

Week 8 Reflection

There is no tutorial this week. And we are focusing on how to bring up an available and useful method to increase the accuracy of extracting expecting labels.

This problem what we met have mentioned in the risk management table. This reminds us that it is important to make a risk registration form and propose countermeasures before the start of the overall part of the project.

When we discussed the next steps based on the countermeasures in the risk management table, our team had a disagreement. Some members believe that we can change the API we use to try to improve accuracy. Another part of the members believes that we can obtain the expected label through other means to improve accuracy.

After the argument at the meeting was inconclusive, the team's manager proposed that we can spend a day to search the literature to prove that our method can improve the accuracy or find a useful tool that can provide better accuracy than the current API. In the end, everyone reached an agreement, the difference was resolved, and the accuracy was improved.

In the implementation of a project, disagreements and contradictions among team members often occur. This week's experience can show that when conflicts occur, there is no need for everyone to agree on ideas immediately. The manager of the team should act as a coordinator and middleman in the middle, provide a time buffer, and provide time for both parties to find proof of supporting theories. In this process, the differences themselves will be buffered and weakened.