Claire Goeckner-Wald 27 July 2015

1. Let  $m \neq 0$  and b be real numbers and consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by f(x) = mx + b.

(a) **Proposition.** The function f is a bijection.

**Discussion.** A function  $f: S \to T$  is a bijection if it is both an injection and a surjection. In a bijection, we have that for every  $t \in T$ , there is exactly one pre-image. To prove that f is a bijection, we will individually prove that it is a surjection and that it is an injection.

A function is surjective when the image of the function is the entire co-domain. To prove that f is a surjection, we must show that for every  $t \in T$ , there exists some  $s \in S$  such that f(s) = t. To do so, we will assume a  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and show that it has a corresponding pre-image in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

A function is injective if it has the property that, if  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are distinct elements, then their outputs  $f(s_1)$  and  $f(s_2)$  are also distinct elements. To prove that f is an injection, we must show that whenever  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$ .

**Proof.** A function f is a bijection if it is both an injection and a surjection. In a bijection, we have that for every element in the output set, there is exactly one pre-image. We will first show that f is a surjection, and then that it is an *injection*. To show that f is surjective, assume some  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ , the output set. We will show that all  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  have a corresponding pre-image in input set  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will show that g = f(x), or g = mx + b. Let us solve for g = x by subtracting g = x because g = x because g = x by and g = x by a real number, g = x by and g = x because g = x by and g = x by a real number, g = x by and g = x by and g = x by a real number, g = x by and g = x by an angle g

To show that f is injective, assume two real numbers  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  such that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ . We will show that if  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$ . Note that  $f(s_1) = ms_1 + b$  and that  $f(s_2) = ms_2 + b$ . Since we have assumed that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then  $ms_1 + b = ms_2 + b$ . First, subtract both sides of the equation by b for  $ms_1 = ms_2$ . Next, divide by m to show that  $s_1 = s_2$ , therefore f is injective.

Since we have shown that f is both surjective and injective, f is a bijection, as desired.

(b) **Proposition.** Since f is a bijection, it is invertible. Show that  $f^{-1}$  is an inverse by demonstrating that

$$f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$$

**Discussion.** Since  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a bijection, it is invertible. The inverse function  $f^{-1}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is given by the function  $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{x-b}{m}$ . We must show that  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ .

**Proof.** Assume that the inverse of the function f is given by  $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{x-b}{m}$ . We will show that  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ . One can see that this is indeed the inverse since

$$f^{-1}(f(x)) = f^{-1}(mx+b) = \frac{(mx+b)-b}{m} = \frac{mx}{m} = x$$

Therefore, since it is true that  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ , then  $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{x-b}{m}$  is the inverse of the bijection f = mx + b.

2. **Proposition.** Let  $\gamma$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \cdot \rho \neq 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$  and  $\mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\}$  be the set of all real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  except for  $\gamma$  and  $-\rho$ , respectively. Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\} \to \mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$  given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\gamma x + 1}{x + \rho}$$

The function f(x) is a bijection.

**Discussion.** A function  $f: S \to T$  is a bijection if it is both an injection and a surjection. In a bijection, we have that for every  $t \in T$ , there is exactly one pre-image. To prove that f is a bijection, we will individually prove that it is a surjection and that it is an injection.

A function is surjective when the image of the function is the entire co-domain. To prove that f is a surjection, we must show that for every  $t \in T$ , there exists some  $s \in S$  such that f(s) = t. To do so, we will assume a  $y \in \mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$  and show that it has a corresponding pre-image in  $\mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\}$ .

A function is injective if it has the property that, if  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are distinct elements, then their outputs  $f(s_1)$  and  $f(s_2)$  are also distinct elements. To prove that f is an injection, we must show that whenever  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$ .

The described function f is interesting in that the exact natures of the input and output sets are unknown. Because  $\gamma \cdot \rho \neq 1$  has been declared, we must note that  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  can be both any any real number with the exception that they cannot both simultaneously be equal to 1 and cannot both simultaneously be equal to -1. Thus, having an input set  $\mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\}$  and an output set  $\mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$ , there are two cases involving the nature of these sets. One, that the input set is all real numbers except  $\{1\}$  while the output set is all real numbers except  $\{-1\}$  while the output set is all real numbers except  $\{-1\}$  while the output set is all real numbers except  $\{-1\}$  while the output set is all real numbers except  $\{1\}$ . We will consider both of these cases in the proof.

**Proof.** A function  $f: S \to T$  is a bijection if it is both an injection and a surjection. In a bijection, we have that for every  $t \in T$ , there is exactly one pre-image. To prove that f is a bijection, we will individually prove that it is a surjection and that it is an injection.

To prove that f is surjective, assume some  $y \in \mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$ , the output set. Note that we have declared that  $\gamma \cdot \rho \neq 1$ . Therefore, we cannot have that both  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\rho = 1$ , and we cannot have that both  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\rho = -1$ , because in these two cases,  $\gamma \cdot \rho = 1$ . In both cases, we will consider the  $y \in \mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$  to show that all y have a corresponding pre-image in input set  $\mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\}$ .

First, we will set y = f(x). This is equal to  $y = \frac{\gamma x + 1}{x + \rho}$ . Now, we will solve for x. First, multiply both sides by  $x + \rho$  for

$$y(x+\rho) = \gamma x + 1.$$

Next, expand the equation for

$$xy + \rho y = \gamma x + 1$$
.

Now subtract 1 + xy from the equation for

$$y\rho - 1 = \gamma x - xy.$$

Isolate x, then divide both sides by  $\gamma - y$  for

$$y\rho - 1 = x(\gamma - y)$$
$$\frac{y\rho - 1}{\gamma - y} = x.$$

Now we know that  $x = \frac{y\rho-1}{\gamma-y}$ , where  $\gamma \cdot \rho \neq 1$ . Because  $y, \gamma$ , and  $\rho$  are all real numbers, x is a real number, as well. Moreover, remember that  $\gamma \cdot \rho \neq 1$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R} - \{\gamma\}$ . Therefore  $y \neq \gamma$ , so x will never be equal to  $-\rho$ . Therefore  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{-\rho\}$  and the function f is surjective.

To show that f is injective, assume two real numbers  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  such that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ . We will show that if  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$ . We will begin with the assumption that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ . Note that

$$f(s_1) = \frac{\gamma s_1 + 1}{s_1 + \rho}$$
$$f(s_2) = \frac{\gamma s_2 + 1}{s_2 + \rho}.$$

Now, since we know that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , we can set the two equations equal to each other, like so

$$\frac{\gamma s_1 + 1}{s_1 + \rho} = \frac{\gamma s_2 + 1}{s_2 + \rho}.$$

Next, we cross-multiply first by  $s_1 + \rho$  and then by  $s_2 + \rho$  for

$$(\gamma s_1 + 1)(s_2 + \rho) = (\gamma s_2 + 1)(s_1 + \rho).$$

Next, we will expand the equation for

$$s_1 \gamma s_2 + s_1 \gamma \rho + s_2 + \rho = \gamma s_2 s_1 + \gamma s_2 \rho + s_1 + \rho.$$

Next, rearrange the equation for readability, to

$$\rho + s_2 + s_1 \gamma \rho + s_1 \gamma s_2 = \rho + \gamma \rho s_2 + s_1 + s_1 \gamma s_2.$$

Now we will isolate  $s_1$  to find that

$$\rho + s_2 + s_1(\gamma \rho + \gamma s_2) = \rho + \gamma \rho s_2 + s_1(1 + \gamma s_2).$$

We continue the process of isolating  $s_1$  by subtracting  $\rho$ ,  $s_2$ , and  $s_1(1 + \gamma s_2)$  from both sides of the equation. First, we subtract  $\rho$  for

$$s_2 + s_1(\gamma \rho + \gamma s_2) = \gamma \rho s_2 + s_1(1 + \gamma s_2).$$

Next, subtract  $s_2$  for

$$s_1(\gamma \rho + \gamma s_2) = \gamma \rho s_2 + s_1(1 + \gamma s_2) - s_2.$$

Finally, subtract  $s_1(1 + \gamma s_2)$  for

$$s_1(\gamma \rho + \gamma s_2) - s_1(1 + \gamma s_2) = \gamma \rho s_2 - s_2.$$

Now we can simplify the left side of this equation by removing the addition and subsequent subtraction of  $s_1\gamma s_2$  for the equation

$$s_1(\gamma \rho) - s_1(1) = \gamma \rho s_2 - s_2$$
  
 $s_1(\gamma \rho - 1) = \gamma \rho s_2 - s_2.$ 

Isolate the variable  $s_2$  to achieve

$$s_1(\gamma \rho - 1) = s_2(\gamma \rho - 1)$$

Lastly, divide both sides by  $\gamma \rho - 1$  for

$$s_1 = s_2$$

Because we have shown that if  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , then it is known that  $s_1 = s_2$ , we have proved that the function f is an injection.

Since we have shown that f is both surjective and injective, f is a bijection, as desired.

3. **Proposition.** Let S, T, and R be sets, and let  $f: S \to T$  and  $g: T \to R$  be functions. Show that if  $g \circ f$  is injective, then f is injective.

**Discussion.** By the definition of an injective function, if  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are distinct elements, then their outputs are also distinct elements. In other words, if  $g \circ f$  is injective, then when  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , it is true that  $g \circ f(s_1) \neq g \circ f(s_2)$ . Note that  $g \circ f$  is a composition function so that  $g \circ f : S \to R$  given by

$$g \circ f(s) = g(f(s)).$$

It is also worthy to note that injective function property as noted above can be manipulated using DeMorgan's Logic Law:  $\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$ . Thus, it is also the case that whenever  $g \circ f(s_1) = g \circ f(s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$ .

We will use proof by contrapositive to show that f is injective; therefore, we will assume that f is not injective. Next, we will show that because f is not injective, the composition function  $g \circ f$  cannot be injective either. We arrive at a contradiction that shows that  $g \circ f$  cannot be injective, although it indeed has been declared injective. Thus we will prove that because the function  $g \circ f$  is injective, f must be injective, as well.

**Proof.** Assume distinct elements  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is injective, then when  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , it is true that  $g \circ f(s_1) \neq g \circ f(s_2)$  by the property of injective functions. Furthermore, assume that the function f is not injective. Thus, there are such  $s_1 \neq s_2$  so that  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ . Now, we will input these elements into our composition function  $g \circ f$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is injective, we can see that when  $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$ , it is implied that  $g(f(s_1)) = g(f(s_2))$  by the property of injective functions. In other notation,  $g \circ f(s_1) = g \circ (s_2)$ .

However, from the very beginning, we concluded that because  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , it must be true that  $g \circ f(s_1) \neq g \circ f(s_2)$ . Thus, when we assume that f is not injective, we arrive at a contradiction and are unable to show that  $g \circ f$  is injective. Therefore, for  $g \circ f$  to be injective, as declared, f must be injective too, as desired.

4. Let C([0,1]) be the set of all real, continuous functions on the interval [0,1]. That is,

$$C([0,1]) = \{f|f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a continuous function}\}.$$

Thus, an element of the set C([0,1]) is simply a function f(x) that is continuous on [0,1]. Furthermore, consider the function  $\varphi: C([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\varphi(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$$

(a) **Proposition.** The function  $\varphi$  is surjective because for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a pre-image  $f \in C([0,1])$  such that  $\varphi(f) = a$ .

**Discussion.** A function is surjective when the image of the function is the entire co-domain. To prove that the function  $\varphi(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$  is surjective, we will show that for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the output set, there exists a pre-image  $f \in C([0,1])$  such that  $\varphi(f) = a$ . In other words, for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is a real, continuous function that defines an area of a under itself and above the x-axis over the closed interval [0,1].

**Proof.** Consider the function f(x) = n where  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function f is any function that is equal to some real number n at any point x. Therefore, f is a real, continuous function over [0,1], and is thus contained in set C. We will apply f(x) = n to the function  $\varphi$  to see that

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \int_0^1 f(x)dx = \int_0^1 ndx = nx \Big|_0^1 = n(1) - n(0) = n.$$

We can see that for some function f(x) = n in  $\varphi$ , there exists some output  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Having already stated that n can be any number contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it becomes apparent that there is indeed some function f for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, the function  $\varphi$  is surjective, as desired.

(b) **Proposition.** The function  $\varphi$  is not injective because there exist two distinct functions  $f, g \in C([0,1])$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g)$ .

**Discussion.** An injective function has the property that, for two distinct inputs, there are two distinct outputs. So, to prove that the function  $\varphi$  is not injective, we will simply procure two distinct example functions  $f, g \in C([0,1])$  and demonstrate that  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g)$ . Therefore, the function  $\varphi$  is not injective.

**Proof.** Consider a function f(x) = 1 and a function g(x) = 2x. These two functions are contained in the set C because they are real, continuous functions over the closed interval [0,1].

If it were the case that the function  $\varphi$  is injective, it would be true that for two distinct inputs, such as the functions f and g defined, then  $\varphi(f) \neq \varphi(g)$ . However, this is not the case.

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \int_0^1 f(x)dx = \int_0^1 (1)dx = x \Big|_0^1 = 1 - 0 = 1$$

$$\varphi(g(x)) = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 (2x)dx = x^2 \Big|_0^1 = 1^2 - 0^2 = 1$$

Thus, because  $\varphi(f) = 1 = \varphi(g)$  despite having two distinct inputs f and g, the function  $\varphi$  violates the properties of an injection. Therefore, the  $\varphi$  function is not injective, as desired.