# The probability of edge existence due to node degree: a baseline for network-based predictions

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>greenelab/xswap-manuscript@5c23011</u> on August 8, 2019.

## **Authors**

#### • Michael Zietz

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America; Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America · Funded by ['Roy and Diana Vagelos Scholars Program in the Molecular Life Sciences', 'the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF4552)']

#### Daniel S. Himmelstein

© 0000-0002-3012-7446 · ○ dhimmel · У dhimmel

Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America · Funded by Pfizer Worldwide Research, Development, and Medical; the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF4552)

#### Christopher Williams

· 🕝 chrsunwil

Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

#### Michael W. Nagle

D 0000-0002-4677-7582 · ○ naglem · У MikeNagle84

Internal Medicine Research Unit, Pfizer Worldwide Research, Development, and Medical

#### Casey S. Greene

© 0000-0001-8713-9213 · ○ cgreene · У greenescientist

Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America · Funded by ['Pfizer Worldwide Research, Development, and Medical', 'the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF4552)', 'the National Institutes of Health (R01 HG010067)']

#### **Abstract**

Networks of biomedical data rarely consist of all true relationships. Instead, networks contain spurious relationships while omitting actual relationships. How a network deviates from the real set of relationships is often biased according to node degree, resulting from processes such as inspection bias and experimental methods. While degree is subject to potentially substantial biases, link prediction methods can be strongly affected by degree. In the present work, we introduce a network permutation framework to quantify the effect of node degree on network-based methods and prediction tasks. We introduce the "edge prior" to quantify the probability that two nodes are connected based only on their degree. After demonstrating that this prior feature shows excellent discrimination and calibration performance for 20 different biomedical networks (16 bipartite, 3 undirected, 1 directed), we conclude that our prior feature represents a suitable baseline for network link prediction tasks, as performance exceeding the baseline is attributable to factors other than degree alone. Additionally, we propose methods to incorporate network permutation and the edge prior into other predictive methods. Our results highlight the importance of degree for link prediction and provide a way to account for its effects when degree bias may be present. We have released a full implementation of our network permutation method and the edge prior as an open-source Python package on GitHub.

## Introduction

## Node degree

Figure 1: Degree figure

Figure 1: Degree figure

## **Edge prediction**

Feature-degree correlation

#### Methods

#### **Network permutation**

#### XSwap algorithm

## **Edge prior**

We introduce the "edge prior" to quantify the probability that two nodes are connected based only on their degree. The edge prior can be estimated using the maximum likelihood estimate for the binomial distribution success probability—the fraction of permuted networks in which a given edge exists. Based only on permuted networks, the edge prior does not contain any information about the true edges in the (unpermuted) network. The edge prior is a numerical feature that can be computed for each node pair in a network, and we compared its ability to predict edges in three tasks, discussed below.

## **Edge prior approximation**

We also considered the possibility that the probability of an edge existing across permuted networks could be written as a closed form equation involving the node pair's degree. We were unable to find a closed-form solution giving the edge prior without assuming independent node pairs, which we

believe is incorrect for XSwap. Nonetheless, we discovered a good approximation to the edge prior for networks with many nodes and relatively low edge density.

Let m be the total number of edge in the network, and  $d(u_i)$ ,  $d(v_i)$  be the source and target degrees

of a node pair, respectively. A good approximation of the edge prior is given by the following: 
$$P_{i,j} = \frac{d(u_i)d(v_j)}{\sqrt{(d(u_i)d(v_j))^2 + (m-d(u_i)-d(v_j)+1)^2}}$$

Further discussion of this approximate edge prior and an derivation are available in the supplement.

#### **Prediction tasks**

## **Degree-grouping**

## Implementation and source code

## **Results**

Figure 2: Discrimination figure

Figure 2: Discrimination figure

Figure 3: Calibration figure

Figure 3: Calibration figure

## **Discussion**

## Conclusion

#### References

# **Supplemental information**

## Approximate edge prior

To approximate the edge prior, we began by making two simplifications. First, we assumed independence between node pairs. This assumption does not actually hold for the XSwap algorithm, though it is a reasonable simplification for large, sparse networks. Second, we assumed that the XSwap process is stationary. This assumption also does not actually hold, but it was made because it significantly simplifies the problem. A single node pair has two possible states, "edge" and "no edge". These states are not transient, and they are not periodic so long as more than one possible swap exists in the network. In almost all cases, then, our simplified model of the algorithm gives the state of a node pair as an ergodic process, independent of other node pairs.

Let  $A_{i,j}$  represent the existence of edge (i,j) For a given node pair, (i,j), then, let  $q_{i,j}$  represent the transition probability from the "no edge" state to the "edge" state in one successful iteration of the XSwap algorithm. Let  $r_{i,j}$  represent the probability of the opposite transition ("edge" to "no edge") in one successful iteration. With "no edge" represented as  $[1,0]^T$  and "edge" represented as  $[0,1]^T$ , the transition matrix, P, is given by the following:

$$P^T = egin{bmatrix} 1-q & r \ q & 1-r \end{bmatrix}$$

The stationary distribution of this system should correspond to the distribution when the number of swaps goes to infinity. It can be found by computing the eigenvectors of the system, as we know that the stationary distribution vector,  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfies  $P^T\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ . The normalized eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = rac{1}{r/q+1}igg[egin{matrix} r/q \ 1 \end{matrix}igg]$$

The asymptotic edge probability is therefore

$$rac{1}{r/q+1}.$$

Since node pairs are being treated as independent, the probability of an edge being created in one successful iteration, given that the edge does not currently exist, is the ratio of the number of edge choices involving nodes i and j to the total number of possible swaps, S. Let  $d(u_i)$  represent the degree of source node i and  $d(v_j)$  represent the degree of target node j.

$$q_{i,j} = rac{d(u_i)d(v_j)}{S}$$

Similarly, the probability of an edge being eliminated in one iteration is the ratio of the number of edge choices involving (i,j) and any other valid edge to the total number of possible swaps. Let m be the total number of edges in the network.

$$r_{i,j} = rac{m-d(u_i)-d(v_j)+1}{S}$$

The approximate edge prior is, therefore,

$$\frac{d(u_i)d(v_j)}{m-d(u_i)-d(v_j)+1+d(u_i)d(v_j)}.$$

Unfortunately, we found that the above edge prior approximation is a poor approximation in many cases. We found that the following modified form (introduced in Methods) affords a superior approximation:

$$P_{i,j} = rac{d(u_i)d(v_j)}{\sqrt{(d(u_i)d(v_j))^2 + (m - d(u_i) - d(v_j) + 1)^2}}$$

Because the modified form of the approximation offers a much superior fit to the data, we chose to include only the modified version in the Python package released, and we used only the modified form throughout our analysis.