Set 2

Claire Goeckner-Wald

October 10, 2016

Hoeffding Inequality

1) B. 0.01

See the Hoeffding code. For 100,000 repititions, the average value of v_{min} was about 0.0376.

2) D. c_1 and c_{rand}

See the Hoeffding code. For 100,000 repititions, the average value of c_1 and c_{rand} were about 0.5. They satisfy the single-bin Hoeffding Inequality because they closely resemble the μ value of 0.5. Because these are fair coins, μ , the true distribution of the number of heads in 10 coin flips, should be approximately 0.5. The values v_1 , v_{min} and v_{rand} are different ways of sampling the (coin distribution) bin. But, only c_1 and c_{rand} approximate μ .

Error and Noise

3) E. $\lambda \mu + (1 - \lambda)(1 - \mu)$

Function h approximates f with error μ . But there is a $1-\lambda$ chance that f is wrong. We can determine the probability that h incorrectly approximates y with a decision tree. Note that the functions are binary. This means that if h incorrectly approximates a corrupted f, then h has correctly found y.

4) B. 0.5

$$P(h(x) \neq y) = \lambda \mu + (1 - \lambda)(1 - \mu)$$

$$= \lambda \mu + (1 - \lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu)$$

$$= 2\lambda \mu - \lambda - \mu + 1$$

$$= \mu(2\lambda - 1) - \lambda + 1$$

$$2\lambda - 1 = 0$$

$$2\lambda = 1$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, μ cannot affect the output of $P(h(x) \neq y)$.

Linear Regression

- 5) C. 0.01

 See the linear regression code. For 10,000 repititions, the average in-sample error was 0.039185.
- 6) C. 0.01 See the linear regression code. For 10,000 repititions, the average out-sample error was 0.0484795.
- 7) A. 1 See the linear regression code. For 1,000 repititions, the average numbers of modified PLA iterations required is 5.334

Nonlinear Transformation

8) D. 0.5

See the non-linear transformation code. For 1,000 repititions, the average in-sample error for the non-transformed data was 0.51429.

- 9) A. $sign(-1 0.05 * x_1 + 0.08 * x_2 + 0.13 * x_1 * x_2 + 1.5 * x_1 * *2 + 1.5 * x_2 * *2)$ See the non-linear transformation code. For 1000 test data points, the functions a - e had accuracy rates of 0.952, 0.693, 0.677, 0.614, and 0.547, respectively. Thus, [a] most resembles the hypothesis found.
- **10)** A. 0

See the non-linear transformation code. For 1,000 repititions, the average out-sample error for the transformed data was 0.033848.