digit of n is 2 or 8, then  $n^2+1$  cannot be a prime greater than 5. Observe that...

$$r = 2: n^2 + 1 = (10q + r)^2 + 1 = (10q + 2)^2 + 1 = (100q^2 + 40q + 4) + 1 = 100q^2 + 40q + 5 = 5(20q^2 + 8q + 1)$$

$$r = 8: n^2 + 1 = (10q + r)^2 + 1 = (10q + 8)^2 + 1 = (100q^2 + 160q + 64) + 1 = 100q^2 + 160q + 65 = 5(20q^2 + 32q + 13)$$

In the case r=2, we know that  $n^2+1=5(20q^2+8q+1)$  is divisible by 5 and since  $n^2+1>5$ , this implies that  $n^2+1$  is not prime. In the case r=8,  $n^2+1=5(20q^2+32q+13)$  is divisibly by 5 and since  $n^2+1>5$ , this implies that  $n^2+1$  is not prime. Therefore, if  $n^2+1$  is a prime greater than 5, the 1's digit of n cannot be 2 or 8. Putting this together with the information above, we know that if  $n^2+1$  is a prime greater 5 that the 1's digit of n must be 0,4, or 6.

**Problem 3.** (10pt) Use the division algorithm to write 180 = 7q + r, where  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le r < 7$ .

**Solution.** Recall that the Division Algorithm states that for  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  with  $a\neq 0$ , there are unique  $q,r\in\mathbb{Z}$  with  $0\leq r<|a|$  such that b=qa+r. If q is known, we can take r=b-qa. Recall that we can find q via...

$$q = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{b}{a} \right\rfloor, & a > 0 \\ \left\lceil \frac{b}{a} \right\rceil, & a < 0 \end{cases}$$

Observe that in our case b=180 and a=7. Because a=7>0, we have...

$$q = \left| \frac{180}{7} \right| = \lfloor 25.7143 \rfloor = 25$$

But then r = 180 - 25(7) = 180 - 175 = 5. Therefore, we have...

$$180 = 7(25) + 5$$

That is, 180 = 7q + r where q = 25 and r = 5.

**Problem 4.** (10pt) Use the division algorithm to prove that the 1's digit of a perfect square is never 2, 3, 7, or 8.

**Solution.** Suppose that N is a perfect square. Because N is a perfect square, there exists an integer k such that  $N=k^2$ . Using the division algorithm, we can write k=10q+r, where q,r are integers and 0 < r < 10. But then...

$$N = k^2 = (10q + r)^2 = 100q^2 + 20qr + r^2 = 10(10q^2 + 2qr) + r^2$$

Clearly, the 1's digit of N is then  $r^2$ , i.e. itself a perfect square. We can examine the 1's digit of the squares of r for  $r = 0, 1, \ldots, 9$ :

$$r = 0: 0^2 = 0$$
  $r = 5: 5^2 = 25$   
 $r = 1: 1^2 = 1$   $r = 6: 6^2 = 36$   
 $r = 2: 2^2 = 4$   $r = 7: 7^2 = 49$   
 $r = 3: 3^2 = 9$   $r = 8: 8^2 = 64$   
 $r = 4: 4^2 = 16$   $r = 9: 9^2 = 81$ 

Examining the possibilities above, we see the 1's digit of  $r^2$  must be one of 0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9. Therefore, the 1's digit of  $r^2$  cannot be 2, 3, 7, 8. But then the 1's digit of N cannot be 2, 3, 7, 8.

**Problem 5.** (10pt) Prove or disprove: Let  $x, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If x does not divide a and x does not divide b, then x does not divide ab.

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. For instance, let x = 6, a = 2, and b = 3. Clearly, x = 6 does not divide a = 2 or b = 3. However, ab = 2(3) = 6 and x = 6 does divide ab = 6.

The statement that if x does not divide a and x does not divide b, then x does not divide ab is the contrapositive of the statement if x divides ab, then x divides a or x divides b. We know this statement is true when x is a prime. By Euclid's Lemma, if x is a prime dividing ab, then x must divide a or x must divide b. Clearly, this need not hold when x is composite. However, there are examples when this does hold for composite integers. For instance, let x = 4, a = 12, and b = 8. Then ab = 12(8) = 96 and x = 4 divides ab = 96. Now x = 4 divides ab = 12 and ab = 12 and ab = 12 divides ab = 12 and ab = 12 and ab = 12 divides ab = 12 divides ab = 12 divides ab = 12 and ab = 12 divides ab = 12 divid

**Problem 6.** (10pt) Prove that if n is composite, then n has a prime factor p with  $p \le \sqrt{n}$ . Use this to show that 1321 is prime.

**Solution.** Suppose that n=ab, where a,b are integers. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a \le b$ . But then...

$$n = ab > a \cdot a = a^2$$

But then  $a \le \sqrt{n}$ . But if n is composite, let p be the smallest prime factor of n. Because p is a divisor of n, we can write n = pb for some integer b. We need to show that  $p \le b$ .

Any divisor of b must also divide n=pb. Let  $p_b$  denote the smallest prime divisor of b and write  $b=qp_b$  for some integer q. Clearly,  $p_b \geq p$ ; otherwise, this would contradict the fact that p is the smallest prime dividing n. But then  $b=qp_b \geq qp \geq p$ , as desired. From the work above, we know that  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ . Therefore, if n is composite, it has a prime factor p with  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

Now consider the fact where n=1321. We have  $\sqrt{1321}\approx 36.3456$ . Therefore, if n is composite then n has a prime divisor less than 36.3. The only primes less than 36 are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, and 31. However, we have...

$$\frac{1321}{2} \approx 660.5 \qquad \frac{1321}{17} \approx 77.7$$

$$\frac{1321}{3} \approx 440.3 \qquad \frac{1321}{19} \approx 69.5$$

$$\frac{1321}{5} \approx 264.2 \qquad \frac{1321}{23} \approx 57.4$$

$$\frac{1321}{7} \approx 188.7 \qquad \frac{1321}{29} \approx 45.6$$

$$\frac{1321}{11} \approx 120.1 \qquad \frac{1321}{31} \approx 42.6$$

$$\frac{1321}{13} \approx 101.6$$

Therefore, no prime less than 36 divides 1321. Therefore, 1321 cannot be composite. This implies that 1321 is prime.