


39 responses

[View all responses](#)
[Publish analytics](#)

Summary


I am



a student	16	41%
a faculty	11	28%
in industry	12	31%
in a government lab	0	0%


Technical Program

The papers presented interesting material




1	0	0%
2	1	3%
3	5	13%
4	23	59%
5	10	26%

The talks were well presented




1	0	0%
2	3	8%
3	10	26%
4	20	51%
5	6	15%

The technical quality of the papers was high




1	0	0%
2	2	5%
3	6	15%
4	21	54%
5	10	26%

The presented papers in my area of work were relevant




1	0	0%
2	1	3%
3	3	8%
4	21	54%
5	14	36%

As a submitting author, the reviews I received were thorough and fair (N/A=0)




0	16	41%
1	0	0%
2	2	5%
3	0	0%
4	10	26%
5	11	28%

The topics of the keynotes were appropriate for the conference




1	1	3%
2	0	0%
3	6	15%
4	11	28%
5	21	54%

The number of papers accepted was



1	0	0%
2	6	15%
3	33	85%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%


The number of keynotes was



1	0	0%
2	2	5%
3	33	85%
4	4	10%
5	0	0%


Location

The location this year (Orlando, FL, USA) made it more likely that I would attend




1	2	5%
2	5	13%
3	13	33%
4	5	13%
5	14	36%

The conference facilities (internet, location, food, etc.) met my needs




1	0	0%
2	4	10%
3	6	15%
4	8	21%
5	21	54%

The meals and break food met my needs



1	0	0%
2	3	8%
3	15	38%
4	9	23%
5	12	31%


My hotel accomodations met my needs



1	1	3%
2	0	0%
3	10	26%
4	16	41%
5	12	31%


General Technical Policies

Future CGOs should have a Wild & Crazy Ideas session



1	2	5%
2	3	8%
3	7	18%
4	15	38%
5	12	31%


I think that author rebuttal is...



1	1	3%
2	2	5%
3	9	23%
4	9	23%
5	18	46%

General Organization Policies


Given the choice of an "interesting/higher cost" location or a "less interesting/lower cost" location, I prefer



More Interesting/Higher Cost	25	64%
Less Interesting/Lower Cost	14	36%


Future CGOs

Do you plan to attend CGO2015: San Francisco Bay Area?




1	0	0%
2	2	5%
3	11	28%
4	16	41%
5	10	26%

If CGO were to be held in Vienna, Austria, how would it affect the likelihood that you would attend?



1	6	15%
2	5	13%
3	9	23%
4	6	15%
5	13	33%

If CGO were to be held in Austin, TX, USA how would it affect the likelihood that you would attend?



1	3	8%
2	7	18%
3	10	26%
4	11	28%
5	8	21%

General

Other suggestions/comments

best presentation award: in the current form, this award will always go to a talk in one of the last sessions. either the voting system is changed, or this award should be dropped. Great conference. Good show. Since Vienna has been hosting many conferences recently, I would prefer a new location. Combine all three conferences into one, it's ridiculous to have three conferences! Well done ! The conference had a strong program and very good organization. Don't fix it! Thank you for a wonderful conference! 0) First of all, this survey should have an N/A option for some questions. For example, not everybody can afford the conference hotel - the government is poor, and fundings are limited. 1) People submit because it is a good conference, not because it is held in a famous tourism city. Putting it in somewhere outside USA presents big visa issues for international students - very limited time to take care of how to get there, and how to get back. Sometimes when deadlines of two comparable conferences are close to each other, the one held in USA is favored. 2) It would be very helpful to send out

presentation instructions way ahead of the conference - how long each talk should be, how many minutes for questions, etc. On the other hand, it is a good idea to ask people to send a short bio to session chair. 3) To get more people to attend, it may be better to move the poster session to the end of a day when regular papers are presented. 1. I think CGO should have had its own keynote. Mixing with PPOPP/HPCA was not all that great. Mark Hill's keynote was like a paper presentation not a keynote style vision, direction, insight style talk. 2. Lunch food was substandard. 3. CGO talks gathered much less attendees compared to what I had seen previously; collocating 3 conferences led to less audience for CGO. 4. Voting for awards looked awkward. I don't think many CGO attendees voted. Btw, did you ensure that only CGO registered attendees vote? How many people registered and how many votes did you count? I suspect it had severe author/presenter bias. If the award winners did not win by a large margin chances are that their team voted them. 1. At the end of early registration, the hotel was already fully booked. 2. Please design a new Logo for CGO!

Number of daily responses

