Characterization of the USDA *Cucurbita pepo*, *C. moschata*, and *C. maxima Germplasm* Collections

Christopher O. Hernandez 1 , Joanne Labate 2 , Bob Jarret 3 , Kathleen Reitsma 4 , Jack Fabrizio 5 , Kan Bao 6 , Zhangjun Fei 6,7 , Rebecca Grumet 8 and Michael Mazourek 5*

Correspondence*: Michael Mazourek mm284@cornell.edu

2 ABSTRACT

- 3 The *Cucurbita* genus is home to a number of economically and culturally important species.
- 4 We present the analysis of genotype data generated through genotyping-by-sequencing of the
- 5 USDA germplasm collections of *Cucurbita pepo, C. moschata*, and *C. maxima*. These collections
- 6 include a mixture of wild, landrace, and cultivated specimens from all over the world. Roughly
- 7 1,500 32,000 high-quality single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were called in each of
- 8 the collections, which ranged in size from 314 to 829 accessions. Genomic analyses were
- 9 conducted to characterize the diversity in each of the species. Analysis revealed extensive
- 10 structure corresponding to a combination of geographical origin and morphotype/market class.
- 11 Genome-wide associate studies (GWAS) were conducted using both historical and contemporary
- data. Signals were observed for several traits, but the strongest was for the bush (Bu) gene in C.
- pepo. Analysis of genomic heritability, together with population structure and GWAS results, was
- 14 used to demonstrate a close alignment of seed size and maturity with genetic subgroups. These
- data represent a large, valuable collection of sequenced *Cucurbita* that can be used to direct
- the maintenance of genetic diversity, for developing breeding resources, and to help prioritize
- 17 whole-genome re-sequencing.

¹ Department of Agriculture Nutrition and Food Systems, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 03824 USA

² Plant Genetic Resource Conservation Unit, United States Department of Agricultural Research Service, Geneva, NY, 14456 USA

³ Plant Genetic Resource Conservation Unit, United States Department of Agricultural Research Service, Griffin, GA, 30223 USA

⁴North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 50014 USA

⁵Plant Breeding and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853 USA

⁶Boyce Thompson Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853 USA

⁷U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agriculture Research Service, Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, Ithaca, NY, 14853, USA

⁸Department of Horticulture, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824 USA

49

50

51

53

54

55

57

58

Keywords: Germplasm, Genotyping-by-sequencing, GWAS, Diversity, Cucurbita

1 INTRODUCTION

The Cucurbitaceae (Cucurbit) family is home to a number of vining species mostly cultivated for their fruits. This diverse and economically important family includes cucumber (Cucumis sativus), melon 20 (Cucumis melo), watermelon (Citrullus lanatus), and squash (Cucurbita ssp.)(Ferriol and Picó, 2008). 21 Like other cucurbits, squash exhibit diversity in growth habit, fruit morphology, metabolite content and 22 disease resistance, and have a nuanced domestication story (Chomicki et al., 2020; Paris and Brown, 23 2005). The genomes of *Cucurbita ssp.* are small (roughly 400 Mb), but result from complex interactions 24 between ancient genomes brought together through an allopolyploidization event (Sun et al., 2017). These 25 factors make squash an excellent model for understanding the biology of genomes, fruit development, and 26 domestication. Within *Cucurbita*, five species are recognized as domesticated. Three of these are broadly 27 cultivated: C. maxima, C. moschata, and C. pepo (Ferriol and Picó, 2008). Few genomic resources have 28 been available for these species; although, draft genomes and annotations, along with web-based tools and 29 other genomics data are emerging (Yu et al., 2022). Already, these resources have been used to elucidate 30 the genetics of fruit quality, growth habit, disease resistance, and to increase the efficiency of cucurbit 31 improvement (Montero-Pau et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2017; Kaźmińska et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019a; 32 Xanthopoulou et al., 2019; Hernandez et al., 2020); however, there has yet to be a comprehensive survey of 33 the genetic diversity in the large diverse Cucurbita germplasm panels maintained by the USDA within the 34 National Plant Germplasm System. 35

36 Germplasm collections play a vital role in maintaining and preserving genetic variation. These collections 37 can be mined by breeders for valuable alleles. They can also be used by geneticists and biologists for 38 mapping studies (McCouch et al., 2020). Like many other orphan and specialty crops, there has been little effort put into developing community genetic resources for squash and other cucurbits. The Cucurbit 40 Coordinated Agricultural Project (CucCAP project) was established to help close the knowledge gap in cucurbits (Grumet et al., 2021). This collaborative project aims to provide genomics resources and tools 41 42 that can aid in both applied breeding and basic research. The genetic and phenotypic diversity present 43 in the USDA watermelon, melon, and cucumber collections has already been explored as part of the CucCap project, partially through the sequencing of USDA germplasm collections and development of 44 45 core collections for whole-genome sequencing (Wang et al., 2021, 2018; Wu et al., 2019b). The diverse 46 specimens of the USDA squash collections have yet to be well characterized at the genetic level. An understanding of squash diversity requires an appreciation of the elaborate system used to classify squash. 47

The classification system used in squash is complex. Squash from each species can be classed as winter or summer squash depending on whether the fruit is consumed at an immature or mature stage, the latter is a winter squash (Loy, 2004). Squash are considered ornamental if they are used for decoration, and some irregularly shaped, inedible ornamental squash are called gourds; however, gourds include members of Cucurbita as well as some species from Lagenaria—not all gourds are squash (Paris, 2015). Many squash 52 are known as pumpkins; the pumpkin designation is a culture dependent colloquialism that can refer to jack O' lantern types, squash used for desserts or, in some Latin American countries, to eating squash from C. moschata known locally as Calabaza (Ferriol and Picó, 2008). Cultivars deemed as pumpkins can be found in all widely cultivated squash species. Unlike the previous groupings, morphotypes/market classes are defined within species. For example, a Zucchini is reliably a member of C. pepo and Buttercups are from C. maxima. Adding to the complexity of their classification, the Cucurbita species are believed to have

63

69

arisen from independent domestication events and the relationships between cultivated and wild species 60 remain poorly understood (Kates et al., 2017).

C. pepo is the most economically important of the Cucurbita species and is split into two different 62 subspecies: C. pepo subsp. pepo and C. pepo subsp. ovifera (Xanthopoulou et al., 2019). Evidence points to Mexico as the center of origin for pepo and southwest/central United States as the origin of ovifera. The progenitor of ovifera is considered by some to be subsp. ovifera var. texana, whereas subsp. fraterna is a 65 candidate progenitor for pepo (Kates et al., 2017). Europe played a crucial role as a secondary center of diversification for subsp. pepo, but not subsp. ovifera (Lust and Paris, 2016). Important morphoptypes of 66 pepo include Zucchini, Spaghetti, Cocozelle, Vegetable Marrow, and some ornamental pumpkins. C. pepo 67 68 subsp. ovifera includes summer squash from the Crookneck, Scallop, and Straightneck group, and winter squash such as Delicata and Acorn (Paris et al., 2012).

The origin of C. moschata is more uncertain than C. pepo; it is unclear whether C. moschata has a South 70 or North American origin (Chomicki et al., 2020). Where and when domestication occurred for this species 71 is also unknown; however, it is known that C. moschata had an India-Myanmar secondary center of origin where the species was further diversified (Sun et al., 2017). C. moschata plays an important role in squash 73 breeding as it is cross-fertile to various degrees with C. pepo and C. maxima, and can thus be used as a 74 bridge to move genes across species (Sun et al., 2017). Popular market classes of C. moschata include 75 76 cheese types like Dickinson, which is widely used for canned pumpkin products, Butternut (Neck) types, Japonica, and tropical pumpkins known as Calabaza (Ferriol and Picó, 2008). 77

78 C. maxima contains many popular winter squash including Buttercup/Kabocha types, Kuri, Hubbard, 79 and Banana squash (Ferriol and Picó, 2008). This species also sports the world's largest fruit, the giant 80 pumpkin, whose fruit are grown for competition and can reach well over 1000 Kg (Savage et al., 2015). 81 Although this species exhibits a wide range of phenotypic diversity in terms of fruit characteristics, it appears to be the least genetically diverse of the three species described (Kates et al., 2017). C. maxima is 82 83 believed to have a South American origin, and was likely domesticated near Peru, with a secondary center 84 of domestication in Japan and China (Nee, 1990; Sun et al., 2017).

In this study, we set out to characterize the genetic diversity present in the USDA Cucurbita germplasm 85 collections for C. pepo, C. moschata, and C. maxima. We present genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data 86 from each of these collections, population genomics analysis, results from genome-wide association studies 87 (GWAS) using historical and contemporary phenotypes, and suggest a core panel for re-sequencing. 88

2 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Plant Materials and Genotyping

All available germplasm were requested from USDA cooperators for C. maxima (534 accessions from 90 Geneva, NY), C. moschata (314 accessions from Griffin, GA), and C. pepo (829 accessions from Ames, 91 IA). Seeds were planted in 50-cell trays and two 19 mm punches of tissue (approximately 80-150 mg) was sampled from the first true leaf of each seedling. DNA was extracted using Omega Mag-Bind Plant DNA 93 DS kits (M1130, Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA) and quantified using Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Kit 94 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Purified DNA was shipped to Cornell's Genomic Diversity Facility for GBS 96 library preparation using protocols optimized for each species. Libraries were sequenced at either 96, 192, 97 or 384-plex on the HiSeq 2500 (Illumina Inc., USA) with single-end mode and a read length of 101 bp.

2.2 Variant Calling and Filtering

- 99 SNP calling was conducted using the TASSEL-GBS V5 pipeline (Glaubitz et al., 2014). Tags produced
- 100 by this pipeline were aligned using the default settings of the BWA aligner (Li and Durbin, 2009). Raw
- 101 variants were filtered using BCFtools (Danecek et al., 2021). Settings for filtering SNPs were as follows,
- minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05, missingness < 0.4, and biallelic. Nine genotypes were removed
- based on missing data and preliminary PCA results in C. maxima. One genotype was removed from C.
- 104 pepo (See Supplemental info). Variants were further filtered for specific uses as described below.

105 2.3 Population Genomics Analysis

- ADMIXTURE (Alexander and Lange, 2011), which uses a model-based approach to infer ancestral
- 107 populations (k) and admixture proportions in a given sample, was used to explore population structure in
- 108 each dataset. ADMIXTURE does not model linkage disequilibrium (LD); thus, marker sets were further
- 109 filtered to obtain SNPs in approximate linkage equilibrium using the "-indep-pairwise" option in PLINK
- 110 (Purcell et al., 2007) with r^2 set to 0.1, a window size of 50 SNPs, and a 10 SNP step size. All samples
- 111 labeled as cultivars or breeding material were removed from the data prior to running ADMIXTURE.
- 112 These samples were removed to prevent structure created through breeding from appearing as ancestral
- 113 populations. Ancestral populations were then assigned to cultivars after training on data without the
- 114 cultivars using the program's projection feature. Cross-validation was used to determine the best k value
- 115 for each species. Briefly, ADMIXTURE was run with different values (1-20) and the cross-validation error
- 116 was reported for each k. The most parsimonious k value with minimal cross-validation error was chosen
- 117 for each species.
- Principal components analysis (PCA) was used as a model-free way of determining population structure.
- 119 PCA was conducted using SNPRelate (Zheng et al., 2012) on the same LD-pruned data used by
- 120 ADMIXTURE.
- Linkage disequilibrium was calculated in each germplasm panel using VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011)
- 122 with the settings "-geno-r2 -ld-window 1000". Filtered, but not pruned, data were used for the LD
- 123 calculation.

124 2.4 Analysis of Phenotypic Data

- Historical data were obtained from the USDA Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN;
- 126 www.ars-grin.gov) for C. maxima, C. pepo, and C. moschata. All duplicated entries were removed for
- 127 qualitative traits, where categories are mutually exclusive, leaving only samples with unique entries for
- analysis. Two traits: adult and nymph squash bug damage in C. pepo where transformed using the boxcox
- procedure. Contemporary phenotypic data were collected from a subset of the *C. pepo* collection grown in the summer of 2018 in Ithaca, NY. Field-grown plants were phenotyped for vining bush habit at three
- 131 different stages during the growing seasons to confirm bush, semi-bush or vining growth habit. Plants that
- had a bush habit early in the season but started to vine at the end of the season were considered semi-bush.
- 133 **2.5 GWAS**
- Variant data were filtered to MAF ≥ 0.05 and missingness ≤ 0.2 , and then imputed prior to association
- analysis. LinkImpute (Money et al., 2015), as implemented by the TASSEL (Bradbury et al., 2007)
- 136 "LDKNNiImputatioHetV2Plugin" plugin was used for imputation with default settings. Any data still
- missing after this process were mean imputed. The GENESIS (Gogarten et al., 2019) R package, which

- 138 can model both binary and continuous traits, was used for conducting the associations. All models included
- 139 the first two PCs of the marker matrix as fixed effects and modeled genotype effect (u) as a random effect
- 140 distributed according to the kinship (K) matrix ($u \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2 \mathbf{K})$). Binary traits were modeled using the
- 141 logistic regression feature of GENESIS. The kinship matrix was calculated using A.mat from rrBLUP
- 142 (Endelman, 2011) with mean imputation.

143 2.6 Genomic Heritability

- An estimate of genomic heritability (h_G^2) (de los Campos et al., 2015) was calculated for all ordinal
- and quantitative traits using an equivalent model to what was used for GWAS, but without fixed effects.
- 146 Variance components from the random genetic effect (σ_u^2) and error (σ_e^2) were then used to calculate the
- 147 heritability as $h_G^2 = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}$.

148 2.7 Syntenty of Bu putative region in C. pepo and C. maxima

- 149 A candidate gene for dwarfism (bush phenotype), Bu, in C. maxima was elucidated by a previous
- 150 study (Zhang et al., 2015) and was named Cma_004516. The Cucurbit Genomics Database gene ID of
- 151 Cma_004516 was identified by using the BLAST tool to align primer sequences used for RT-QPCR in
- 152 the previous study against the C. maxima reference genome. The synteny analysis was done by using
- 153 the Synteny Viewer tool and evaluating C. maxima's chromosome 3 with C. pepo's chromosome 10 and
- searching for an ortholog to the candidate gene. The physical position of the *C. pepo* ortholog was identified
- 155 by searching the gene using the Search tool. All tools used in the analysis can be found on the Cucurbit
- 156 Genomics Database at cucurbitgenomics.org.

157 2.8 Identification of a Core Collection

- Subsets representative of each panel's genetic diversity were identified using GenoCore (Jeong et al.,
- 159 2017) with the filtered SNP sets. The GenoCore settings were "-cv 99 -d 0.001".

3 RESULTS

160 3.1 Genotyping

- 161 Each *Cucurbita* ssp. collection was genotyped using the GBS approach. The collections comprised 534
- accessions for C. maxima, 314 for C. moschata, and 829 for C. pepo. Figure 1 shows the geographical
- 163 distribution of accessions broken down by species. C. maxima and C. moschata constitute the majority
- of accessions collected from Central and South America, whereas C. pepo accessions are more prevalent
- in North America and Europe. C. pepo had the highest number of raw SNPs (88,437) followed by C.
- 166 moschata (72,025) and C. maxima (56,598). After filtering, C. pepo and C. moschata had a similar number
- 167 of SNPs, around 30,000, whereas C. maxima had an order of magnitude fewer filtered SNPs (1599). This
- 168 discrepancy may be an artifact of using PstI, a rarer base-cutter previously optimized for GBS of C. maxima
- 169 (Zhang et al., 2015), rather than ApeKI which was used for C. pepo and C. moschata. The number and
- 170 distribution of SNPs across each chromosomes is shown in Table 1. Maps of SNP distribution for each
- 171 species are shown in Figure 2

172 3.2 Population Structure and Genetic Diversity

Filtered SNPs were used for population structure analysis. Available geographical, phenotypic, and 173 other metadata were retrieved from GRIN and were used to help interpret structure results. Results from 174 model-based admixture analysis are shown in Figure 3a. These data support 10 ancestral groups (K=10) in 175 C. pepo, 6 in C. moschata, and 6 in C. maxima. The number of groups was based on the cross-validation 176 error output of ADMIXTURE shown in Figure 4. For C. pepo and C. moschata, a clear minimum was 177 178 reached. The optimal k for both roughly agreed with the number of known morpho-market classes and/or subspecies. In C. maxima, a local minimum was reach at k = 6 followed by a slight decrease after k = 8. 179 180 For the sake of parsimony, and consistency with known morpho-market classes in C. maxima, a k of 6 was chosen. Population structure was driven mostly by geography, except in C. pepo where the presence of 181 different subspecies was responsible for some of the structure. Commonalities among structure groups 182 are described in Table 2. The first two principal components (PCs) of the marker data are shown in Figure 183 3b. As with the model-based analysis, PCA showed geography as a main driver of population structure 184 with accessions being derived from Africa, the Arab States, Asia, Europe, North America, and South/Latin 185 America. PC1 in C. pepo separates C. pepo subsp. ovifera, which have a North American Origin, from 186 subsp. pepo. 187

Ancestry proportions from admixture analysis were projected onto cultivars/market types identified in 188 189 the accessions. Cultivars were grouped according to known market class within species to help identify 190 patterns in ancestry among and between market classes. Key market types identified in accessions from C. pepo include Acorn, Scallop, Crook, Pumpkin (Jack O' Lantern), Zucchini, Marrow, Gem, and Spaghetti; 191 192 Neck, Cheese, Japonica, and Calabaza in *C. moschata*; and Buttercup, Kobocha, Hubbard, and Show 193 (Giant squash) in C. maxima. These groupings are shown in Figure 5. In general, members of each market 194 class exhibit similar ancestry proportions. In C. pepo, market classes from the two different subspecies 195 had distinct ancestry patterns. For example, Acorn, Scallop and Crook market classes are all from subsp. 196 ovifera and all of these classes had similar ancestry proportions with roughly 20% of ancestry from the wild ovifera. In contrast, market classes within pepo had a small percentage of ancestry from wild ovifera 197 198 and more ancestry in common with European and Asian accessions. With C. moschata, Neck, Cheese, 199 and Calabaza market classes showed very similar ancestry patterns, whereas the Japonica class was more distinct. Relative to the C. pepo and C. moschata, the C. maxima cultivars were less differentiated from one 200 another. 201

Results from linkage-disequilibrium analysis are shown in Figure 6. Similar trends are seen across species.

In general, LD decays to zero once the distance between markers reaches more than 2 megabases (Mb). *C. pepo* maintains a higher LD, with an average R-squared between markers of 0.1 even beyond 2 Mb.

3.3 Analysis of Phenotypic Data

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

All historical phenotypic data from GRIN were compiled for analysis. Only traits with ≥ 100 entries were considered for further analysis. Filtering resulted in 26 traits for *C. pepo*, 5 for *C. moschata* and 16 for *C. maxima*. Traits spanned fruit and agronomic-related characteristics, as well as pest resistances. The number of records for a given trait ranged from 108 to 822, with an average of 270. Fruit traits included fruit width, length, surface color and texture, and flesh color and thickness. Agronomic data included plant vigor and vining habit, and several phenotypes related to maturity. Pest-related traits included susceptibility to cucumber beetle and squash bug in *C. pepo* and Watermelon mosaic virus (WMV) and powdery mildew

212 to cucumber beetle and squash bug in *C. pepo* and Watermelon mosaic virus (WMV) and powdery 213 (PM) in *C. maxima*. Supplemental Figure S1 shows the distribution for each quantitative trait.

- Phenotypic data were superimposed over the first two PCs in each species to visualize correspondence 214
- between population structure and phenotype. Results are shown in Figure 7. In C. pepo, seed size was 215
- almost completely confounded with subspecies, with subsp. *ovifera* having mostly small seeds and subsp. 216
- 217 pepo having larger seeds (Figure 7a.). In C. moschata, maturity was confounded with population structure
- 218 (Figure 7b.).

Genomic Heritability 3.4 219

- 220 An estimate of genomic heritability was calculated for all quantitative and ordinal traits and is shown
- in Table 3. In C. pepo, seed weight and morphological traits such as fruit length and width had very high 221
- (> 0.7) heritability estimates. Disease and insect resistance traits had lower heritabilites from 0.181-0.228. 222
- 223 Trends were similar in both C. moschata and C. maxima, with C. maxima having lower heritability estimates
- across the board. 224

225

3.5 **Genome-wide Association and Synteny Analysis**

- Genome-wide association studies were conducted for all traits using a standard mixed-model K + Q 226
- analysis. A weak signal was detected in C. moschata on chromosome 3 for fruit length. Weak signals 227
- were detected in C. maxima for fruit ribbing on chromosome 17 and green fruit on chromosome 20. 228
- Five phenotypes were significantly associated with SNPs in C. pepo: bush/vine plant architecture on 229
- chromosome 10 using contemporary and historic data, fruit flesh thickness on chromosome 2, green fruit 230
- on chromosomes 2 and 19, and a non-significant, but clear signal for flesh color on chromosome 5. Weaker 231
- 232 associations are shown in Supplemental Figure S2.
- 233 The bush/vine phenotype exhibited the strongest signal. The signal was present in both the historical
- and contemporary data. This historical data consisted of 404 records and the contemporary data had 292 234
- records. The two data sets overlapped by 92 accession records. Manhattan plots for the Bu gene GWAS 235
- 236 results are shown in Figure 8a. along with corresponding qq plots in Figure 8b. The genomic region
- corresponding to the signal was extracted and used for comparison against the candidate gene for dwarfism 237
- in C. maxima, CmaCh03G013600. The gene Cp4.1LG10g05740 on chromosome 10 in C. pepo was found 238
- to be orthologous to CmaCh03G013600 and coincides with the region significantly associated with the 239
- bush/vine plant architecture phenotype identified by GWAS in the C. pepo collection. 240

3.6 **Development of a Core Collection** 241

- A core set of accessions that covered over 99% of total genetic diversity was identified in each of the 242
- 243 panels. Roughly 5%-10% of the accessions were required to capture the genetic diversity in the panels (see
- Figure 9). This amounted to 117 accessions in C. pepo, 72 in C. moschata, and 72 in C. maxima.

DISCUSSION

- Cucurbita pepo, C. moschata, and C. maxima exhibit a wide range of phenotypic diversity. This diversity 245
- was evident in the GRIN phenotypic records for these species. We have demonstrated that there is also 246
- 247 a wide range of genetic diversity through genotyping-by-sequencing and genetic analysis of available
- 248 specimens from the germplasm collections. Thousands to tens of thousands of whole-genome markers
- where discovered for each species. Clustering of samples and admixture analysis produced results that align 249
- 250
- closely with known secondary centers of origin in all species. This was especially clear in our analysis
- 251 of the C. pepo collection. Cucurbita pepo has its origin in the new world, with a secondary center of
- diversification in Europe. This pattern was conspicuous in the our PCA analysis. Analysis of the admixture 252

patterns within common market classes mirrored the results of the broader diversity panel. For example, it is well known that the Acorn, Scallop and Crook type C. pepo were primarily developed in the Americas, whereas Zucchini, Marrow, and Gem squash were developed in Europe. Thus, it is not surprising that Acorn, Scallop, and Crook types have a large proportion of subsp. *ovifera* in their background. Likewise, the Neck, Cheese, and Calabaza types have their origins in the Americas, whereas the Japonica type has more shared ancestry with Asian landraces. The various C. maxima market classes were less distinct from one another. Morphologically, many of the classes (Buttercup, Kabocha, and Kuri) are very similar, so it is not surprising that their admixture proportions are similar.

Linkage decay curves showed a common pattern across all species, with the correlation between markers falling off precipitously around 2 Mb. Relative to the other two species, *C. pepo* had a higher baseline LD. This is likely due to the presence of two distinct subspecies, subsp. *ovifera* and susbsp. *pepo*, in the *C. pepo* panel. In general, the three *Cucurbita* species studied have much higher LD than other outcrosses, such as maize. Studies in maize have shown that LD drops off within kilobases rather than megabases in diverse accessions (Yan et al., 2009). This suggests that the effective population size of *Cucurbita* species is much smaller than other agricultural species, and is consistent with studies looking at smaller panels in *Cucurbita* (Xanthopoulou et al., 2019). Although we have fewer markers in *C. maxima*, it is likely that the number of markers is sufficient to pick up major population structure in the panel given the extent of LD and clear results observed in the PCA.

Our GWAS analysis using contemporary and historic plant habit data led to the mapping of a locus on chromosome 10 associated with the bush/vine phenotype. It is notable that the contemporary and historical data were on different accessions, overlap of less than half. These associations represent validation using two distinct panels. This locus is likely the bush gene (*Bu*) locus that has been finely mapped to this location in previous *C. pepo* studies (Xiang et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2021). Although our GWAS hit does not constitute a novel gene association, it does demonstrate that the *Bu* locus, previously mapped in biparental populations, is also the primary driver of the bush phenotype in diverse germplasm. Thus, this locus is likely to have utility across a wide array of germplasm. We also demonstrated that this locus is syntenic with the bush gene previously mapped in *C. maxima* (Zhang et al., 2015). There are many developmental and morphological traits shared across *Cucurbita* (Paris and Brown, 2005). Our results demonstrate the power of leveraging information across species within *Cucurbita*, and suggests the potential of transferring knowledge from the more studied *C. pepo* to *C. moschata* and *C. maxima*.

We did not observe a signal for the bush phenotype in *C. maxima*; although, we know a major effect locus has previously been identified. It is likely that the germplasm for which we had data for was not segregating for this major effect gene. Variation could be due to suspected small effect genes in *C. maxima* (Loy, 2004). There are several other factors that may have impacted our success in mapping genes in *C. maxima* and the other two species.

Few clear signals were detected for traits outside of plant habit in *C. pepo*. The goal of the USDA GRIN collection is to maintain genetic diversity, not necessarily true breeding stocks. Given that each species is out-crossing, there is inevitably heterogeneity in stocks. Heterogeneity was undoubtedly a complicating factor in our study. There would be a great benefit from phenotyping and genotyping stocks purified from the USDA collection; however, such a experiment was well outside the scope of this study. A further complicating factor of GWAS is trait architecture. Traits with a more complex architecture are not amenable to GWAS analysis. These traits are better targets for prediction using genome-wide markers (Meuwissen et al., 2001). We accessed the ability of whole-genome markers to capture trait variability by calculating genomic heritability for all quantitative and ordinal traits. These estimates were high for many of the

morphological and agronomic traits in each species; yet, no major loci were detected for these same traits via GWAS. This points towards these traits having a more complex trait architecture. The moderate to high genomic heritability observed for morphological traits in this study is consistent with other estimates in squash (Hernandez et al., 2020).

High genomic heritability estimates with no significant association is a hallmark of more comples traits. A complex trait architecture is not the only explanation though. Confounding of a phenotype with population structure can lead to a similar outcome—the K + Q model will remove the association, but the genomic heritability will remain high. We observed that seed weight in *C. pepo* and maturity in *C. moschata* were strongly associated with population. Thus, the best approach for future studies hoping to elucidate loci underlying these traits would require forming biparental populations across genetic groups. A similar approach was used to map genes related to cucurbitacin content associated with subspecies in *C. pepo* (Brzozowski et al., 2020).

Our data provides many genome-wide markers which could be used to develop marker panels for use in breeding applications, as has been done in other crops (Arbelaez et al., 2019). Possible breeding applications would include marker assisted selection, marker assisted backcrossing, and purity assessment of seedstock using a low density panel; whereas, a medium density panel could be developed for routine genomic selection (Cerioli et al., 2022). Our clustering of samples based on marker data suggest geography is a key driver for overall population structure. When projecting ancestry proportions onto cultivars of known market classes, the ancestry proportions were relatively similar within market class grouping. Although there is genetic diversity within each species, this diversity is constrained within market classes. This suggests that crosses between these market classes would greatly increase the amount of genetic diversity to be leveraged in breeding efforts. Crossing between market classes would come at the cost of bringing in undesirable characteristics with regard to achieving a specific morphotype associated market class. This cost could be mitigated through the use of markers to recover morphotype expeditiously during pre-breeding (Cobb et al., 2019).

Our data provides a useful starting point for future studies. In the case where traits are common in the panel, the panel can be phenotyped for a trait of interest and combined with marker data and insight provided by our study. We demonstrated this approach in our association analysis of the bush gene. In the case of a rare phenotype, such as a resistance gene, subsets of the germplasm and markers should be used to develop custom populations. Plant introductions (PI) are frequently used as source parents in mapping studies and for germplasm improvement, as was the case for mapping Phytophthora capsici resistance and developing resistant breeding lines (Vogel et al., 2021; LaPlant et al., 2020). We found some traits that had high heritability, such as morphological traits, but we were not able to find any associations. Genomic predication rather than association may be the best approach for these traits. In other cases, it may be required to break population structure through crossing as we observed with seed weight in *C. pepo* and maturity in *C. moschata*. Certain applications, such as the creation of a hapmap or diversity atlas, require higher density re-sequencing data. Our GenoCore analysis provides subsets that will useful in these efforts.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

34 Michael Mazourek is a co-founder of Row 7 Seeds, but neither receives compensation nor holds equity.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- 335 COH wrote the first draft. MM, ZF, and RG provided project oversight. COH, JF, and KB conducted data
- analysis. KR, JL, and BJ assisted with data curation and germplasm selection. All authors contributed to
- 337 the article.

FUNDING

338 This work was supported by CucCAP, a USDA-NIFA-SCRI competitive grant 2015-51181-24285.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 339 We thank Kyle LaPlant for plant phenotyping assistance, and Sue Hammer and Paige Reeves for assistance
- 340 with DNA extraction.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

- 341 The datasets generated for this study includeing variant and raw sequence data are available on the Cucurbit
- 342 Genomics Dataase at cucurbitgenomics.org. The phenotypic data used are available for download from
- 343 the USDA Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN; www.ars-grin.gov). Intermediate files and
- 344 code used in the study are available on Github at www.github.com/ch728/Cucurbita-USDA.

REFERENCES

- 345 Alexander, D. H. and Lange, K. (2011). Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual
- ancestry estimation. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-246
- 347 Arbelaez, J. D., Dwiyanti, M. S., Tandayu, E., Llantada, K., Jarana, A., Ignacio, J. C., et al. (2019).
- 348 1k-RiCA (1K-Rice Custom Amplicon) a novel genotyping amplicon-based SNP assay for genetics and
- 349 breeding applications in rice. *Rice* 12, 55. doi:10.1186/s12284-019-0311-0
- 350 Bradbury, P. J., Zhang, Z., Kroon, D. E., Casstevens, T. M., Ramdoss, Y., and Buckler, E. S. (2007).
- TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics* 23,
- 352 2633–2635. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm308
- 353 Brzozowski, L. J., Gore, M. A., Agrawal, A. A., and Mazourek, M. (2020). Divergence of defensive
- cucurbitacins in independent domestication events leads to differences in specialist herbivore preference.
- 355 Plant, Cell & Environment 43, 2812–2825. doi:10.1111/pce.13844
- 356 Cerioli, T., Hernandez, C. O., Angira, B., McCouch, S. R., Robbins, K. R., and Famoso, A. N. (2022).
- Development and validation of an optimized marker set for genomic selection in southern U.S. rice
- 358 breeding programs. *The Plant Genome* 15, e20219. doi:10.1002/tpg2.20219
- 359 Chomicki, G., Schaefer, H., and Renner, S. S. (2020). Origin and domestication of Cucurbitaceae
- 360 crops: insights from phylogenies, genomics and archaeology. New Phytologist 226, 1240–1255.
- 361 doi:10.1111/nph.16015
- 362 Cobb, J. N., Biswas, P. S., and Platten, J. D. (2019). Back to the future: revisiting MAS as a tool for modern
- plant breeding. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 132, 647–667. doi:10.1007/s00122-018-3266-4
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., et al. (2011). The variant
- call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., et al. (2021). Twelve years
- of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* 10. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giab008
- de los Campos, G., Sorensen, D., and Gianola, D. (2015). Genomic heritability: What is it? PLOS Genetics
- 369 11, e1005048. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005048
- 370 Ding, W., Wang, Y., Qi, C., Luo, Y., Wang, C., Xu, W., et al. (2021). Fine mapping identified the gibberellin
- 2-oxidase gene CpDw leading to a dwarf phenotype in squash (cucurbita pepo l.). *Plant Science* 306,
- 372 110857. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2021.110857
- 373 Endelman, J. B. (2011). Ridge regression and other kernels for genomic selection with r package rrBLUP.
- 374 The Plant Genome 4, 250–255. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2011.08.0024
- 375 Ferriol, M. and Picó, B. (2008). Pumpkin and winter squash. In *Handbook of Plant Breeding* (Springer
- 376 New York). 317–349. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-30443-4_10
- Glaubitz, J. C., Casstevens, T. M., Lu, F., Harriman, J., Elshire, R. J., Sun, Q., et al. (2014). TASSEL-GBS:
- A high capacity genotyping by sequencing analysis pipeline. *PLoS ONE* 9, e90346. doi:10.1371/journal.
- 379 pone.0090346
- 380 Gogarten, S. M., Sofer, T., Chen, H., Yu, C., Brody, J. A., Thornton, T. A., et al. (2019). Genetic
- association testing using the GENESIS r/bioconductor package. *Bioinformatics* 35, 5346–5348. doi:10.
- 382 1093/bioinformatics/btz567
- 383 Grumet, R., McCreight, J. D., McGregor, C., Weng, Y., Mazourek, M., Reitsma, K., et al. (2021). Genetic
- Resources and Vulnerabilities of Major Cucurbit Crops. Genes 12, 1222. doi:10.3390/genes12081222
- 385 Hernandez, C. O., Wyatt, L. E., and Mazourek, M. R. (2020). Genomic Prediction and Selection for Fruit
- 386 Traits in Winter Squash. *G3 Genes* | *Genomes* | *Genetics* 10, 3601–3610. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401215

- 387 Jeong, S., Kim, J.-Y., Jeong, S.-C., Kang, S.-T., Moon, J.-K., and Kim, N. (2017). GenoCore: A simple
- and fast algorithm for core subset selection from large genotype datasets. *PLOS ONE* 12, e0181420.
- 389 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0181420
- 390 Kates, H. R., Soltis, P. S., and Soltis, D. E. (2017). Evolutionary and domestication history of cucurbita
- 391 (pumpkin and squash) species inferred from 44 nuclear loci. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*
- 392 111, 98–109. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2017.03.002
- 393 Kaźmińska, K., Hallmann, E., Rusaczonek, A., Korzeniewska, A., Sobczak, M., Filipczak, J., et al. (2018).
- 394 Genetic mapping of ovary colour and quantitative trait loci for carotenoid content in the fruit of Cucurbita
- 395 maxima Duchesne. *Molecular Breeding* 38, 114. doi:10.1007/s11032-018-0869-z
- 396 LaPlant, K. E., Vogel, G., Reeves, E., Smart, C. D., and Mazourek, M. (2020). Performance and resistance
- to phytophthora crown and root rot in squash lines. HortTechnology 30, 608-618. doi:10.21273/
- 398 horttech04636-20
- 399 Li, H. and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrows-wheeler transform.
- 400 *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
- 401 Loy, J. B. (2004). Morpho-physiological aspects of productivity and quality in squash and pumpkins
- 402 (cucurbita spp.). Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 23, 337–363. doi:10.1080/07352680490490733
- 403 Lust, T. A. and Paris, H. S. (2016). Italian horticultural and culinary records of summer squash (cucurbita
- pepo, cucurbitaceae) and emergence of the zucchini in 19th-century milan. *Annals of Botany* 118, 53–69.
- 405 doi:10.1093/aob/mcw080
- 406 McCouch, S., Navabi, Z. K., Abberton, M., Anglin, N. L., Barbieri, R. L., Baum, M., et al. (2020).
- 407 Mobilizing crop biodiversity. *Molecular Plant* 13, 1341–1344. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2020.08.011
- 408 Meuwissen, T. H. E., Hayes, B. J., and Goddard, M. E. (2001). Prediction of total genetic value using
- genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics* 157, 1819–1829. doi:10.1093/genetics/157.4.1819
- 410 Money, D., Gardner, K., Migicovsky, Z., Schwaninger, H., Zhong, G.-Y., and Myles, S. (2015). LinkImpute:
- Fast and accurate genotype imputation for nonmodel organisms. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 5,
- 412 2383–2390. doi:10.1534/g3.115.021667
- 413 Montero-Pau, J., Blanca, J., Esteras, C., Martínez-Pérez, E. M., Gómez, P., Monforte, A. J., et al.
- 414 (2017). An SNP-based saturated genetic map and QTL analysis of fruit-related traits in Zucchini using
- 415 Genotyping-by-sequencing. *BMC Genomics* 18, 94. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3439-y
- 416 Nee, M. (1990). The domestication of cucurbita (cucurbitaceae). Economic Botany 44, 56–68. doi:10.1007/
- 417 bf02860475
- 418 Paris, H. S. (2015). Germplasm enhancement of cucurbita pepo (pumpkin, squash, gourd: Cucurbitaceae):
- 419 progress and challenges. *Euphytica* 208, 415–438. doi:10.1007/s10681-015-1605-y
- 420 Paris, H. S. and Brown, R. N. (2005). The genes of pumpkin and squash. HortScience 40, 1620–1630.
- 421 doi:10.21273/hortsci.40.6.1620
- 422 Paris, H. S., Lebeda, A., Křistkova, E., Andres, T. C., and Nee, M. H. (2012). Parallel evolution
- 423 under domestication and phenotypic differentiation of the cultivated subspecies of cucurbita pepo
- 424 (cucurbitaceae). *Economic Botany* 66, 71–90. doi:10.1007/s12231-012-9186-3
- 425 Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., et al. (2007). PLINK: A
- 426 tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *The American Journal of*
- 427 *Human Genetics* 81, 559–575. doi:10.1086/519795
- 428 Savage, J. A., Haines, D. F., and Holbrook, M. N. (2015). The making of giant pumpkins: how selective
- breeding changed the phloem of cucurbita maxima from source to sink. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38,
- 430 1543–1554. doi:10.1111/pce.12502

- 431 Sun, H., Wu, S., Zhang, G., Jiao, C., Guo, S., Ren, Y., et al. (2017). Karyotype Stability and Unbiased
- Fractionation in the Paleo-Allotetraploid Cucurbita Genomes. *Molecular Plant* 10, 1293–1306. doi:10.
- 433 1016/j.molp.2017.09.003
- 434 Vogel, G., LaPlant, K. E., Mazourek, M., Gore, M. A., and Smart, C. D. (2021). A combined BSA-
- seq and linkage mapping approach identifies genomic regions associated with phytophthora root and
- crown rot resistance in squash. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 134, 1015–1031. doi:10.1007/
- 437 s00122-020-03747-1
- 438 Wang, X., Ando, K., Wu, S., Reddy, U. K., Tamang, P., Bao, K., et al. (2021). Genetic characterization of
- 439 melon accessions in the u.s. national plant germplasm system and construction of a melon core collection.
- 440 *Molecular Horticulture* 1. doi:10.1186/s43897-021-00014-9
- 441 Wang, X., Bao, K., Reddy, U. K., Bai, Y., Hammar, S. A., Jiao, C., et al. (2018). The USDA cucumber
- 442 (cucumis sativus l.) collection: genetic diversity, population structure, genome-wide association studies,
- and core collection development. Horticulture Research 5. doi:10.1038/s41438-018-0080-8
- 444 Wu, P.-Y., Tung, C.-W., Lee, C.-Y., and Liao, C.-T. (2019a). Genomic Prediction of Pumpkin Hybrid
- 445 Performance. *The Plant Genome* 12, 180082. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2018.10.0082
- 446 Wu, S., Wang, X., Reddy, U., Sun, H., Bao, K., Gao, L., et al. (2019b). Genome of 'charleston gray',
- the principal american watermelon cultivar, and genetic characterization of 1,365 accessions in the u.s.
- national plant germplasm system watermelon collection. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 17, 2246–2258.
- 449 doi:10.1111/pbi.13136
- 450 Xanthopoulou, A., Montero Pau, J., Mellidou, I., Kissoudis, C., Blanca, J., Picó, B., et al. (2019).
- Whole-genome resequencing of Cucurbita pepo morphotypes to discover genomic variants associated
- with morphology and horticulturally valuable traits. Horticulture Research 6, 94. doi:10.1038/
- 453 s41438-019-0176-9
- 454 Xiang, C., Duan, Y., Li, H., Ma, W., Huang, S., Sui, X., et al. (2018). A high-density EST-SSR-based
- genetic map and QTL analysis of dwarf trait in cucurbita pepo l. *International Journal of Molecular*
- 456 Sciences 19, 3140. doi:10.3390/ijms19103140
- 457 Yan, J., Shah, T., Warburton, M. L., Buckler, E. S., McMullen, M. D., and Crouch, J. (2009). Genetic
- characterization and linkage disequilibrium estimation of a global maize collection using SNP markers.
- 459 *PLoS ONE* 4, e8451. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008451
- 460 Yu, J., Wu, S., Sun, H., Wang, X., Tang, X., Guo, S., et al. (2022). CuGenDBv2: an updated database for
- cucurbit genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* doi:10.1093/nar/gkac921
- 462 Zhang, G., Ren, Y., Sun, H., Guo, S., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., et al. (2015). A high-density genetic map for
- anchoring genome sequences and identifying QTLs associated with dwarf vine in pumpkin (Cucurbita
- 464 maxima Duch.). BMC Genomics 16, 1101. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2312-8
- 465 Zheng, X., Levine, D., Shen, J., Gogarten, S. M., Laurie, C., and Weir, B. S. (2012). A high-performance
- computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* 28,
- 467 3326–3328. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts606
- 468 Zhong, Y.-J., Zhou, Y.-Y., Li, J.-X., Yu, T., Wu, T.-Q., Luo, J.-N., et al. (2017). A high-density linkage
- map and QTL mapping of fruit-related traits in pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata Duch.). Scientific Reports
- 470 7, 12785. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13216-3

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Geographical distribution of the USDA Cucurbita ssp. collection. The size of the pie chart is scaled according to the number of accessions and sector areas correspond to the proportion of the three species.

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of filtered markers for **panel a.** *C. pepo*, **panel b.** *C. moschata*, and **panel c.** *C. maxima*.

Figure 3. Population structure results aligned vertically by species. **Panel a.** Admixture plots: each stacked barplot represents an accession colored by proportion of inferred ancestral population. Groups based on hierarchical clustering are delimited by vertical bars and labeled with numbers along the bottom. **Panel b.** Plots of the first two principal components (PC) of accessions colored by region, variation explained by PCs is labeled on each axis.

Figure 4. Cross-validation error plots used to pick the optimum K value for admixture analysis. The K value that balances minimizing cross-validation error and parsimony, and thus chosen for the final analysis, is labeled with a red point.

Figure 5. Ancestry coefficients projected on cultivars from each species. Results are shown grouped by market/varietal class.

Figure 6. Curves showing R-squared value as a measure of LD on the y-axis and distance between markers in megabases on the x-axis.

Figure 7.

Figure 8. GWAS results: **panel a.** *C. pepo* plant_type (bush or vine historical data, Bu gene), plant_type2(bush or vine contemporary data, Bu), flesh_max (flesh maximum thickness), gn_fruit (green fruit color), or_flesh (orange flesh color); **panel b.** *C. moschata* fruit_len (fruit length); **panel c.** *C. maxima* rib (degree of fruit ribbing); gn_fruit (green fruit color)

Figure 9. Results from running GenoCore in each of the panels. **Panel a** shows the PCA plots for each panel with accessions selected by GenoCore represented as black points. **Panel b** shows the proportion of total accessions needed to obtain a certain coverage of diversity

TABLES

	C. pepo		C. moschata		C. maxima	
Chromosome	Raw	Filtered	Raw	Filtered	Raw	Filtered
0	12498	2550	2708	546	1501	132
1	7497	2831	3890	1468	4185	121
2	5153	2049	3661	1538	2101	55
3	4875	1943	3472	1499	2201	51
4	4598	1982	6880	2553	5703	106
5	4045	1628	2716	887	3115	46
6	3871	1384	3262	1159	3035	92
7	3129	1222	2668	969	2705	62
8	3875	1583	2348	810	2391	61
9	3766	1390	3106	995	2750	84
10	3585	1488	3550	1327	2297	52
11	3227	1216	4336	1830	3713	131
12	3089	1163	3711	1330	2026	47
13	3434	1350	3106	1280	2131	82
14	3543	1291	4753	1929	4317	100
15	2640	960	3564	1321	2662	58
16	3088	1060	2933	1107	2058	100
17	2994	1175	2885	1096	2195	86
18	3053	1258	3341	1316	1826	46
19	3381	1340	2638	990	1793	46
20	3096	1155	2497	903	1893	41
Total	88437	32018	72025	26853	56598	1599

Table 1. Distribution and number of raw and filtered SNPs per chromosome for each species

-	С. реро	C. moschata	C. maxima	
1	Mixed Group; Many from		Mixed; Primarily from South	
-	Spain, Turkey, and Syria	1,100,01	America and Asia	
2	Wild subsp. <i>ovifera</i> var.	Mostly Mexico and	Mixed; Primarily from Asia	
	texana and var. ozarkana;	Guatemala	and Europe	
2	North American			
3	Majority from Turkey	Mostly from Mexico	Mostly from North	
4	Majority from North	Mostly from Africa	Macedonia Mostly from Argentina	
4	Majority from North Macedonia	Mostry Hom Africa	Wostry Holli Argellulla	
5	Majority from Egypt	Mostly from India	Mostly Turkey, Iran,	
	1120g - 110 11 2g p p v	1,100 ,11 0111 111 0 110	Afghanistan	
6	Majority from Mexico	Mixed origin Europe and	Mostly from Africa	
		Americas; Many similar to		
_		cheese or neck type		
7	Majority from Syria			
8	Majority from Pakistan and			
	Afghanistan			
9	Majority from Spain			
10	Wild subsp. <i>fraterna</i> ; Central			
	American			

Table 2. Commonalities among accessions in each group, most groupings are dictated by geography

Species	Trait	N	Type	Description	h_G^2
C. pepo					
	$seed_wt$	827	Quantitative	Weight of 100 seeds in grams	0.95
	plant_type	404	Binary	Historical plant architecture data coded as vining or bush	NA
	plant_type2	292	Binary	Contemporary plant architecture data coded as vining or bush	NA
	max_vig	413	Ordinal	Maximum plant vigor on 1-5 scale	0.588
	min_vig	414	Ordinal	Minimum plant vigor on 1-5 scale	0.618
	max_width	413	Quantitative	Maximum fruit width in centimeters	0.937
	width_min	304	Quantitative	Minimum fruit width in centimeters	1
	len_max	413	Quantitative	Maximum fruit length in centimeters	0.748
	len_min	315	Quantitative	Minimum fruit length in centimeters	0.841
	flesh_max	421	Ordinal	Maximum fruit thickness in centimeters	0.614
	flesh_min	175	Ordinal	Minimum fruit thickness in centimeters	0.425
	sb_nymph	205	Quantitative	Number of squash bug nymphs on plant	0.181
	sb_adult	249	Quantitative	Number of adult squash bugs on plant	0.200
	cuc_inj	247	Ordinal	Severity of beetle damage on a 0-4 scale	0.228
	or_flesh	378	Binary	Flesh color coded as orange or not orange	NA
	yl_flesh	378	Binary	Flesh color coded as yellow or not yellow	NA
	yl_fruit	182	Binary	Color of fruit coded as yellow or not yellow	NA
	tan_fruit	182	Binary	Color of fruit coded as tan or not tan	NA
	gn_fruit	182	Binary	Color of fruit coded as green or not green	NA
	globe_fruit	333	Binary	Fruit shape as globe or not globe	NA
	oblong_fruit	333	Binary	Fruit shape as oblong or not oblong	NA

	smooth_fruit	130	Binary	Furit texture as smooth or not smooth	NA
	rib_fruit	130	Binary	Degree of ribbing	NA
	spec_fruit	248	Binary	Fruit patterning as speckled or not speckled	NA
	mot_fruit	248	Binary	Fuit patterning as mottled or not mottled	NA
	solid_fruit	248	Binary	Fruit patterning as solid color or patterned	NA
C. moschata					
	fruit_len	123	Quantitative	Fruit length in centimeters	0.804
	fruit_diam	123	Quantitative	Fruit diameter in centimeters	0.478
	maturity	109	Binary	Fruit maturity as early or late	NA
	or_fruit	145	Binary	Fruit color coded as orange or not orange	NA
	smooth_fruit	130	Binary	Fruit surface texture encoded as smooth or not smooth	NA
C. maxima					
	len	346	Quantitative	Fruit length in centimeters	0.374
	set	350	Ordinal	Fruit set from poor to excellent (1-9)	0.254
	diam	345	Quantitative	Fruit diameter in centimeters	0.249
	watermelon_mosaic	297	Ordinal	Susceptibility to WMV from slight to severe (0-9)	0.18
	cuc_mosaic	100	Ordinal	Cucumber mosaic susceptibility from slight to severe (0-9)	0.129
	maturity	329	Quantitative	Number of days from field transplanting to date of first pollination	0.388
	unif	341	Ordinal	Fruit uniformity from poor to excellent (1-9)	0.157
	pm	287	Ordinal	Susceptibility to PM from slight to severe (0-9)	0.192
	plant_habit	352	Binary	Plant type as vining or not vining	NA
	vig	353	Ordinal	Plant vigor from poor to excellent (1-9)	0.066
	or_flesh	288	Binary	Flesh color as orange or not orange	NA
	rib	338	Ordinal	Fruit ribbing from slight to pronounced (1-9)	0.427
	fruit_spot	272	Ordinal	Fruit spotting from slight to pronounced (1-9)	0.132
	gray_fruit	264	Binary	Fruit color encoded as gray or not gray	NA

Frontiers

or_fruit	264 Binary	Fruit color encoded as orange	NA
		or not orange	
gn_fruit	264 Binary	Fruit color encoded as green	NA
		or not green	

Table 3 Descriptive data for each trait including trait type, number of data points for each trait, a brief trait description, and an estimate of genomic heritability h_G^2 .

20