
Requirements Review

Task 03 - Team Green

Christian Kocher

Fabio Caggiano

Marc Häsler

Marius Schär

Severin Kaderli

2019-04-10

1 Requirement Review

With this document we review the Requirements Document for **Team Blue**.

Page	Criteria	Reason / Comment / Improvement suggestion
4	Completeness	Non Functional Use Cases are missing.
4	Traceability	The origin of the requirements is not explicitly stated (in this case it would be the interviewees). Perhaps add it to the introduction or to the individual requirements.
4 + 5	Validity	The Backoffice Client and Frontoffice Client seem to provide all necessary requirements for the team leader and staff member. No flaws.
4 + 6	Consistency	By scheduling employees and patients services, it could be difficult to consider appointment changes at short notice. But on the whole, processes are clearly described.
8	Comprehensibility	Variants are hard to follow. An (activity) diagram or multiple tables might be easier.
8 + 9	Adaptability	The defined requirements seem to be neatly organised and independent of each other.
9	Completeness	SRS_105 describes that masterdata can be created. A Use Case for this requirement is missing.
11	Adaptability	Take note that any changes to the datamodel will likely require changes to both clients which connect to the backend via API. This means that changes may potentially cause twice as much work to implement.
14	Verifiability	Usability: It is not defined for example how the User Interface should be, that someone else is able to use the PMS.
14	Verifiability	Scalability: General formulation. It is not defined for how many Users, etc.

We award Team Blue 8 / 10 points.