

Primitive Ideals of Group Algebras of Supersoluble Groups

Martin Lorenz

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Gießen, Arndtstr. 2, D-6300 Gießen,
Federal Republic of Germany

Introduction

A group G (not necessarily finite) is *polycyclic* if G has a subnormal series $\langle 1 \rangle = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_{n-1} \subset G_n = G$ such that $G_{i-1} \triangleleft G_i$ and G_i/G_{i-1} is cyclic ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If in addition the groups G_i appearing in the above series are normal in the whole group G then G is called *supersoluble*. The group G is *polycyclic-by-finite* if G has a normal polycyclic subgroup of finite index. It is well-known that the group ring $R[G]$ of a polycyclic-by-finite group G over a noetherian ring R is noetherian (Hall [5], Theorem 1).

This note aims to characterize the primitive ideals (i.e. the kernels of the simple left modules) of the group algebra $\mathcal{A}[G]$ of a supersoluble group G over a perfect field \mathcal{A} . The methods used and the statement of the results have been very much influenced by the great success of Lie algebra theory on this subject, because in a sense, supersoluble groups can be considered as the formal group theoretic analogue of completely solvable finite-dimensional Lie algebras [i.e. Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} having a series $0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$ of ideals \mathfrak{g}_i such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_i) = i$].

Section 1 deals with the group algebra $\mathcal{A}[G]$ of a general polycyclic-by-finite group G . Using methods developed by Hall in [6] we prove that the endomorphism ring of a simple $\mathcal{A}[G]$ -module is finite-dimensional over the ground field \mathcal{A} if the latter is perfect. We then give a short proof of the well-known fact that $\mathcal{A}[G]$ is a Jacobson ring (i.e. the Jacobson radical of every homomorphic image is nilpotent, cf. [8, 4]).

In Section 2 the notion of the semicentre for factor algebras of group algebras is defined. The corresponding notion has been a very useful tool in the study of enveloping algebras of solvable Lie algebras (cf. [2], §6). The main result of this section is a version of Smith's Theorem A in [9] and states that: given two ideals $I \subsetneq J$ in the group algebra of a supersoluble group G over an algebraically closed field \mathcal{A} , it is possible to find a homomorphism $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{A})$ and an element $\alpha \in J \setminus I$ such that $\lambda(G)$ is finite and $\alpha^x - \lambda(x)\alpha \in I$ for all $x \in G$.

Section 3 uses the above to prove the main result of this note (Theorem 3.3): Let G be a supersoluble group, \mathcal{A} a perfect field and I a prime ideal of the group algebra $\mathcal{A}[G]$. Then the following are equivalent: (i) I is primitive. (ii) The centre

$Z(\mathbb{K}[G]/I)$ of $\mathbb{K}[G]/I$ is a finite algebraic field extension of \mathbb{K} . (iii) I is maximal. (iv) I is locally closed in $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]$. — Here as usual $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]$ denotes the set of prime ideals in $\mathbb{K}[G]$, endowed with the Jacobson topology (see [2], 1.2). I is called locally closed if $\{I\}$ is a locally closed subset of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]$ in this topology, that is the intersection of all prime ideals strictly containing I is distinct from I . Theorem 3.3 generalizes results of Zalesskij on group algebras of finitely generated nilpotent groups ([10], Theorem 1, Theorem 3).

The final Section 4 gives a method how to construct counterexamples to Theorem 3.3 in the case of general polycyclic-by-finite groups.

I would like to thank Professor G. O. Michler for his constant help and encouragement. I am also indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions and comments.

1. Endomorphism Rings of Simple Modules

Throughout this note “module” will mean “left module” and “ideal” stands for “two-sided ideal”.

(1.1) A suitable adaption of the proof (due to Gabriel) given in ([3], 2.6.9) yields the following technical

Lemma. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field and A a \mathbb{K} -algebra such that for any extension field K of \mathbb{K} the K -algebra $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} K$ is noetherian. Furthermore let V be a completely reducible A -module of finite length. Then for any separable algebraic field extension K/\mathbb{K} the $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} K)$ -module $V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} K$ is completely reducible of finite length.*

(1.2) **Theorem.** *Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group, \mathbb{K} a field and V an irreducible $\mathbb{K}[G]$ -module. Then every element of the endomorphism ring $D := \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}[G]}(V)$ is algebraic over \mathbb{K} . Furthermore, if \mathbb{K} is perfect, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(D) < \infty$.*

Proof. (1) Recall the following result of Hall ([6], Lemma 3): Let $J := \mathbb{K}[\langle t \rangle]$ be the group algebra of the infinite cyclic group $\langle t \rangle$ over \mathbb{K} . Then a finitely generated $J[G]$ -module cannot contain a J -submodule which is isomorphic with the field of fractions $Q(J)$ of J .

(2) Let $0 \neq x \in D$. We show that x is algebraic over \mathbb{K} . If not, the subalgebra $J := \mathbb{K}[x, x^{-1}]$ of the division ring D generated by $1, x, x^{-1}$ can be considered as the group algebra $\mathbb{K}[\langle x \rangle]$ of the infinite cyclic group $\langle x \rangle$ over \mathbb{K} . The $\mathbb{K}[G]$ -module V can be viewed as a module over the group ring $J[G] = J \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[G]$ via the action $(j \otimes \alpha) \cdot v = j(\alpha \cdot v) = \alpha \cdot j(v)$ ($j \in J, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}[G], v \in V$). The simplicity of $_{\mathbb{K}[G]}V$ implies V to be a cyclic $J[G]$ -module.

The field of fractions $Q(J)$ of J is contained in D and hence acts on V . Let $0 \neq v \in V$. Then $Q(J) \cdot v$ is a J -submodule of V that is isomorphic to $Q(J)$ via the map $q \mapsto q(v)$ ($q \in Q(J)$). This contradicts the result mentioned in (1) and concludes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

(3) As to the second assertion let $\hat{\kappa}$ be an algebraic closure of κ . By (1.1) $V \otimes_{\kappa} \hat{\kappa}$ is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible $\hat{\kappa}[G]$ -modules \hat{V}_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Therefore

$$D \otimes_{\kappa} \hat{\kappa} \subset \text{End}_{\hat{\kappa}[G]}(V \otimes_{\kappa} \hat{\kappa}) = \prod_{i,j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\hat{\kappa}[G]}(\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j).$$

Since by (2) endomorphisms of simple $\hat{\kappa}[G]$ -modules are scalar, it follows that $\dim_{\hat{\kappa}}(D) = \dim_{\hat{\kappa}}(D \otimes_{\kappa} \hat{\kappa})$ is finite. Thus the theorem is proved.

(1.3) An ideal I of the ring R is called *semiprime* if $I \neq R$ and the factor R/I has no nonzero nilpotent ideals. I is *primitive* if R/I has a faithful simple module, i.e. a simple module $M \neq 0$ such that $a \cdot M = 0$ for $a \in R/I$ implies $a = 0$. The intersection of all primitive ideals of R containing a given ideal I is just the inverse image in R of the Jacobson radical of R/I . – From (1.2) one derives the following

Corollary. *Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group and κ a field. Then any semiprime ideal of the group algebra $\kappa[G]$ is an intersection of primitive ideals of $\kappa[G]$.*

Proof. Let I be a semiprime ideal of $\kappa[G]$. We have to show that the Jacobson radical J of the algebra $A := \kappa[G]/I$ is zero. Consider the direct product $H := G \times \langle x \rangle$ of G with an infinite cyclic group $\langle x \rangle$ and let $I' := I\kappa[H]$ be the two-sided ideal of $\kappa[H]$ generated by I . Then the factor $\kappa[H]/I'$ is isomorphic to the group ring $B := A[\langle x \rangle]$. (The isomorphism is given by $\sum \alpha_i x^i + I' \mapsto \sum [\alpha_i + I] x^i$, $\alpha_i \in \kappa[G]$.) Choose $a \in J$. It will suffice to show that a is nilpotent, for then J is a nil ideal of the semiprime noetherian ring A and hence zero.

Claim. $B(1 - ax) = B$.

Pf. If not, $B(1 - ax)$ is contained in a maximal left ideal L of B . Let V be the simple B -module $V := B/L$, $v_0 := 1 + L \in V$ and x_V the endomorphism $v \mapsto x \cdot v$ of V . Since x is central in B it follows that $x_V \in \text{End}_B(V)$. Therefore, by (1.2), x_V is algebraic over κ . Furthermore being induced by a group element x_V is obviously invertible in $\text{End}_B(V)$. Set $y := x_V^{-1} \in \text{End}_B(V)$. For some polynomial $f \in \kappa[X]$, the polynomial algebra in one indeterminate X over κ , one has $x_V = f(y)$. The equation $a \cdot x_V(v_0) = v_0$ gives $a \cdot v_0 = y(v_0)$ and therefore $(1 - af(a)) \cdot v_0 = (1 - yf(y))(v_0) = 0$, a contradiction to the fact that $1 - af(a)$ is contained in $1 + J$ and hence is invertible.

Thus we may write $1 = (a_{-m}x^{-m} + \dots + a_{-1}x^{-1} + a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n)(1 - ax)$ for suitable $a_i \in A$. Comparing degrees one obtains $a_{-m} = a_{-m+1} = \dots = a_{-1} = 0$, $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = a$, $a_2 = a^2, \dots, a_n = a^n$, $a^{n+1} = 0$. This finishes the proof.

2. Semicentres

(2.1) Let G be a group, κ a field and I an ideal of the group algebra $\kappa[G]$. Then G acts on the factor $A := \kappa[G]/I$ according to $(\alpha + I)^x = \alpha^x + I$ ($x \in G$, $\alpha \in \kappa[G]$). Set $G^* := \text{Hom}(G, \kappa^*)$, and for $\lambda \in G^*$ set $A^\lambda := \{a \in A : a^g = \lambda(g)a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. In case $A^\lambda \neq \{0\}$ λ is called an *eigenvalue* of G in A and an element $0 \neq a \in A^\lambda$ is called *semiinvariant*. Collect the eigenvalues of G in A in the subset $\mathcal{E}(A)$ of G^* and define the *semicentre* $\text{Sc}(A)$ of A to be the sum of the eigenspaces A^λ , $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)$, in A . Using

standard arguments one shows that this sum is direct, hence

$$Sc(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)} \oplus A^\lambda.$$

(2.2) *Remarks.* a) $Sc(A)$ is a subalgebra of A : For $\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{E}(A)$ one easily verifies the inclusion $A^\lambda A^\nu \subset A^{\lambda \cdot \nu}$. Here $\lambda \cdot \nu \in G^*$ is defined by $(\lambda \cdot \nu)(x) = \lambda(x)\nu(x)$ ($x \in G$).

b) Obviously the centre $Z(A)$ of A is just the eigenspace A^1 corresponding to the character of G given by $\mathbb{1}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$. Thus $Z(A) \subset Sc(A)$. In case $G = [G, G]$ one has the equality $Z(A) = Sc(A)$, while in general the semicentre can be strictly greater than the centre of A .

c) For any semiinvariant element $e \in A$ one has $eA = Ae$. If in addition A is prime, e is a regular element of A : $eb = 0$ for some $b \in A$ implies $0 = Aeb = eAb$ and hence $b = 0$. Analogously $be = 0$ implies $b = 0$. Thus $\{1, e, e^2, \dots\}$ is an Ore subset of A (see [2], 2.2). In the same way one shows that a semiinvariant element $e \in A$ is not nilpotent provided I is semiprime.

d) If $\mathcal{K}[G]$ is noetherian and I is prime one can form the (classical) quotient ring $Q(A)$ of $A = \mathcal{K}[G]/I$. Suppose for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A)$ elements $a, b \in A^\lambda$ are given, with $b \neq 0$. Then by c) b is invertible in $Q(A)$. Furthermore remarks a) and b) show that $ab^{-1} \in Z(Q(A))$ (cf. 3.2b).

(2.3) The following theorem is based on the proof of Smith's result ([9], Theorem A).

Theorem (P. F. Smith). *Let \mathcal{K} be an algebraically closed field and G a supersoluble group. If $I \subsetneq J$ are ideals of the group algebra $\mathcal{K}[G]$ then there exists $\lambda \in G^*$ such that $\lambda(G)$ is finite and $J/I \cap (\mathcal{K}[G]/I)^\lambda \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\langle 1 \rangle = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_n = G$ be a series such that the groups G_i are normal in G and the factors G_i/G_{i-1} are cyclic. The proof is by induction on the subscript i of the groups G_i in the above series. For any normal subgroup V of G such that G/V is abelian and any index $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ let (V, i) denote the following statement:

(V, i) : If $I \subsetneq J$ are V -stable ideals of $\mathcal{K}[G_i]$ then there exist $\gamma \in J \setminus I$ and $\lambda \in V^*$ such that $\lambda(V)$ is finite, $\lambda|_{[G, G]} = \mathbb{1}$ and $\gamma^x - \lambda(x)\gamma \in I$ for all $x \in V$.

Thus the assertion of the theorem is just (G, n) and our i^{th} induction statement will be:

For every normal subgroup V of G such that G/V is finite abelian the assertion (V, i) is true.

The case $i=0$ being trivial we proceed to prove the induction step. Choose $V \triangleleft G$ such that G/V is finite abelian and set $U := V \cap K_i$, where K_i denotes the kernel of the natural map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_{i+1}/G_i)$. Then U is a normal subgroup of G and, since $\text{Aut}(G_{i+1}/G_i)$ is a finite abelian group, the factor G/U is finite abelian. In order to prove $(V, i+1)$ we proceed in two steps

(1) $(U, i+1)$ is true.

(2) If $D \subset E$ are normal subgroups of G such that G/D is finite abelian and E/D is cyclic then $(D, i+1)$ implies $(E, i+1)$.

Consider a series $U = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_s = V$ of normal subgroups of G such that U_i/U_{i-1} is cyclic. Then $(U, i+1)$ together with (2) finally yields $(V, i+1)$.

Set $R := \mathcal{K}[G_{i+1}]$, $S := \mathcal{K}[G_i]$.

Proof of (1). Let $\{g_i\}_{i \in M}$ be a transversal for G_i in G_{i+1} , M some index set such that $1 \in M$, $g_1 = 1$. The elements of R are uniquely expressible in the form $\alpha = \sum_{i \in M} \alpha_i g_i$, where $\alpha_i \in S$. Set $S(\alpha) := \{g_i : i \in M, \alpha_i \neq 0\}$ and call $\text{card } S(\alpha)$ the length of α . Choose an element $\alpha \in J \setminus I$ of minimal length among the elements of $J \setminus I$. Eventually multiplying on the right by a suitable group element we can clearly assume that $1 \in S(\alpha)$. Using the definition of U one easily sees that

$$C_\alpha(I) := \left\{ \gamma_1 \in S : \exists \gamma = \gamma_1 + \sum_{1 \neq i \in M} \gamma_i g_i \in I, \gamma_i \in S, S(\gamma) \subset S(\alpha) \right\}$$

and the analogously defined $C_\alpha(J)$ are U -stable ideals of S such that $C_\alpha(J) \supset C_\alpha(I)$. Clearly $\alpha_1 \in C_\alpha(J)$, and the minimality of α implies that $\alpha_1 \notin C_\alpha(I)$. Therefore by assumption (U, i) , there are $\delta_1 \in C_\alpha(J) \setminus C_\alpha(I)$ and $\lambda \in U^*$ such that $\lambda(U)$ is finite, $\lambda|[G, G] = 1$ and $\delta_1^x - \lambda(x)\delta_1 \in C_\alpha(I)$ for all $x \in U$. Choose $\delta \in J$ such that $\delta = \delta_1 + \sum_{1 \neq i \in M} \delta_i g_i$, $\delta_i \in S$, $S(\delta) \subset S(\alpha)$ and for each $x \in U$ choose $\gamma_x \in I$ such that $\gamma_x = \delta_1^x - \lambda(x)\delta_1 + \sum_{1 \neq i \in M} \gamma_{x,i} g_i$, $\gamma_{x,i} \in S$, $S(\gamma_x) \subset S(\alpha)$. Then $\delta \notin I$ since $\delta_1 \notin C_\alpha(I)$. For $x \in U$ one obtains

$$\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta = \gamma_x + \left(- \sum_{1 \neq i \in M} \gamma_{x,i} g_i + \sum_{1 \neq i \in M} (\delta_i^x [x, g_i^{-1}] - \lambda(x)\delta_i) g_i \right).$$

Since $S(\gamma_x), S(\delta) \subset S(\alpha)$ and $[x, g_i^{-1}] \in G_i$, the term in the brackets has shorter length than α . The minimality of α implies $\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta \in I$. Thus (1) is proved.

Proof of (2). Write $E = \langle D, e \rangle$, where $e^m \in D$. Consider E -stable ideals $I \subseteq J$ of R . In particular I and J are D -stable and hence by the assumption $(D, i+1)$ there exist $\gamma \in J \setminus I$ and $\lambda \in D^*$ such that $\lambda(D)$ is finite, $\lambda|[G, G] = 1$ and $\gamma^x - \lambda(x)\gamma \in I$ for all $x \in D$. Let L be the finite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -vector space $L := (\mathbb{k}\gamma + \mathbb{k}\gamma^e + \mathbb{k}\gamma^{e^2} + \dots + \mathbb{k}\gamma^{e^{m-1}} + I)/I$ and let $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(L)$ be induced by the automorphism $r \mapsto r^e$ of R .

Since \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed, Φ has an eigenvalue $\zeta \in \mathbb{k}$. If $0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \gamma^{e^i} + I$

$(k_i \in \mathbb{k})$ is a corresponding eigenvector in L , then $\delta := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \gamma^{e^i} \in J \setminus I$ and $\delta^e - \zeta \delta \in I$.

For $x \in D$ one obtains $\gamma^{e^i x} = \gamma^{[e^{-i}, x^{-1}] x e^i} = \gamma^{x e^i} = \lambda(x) \gamma^{e^i} \text{ mod } I$ since $[G, G] \subset \text{Ker } \lambda$. Therefore for all $x \in D$: $\delta^x - \lambda(x)\delta \in I$. Thus one obtains a homomorphism $\tilde{\lambda} \in E^*$ such that $\delta^x - \tilde{\lambda}(x)\delta \in I$ for all $x \in E$, $\tilde{\lambda}|_D = \lambda$, $\tilde{\lambda}(e) = \zeta$. Since $e^m \in D$ one has $\zeta^m \in D$ $\zeta^m \in \tilde{\lambda}(D) = \lambda(D)$ and hence $\zeta^n = 1$ for a suitable n . It follows that $\tilde{\lambda}(E)$ is finite. This concludes the proof of (2) and of (2.3).

3. Primitive Ideals

(3.1) **Lemma.** Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group, \mathbb{k} a perfect field and I an ideal of the group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$. For an extension field \mathbb{k}' of \mathbb{k} let $I' := I \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}'$ be the ideal of $\mathbb{k}'[G]$ generated by I . If I is semiprime then so is I' .

Proof. With the obvious notational changes the proof given in [3], 3.4.2 (see also [2], 3.10) carries over.

(3.2) **Proposition.** *Let G be a supersoluble group, \mathbb{k} a perfect field and I a semiprime ideal of the group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$. Set $A := \mathbb{k}[G]/I$.*

(a) *If J is an ideal of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ strictly containing I then $J/I \cap Z(A) \neq 0$.*

(b) *If in addition I is prime and $Q(A)$ is the (classical) quotient ring of A then $Z(Q(A)) = Q(Z(A))$.*

Proof. (a) First suppose \mathbb{k} to be algebraically closed. Then for any ideal J of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ strictly containing I there exists $\lambda \in G^*$ such that $\text{card } \lambda(G) =: n < \infty$ and $J/I \cap A^\lambda \neq 0$ (2.3). Choose $0 \neq a \in J/I \cap A^\lambda$. Then $a^n \neq 0$ since I is semiprime (2.2c). Furthermore $\lambda^n(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$ and hence by (2.2a) $0 \neq a^n \in A^n = Z(A)$ and clearly $a^n \in J/I$.

In the general case let $\hat{\mathbb{k}}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{k} and let $\hat{I} := I \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \hat{\mathbb{k}}$, $\hat{J} := J \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \hat{\mathbb{k}}$ be the ideals of $\hat{\mathbb{k}}[G]$ generated by I, J . Then $\hat{I} \subsetneq \hat{J}$, and by (3.1), \hat{I} is semiprime. Therefore there exists $0 \neq \hat{a} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{I} \in \hat{J}/\hat{I} \cap Z(\hat{\mathbb{k}}[G]/\hat{I})$ ($\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{J}$). Using a \mathbb{k} -basis $\{k_i\}_{i \in M}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{k}}$ write $\hat{\alpha}$ in the form $\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in M_0} \alpha_i k_i$, $M_0 \subset M$ a finite set, $\alpha_i \in J \setminus I$. Then obviously for all $i \in M_0$: $0 \neq \alpha_i + I \in J/I \cap Z(A)$.

(b) Let c be a central element of $Q(A)$. The set of all elements $a \in A$ such that $ac \in A$ forms a nonzero two-sided ideal of A . Therefore, by (a), we can find $0 \neq z \in Z(A)$ such that $zc \in A$. Obviously $zc \in Z(A)$. Now since I is prime, z is regular in A and hence invertible in $Q(A)$. Thus $Z(Q(A)) \subset Q(Z(A))$. The other inclusion is trivial.

(3.3) **Theorem.** *Let G be a supersoluble group and \mathbb{k} a perfect field. Then for any prime ideal I of the group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$ the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) *I is primitive.*
- (ii) *The centre $Z(\mathbb{k}[G]/I)$ of $\mathbb{k}[G]/I$ is a finite algebraic field extension of \mathbb{k} .*
- (iii) *I is maximal.*
- (iv) *I is locally closed in $\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[G]$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let V be an irreducible $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -module with kernel I . Then $Z(\mathbb{k}[G]/I)$ is in a natural way embedded in $Z(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(V))$. Application of (1.2) yields the result. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This follows immediately from (3.2a). Finally (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is trivial and (iv) \Rightarrow (i) is a consequence of (1.3).

4. Counterexamples

(4.1) In the present form (3.3) does not extend to group algebras of general polycyclic-by-finite groups: Let G be a polycyclic group having all nontrivial conjugacy classes of infinite order and let \mathbb{k} be an absolute field, i.e. a field that is algebraic over a finite field. Then by a result of Roseblade ([8], Theorem A), $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is certainly not primitive. On the other hand $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is prime and $Z(\mathbb{k}[G]) = \mathbb{k}$. Thus the ideal $I = 0$ satisfies (ii) but not (i).

(4.2) Another more interesting example dealing with non absolute fields will be given below. We first state a slightly more general result suggested by the referee. Recall that if H is a group acting on a ring S , then the crossed product $S_\alpha[H]$ of S and H with respect to the action $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$ is a ring that is free as a right S -module with basis the elements of H . The multiplication is defined distributively extending the rule $xr \cdot ys = xyr^{\alpha(y)}s$ ($x, y \in H, r, s \in S$).

Proposition. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field and let X be an irreducible affine \mathbb{k} -variety with coordinate ring S . Furthermore let H be a group acting faithfully on X and let $R := S_\alpha[H]$ be the crossed product of S and H with respect to the induced action $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$ of H on S . Then:

(a) R is prime.

(b) If X contains a dense H -orbit, then R is primitive.

(c) If R is noetherian, then the ideal $I=0$ of R is locally closed in $\text{Spec } R$ if and only if the union of all H -orbits that are not dense in X is not dense.

Proof. (1) If J is a nonzero ideal of R , then $J \cap S \neq 0$. Every element $\alpha \in R$ can be

written uniquely in the form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i s_i$, where $h_i \in H$ are distinct and s_i are

nonzero elements of S . Call the number of summands occurring in such an expression the length of α and choose $0 \neq \alpha \in J$ of minimal length n among the nonzero elements of J . After multiplying with a suitable element of H if necessary, we may assume that $h_1 = 1$. The assertion will be proved if we can show that $n = 1$. Assume $n > 1$. Then $h_n \neq 1$ and there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $s^{h_n} \neq s$. (We write s^h

instead of $s^{\alpha(h)}$.) The element $\beta := s\alpha - \alpha s = \sum_{i=2}^n h_i (s^{h_i} - s) s_i \in J$ is nonzero, because $s^{h_n} - s$, $s_n \neq 0$ and S has no zero divisors by the irreducibility of X . Since β has shorter length than α we have the desired contradiction.

(2) *Proof of (a).* If A, B are nonzero ideals of R , then by (1) $A \cap S$ and $B \cap S$ are nonzero. Therefore $(A \cap S)(B \cap S) \neq 0$ and $AB \neq 0$.

(3) *Proof of (b).* The existence of a dense H -orbit is equivalent to the existence of a maximal ideal I of S such that $\bigcap_{h \in H} I^h = 0$. Consider the left ideal RI of R . Since R is free over S , it follows that $RI \neq R$. Hence we can choose a maximal left ideal L of R containing RI . Let V be the irreducible R -module $V := R/L$. Then as S -modules $V \supset S + L/L \cong S/S \cap L = S/I$. Therefore $A \cap S \subset I$, where $A := \text{Ann}_R(V)$. Since $A \cap S$ is clearly an H -stable ideal of S , it follows that $A \cap S \subset \bigcap_{h \in H} I^h = 0$.

Finally $A = 0$, by (1). Thus V is a faithful irreducible R -module and R is primitive.

(4) If J is a semiprime ideal of R , then $J \cap S$ is a semiprime ideal of S . Let $\mathcal{M} := \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of S containing $J_S := J \cap S$. Since J_S

is H -stable, H operates on \mathcal{M} . It follows that the radical $\sqrt{J_S} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$ of the ideal J_S is H -stable. Hence $R\sqrt{J_S}$ is a two-sided ideal of R . Furthermore there exists an n such that $\sqrt{J_S}^n \subset J_S$. It follows that $(R\sqrt{J_S})^n = R\sqrt{J_S}^n \subset RJ_S \subset J$. Therefore, since J is semiprime, $R\sqrt{J_S} \subset J$ and $\sqrt{J_S} \subset J \cap S = J_S$.

(5) *Proof of (c).* First suppose that the union of all H -orbits in X that are not dense is dense, i.e. there are maximal ideals I_α , $\alpha \in A$, of S such that $D(I_\alpha) := \bigcap_{h \in H} I_\alpha^h \neq 0$

for all $\alpha \in A$ but $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} D(I_\alpha) = 0$. Each $D(I_\alpha)$ is a semiprime H -stable ideal of S . Let

I'_α be the two-sided ideal $I'_\alpha := RD(I_\alpha)$ of R and let $J_\alpha := \sqrt{I'_\alpha}$ be the radical of I'_α . Since R is noetherian, J_α is a semiprime ideal of R such that $J_\alpha^n \subset I'_\alpha$ for some n . Therefore $(J_\alpha \cap S)^n \subset I'_\alpha \cap S = D(I_\alpha)$ and hence $J_\alpha \cap S = D(I_\alpha)$. It follows that $\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_\alpha \right) \cap$

$S = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} D(I_\alpha) = 0$ and, by (1), $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_\alpha = 0$. Thus the ideal $I = 0$ is the intersection of nonzero prime ideals and therefore is not locally closed in $\text{Spec } R$.

Conversely, suppose $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} J_\alpha = 0$, where $\{J_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ denotes the set of nonzero prime ideals of R . Then $0 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (J_\alpha \cap S)$ and, by (1) and (4), each $J_\alpha \cap S$ is a nonzero semiprime ideal of S . The Jacobson property of S implies that $J_\alpha \cap S$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of S containing $J_\alpha \cap S$. Collect these ideals in \mathcal{V} . Certainly H operates on \mathcal{V} and hence $J_\alpha \cap S = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$. Let x_M be such that $\{x_M\}$ is the set of zeros of M and let \mathcal{O}_M be the H -orbit $\mathcal{O}_M := x_M^H$. Then \mathcal{O}_M is not dense, since its annihilating ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_M) = \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$ is nonzero. But the union $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{O}_M$ is dense, because $\mathcal{I}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{O}_M\right) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_M) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (J_\alpha \cap S) = 0$.

This finishes the proof.

(4.3) We close with the promised example: Let $A = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ be a free abelian group of rank 2 and let $z \in \text{Aut}(A)$ be defined by $x^z = x^2 y$, $y^z = xy$. Consider the group algebra $\mathcal{K}[G]$ of the semidirect product $G := A \rtimes_\sigma \langle z \rangle$ over the algebraically closed field \mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{K}[A]$ can be considered as the coordinate ring S of the variety $X := \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$. If we let $\langle z \rangle$ act on X according to $(c, d)^z := (cd^{-1}, c^{-1}d^2)$ ($c, d \in \mathcal{K}$), then $\mathcal{K}[G]$ is isomorphic to the crossed product of S and $\langle z \rangle$. The orbits of the action of $\langle z \rangle$ on X are easily described (We omit the verifications):

- (1) All infinite $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits are dense in X .
- (2) If $E \subset \mathcal{K}$ denotes the set of roots of unity in \mathcal{K} then $E \times E$ is the union of all finite $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits in X .

Now suppose \mathcal{K} to be non absolute. Then $E \neq \mathcal{K}$ and hence there are infinite $\langle z \rangle$ -orbits in X . By (4.2b) together with (1), we conclude that $\mathcal{K}[G]$ is primitive. Finally, since $E \times E$ is dense in X , (4.2c) and (2) show that the ideal $I = 0$ is not locally closed in $\text{Spec } \mathcal{K}[G]$. – We remark that the primitivity of $\mathcal{K}[G]$ also follows from a result of Passman ([7], Corollary 7.9) that is based on Bergman's work in [1].

References

1. Bergman, G. M.: The logarithmic limit set of an algebraic variety. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **157**, 459–469 (1971)
2. Borho, W., Gabriel, P., Rentschler, R.: Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1973
3. Dixmier, J.: Algèbres enveloppantes. Paris: Gauthiers-Villars 1974
4. Goldie, A. W., Michler, G. O.: Ore extensions and polycyclic group rings. J. London Math. Soc. **9**, 337–345 (1974)
5. Hall, P.: Finiteness conditions for soluble groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. **4**, 419–436 (1954)
6. Hall, P.: On the finiteness of certain soluble groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. **9**, 595–622 (1959)
7. Passman, D. S.: Advances in group rings. Israel J. Math. **19**, 67–107 (1974)
8. Roseblade, J. E.: Group rings of polycyclic groups. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **3**, 307–328 (1973)
9. Smith, P. F.: Group rings of hypercyclic groups. Math. Zeitschr. **144**, 283–288 (1975)
10. Zalesskij, A. E.: Irreducible representations of finitely generated nilpotent torsion-free groups. Math. Notes **9**, 117–123 (1971)

Received March 4, 1976