Name:

Caleb McWhorter — Solutions

MATH 308

Fall 2022

"There are five elementary arithmetical operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms."

HW 11: Due 11/04

-Martin Eichler

Problem 1. (10pt) Showing all your steps, compute the following:

(a) $(15+14) \mod 6$

(f) 14(5) mod 6

(b) $(8-17) \mod 5$

(g) $2(3) \mod 7$

(c) $-(1+8) \mod 3$

(h) $-7(4) \mod 9$

(d) $(20-11) \mod 8$

(i) $(-3)^3 \mod 4$

(e) $(9+7) \mod 4$

(j) $6^2 \mod 5$

Solution.

(a)

$$(15+14) \equiv (3+2) \equiv 5 \mod 6$$

(b)

$$(8-17) \equiv -9 \equiv 1 \mod 5$$

(c)

$$-(1+8) \equiv -9 \equiv 0 \mod 3$$

(d)

$$(20-11) \equiv 9 \equiv 1 \mod 8$$

(e)

$$(9+7) \equiv 16 \equiv 0 \mod 4$$

(f)

$$14(5) \equiv 2(5) \equiv 10 \equiv 4 \mod 6$$

(g)

$$2(3) \equiv 6 \mod 7$$

(h)

$$-7(4) \equiv 2(4) \equiv 8 \mod 9$$

(i)

$$(-3)^3 \equiv -27 \equiv 1 \mod 4$$

(j)

$$6^2 \equiv 1^2 \equiv 1 \mod 5$$

Problem 2. (10pt) Consider arithmetic modulo 4.

- (a) List two positive elements and two negative elements of [0] and [3].
- (b) Choose elements $x \in [1]$ and $y \in [3]$ with x, y > 10 and show that [x] + [y] = [0]; that is, use the division algorithm to write $x = 4m + r_x$ and $y = 4n + r_y$ and show $[x] + [y] = [r_x] + [r_y] = [0]$.
- (c) Choose elements $x, y \in [2]$ with x, y > 10 and show that $[x] \cdot [y] = [0]$; that is, use the division algorithm to write $x = 4m + r_x$ and $y = 4n + r_y$ and show $[x] \cdot [y] = [r_x] \cdot [r_y] = [0]$.

Solution.

- (a) We have $4, 8 \in [0]$ and $-4, -8 \in [0]$, and we have $3, 7 \in [3]$ and $-1, -5 \in [3]$. Generally, observe that $[0] = \{\ldots, -12, -8, -4, 0, 4, 8, 12, \ldots\}$ and that the elements of [0] are of the form 4k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, observe that $[3] = \{\ldots, -9, -5, -1, 3, 7, 11, \ldots\}$ and that the elements of [3] are of the form 4k + 3 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) Observe that $4(5) + 1 = 21 \in [1]$ and $4(4) + 3 = 19 \in [3]$. But then we have...

$$21 + 19 \equiv (4 \cdot 5 + 1) + (4 \cdot 4 + 3) \equiv 4(5 + 4) + (1 + 3) \equiv 0 + 4 \equiv 0 \mod 4$$

Generally, if $x \in [1]$, then x = 4k + 1 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $y \in [3]$, then y = 4j + 3 for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then...

$$x + y \equiv (4k + 1) + (4j + 3) \equiv 4(k + j) + (1 + 3) \equiv 0 + 4 \equiv 0$$

(c) Observe that $4(5) + 2 = 22 \in [2]$ and $4(8) + 2 = 34 \in [2]$. But then we have...

$$22 \cdot 34 \equiv (4 \cdot 5 + 2) \cdot (4 \cdot 8 + 2) \equiv 4(160) + 4(10) + 4(16) + 2 \cdot 2 \equiv 0 + 0 + 0 + 4 \equiv 0 \mod 4$$

Generally, if $x, y \in [2]$, then x = 4k + 2 and y = 4j + 2 for some $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then we have...

$$x \cdot y \equiv (4k+2) \cdot (4j+2) \equiv 4(4kj) + 4(2k) + 4(2j) + 2 \cdot 2 \equiv 0 + 0 + 0 + 4 \equiv 0 \mod 4$$

Problem 3. (10pt) Showing all your work, complete the following:

- (a) Compute $\phi(7)$, $\phi(11)$, and $\phi(131)$.
- (b) Compute $\phi(8)$, $\phi(9)$, and $\phi(49)$.
- (c) Compute $\phi(360)$.
- (d) How many integers $0, 1, 2, \dots, 359$ are invertible modulo 360? Explain.

Solution.

(a) If p is prime, we know that $\phi(p) = p - 1$. But then we have...

$$\phi(7) = 7 - 1 = 6$$

$$\phi(11) = 11 - 1 = 10$$

$$\phi(131) = 131 - 1 = 130$$

(b) If p is prime and $k \ge 1$, we know that $\phi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1)$. But then we have...

$$\phi(8) = \phi(2^3) = 2^2(2-1) = 4 \cdot 1 = 4$$

$$\phi(9) = \phi(3^2) = 3^1(3-1) = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$$

$$\phi(49) = \phi(7^2) = 7^1(7-1) = 7 \cdot 6 = 42$$

(c) We know that if gcd(a, b) = 1, then $\phi(ab) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$. But then using the fact that if p is prime and $k \ge 0$, then $\phi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1)$, and the fact that $360 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$, we have...

$$\phi(360) = \phi(2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5) = \phi(2^3) \cdot \phi(3^2) \cdot \phi(5) = 2^2(2-1) \cdot 3^1(3-1) \cdot (5-1) = 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 = 96$$

(d) If $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, 359\}$, then a is invertible mod 360, i.e. a^{-1} exists, if and only if $\gcd(a, 360) = 1$. Therefore, we need to count the number of integers $0 \le k \le 359$ that are relatively prime to 360. However, $\phi(n)$ counts the number of integers $0 \le k \le n$ that are relatively prime to n. From (c), we know that $\phi(360) = 96$. Therefore, there are 96 integers between 0 and 359, inclusive, that are invertible modulo 360.

Problem 4. (10pt) Being sure to fully justify your responses, answer the following:

- (a) Is 7 invertible modulo 15? Explain.
- (b) Prove your claim in (a) by finding an inverse for 7 modulo 15 or showing that there is no inverse of 7 modulo 15.
- (c) Is 2 invertible modulo 6? Explain.
- (d) Prove your claim in (c) by finding an inverse for 2 modulo 6 or showing that there is no inverse of 2 modulo 6.

Solution.

- (a) We know that 7^{-1} exists modulo 15 if and only if gcd(7,15) = 1. Because gcd(7,15) = 1, we know that 7 is invertible modulo 15.
- (b) Observe that $7(13) \equiv 91 \equiv 1 \mod 15$. Therefore, $7^{-1} \equiv 13 \mod 15$.
- (c) We know that 2^{-1} exists modulo 6 if and only if gcd(2,6) = 1. Because $gcd(2,6) = 2 \neq 1$, we know that 2 is not invertible modulo 6.
- (d) We know that 2 is not invertible modulo 6 as...

$$2 \cdot 0 \equiv 0 \mod 6$$

$$2 \cdot 1 \equiv 2 \mod 6$$

$$2 \cdot 2 \equiv 4 \mod 6$$

$$2 \cdot 3 \equiv 6 \equiv 0 \mod 6$$

$$2 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \equiv 2 \mod 6$$

$$2 \cdot 5 \equiv 10 \equiv 4 \mod 6$$