

MATH115 Summary Note University of Waterloo

First Year Engineering Department

**UNIVERSITY OF
WATERLOO**



Chang Keun Paik, General WEEF TA

December 12, 2017

Contents

1	Chapter 1	1
1.1	Vectors	1
1.2	Vector Arithmetic	1
1.3	Directed Line Segment	2
1.4	Equation of a Line	2
1.5	Subspaces	2
1.5.1	Subspace Example 1	3
1.5.2	Subspace Example 2	4
1.6	Linear Dependency	4
1.6.1	Linearly Dependent	4
1.6.2	Linearly Independent	5
1.6.3	Examples	5
1.7	Norm of a Vector	5
1.8	Dot Product	5
1.8.1	Unit Vector	6
1.9	Projection	6
1.10	Equation of a Plane	7
2	Chapter 2	7
2.1	System of Linear Equations	7
2.2	System of Equation in Matrix Form	8
2.2.1	Homogeneous System	8
2.3	Elementary Row Operations	8
2.4	REF and RREF	9
2.4.1	Solution Set	9
2.4.2	Rank	10
3	Chapter 3	10
3.1	Matrix Operations	11
3.1.1	Matrix Multiplication	11
3.2	Transpose of Matrix	11
3.3	Matrix Inverse	11
3.3.1	Process of Finding Inverse	12
3.4	Important Properties	13
3.5	Matrix Mapping	13
3.6	Linear Mapping	13
3.6.1	Example:Proving Linear Mapping	14
3.7	Standard Matrix of a Linear Mapping	14
3.7.1	Example of Standard Matrix	15
3.8	Composite Mapping	15
3.9	Geometrical Transformation	16

3.9.1	Representing Geometrical Transformation as Matrix	16
4	Determinants	16
4.1	2x2 Matrix	17
4.2	nxn Matrix	17
4.3	Properties of Determinant	17
4.4	Cramer's Rule	19
5	Eigenvector and Diagonalization	19
5.1	Algebraic and Geometric Multiplicity	20
5.2	Diagonalization	21
5.3	Diagonalization Theorem	21
6	Complex Numbers	22
6.1	Rectangular Form	22
6.1.1	Complex Conjugate	23
6.1.2	Some Properties	23
6.2	Roots of Polynomial Equation	24
6.3	Complex Plane	24
6.4	Polar Form	24
6.4.1	Multiplication and Division with Polar Form	25
6.5	Euler's Formula	26
6.6	n^{th} Roots	27
7	Vector Spaces	27
7.1	Subspaces	28
7.2	Homogeneous System of Linear Equation	28
	Appendices	30
A	Compilation of Useful Formulas	30

List of Figures

1	Determinant Calculation	18
2	Complex Plane Diagram	25
3	Example of Rectangular to Polar	26

This is a summary note about Math115 written for the first year engineering students that takes the course. This is by no means affiliated with the course directly, but is meant to be used as a supplementary guideline for the students to use if they need it. This summary was written by the General WEEF TA, Chad Paik.

The table of content shows the different sections, so feel free to look only in the section that one desires.

Also, iff is shorthand for if and only if.

1 Chapter 1

Chapter 1 will cover Vectors, Equation of a Line, Subspaces, Linear Dependence/Independence, Dot Product, Projections/Perpendicular, Cross Product, Equation of a Plane, and Minimum Distances.

1.1 Vectors

Vectors are mathematical entities that have different meanings, but all connect together one way or the other.

The most common definition of a vector is that it has direction and magnitude in space. In linear algebra, the magnitude and direction represents the the direction between points.

Vectors can also represent a group of numbers, just like how one would use in programming. This set of number can be geometrically interpreted as a set of point in space.

In this course, vector is represented as a vertical column consisted of numbers. The vector has to have an **arrow** on top, indicating that it is a vector

$$\vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where the numbers inside the vectors are called the **components** of the vector. These components represent the position that it has on each axis in space. Although only up to 3rd dimension can be visualized, dimension above 3 has axis as well.

1.2 Vector Arithmetic

Vectors, just like real numbers can be added and subtracted.

To add vectors, each coordinates are added, and same with subtraction.

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then, } \vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n + y_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{x} - \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - y_1 \\ x_2 - y_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n - y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, you can multiply a scalar value to a vector.

$$t\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} tx_1 \\ tx_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ tx_n \end{bmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

1.3 Directed Line Segment

To find the vector that forms between two points, you subtract two points. For example, if we have point $p = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ and $q = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, then the vector that is going to form that connects from P to Q is:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{PQ} &= q - p \\ \vec{PQ} &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 - P_1 \\ Q_2 - P_2 \\ Q_3 - P_3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Same procedure extends to \mathbb{R}^n

1.4 Equation of a Line

With the knowledge of vectors, it is possible to find equation of a line.

Line consists of a point that it starts at, \vec{p} and the direction vector, \vec{d} , as well as a scalar t that determines the "length" of the direction vector. The general equation of a line is

$$\vec{x} = \vec{p} + t\vec{d} \tag{2}$$

1.5 Subspaces

One of the most confusing concept in Math115: Subspaces. **Subspaces are certain space that is within another space that satisfies certain conditions.** Space can be anything from a line, a plane, or a hyperplane.

The conditions that must be satisfied are:

1. $\vec{0}$ must exist in the space
2. When vectors in the space are added, then that resulting vector must still be in the original space (Closed Under Addition)
3. When a vector in the space is multiplied by a scalar, then the resulting vector must still be in the original space (Closed Under Scalar Multiplication)

When you are proving that a space is a subspace, it must be done generally, but to disprove that it is a subspace, then a counterexample is completely fine.

I will do two examples, one that is a subspace, and other one that is not a subspace.

1.5.1 Subspace Example 1

Prove or disprove that $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid x_2 = 2x_1 \right\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

This is an equation of a line (you might recognize that if i express it as $y=2x$).

The general rule of thumb for approaching the subspace proof is to use LHS=RHS approach, where you go through LHS and RHS of an equation that you are trying to prove separately, and see if they are equal to each other at the end.

1.Zero Vector

$$\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

LHS

$$0$$

RHS

$$2(0)$$

$$=0$$

Since LHS = RHS, $\vec{0}$ exists in the space.

2.C.U.S.

We define two vectors **that are part of the space** \vec{x} and \vec{y} .

$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ We already established that the two vectors are already part of the space, therefore it is possible to rewrite the vectors as following:

$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 2x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ 2y_1 \end{bmatrix}$ Now, we add the two vectors, to produce a new vector, $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ 2x_1 + 2y_1 \end{bmatrix}$. To prove that the new vector is part of the space again, we need to see if it still satisfies the condition, $x_2 = 2x_1$

LHS

$$2x_1 + 2y_1$$

RHS

$$2(x_1 + y_1)$$

$$= 2x_1 + 2y_1$$

Since second coordinate of the new vector is 2 times the first coordinate, we proved that the vector formed by addition is still part of the space.

3.C.U.S.M. Again, we define a vector that is part of the space, $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Again, we already defined that \vec{x} is part of the space, so we can rewrite the vector like before.

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 2x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Additionally, we define a scalar value, $t, \in \mathbb{R}$.

The resulting vector formed after scalar multiplying the vector is

$$t\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} tx_1 \\ t2x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, we have to see if this resulting vector is still part of the space that satisfies that the condition, $x_2 = 2x_1$.

LHS

$$t2x_1 \\ = 2tx_1$$

RHS

$$2(tx_1) \\ = 2tx_1$$

Since $LHS = RHS$, we know that the space is closed under scalar multiplication.

In conclusion, since the space has zero vector included, closed under addition, and closed under scalar multiplication, we know that space S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

1.5.2 Subspace Example 2

Prove or disprove that $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid x_2 = x_1^2 \right\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

This is an equation of a parabola (you might recognize that if i express it as $y = x^2$). The general rule of thumb is, that if there is multiplication, division or power of variables, the space is not a subspace. **Counter Example** I am going to choose two vectors that are part of the space,

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

When these two vectors are added, the resulting vector is $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2 \\ 1+4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $5 \neq 3^2$, it is not closed under addition.

The space does not satisfy one of the condition, therefore the space is not a subspace.

1.6 Linear Dependency

For a set of vector, $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, linear dependency is determined by the behaviour of this equation:

$$\vec{0} = t_1\vec{v}_1 + t_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + t_n\vec{v}_n \quad (3)$$

1.6.1 Linearly Dependent

Set of vector, $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is **Linearly Dependent** if there exists a solution to (3) where t_1, \dots, t_n are **not all zeros**.

This also translates to this expression:

If a vector in the set can be expressed as a linear combination as other vector,

then that set of vector is linearly dependent.

1.6.2 Linearly Independent

Opposite of linearly dependent, Set of vector, $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is **Linearly Independent** if the only solution to (3) is if t_1, \dots, t_n are **all zeros**.

This also translates to this expression:

If any vector in the set is not possible to be expressed as a linear combination of other vectors in the set, then the set of vectors is linearly independent.

1.6.3 Examples

$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a set of **Linearly Dependent** vector.

The solution to the expression

$$\vec{0} = t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

can be solved by $t_1 = 1, t_2 = -2, t_3 = -1$. Since t_i values are not all zeros, this set of vector is linearly dependent.

Consider $T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. The solution to the expression

$$\vec{0} = t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

is only the trivial solution, where all the t_i values must be zero in order for the equation to be satisfied. Therefore, set of vector T is linearly independent.

1.7 Norm of a Vector

Norm of a vector is the magnitude of that vector (length of the vector). The famous Pythagoras Theorem can be expanded to find out the norm of a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Norm of a vector is indicated with two vertical lines.

$$||\vec{v}|| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \dots + v_n^2} \quad (6)$$

1.8 Dot Product

Dot product is very difficult to describe with words exactly what it is. However, it will help if you understand that the dot product between Force and Displacement is Work.

Dot product has two definitions. They both yields the same result, but each of them are used in different situations.

1.8.1 Unit Vector

With the knowledge of norm of a vector, it is possible to find the unit vector. Unit vector is a vector that has the same direction, but has magnitude of 1.

Unit vector is calculated by dividing the vector by the norm. It is indicated with a hat on top of the vector.

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\vec{x}}{||\vec{x}||} \quad (7)$$

$$1. \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = ||\vec{x}|| ||\vec{y}|| \cos \theta$$

$$2. \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n$$

The first equation is generally used more for physics problem, where the angle between the vectors, and magnitudes of the vectors are usually given. The second definition is more used for mathematics, where the components of the vectors is usually given.

With the second expression for dot product, the length of a vector can be expressed as

$$||\vec{x}|| = \sqrt{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}} \quad (8)$$

1.9 Projection

Projection is used to find the component of one vector in the direction of the vector that you are projecting onto.

The formula for projection is as follows:

$$proj_{\vec{x}} \vec{y} = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}}{||\vec{x}||^2} \vec{x} \quad (9)$$

The above equation takes in vectors and outputs a vector. Some questions require purely just the distance, and there is a shortcut formula for getting purely the magnitude.

$$||proj_{\vec{x}} \vec{y}|| = \left| \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{x}}{||\vec{x}||} \right| \quad (10)$$

There is another component that can be found is the perpendicular part. Perpendicular part is perpendicular to the projection. When projection and perpendicular is added, the original vector that was projected is formed.

$$\begin{aligned} proj_{\vec{x}} \vec{y} + perp_{\vec{x}} \vec{y} &= \vec{y} \\ perp_{\vec{x}} \vec{y} &= \vec{y} - proj_{\vec{x}} \vec{y} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

1.10 Equation of a Plane

Scalar equation of a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 is described by the general equation,

$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3 = d \quad (12)$$

where (n_1, n_2, n_3) are the coordinates of the vector that is normal to the plane.

This equation can be derived if we know the normal vector, and a known point on the plane, $P(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. Then, we define any general point on plane, $Q(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. First, we create \vec{PQ} .

$$\vec{PQ} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - p_1 \\ x_2 - p_2 \\ x_3 - p_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

We know that \vec{PQ} is on the plane, and will be orthogonal to the normal vector. Which means,

$$\vec{n} \cdot \vec{PQ} = 0$$

Expanding it out,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(x_1 - p_1) + n_2(x_2 - p_2) + n_3(x_3 - p_3) &= 0 \\ n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3 - (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $p_1, p_2, \text{ and } p_3$ are given numbers, it is possible to group it as another real number, d .

$$\begin{aligned} n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3 - d &= 0 \\ n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3 &= d \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Expanding this same concept to \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3 \dots n_nx_n = d \quad (16)$$

In this case, it is not called scalar equation of a plane, but scalar equation of a hyperplane.

2 Chapter 2

Chapter 2 was introduction to matrices and system of linear equations. In this section, System of Linear Equations, Elimination, Matrices, REF and RREF, and Rank.

(To be honest, explaining this chapter is really hard to do, so if my explanation is not as good as you want it to be, any feedback would be appreciated.)

2.1 System of Linear Equations

There are system of equations with certain solution.// For example, if we have a system of equation as following:

$$\begin{cases} 3x + 5y + z = 2 \\ 7x + 2y + 4z = 9 \\ -6x + 3y + 2z = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{If you look at it carefully, these equations look like scalar equation}$$

of planes. The solution to this system of equation can be interpreted as the point that is in all three planes, or rather the intersection of the planes. The textbook explains system of equation and elimination a lot better than I can paraphrase. Therefore, if you want to learn more about these, please refer to the textbook.

2.2 System of Equation in Matrix Form

System of equation can be expressed as an augmented matrix. This matrix is in the form of $[A|\vec{b}]$ where A is a matrix formed by the coefficients of the linear equations, and \vec{b} is the vector made with the "answers" of each equations. Putting the above linear equation

into an augmented matrix, we get $\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ 7 & -2 & 4 & 9 \\ -6 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ (Sorry, I didn't know how to make an augmented matrix with square bracket).

2.2.1 Homogeneous System

If the system all equals to zero, meaning \vec{b} is the zero vector, then the system is called **Homogeneous System**. $[A|\vec{0}]$.

2.3 Elementary Row Operations

Elementary Row Operations is a process that is used to eliminate rows in order to solve the system of equation. There are three type or ERO:

1. Multiply one row by a scalar that is not zero
2. Swapping Rows
3. Add a multiple of one row to another

It is possible to scalar multiply a row and subtract that from another row. For example,

$$R_1 - 3R_2 \tag{17}$$

In this case, Row1(R_1), is the row that is going to be changed and Row2(R_2) stays as is. However, operation such as

$$3R_1 - 2R_2 \tag{18}$$

is not allowed as you should not scalar multiply both rows. Additionally, another illegal operation is doing certain operations together.

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 - 2R_3 \\ R_1 - R_2 \end{array} \quad (19)$$

Above is not allowed since the row that is being changed (R_2) is being used to change other row (R_1). However, there are legal combination of ERO, such as,

$$\begin{array}{l} R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 + 6R_1 \end{array} \quad (20)$$

This is allowed since R_2 and R_3 are being changed independently with R_1 which is not being changed.

Please refer to the document about EROs on MATH115 Learn for more detail.

2.4 REF and RREF

After you perform the row reductions, the matrix can be expressed as REF or RREF. The definitions are as follows. [1] **REF**:

1. Row that is all zero must appear under rows that have numbers
2. When two non zero-rows are compared, the first non-zero entry (leading entry) in the upper row must be left of the row under it.

What this means is that below a leading entry, the numbers must be all zeros.

RREF goes one step further: **RREF**

1. All leading entries must be a 1, called leading 1
2. In a column with a leading 1, all the other entries are zeros.

2.4.1 Solution Set

System of equations has three possibilities:

1. **Inconsistent, No Solution:** If a row is all zeros, but the augmented side is non zero.
[00|6]
2. **Consistent, Infinitely Many Solutions:** If a row is all zeros and the augmented side is zero. Another way of interpreting this is **if there are any variables that does not have a leading entry in REF**
3. **Consistent, Unique Solutions:** If a row is not all zeros, and the augmented side is any real number. Another way of interpreting is **if all of the variables have a leading entry in REF**

When tackling one of those questions where there are variables in matrix and ask for conditions on the variables for each situation, start row reducing first to put into REF.

When there is a system of equation where there are going to be infinite solutions, **the variables without a leading entry are the free variables.**

For example, consider this system

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 3 \end{array}\right)$$

The RREF of this matrix is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{6} & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

The terms without leading entry is x_4 , therefore that will be the free variable. $x_4 = t$. Then, express all the variables in terms of t .

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -4 - \frac{1}{6}t \\ x_2 &= 3 - \frac{1}{6}t \\ x_3 &= 1 - \frac{7}{6}t \\ x_4 &= 0 + t \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Putting this into a vector form, or the **standard form**, we get

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{7}{6} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}$$

This is the general solution for the system of equation above.

2.4.2 Rank

If the matrix is in RREF, then the **Number of leading 1s is called the Rank of the matrix.**

With rank, it is possible to know the number of free variables using this relationship:

$$\#freevariables = n - rank(A) \tag{23}$$

where n represents the number of variables.

3 Chapter 3

Chapter 3 introduces operations on matrices, and the concept of **Mapping**. These two are "essentially" the same thing. You can think of them like functions that were taught in

calculus, where you input a number that is within the domain, and get a output that is within the range.

In the case of linear mapping and matrix mapping, the domain is the **dimension of the vector that can be inputted (number of columns)**, and codomain is analogous to range, as it **represents the dimension of the output vector (number of rows)**. Usually, mapping is associated with use of equations to indicate the components of the output, and matrix mapping is associated with multiplying a matrix to a vector to perform the mapping. **IMPORTANT: All linear mappings are matrix mappings, but not all matrix mappings are linear mappings. However, they are usually the same thing in this course.**

3.1 Matrix Operations

I am going to briefly explain matrix multiplications, and important properties that **I highly recommend** you memorizing for any examinations.

3.1.1 Matrix Multiplication

In order for matrix multiplication to work, the inner dimension must match up. That means that the number of columns of the first matrix must equal to number of rows of the second matrix. The definition of matrix multiplication that I used a lot in my help session is the following:

If we have two matrices $A_{n \times m}$ and $B_{m \times p}$, the product is defined as

$$(AB)_{ij} = \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{b}_j \quad (24)$$

where \vec{a}_i represents the i th row of matrix A, and \vec{b}_j represents the j th row of matrix B, and $(AB)_{ij}$ represents the ij -th entry of the resulting matrix.

Important: Matrix multiplication is not commutative. $AB \neq BA$

3.2 Transpose of Matrix

Transpose of matrix has a very simple definition

$$A_{ij} = A_{ji}^T \quad (25)$$

Meaning the ij -th entry is now the ji -th entry of the transpose matrix. This means that the diagonal elements, $\text{ex}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ stay where they are.

3.3 Matrix Inverse

Although Matrix Inverses are chapter 3.5, I decided to include them here as I believe they are easier to understand grouped with matrix properties. Inverse of a matrix is defined as a matrix that produces the Identity Matrix when multiplied.

$$AB = I = BA \quad (26)$$

then B is an inverse of A. It is important to note that inverses does not exist for all matrices. This is summed up by Invertible Matrix Theorem.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, then the following statements are equivalent, meaning that if one statement is true, then other statements are true.

1. A is invertible
2. $\text{rank}(A) = n$
3. RREF of A is Identity Matrix
4. For all $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is consistent and has a unique solution
5. The columns of A are linearly independent
6. The column space of A is \mathbb{R}^n

(If I recall correctly, the last two items are not taught in the course anymore, but still is true).

3.3.1 Process of Finding Inverse

The process of finding inverse is fairly long and tedious, but is very straight forward. To find the inverse of A, an $n \times n$ matrix, we need to set up an augmented matrix like following:

$$(A \mid I) \quad (27)$$

and then, row reduction must be done to make the previous system into following system:

$$(I \mid A^{-1}) \quad (28)$$

The matrix that gets formed after the original matrix turns into the identity matrix (RREF) is the inverse of A. If the original matrix does not turn into the Identity matrix when put into RREF, that means that there is not inverse for that specific matrix as per inverse matrix theorem.

For 2×2 matrix, there is a simple formula that can be applied:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } A &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \\ A^{-1} &= \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

If $ad - bc = 0$ then the inverse does not exist for that matrix.

3.4 Important Properties

There are four properties of matrix that is not intuitive, and should be memorized.

1. $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$
2. $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$
3. $(tA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{t}A^{-1}$
4. $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$

3.5 Matrix Mapping

Matrix is not just used to solve system of equations, but also is used to map vectors into another vector in space. A function that performs matrix mapping is simply the input vector multiplied by the transformation matrix that describes the mapping.

The **domain** of the mapping is correspondent to **number of columns** and the **codomain** of the mapping is correspondent to **number of rows**. This makes sense if you go back to the definition of matrix mapping. The **Image** is the output vector created by a inputting a certain vector to the mapping.

Matrix mappings satisfies these conditions:

1. $f_A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = f_A(\vec{x}) + f_A(\vec{y})$
2. $f_A(t\vec{x}) = t f_A(\vec{x})$

These are proven through the properties of matrix multiplication.

3.6 Linear Mapping

The textbook defines linear mapping as function $L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ if for every $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}$ it satisfies following properties:

1. $(L1)L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y})$
2. $(L2)L(t\vec{x}) = tL(\vec{x})$

However, when proving for whether a mapping is linear or not, it is possible to just only use this property:

$$L(t\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = tL(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) \quad (30)$$

So if a questions asks to prove whether a mapping is linear or not, you just have to use the above and prevent having to do two things. Just like subspace, counter example is sufficient if you want to disprove that mapping is linear.

3.6.1 Example: Proving Linear Mapping

Consider $g(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1 + 3x_2, x_1)$. First identify the Domain, Codomain, and prove whether this mapping is linear or not. **Domain and Codomain**

Domain is the dimension of the input vector: \mathbb{R}^2

Codomain is the dimension of the output vector: \mathbb{R}^2

Linearity

We see that there are only addition, and scalar multiplication, therefore it has a good chance of being linear mapping.

Define $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ We must prove that $L(t\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = tL(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y})$.

Note that $t\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} tx_1 + y_1 \\ tx_2 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$

LHS

$$\begin{aligned} L(t\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2(tx_1 + y_1) + 3(tx_2 + y_2) \\ tx_1 + y_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2tx_1 + 2y_1 + 3tx_2 + 3y_2 \\ tx_1 + y_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2tx_1 + 3tx_2 \\ tx_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + 3y_2 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

RHS

$$\begin{aligned} tL(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) &= t \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 + 3x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + 3y_2 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2tx_1 + 3tx_2 \\ tx_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + 3y_2 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since **LHS** = **RHS**, the mapping $g(x_1, x_2)$ is a linear mapping.

3.7 Standard Matrix of a Linear Mapping

All linear mappings can be represented as a matrix mapping. This is done by forming a standard transformation matrix by compiling the images of the basis vectors.

We are introduced to this important concept on how only standard basis vectors of the domain is needed to describe the transformation of any vector in that domain. This is because any vector in \mathbb{R}^n can be described as linear combination of the standard basis vectors. Since linear mappings are linear, that means that if the vector can be represented as linear combination, then all we need is the behaviour of the standard basis vectors to characterize the mapping.

If there exist if L that maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m . The standard matrix that describes the mapping is described by

$$[L] = [L(\vec{e}_1)L(\vec{e}_2)...L(\vec{e}_n)] \quad (33)$$

3.7.1 Example of Standard Matrix

Consider the same linear mapping as before, $g(x_1, x_2) = (2x_1 + 3x_2, x_1)$. We will try to find the standard matrix that describes the linear mapping.

Like mentioned previously, the matrix is the compilation of the images of the standard basis vectors of the domain. Since the domain of the mapping is \mathbb{R}^2 , we consider

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Carrying out the mapping,

$$g(\vec{e}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad g(\vec{e}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the standard matrix for g is

$$[g] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can confirm this by using a vector $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. Using linear mapping, $g(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

If we use matrix multiplication,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (34)$$

3.8 Composite Mapping

It is possible to represent two linear mappings into one matrix. This is called the **Composition of Linear Mapping**.

The definition straight out of textbook is as follows:

Let $L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $M: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be linear mappings. The composition of $M \circ L$ is defined by

$$(M \circ L)(\vec{x}) = M(L(\vec{x}))$$

[?] It is important that the codomain of the first mapping, L has to be same as the domain of the second mapping, M . This makes sense if we recall back to how the transformed vector from L has to be inserted into M .

Using this concept, we can generalize this with this theorem from the book:

Let $L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $M: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and $N: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be linear mappings, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$[L + M] = [L] + [M], [tL] = t[L], (N \circ L) = [N][L]$$

Where $[L]$, $[M]$, and $[N]$ represent the standard matrices of each linear mapping.

[?] The above remark about how the codomain of the first mapping and domain of the second mapping having to match up makes sense in the context of matrix mapping.

Recall that codomain of a mapping is the number of rows, and domain of a mapping is the number of columns. Since matrix multiplications only work if the inner dimensions are the same, we can conclude that it is true.

3.9 Geometrical Transformation

Geometrical Transformation are specific types of linear/matrix mapping that can be described geometrically in space. These transformation include **Rotation, Stretch, Contraction/Dilation, Shear, and Reflections**. There is a document on learn that highlights all the geometrical transformations that you need. It has way more detail that I can ever put on a "summary" sheet, so go to that to Learn more.

3.9.1 Representing Geometrical Transformation as Matrix

THIS SECTION IS A VERY GOOD REMINDER TO STUDENTS

I cannot stress this enough, but a lot of students forget the fact that geometrical transformation that are described as a linear equation can be represented as matrix mapping.

For example, if a question asks for the standard matrix of a reflection along a plane. The equation that describes a general reflection is

$$refl_{\vec{n}}\vec{p} = \vec{p} - 2proj_{\vec{n}}\vec{p} \quad (35)$$

This "equation" can be represented by a matrix by compiling the output of each and every standard basis vector in that dimension through the transformation. Therefore, the vector would look like:

$$[refl_{\vec{n}}] = [refl_{\vec{n}}\vec{e}_1 \dots refl_{\vec{n}}\vec{e}_n] \quad (36)$$

where each column in the matrix is the output of each unit vector (nx1 matrix).

4 Determinants

Determinants are a characteristic of a square (nxn) matrix, that helps you determine whether a system has a unique solution or not. Calculation determinants is very straight forward.

4.1 2x2 Matrix

Determinant of 2x2 Matrix is the foundation on finding the determinant of nxn matrix. For a matrix defined by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ The determinant is calculated by}$$

$$\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \quad (37)$$

4.2 nxn Matrix

nxn matrices are calculated through the process of **Cofactor Expansion**. That is,

$$\det(A) = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + \dots + a_{1n}C_{1n} \quad (38)$$

where

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A(i, j)$$

What this all means is that cofactor is the determinant of the matrix obtained by **covering up the ith row and jth column**. Also, the above $\det(A)$ equation is cofactor expansion along a first row, but it is possible to cofactor expand **along any row or column**. The reason why I said that 2x2 matrix is the basis of all determinant calculation is that once matrix is greater than 3x3, after cofactor expansion, you realize that you must find the determinant of a matrix that is dimension of $n-1 \times n-1$. Then, cofactor expansion must be applied repeatedly until you end up with 2x2 matrix where the determinant can be calculated directly. Since this is really hard to type up, I will simply post a picture of a question that I did. As you can see, cofactor expanding a 4x4 matrix ends up with 3x3 matrices which also needs to be calculated using cofactor expansion. **Pro Tip: Pick a row/column with the most zeros in order to do the least amount of calculation.**

4.3 Properties of Determinant

1. It is possible perform cofactor expansion along any row or column. Suggested to do it along row or row with most zeros.
2. If A is an nxn matrix that is Lower/Upper Triangular Matrix, then $\det(A)$ is the product of the diagonal entries.
 $\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}$
3. If A is an nxn matrix, then $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{e) } \det \begin{pmatrix} \overset{1}{\downarrow} \\ 0 & 6 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -5 & -3 & -5 \\ 5 & 6 & -3 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= 0 + 0 + 3 \begin{vmatrix} 6 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & -1 & 1 \\ 6 & -3 & -6 \end{vmatrix} - 5 \begin{vmatrix} 6 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & -1 & 1 \\ -5 & -3 & -5 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= 3 \left(6 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -3 & -6 \end{vmatrix} - 1 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 6 & -6 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ 6 & -3 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\
 &\quad - 5 \left(6 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -3 & -5 \end{vmatrix} - 1 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ -5 & -5 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ -5 & -3 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\
 &= 3(54 + 36 - 18) - 5(48 + 20 - 40) \\
 &= 216 - 140 = 76
 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Determinant Calculation

4. Scalar Multiple of i th row or j th column scales the determinant

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} ta_{11} & ta_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \det(B) = t \det(A)$$

5. Swapping two rows or columns multiplies the determinant by -1

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \det(B) = -\det(A)$$

Every time a swapping happens, multiply the determinant by -1.

6. Adding a scalar multiple of one row/column to another row

7. If one row/column of an $n \times n$ matrix contains only zeros, then $\det(A) = 0$.

This also means that if there is a row or column that repeats, then $\det(A) = 0$ since row reduction does not change the determinant.

Just as a clarification, even though we only learned EROs, column operations are valid while solving for determinants due to the property of $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$.

4.4 Cramer's Rule

A system of linear equation can be represented as $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where A is the coefficient matrix, \vec{x} is the vector for variables, and \vec{b} is the vector made from "answers". Then, Cramer's Rule is defined as following:

$$x_i = \det A_i / \det A$$

Where A_i is A matrix but with i th column replaced with \vec{b} . The advantage of Cramer's Rule is that it is possible to determine only one variable without solving for the whole system. Which means, if you only care about the value of one variable, you can reduce the amount of computation.

ex

Consider the system of equation

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 - 7x_2 = 3 \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 = -17 \end{cases}$$

The coefficient matrix will be $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -7 \\ 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -17 \end{bmatrix}$. It is important to note that if you are row reducing the A matrix and will continue to use that matrix, you must substitute in the **Row Reduced version of \vec{b}** or else you will yield incorrect answer. This is why I suggest only using row reduction to find the determinant, and use the original A matrix and \vec{b} .

5 Eigenvector and Diagonalization

The simplest description of Eigenvector and Eigenvalue is the following:

A vector that satisfies the condition

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$$

then \vec{v} is the eigenvector and λ are the eigenvalue of the respective eigenvector. The associated pair is called eigenpair.

In order to determine the eigenpairs, you must find the non-trivial solution to the above equation. Mathematically, that is described as:

$$C(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (39)$$

I know you are not supposed to have two equal signs in an equation, but what that means is that the **Characteristic Polynomial, $C(\lambda)$** is equal to the equation $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$. The determinant has to be zero since the unique solution is the trivial solution, or the zero vector. However, we want to find the **non-zero vector**, therefore we **do not** want the unique

solution. This means that the determinant of the system is equal to zero. The hardest part of these eigenvalue question is factoring out the determinants so that you can easily find the roots. You might want to review how to do long division using polynomials in case you get stuck. Usually, if you row reduce properly **after** subtracting the λ , then you usually get nice polynomials to work with. However, if you do get stuck with higher order polynomial, knowing long division may be useful.

It is important to note that you should not row reduce the matrix then subtract the λ . This will get you a different answers. You can row reduce after subtracting λ to make the determinant calculation a bit easier.

To find the eigenvectors associated with each eigenvalues, all you have to do is to find the general solution of the system

$$(A - \lambda I)\vec{v} = \vec{0} \quad (40)$$

Note that since we already set our determinant of the system as 0, the resulting solution will have parameters. The eigenspace is described by the eigenvectors of the resulting matrix.

5.1 Algebraic and Geometric Multiplicity

When solving the characteriesic polynomial, there are times when the same root)the eigenvalues) appear more than once. For example, if there is a equation such as

$$0 = (\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda + 5)$$

Then, the "solutions" to this equation are $\lambda = 1, \lambda = -5$. However, since the equation is $(\lambda - 1)^2$, $\lambda = 1$ technically appears twice, but $\lambda = 5$ only appears once. The number of time each eigenvalue appears as the solution is called **Algebraic Multiplicity (AM)**. After eigenvalues are calculated, the eigenvectors can be found by solving the system $(A - \lambda I)\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ like mentioned before. For example, given that:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda = 1,$$

$$\text{then } A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The general solution to the system is

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } s = v_2, t = v_3$$

There are two eigenvectors, describing eigenspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . The number of eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue, or the dimension of the eigenspace described by the eigenvectors is called the **Geometric Multiplicity (GM)**. There is a theorem that compares AM and GM.

$$1 \leq \text{Geometric Multiplicity} \leq \text{Algebraic Multiplicity} \quad (41)$$

This theorem tells us that GM cannot be greater than AM, and that they always have to be at least 1. This theorem comes in useful later when diagonalization is introduced.

5.2 Diagonalization

The highlight of Diagonalization chapter is the following mathematical equation:

$$P^{-1}AP = D \quad (42)$$

A is the original matrix that we are trying to diagonalize.

Let eigenvectors of A be $\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n$ and their respective eigenvalues be $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$. Then, P is the matrix formed by compiling the eigenvectors, $P = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n]$ and

$D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n)$.

P and D does not have to be compiled in order, but **the positions of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues must match**.

If the following equation is satisfied:

$$P^{-1}AP = B \quad (43)$$

Then it is said that matrix A and B are **Similar Matrices**.

If A and B are similar $n \times n$ matrices, then A and B have

1. The same determinant
2. The same eigenvalues
3. The same rank
4. The same trace (The sum of diagonal entries)

[1] Since the diagonal matrix also follows the same relationship as the similar matrices, A and diagonal matrix D also has the same properties of similar matrices. Diagonal matrices are advantageous in calculating the determinant and rank since only diagonal terms exist in D matrix.

5.3 Diagonalization Theorem

Not all matrices are diagonalizable, and there are few theorems that tell us whether a matrix is diagonalizable.

Although there is a very explicit and general theorem,

An $n \times n$ matrix A can be diagonalized IFF there exists a basis for \mathbb{R}^n of eigenvectors of A .

If such basis $\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n$ exists, the matrix $P = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n]$ diagonalizes A to a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, where λ_i is an eigenvalue of A corresponding to \vec{v}_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$

However, for the purposes digesting the theorem, the core of the above theorem is the following:

A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if every eigenvalue of a matrix A has its Geometric Multiplicity equal to its Algebraic Multiplicity

and from the above statement, we also know that:

If an $n \times n$ distinct eigenvalues, then A is diagonalizable

This is where the theorem mentioned above that shows the relationship between the number of AM and GM.

We know that if eigenvalue has 1 AM, then we know we do not need to check GM to know that it is 1. However, if an eigenvalue has more than 1 as its AM, then the "diagonalizability" (apparently this is not a word) is not guaranteed. Therefore, if the question asks to see if matrix is diagonalizable and find the diagonal matrix, then it is better to find the eigenvectors of eigenvalues with $AM > 1$ as it can **potentially save you time**.

6 Complex Numbers

Complex number is a new domain of numbers that are very useful in the future for some students.

Complex number has two forms: Rectangular and Polar Form. Each form has their advantages and both concepts will have to be learned for the exam.

6.1 Rectangular Form

Rectangular form of Complex Number is denoted as following:

$$z = x + yi \tag{44}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $i^2 = -1$, or simply speaking, $\sqrt{-1} = i$.

$z = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ **and** $y = 0$.

If $x = 0$, then z is **purely imaginary**, and if $y = 0$, then z is **purely real**.

This also gives us the conclusion that all real numbers are under the entity of complex numbers.

Some Definitions: Given that $z_1 = x_1 + y_1i$ and $z_2 = x_2 + y_2i$

1. $z_1 = z_2$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$ **and** $y_1 = y_2$
2. $z_1 + z_2 = (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)i$ or $(\text{Re}(z_1) + \text{Re}(z_2)) + (\text{Im}(z_1) + \text{Im}(z_2))i$
3. $tz_1 = t(x_1 + y_1i) = tx_1 + ty_1i$
4. $z_1z_2 = (x_1 + y_1i)(x_2 + y_2i) = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)i$ (Using FOIL)

[1]

6.1.1 Complex Conjugate

Given $z = x + yi$, the complex conjugate is defined as

$$\bar{z} = x - yi \quad (45)$$

As observed, the complex conjugate simply takes the negative of the imaginary portion of z . It is important to understand that conjugate can only taken when it is in rectangular form. This is useful since

$$\bar{z}z = x^2 + y^2 \quad (46)$$

This is same as the difference of square property $((x + y)(x - y) = x^2 - y^2)$. Notice that multiplying complex number with itself results in purely real number. This is used to perform division of complex numbers in rectangular form with this formula.

$$\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{z_1\bar{z}_2}{z_2\bar{z}_2} \quad (47)$$

The complex conjugate of z_2 is multiplied both numerator and denominator since we want to get rid of the i term in the denominator. If there is i term present in the denominator, then we cannot express it as proper rectangular form.

6.1.2 Some Properties

Here are some properties of complex numbers that may be useful in solving questions.

1. $\overline{\bar{z}} = z$
2. Purely Real iff $\bar{z} = z$
3. Purely Imaginary iff $\bar{z} = -z$
4. $\overline{z_1 + z_2} = \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2$
5. $\overline{z_1z_2} = \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2$
6. $\overline{(z)^n} = (\bar{z})^n$

$$7. z + \bar{z} = 2\text{Re}(z)$$

$$8. z - \bar{z} = 2i\text{Im}(z)$$

$$9. \bar{z}z = x^2 + y^2$$

[1]

6.2 Roots of Polynomial Equation

Theorem: For $P(x) = a_nx^n + \dots + a_1x + a_0$, if z is a root of $P(x)$ then \bar{z} is also a root of $P(x)$.

ex: $x^3 + 1 = (x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1)$

The first root is $x = -1 + 0i$ and its conjugate is $\bar{x} = -1 - 0i$, which are both the roots since they are the same number.

The second root, after using the quadratic formula, are

$$z = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \bar{z} = \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}.$$

6.3 Complex Plane

Since complex numbers are written as $x + yi$, we can interpret complex number as a set of numbers (x, y) similar to a set of points in space. This is why we can geometrically interpret complex number as a point in space/vector in space.

For $z = x + yi$, the set of point is (x, y)

6.4 Polar Form

Using xy coordinate system is only one method of representing a position in space. Another method of representing a position in space is to represent it as magnitude of the vector and the amount of angle that it is displaced from a reference point (usually positive x axis). So instead of having (x, y) , it would be (r, θ) .

The magnitude of the vector is determined by finding the length of the vector. Pythagorean Theorem can be used.

$$r = |z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \tag{48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x &= r\cos\theta \\ y &= r\sin\theta \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$




Figure 2: Complex Plane Diagram

and θ can be found by solving two equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{x}{r} &= \cos\theta \\ \frac{y}{r} &= \sin\theta\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

Note that you need to use both equations in order to **obtain full marks**, since this is the method that was presented in the textbook. However, you can simply use $\theta = \arctan(\frac{x}{y})$ and use C.A.S.T. Rule to find out which quadrant the angle will reside in.

6.4.1 Multiplication and Division with Polar Form

Multiplication and Division is actually a lot easier using polar coordinate. Recall the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 - \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 \\ \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 + \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

From this, we can derive the two formulas

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 z_2 &= r_1 r_2 (\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + i(\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2))) \\ \frac{z_1}{z_2} &= \frac{r_1}{r_2} (\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + i(\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)))\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

and we can find that

$$z^{-1} = \frac{1}{r} (\cos(-\theta) + i\sin(-\theta))\tag{53}$$

ex) $z = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$ express as polar form

Modulus: $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{(-1)^2 + (\sqrt{3})^2} = \sqrt{1+3} = 2$

Recall: $\frac{x}{r} = \cos \theta$ $\frac{y}{r} = \sin \theta$

$\frac{-1}{2} = \cos \theta$ $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = \sin \theta \rightarrow \theta = \frac{2\pi}{3} + 2\pi k$ $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore z = 2(\cos(\frac{2\pi}{3}) + i \sin(\frac{2\pi}{3}))$

$z = 2(\cos(\frac{2\pi}{3}) + i 2 \sin(\frac{2\pi}{3}))$

Figure 3: Example of Rectangular to Polar

From the multiplication formula, we also can find another formula (also known as de Moivre's Formula)

$$z^n = r^n(\cos(n\theta) + i\sin(n\theta)) \quad (54)$$

If power of a complex number in its rectangular form must be calculated, I suggest converting it to polar form, calculate the power, and converting it back if the power is **greater than 3**.

Therefore, we can conclude that:

Addition/Subtraction is easier on Rectangular Form
 Multiplication/Division is easier on Polar Form

6.5 Euler's Formula

One of the greatest mathematicians in the history of the world has created the relationship as following:

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \quad (55)$$

(Note, Euler is pronounced Oil-er **NOT** You-ler)

This means that polar form can also be represented as

$$z = r e^{i\theta} \quad (56)$$

6.6 n^{th} Roots

Recall that

$$z^n = r^n(\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta) = r^n e^{ni\theta} \quad (57)$$

In order to find the n th root we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} w^n &= z \\ w &= z^{\frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Therefore, we get the formula of n^{th} root is

$$w_k = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i(\theta+2\pi k)}{n}}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (59)$$

(This equation was obtained by applying de Moivre's theorem from the last line of previous equation). Until now, you only knew about the real roots. However, since $i^2 = -1$, it is possible to find the complex roots of numbers, and the real root is only a partial root of the system.

k does not go until n , since after $n-1$, the roots actually start to repeat itself.

For example, let's find the 3rd root of $z = 1 + 0i$. $r = 1, \theta = 0, n = 3$ are the knowns that we are calculated/given.

1st Root

$$w_0 = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i(\theta+2\pi k)/n} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i(0+0)/3} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} = 1$$

2nd Root

$$w_1 = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i(\theta+2\pi k)/n} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i(0+2\pi)/3} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i2\pi/3}$$

3rd Root

$$w_2 = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i(\theta+2\pi k)/n} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i(0+4\pi)/3} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i4\pi/3}$$

4th Root

$$w_4 = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i(\theta+2\pi k)/n} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i(0+6\pi)/3} = 1^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{i6\pi/3} = 1$$

Notice how after $n-1$ term, the roots are going to repeat itself.

n^{th} root questions are very straight forward, as you just need to use the formula that is derived from de Moivre's theorem.

7 Vector Spaces

Vector space is any space that satisfies the 10 axioms that are found in your textbook (you know which one I am talking about). Luckily, as for final exam in Fall 2017, you do not need to memorize nor need to prove whether a space is a vector space or not. Until now, we were only dealing with **vectors**, but **polynomials**, and **matrices** are other types of vectorspaces that satisfies the 10 axioms. Some notations are following:

P_n : Set of all polynomials of degree **AT MOST** n

R^n : Set of all vectors in n^{th} dimension

$M(m, n)$: Set of all $m \times n$ matrices

7.1 Subspaces

Define subspace generally, suppose V is a vector space, a non-empty subset U or V is a subspace of V if it follows the two properties:

$$S1: x + y \in U, x, y \in U$$

$$S2: tx \in U, x \in U, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(Zero vector existing is technically proven if the other two are proven, but you should still prove that the zero vector exists initially.

7.2 Homogeneous System of Linear Equation

You may encounter a type of question worded as such:

Find a homogeneous system of linear equations that defines this set.

Here are two examples to help you understand how to solve these type of questions.

$$Span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad (60)$$

$$t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (61)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{array} \right) \quad (62)$$

In order for this system to be consistent, $x_1 = t_1$ and $x_2 = t_2$ but $x_3 = 0$ is a MUST
 \therefore The homogeneous equation that defines this set is $x_3 = 0$

A3 e)

$$Span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad (63)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & x_1 \\ 0 & -1 & x_2 \\ 1 & 1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_4 \end{array} \right) \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & x_1 \\ 0 & -1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 + x_4 \end{array} \right) \quad (64)$$

$$Must: \begin{cases} -x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 + x_4 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

These two hoomogenous equations define the set.

Appendices

A Compilation of Useful Formulas

Projection: $proj_{\vec{x}}\vec{y} = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}}{||\vec{x}||^2} \vec{x}$

Norm of Projection: $||proj_{\vec{x}}\vec{y}|| = \left| \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{x}}{||\vec{x}||} \right|$

Perpendicular: $perp_{\vec{x}}\vec{y} = \vec{y} - proj_{\vec{x}}\vec{y}$

Shortest Distance (Line): $||perp_{\vec{d}}P\vec{Q}||$ where P is point on line, Q is point not on line, and d is direction vector of line.

Shortest Distance (Plane): $||proj_{\vec{n}}P\vec{Q}||$ where P is point on Plane, Q is point not on plane.

Closest Point to a Plane: $\vec{OR} = \vec{OQ} + \vec{QR} = \vec{OQ} + proj_{\vec{n}}\vec{Q}\vec{P}$ where P is point on plane, Q is point not on plane.

Volume of Parallelepiped: $V = |\vec{u} \cdot \vec{w} \times \vec{v}|$ or $V = |det(\vec{u} \ \vec{w} \ \vec{v})|$ (This works for n-d parallelotope)

Determinant of 2x2 Matrix: $det(A) = a_{11}a_{21} - a_{21}a_{22}$

Determinant of nxn Matrix: $det(A) = det(A) = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{12}C_{12} + \dots + a_{1n}C_{1n}$ where $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}detA(i, j)$

Eigenvalue: $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ The roots of this polynomial is the eigenvalues

Eigenvector: General Solution to the system $A - \lambda I = \vec{0}$

Diagonal Matrix: $P^{-1}AP = D$

Multiplication of Complex Number: $z_1 z_2 = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)i$, $z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 (\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + i(\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2)))$

Division of Complex Number: $\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{z_1 \overline{z_2}}{z_2 \overline{z_2}}$, $\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2} (\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + i(\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)))$

n^{th} Root of Complex Number: $w_k = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i(\theta + 2\pi k)}{n}}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

References

- [1] D. Norman and D. Wolczuk, An introduction to linear algebra for science and engineering. Don Mills, Ont.: Addison Wesley, 2012.