

**Quiz 1. True/False:** If you decrease 178 by 20% consecutively three times, the result is given by  $178(1 - 3 \cdot 0.20) = 178(1 - 0.60) = 178(0.40) = 71.2$ .

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. If we want to compute  $N$  increased or decreased by a % a total of  $n$  times, we compute  $N \cdot (1 \pm \%_d)^n$ , where  $\%_d$  is the percentage written as a decimal,  $n$  is the number of times we apply the percentage increase/decrease, and we choose '+' if it is a percentage increase and choose '-' if it is a percentage decrease. Then to compute 178 decreased by 20% consecutively three times, we need take  $N = 178$ ,  $\%_d = 0.20$ , and choose '-'. Therefore, we have...

$$N \cdot (1 \pm \%_d)^n = 178(1 - 0.20)^3 = 178(0.80)^3 = 178(0.512) = 91.136$$

From the  $178(0.512)$  portion from the computation above, we can see that decreasing a number by 20% consecutively three times actually results in a 48.8% decrease in the original number's value because  $1 - 0.512 = 0.488$ . The mistake made in the quiz is thinking that repeated percentage increases or decreases are additive. A decrease of 20% three times *does not* result in a  $3 \cdot 20\% = 60\%$  decrease, which was the percentage decrease computed in the quiz statement.

**Quiz 2. True/False:** If  $C(q)$  is a cost function, then the  $y$ -intercept of  $C(q)$  represents the fixed costs associated with the production of the product  $q$ .

**Solution.** The statement is *true*. The  $y$ -intercept of a function  $f(x)$  is the value of  $f(0)$  because the  $y$ -axis is the line where  $x = 0$ . But then  $C(0)$  is the  $y$ -intercept of  $C(q)$ . But  $C(0)$  is the cost associated with producing 0 units, i.e. the fixed costs.

**Quiz 3. True/False:** If Ben takes out a simple discount note for \$5,700 at 4.9% annual interest for a period of 9 months, then at the end of the 9 months, Ben does not owe any interest and only owes \$5,700.

**Solution.** The statement is *true*. For a typical loan, one only need pay back the loan amount plus any interest. Recall that in a simple discount note the interest is paid up-front. At the end of the loan period, one then need only pay back the loan amount, i.e. the maturity. The maturity is \$5,700. So at the end of the loan period, Ben only owes the \$5,700. The interest paid on the loan is the discount and is  $D = Mrt = \$5,700(0.049)\frac{9}{12} \approx \$209.48$ . Therefore, the total amount paid on the loan is  $M + D = \$5,700 + \$209.48 = \$5,909.48$ .

**Quiz 4. True/False:** If Taylor wants to invest an amount of money that will be worth \$5,000 after 6 years of earning interest at 5.3% annual interest, compounded monthly, the amount needed to be invested is given by  $5000 \left(1 + \frac{0.053}{12}\right)^{12 \cdot 6}$ .

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. This is a discrete compounded interest problem. However, the problem is asking how much should be invested right now to have \$5,000 after 6 years. One need then find the present or principal value,  $P$ . For discrete compounded interest, we have  $P = \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt}}$ .

We have  $r = 0.053$  and  $t = 6$ . Because the interest is compounded monthly, i.e. twelve times per

year, we have  $k = 12$ . We then have...

$$P = \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt}} = \frac{\$5,000}{\left(1 + \frac{0.053}{12}\right)^{12 \cdot 6}} = \frac{\$5,000}{(1.00441667)^{72}} = \frac{\$5,000}{1.37341460} = \$3,640.56$$

The expression given in the quiz statement is computing the future value,  $F$ , resulting from investing \$5,000 at 5.3% annual interest, compounded monthly for 6 years.

**Quiz 5. True/False:** Rosa is taking out a loan. She will use this money to afford advertising to her comic strip business. A bank offers two different plans. The first has an effective interest rate of 8.6%. The second has an effective interest rate of 8.7%. Because the second has a higher interest rate, it is the better deal.

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. To compare different interest models, one can use effective interest or doubling time. Rosa is taking out a loan. Therefore, she would want the lowest possible interest rate. Because  $8.6\% < 8.7\%$ , the first offer is the better deal because she is effectively charged less interest per year. The situation would be the opposite if this were instead an investment, where she would want the highest possible effective interest—making the second option the better deal.

**Quiz 6. True/False:** Justin deposits \$31 at the start of every month into an account that earns 0.8% annual interest, compounded monthly. This is an example of a simple ordinary annuity.

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. Because Justin makes regular payments that earn interest, this is an annuity. The number of payments per year is equal to the number of interest compounds per year (both occur monthly, i.e. twelve times per year), this is a simple annuity. Because payments are made at the start of a period, this is an annuity due. Therefore, this is an example of a simple annuity due. The answer given confuses an ordinary annuity with an annuity due.

**Quiz 7. True/False:** To find the amount you should withdraw at the start of each month from an account that earns 12% interest, compounded monthly to deplete an account containing \$12,345 after 5 years, you compute  $\frac{\$12,345}{s_{\overline{60}|0.01}}$ .

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. Because we are making regular deposits that earn interest, this is an annuity. Because the number of deposits per year is equal to the number of interest compounds per year, this is a simple annuity. Because the deposits are made at the start of a period, this is an annuity due. Therefore, this is a simple annuity due. The present amount in the account, i.e. the principal, is  $P = \$12,345$ . The number of deposits we will make over 5 years is  $PM = 12 \cdot 5 = 60$ . Because this is a simple annuity, we know  $i = \frac{r}{k} = \frac{0.12}{12} = 0.01$ . We want to know the payment amount,  $R$ , that will deplete the account after 5 years. For an annuity due, we have  $P = R\ddot{a}_{\overline{PM}|i}$ , so that  $R = \frac{P}{\ddot{a}_{\overline{PM}|i}}$ . But then the amount we should withdraw each month given by  $R = \frac{\$12,345}{\ddot{a}_{\overline{60}|0.01}}$ . The expression in the quiz clearly is based on an ordinary annuity (because of the lack of ‘dots’) and not an annuity due. Furthermore, the expression given in the quiz has used  $F = Rs_{\overline{PM}|i}$  to find  $R$ . However, we are given the present value in the account rather than a future value so that an ‘ $a$ ’ is required, not an ‘ $s$ .’

**Quiz 8. True/False:** Suppose the probability that a driver is in an accident is 9% and the probability that a driver is under the influence is 0.4%. It is not necessarily true that the probability a driver is under the influence and in an accident is  $0.09 \cdot 0.004 = 0.00036$ , i.e. 0.036%.

**Solution.** The statement is *true*. Recall that if  $A, B$  are events, it is *not* necessarily the case that  $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$ . This will be true if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are independent events. Recall that, colloquially, recall that two events  $A, B$  are independent if and only if the occurrence (or nonoccurrence) of one does not change the probability of the other event occurring or not. For instance, coin flips are independent—getting a heads or tails on one flip has no influence on the next. However, failing one particular class is not independent from passing/failing another class. For instance, if one fails one class, one may be more likely to fail others (perhaps from feeling defeated or less worthy or perhaps they failed one class due to medical reasons that will affect grades in their other courses) or perhaps less likely to fail other classes (failing one allows the student to ignore one class to put more time into others or their failing one class makes them focus on others). In this case, knowing a driver is (likely) under the influence makes them more likely to be in an accident. Therefore, these events are not independent so the probability of them both occurring is not the product of their probabilities. Generally, if  $A$  and  $B$  are events, then  $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A)P(B | A)$  or  $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(B)P(A | B)$ .

**Quiz 9. True/False:** If 15% of individuals plan to purchase a new home in the next decade and 25% plan to purchase a new car, then 40% of the population will purchase a new home or car in the next decade.

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. Recall that if  $A, B$  are events,  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be disjoint if they cannot occur at the same time. For finite probability spaces, this is equivalent to  $P(A \text{ and } B) = 0$ . We know that  $P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B)$  if  $A, B$  are disjoint. [For finite probability spaces, this is an if and only if.] Generally, we know that for any events  $A, B$ , we have  $P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \text{ and } B)$ ; that is,  $P(A \text{ and } B)$  removes any overestimate in  $P(A \text{ or } B)$  by removing any ‘overlap of  $A$  and  $B$ . Generally, we know that  $P(A \text{ or } B) \leq P(A) + P(B)$ . For instance, when rolling an ordinary six-sided die, rolling a one and rolling an even number are disjoint events. We clearly have  $P(1 \text{ or even}) = P(1) + P(\text{even}) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3}{6} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3}$ . However, rolling a 1, 2, or 3 and rolling an even are non-disjoint. Hence,  $P(1, 2, 3 \text{ or even}) \neq P(1, 2, 3) + P(\text{even}) = \frac{3}{6} + \frac{3}{6} = 1$  but rather  $P(1, 2, 3 \text{ or even}) = \frac{3+2}{6} = \frac{5}{6}$  or  $P(1, 2, 3 \text{ or even}) = P(1, 2, 3) + P(\text{even}) - P(1, 2, 3 \text{ and even}) = P(1, 2, 3) + P(\text{even}) - P(2) = \frac{3}{6} + \frac{3}{6} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{5}{6}$ . There will certainly be individuals that will purchase a new car and new house in the next decade. Therefore, these events are not disjoint. Therefore, all one can say is that *at most*  $15\% + 25\% = 40\%$  of individuals will purchase a new house or new car in the next decade.

**Quiz 10. True/False:** If a game has a positive expected payout, then you will make money playing the game one-hundred times.

**Solution.** The statement is *false*. Recall that if  $X$  is a random variable,  $EX$ , the expected value (or mean) of  $X$  computes the long-term average; that is, if one performs a trial  $n$  times with results  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and computes the sample average  $\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ , this average will approach  $EX$  as

the number of trials tends to infinity. So the expected value is the ‘long term’ average of the outcomes. This says nothing of what will happen in any single or even finite collection of outcomes. For instance, suppose a game involves flipping a coin. If one flips a heads, they win \$10. If one flips tails, they lose \$5. The expected payout is  $EX = \sum xP(X = x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \$10 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot -\$5 = \frac{10}{2} + \frac{-5}{2} = \frac{5}{2} = \$2.50$ . But one cannot know whether they will win or lose on the next flip. It may even be that they lose money on the next 100 flips—though this is unlikely. All one knows is that ‘in the long run’ (without knowing when that will be) one’s average win value will be \$2.50 per game.

**Quiz 11.** *True/False:* Compared with  $N(57.6, 4.1)$ , along a number line, the distribution  $N(34.9, 6.2)$  is located to the left and is more ‘spread out.’

**Solution.** The statement is *true*. We know a normal distribution is denoted  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , where  $\mu$  is the mean and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation. [Recall that  $\mu$  is the mean of a distribution and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation and measures ‘spread.’] Let  $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1) = N(57.6, 4.1)$  and  $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2) = N(34.9, 6.2)$ . Because  $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ , we know that the mean for the second distribution is less than the first, i.e. it is located more to the left on a number line. Because  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ , the standard deviation of the second distribution is larger than the first, i.e. the second distribution is more ‘spread out’ than the first distribution.

**Quiz 12.** *True/False:* Let  $x, y$  be random samples drawn from a normal distribution. If  $z_x = -3.87$  and  $z_y = 1.15$ , then  $y > x$  but  $x$  is the more ‘unusual’ value.

**Solution.** The statement is *true*. Recall that  $z_x = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$ . The difference  $x - \mu$  tells you the distance from  $x$  to  $\mu$ . Thus,  $\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$  tells you the number of standard deviations  $x$  is from  $\mu$ . If  $z_x < 0$ , then  $x < \mu$ ; if  $z_x = 0$ , then  $x = \mu$ ; if  $z_x > 0$ , then  $x > \mu$ . For a normal distribution, we know the further  $x$  is from the mean, the more ‘unusual’ the value is, i.e. the less probable values at least extreme as  $x$  are. Now because  $|z_x| = 3.87 > 1.15 = |z_y|$ ,  $x$  is more standard deviations from  $\mu$  than  $y$ , i.e.  $x$  is more ‘unusual’ than  $y$ . Because  $z_x < 0$  and  $z_y > 0$ ,  $x$  is less than  $\mu$  and  $y$  is greater than  $\mu$ .