Name: Caleb McWhorter — Solutions

MATH 108 Fall 2022

HW 19: Due 12/08

"Linear programming can be viewed as part of a great revolutionary development which has given mankind the ability to state general goals and to lay out a path of detailed decisions to take in order to 'best' achieve its goals when faced with practical situations of great complexity."

- George Dantzig

**Problem 1.** (10pt) Write down the initial simplex tableau for the following optimization problem:

$$\max z = 4.6x_1 + 3.1x_2 + 7.9x_3$$

$$5.5x_1 - 6x_2 + 1.1x_3 \le 110.3$$

$$-6.7x_1 - 8.3x_3 \le 220.1$$

$$x_1 - 7.7x_2 + 4.5x_3 \le 662.0$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$$

**Solution.** Introducing slack variables into each inequality (except the last non-negativity inequality) to obtain equalities, we have...

Moving things to the 'z'-side of the equality in the function, we have  $z - 4.6x_1 - 3.1x_2 - 7.9x_3 = 0$ . Adding this to the table yields...

This yields the following initial simplex tableau:

**Problem 2.** (10pt) Suppose that the initial simplex tableau below was associated to a standard maximization problem. Write down the function being maximized and the corresponding system of constraints.

**Solution.** Each row of the tableau 'corresponds' to an inequality with the exception of the last row which 'corresponds to the function.' But then there were 4-1=3 inequalities in the original system (ignoring the non-negativity inequality). For each inequality, we introduce a slack variable. Therefore, there were 3 slack variables. Each column of the tableau 'corresponds' to a variable in the system with the exception of the last column which 'corresponds to the solutions.' Therefore, there were 7-1=6 variables in the system. Because 3 of the variables are slack variables, we have 6-3=3 'original' variables in the system of inequalities. Labeling these columns in the tableau, we have...

The last row 'corresponds' to the function. But then we have  $z - 3x_1 - x_2 - 5x_3 = 0$  so that  $z = 3x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3$ . Writing the equalities corresponding to the first 3 rows, we have...

$$2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 + s_1 = 100$$
$$6x_1 + 2x_3 + s_2 = 80$$
$$-4x_1 + 8x_2 + 3x_3 + s_3 = 220$$

Removing the slack variables, we have...

$$2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 \le 100$$
$$6x_1 + 2x_3 \le 80$$
$$-4x_1 + 8x_2 + 3x_3 \le 220$$

Therefore, the original minimization problem was...

$$\max z = 3x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3$$
$$2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 \le 100$$
$$6x_1 + 2x_3 \le 80$$
$$-4x_1 + 8x_2 + 3x_3 \le 220$$
$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$$

**Problem 3.** (10pt) Suppose that the final simplex tableau associated to a maximization problem was the following:

1	1.1	2	0	0	0.22	0.067	-0.011	0	140
0	2.1	1.5	1	0	-0.021	0.23	-0.037	0	85
0	-1.1	-0.59	0	1	0.008	-0.088	0.16	0	42
0	-6.4	-12	0	0	-0.55	-0.45	0.54	1	270
0	2.3	2.3	0	0	0.2	0.59	0.72	0	760

- (a) How many inequalities were considered?
- (b) How many variables were there in the original inequalities?
- (c) How many slack/surplus variables were introduced?
- (d) What was the solution to this maximization problem?

## Solution.

- (a) Each row of the tableau 'corresponds' to an inequality with the exception of the last row which 'corresponds to the function.' But then there were 5-1=4 inequalities in the original system (ignoring the non-negativity inequality).
- (b) Each column of the tableau 'corresponds' to a variable in the system with the exception of the last column which 'corresponds to the solutions.' Therefore, there were 10-1=9 variables in the system. Note by (c), there are 4 slack/surplus variables. Therefore, there were 9-4=5 'original' variables in the system of inequalities.
- (c) Because we introduce a slack/surplus variable for each inequality and by (a) there were 4 inequalities in the original system, there were 4 slack/surplus variables.
- (d) By (b) and (c), there were 5 'original' variables and 4 slack/surplus variables. Therefore, we need find the maximum value along with the values of the variables—namely, the values for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ . Adding 'dividers' to the tableau and 'naming' the columns, we have...

We indicate the pivot positions above. This yields  $x_1 = 140$ ,  $x_4 = 85$ ,  $x_5 = 42$ , and  $s_4 = 270$ . All remaining variables have value 0. The maximum value is 760. Therefore, the maximum value is 760 and occurs at  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = (140, 0, 0, 85, 42, 0, 0, 0, 270)$ .