Problem Set 5

Claire Goeckner-Wald

October 30, 2016

Linear Regression Error

1. [c] 100

$$\sigma = 0.1$$

$$d = 8$$

$$E_{in} > 0.008$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] > \sigma^{2}(1 - \frac{d+1}{N})$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})]}{\sigma^{2}} > 1 - \frac{d+1}{N}$$

$$\frac{d+1}{N} > 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})]}{\sigma^{2}}$$

$$N > \frac{d+1}{1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})]}{\sigma^{2}}}$$

$$N > \frac{8+1}{1 - \frac{0.008}{0.1^{2}}}$$

$$N > 45$$

Nonlinear Transforms

2. [D] $\widetilde{w}_1 < 0, \widetilde{w}_2 > 0$

$$\Phi(1, x_1, x_2) = (1, x_1^2, x_2^2)$$

$$\operatorname{sign}(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \cdot \widetilde{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(\widetilde{w}_0 \cdot 1 + \widetilde{w}_1 \cdot \widetilde{x}_1 + \widetilde{w}_2 \cdot \widetilde{x}_2)$$

As \widetilde{x}_1 increases, the function should be more likely to return a negative number. Thus, \widetilde{w}_1 should be negative as well. As \widetilde{x}_2 increases, the function should be more likely to return a positive number. Thus, \widetilde{w}_2 should be positive as well.

3. [D] 20

The $d_{vc} \leq d+1$. Here, d=15, so $d_{vc} \leq 16$. Since none of the additional values are linear combinations of x_1 and x_2 , then they are each new dimensions.

Gradient Descent

4. [D] $\widetilde{w}_1 < 0; \widetilde{w}_2 > 0$

$$\begin{split} E(u,v) &= (ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2 \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial (ue^v - 2ve^{-u})^2}{\partial u} \\ &= 2(ue^v - 2ve^{-u}) \cdot (e^v + 2ve^{-u}) \end{split}$$

- **5.** [D] 10 See attached code.
- **6.** [E] (0.045, 0.024)
- 7. [A] 10^{-1}

Logistic Regression

- 8.
- 9.

PLA as SGD

10.