



Which conference did you register for?

143 responses




What fraction of time did you spend at




What is your opinion on conference colocation?

143 responses




Should CGO/HPCA/PPoPP/CC consider going outside of North America more than once every three years?

141 responses




Please rate




What did you think of the registration costs

142 responses




Considering the cost of the conference and items included, you would prefer

138 responses




Where did you have your accommodation during the night

142 responses




Why did you to submit a paper


140 responses




How would you rate the reviewing process



Please rate



In general, what do you think of (not specific to 2019)



Do you have further suggestions on how the conferences should cooperate?

The disjoint logistic during the conference was a pain point: 3 registration tables, different programs, not a single website to track all the workshop and conferences? It seems like a lot of duplicated work for each of the organizers and a sub-par experience for the attendees. We could benefit from a unified organization, or at least some sort of unified view of the conferences and the schedules.

The LLVM Developer Meeting is natural intersection of area, and the US version in the Fall doesn't typically get much academic content. It could make sense to colocate.

Mix the presentations together, with the same topic in one session.

Better communication about the different conferences being held simultaneously. Links on the website for the different conferences.

There should be a way to view all programs of all conferences (and the respective workshops) together, to make it easier to plan when to switch tracks/conferences.

CC should also happen in parallel -- at least during the main conference days. Many people who attended the other three conferences did not know about CC. Also, a bit of more advertisement would be better.

Consider panels that do not overlap, and focus on the 3 topical areas.

1) have one panel for the three conferences 2) have the talks aligned in time across the three conferences, 3) Maybe try not to have overlapping topics at the same time across the conferences

There should be some more co-ordination between PC chairs to see if there will be some session that can be suggested for every other conference attendees may want to attend. The programs should be made such that some interesting PPOPP session that are interesting for HPCA folks to be scheduled should be mentioned in the HPCA program -- so people will know and would go to them if interested. Also, the sessions similar in HPCA and PPOPP should not coincide of course.

Cross-conference Prof-student mentoring

The conferences felt more or less separate to me. There really wasn't much co-operation during the main conference program. Other than the reception/excursion happening at the same time and each day's Keynote being from one of the conferences, it really felt like 3 entirely separate conferences that happened to book the hotel at the same time.

All the conference programs should be accessible at a common site. Registration packets should include program details for all the conferences

Give attendees the program for all 3 conferences at registration, rather than just the conference that they registered for. This would make it easier for attendees to know what is happening at the other conferences without having to hunt for it.

It would be nice to have joint sessions, or blocks of time where one conference doesn't have talks. This would make it easier for us to attend talks at the other conferences.

Unified schedules

Joint panels would be good --- with panel speakers spread across the conferences.

More unified schedule/program (i.e., same format for listing talks and when they are).

Please continue to coordinate schedules to share breaks and facilitate session-hopping. Please also continue to share the excursion and keynotes. Perhaps consider a panel session on a topic that cuts across architecture, parallelism, and compilation.

common website? (not really sure if it would work well)

In previous years there was a joint schedule provided for all of the conferences. This helped a lot in planning which talks I would attend.

Anything else you would like to tell us?

Hotel charges were too expensive!!

The venue hotel seemed quite expensive, yet there were not enough chairs in some sessions (e.g. C4ML). Another thing: given the registration cost, attendees should've really received a usb with the proceedings. At CGO, we received almost nothing.

Please try to do video recording of at least the most important sessions of these conferences.

Congratulations for outstanding organization

I think the quality of food could be better

CGO/HPCA/PPoPP/CC 2019 Conference Survey

More co-ordination between HPCA, CGO, PPOPP can be useful for all 3 conference -- right now only keynotes are. HPCA industry session seems to be taking on papers that seems very high level even for an industry paper and relies on industry doing due diligence. At this point it seems they are just observation papers from big companies not real research output by them -- just an observation, even though I am from industry.

This year, the cost is too high. Also, climate of the location has some problem. HPCA in Feb should be at cities without snows.

+1 for the following ideas discussed at the hpc panel: having industry invited papers, having industry career fair / meet and greet, poster session, disclosure of conflict / no conflict by every reviewer.

Food was not veg-friendly this year. Loved the review process, especially the option of post-rebuttal revision.

Thanks for organising the conference!

How is paying for additional pages still a thing? There is literally zero impact for having 13 vs 12 pages for IEEE or ACM (which does zero editing for HPCA as far as I am concerned), since I believe there was no paper proceedings that were proposed.

There was a lot of things that I think could have improved HPCA (That didnt fit anywhere on this form!) 1. A lot of conferences have switched to using Whova for registration. I find the Whova app to be really useful. I know who is coming to the conference before I even get there. I can make plans to meet with people beforehand. If I meet people at the conference, I can quickly save their details to contact later. None of this was possible with regonline. 2. There were a ton of issues with food. Firstly, not having tables during breakfast was really a bad idea. It sacrificed prime networking time. A location that didnt allow for having tables should have never been picked. The area around the main lobby with the food got packed so everyone just sat in the keynote room and had to take up 2-3 chairs next to them to use as 'tables'. It felt like a student event rather than a top tier conference! 2. Secondly, the only food during reception was a paltry amount of finger food being passed around. Every other conference I've been to has proper food at reception. This was the first top tier conference that didn't. 3. There was simple NO veggie food during the excursion. The options were steak, shrimp or rice (w/ bacon). For an international conference, not having a single veggie entree was just silly. This is not the 1950s. Accommodating basic dietary restrictions such as vegetarianism is now expected. 4. The hotel room registration site didnt allow us to pick what type of room we wanted; King bed or two double beds. It just said 'run of the house' and said it would be picked for us! As many students have to share a room, finding out when we check-in that we have to share a bed is rather awkward. This is more feedback directed towards the Marriott, but it would have been nice for the local arrangements person to check this! Since HPCA normally takes place in Feb (during the winter), it would be desirable to select a location that minimizes weather-related disruptions.

I think the cost of r 1 day workshop was quite high. The hotel cost was relatively reasonable - though it did not include breakfast.

Hold the conferences in universities, instead of hotels, to reduce cost.

Guidelines on how to run the conference should be written down and passed to the next GCs/PCs. Every time the people are learning how to do it for the first time and make mistakes.

You ran out of lunches during the workshop. That should never happen.

Lightning talks have become too much overhead; way too much program time on them. HPCA should move to lightning videos (see ASPLOS). I never understood the industry session. The community is perfectly capable of evaluating those papers without a need for a special committee or session. I think the steering committee should consider eliminating it.

The survey mentions recorded videos. Where are they?

The meals need to be better for people who are vegetarian, especially during excursion.

The workshop/tutorial lunches were horrible. It is not worth the extra cost in fees for such bad lunches. I was one of the people whose session got out on time (not early) and found that there was no food left. When it finally arrived (at the beginning of the next session), there was no choice, nothing for vegetarians and it was horrible. What was even worse was that on the first day, there was nothing provided to drink with the lunch. Thankfully, I had my own water bottle, but many people were choking down their food with nothing. They finally brought out some water coolers. Don't bother including lunches with the workshops/tutorials in the future. It would have been easier and healthier to leave the hotel and find food locally. The conference was technically great.

I first want to thank all committee members for the organization! As a PPOPP'19 attendee, I would like to suggest: (1) enlarging the font size of the name and affiliation in the badge, as it is too small to recognize; (2) nominating the best paper candidates and awarding the best paper with more considerations of the talk! I feel several presentations are below the quality of a PPOPP paper. The community may need to make the speakers pay more attention to the talk.

There were not good food options for vegetarians.

CGO/HPCA/PPoPP/CC 2019 Conference Survey

please do not use Google services ... you make us pay for the service (with profiling data)

In PPoPP, the best paper session did not seem to include the top candidates. Some pf the presentations and papers out of this session are much better.

At the panel discussion at the end of the conference, it was mentioned that perhaps talks could be shorter and with fewer bar graphs. I thought that was a great idea.