$P4_{16}$ Portable Switch Architecture (PSA) (draft)

The P4.org language consortium 2017-11-07

Abstract

P4 is a language for expressing how packets are processed by the data plane of a programmable network forwarding element. P4 programs specify how the various programmable blocks of a target architecture are programmed and connected. The Portable Switch Architecture (PSA) is target architecture that describes common capabilities of network switch devices which process and forward packets across multiple interface ports.

Contents

| | Target Architecture Model |
|----|--|
| | Naming conventions |
| | Packet paths |
| ŀ. | PSA Data types |
| | 4.1. PSA type definitions |
| | 4.2. PSA supported metadata types |
| | 4.3. Match kinds |
| | Programmable blocks |
| | Packet Path Details |
| | 6.1. Initial values of packets processed by ingress |
| | 6.1.1. Initial packet contents for packets from ports |
| | 6.1.2. Initial packet contents for resubmitted packets |
| | 6.1.3. Initial packet contents for recirculated packets |
| | 6.1.4. User-defined metadata for all ingress packets |
| | 6.2. Behavior of packets after ingress processing is complete |
| | 6.3. Actions for directing packets during ingress |
| | 6.3.1. Unicast operation |
| | 6.3.2. Multicast operation |
| | 6.3.3. Drop operation |
| | 6.3.4. Truncate operation |
| | 6.4. Initial values of packets processed by egress |
| | 6.4.1. Initial packet contents for normal packets |
| | 6.4.2. Initial packet contents for packets cloned from ingress to egress |
| | 6.4.3. Initial packet contents for packets cloned from egress to egress |
| | 6.4.4. User-defined metadata for all egress packets |
| | 6.4.5. Multicast copies |
| | 6.5. Behavior of packets after egress processing is complete |
| | 6.6. Actions for directing packets during egress |
| | 6.6.1. Drop operation |
| | 6.6.2. Truncate operation |
| | 6.7. Contents of packets sent out to ports |
| | 6.8. Clone, Recirculate, Resubmit |
| | 6.9. Packet Cloning |
| | 6.9.1. Clone Examples |
| | 6.10. Packet Resubmission |
| | 6.11. Packet Recirculation |
| _ | PSA Externs |
| • | 7.1. Restrictions on where externs may be used |
| | 7.2. Packet Replication Engine |
| | 7.3. Buffering Queuing Engine |
| | 7.4. Hashes |
| | 7.4.1. Hash function |
| | 7.5. Checksums |
| | 1.0. Onorgania |

| | 7.5.1. Basic checksum | 25 |
|----|---|------------|
| | 7.5.2. Incremental checksum | 25 |
| | 7.5.3. InternetChecksum examples | 26 |
| | 7.6. Counters | 30 |
| | 7.6.1. Counter types | 31 |
| | 7.6.2. Counter | 31 |
| | 7.6.3. Direct Counter | 32 |
| | 7.6.4. Example program using counters | 33 |
| | 7.7. Meters | 34 |
| | 7.7.1. Meter types | 35 |
| | 7.7.2. Meter colors | 35 |
| | 7.7.3. Meter | 36 |
| | 7.7.4. Direct Meter | 36 |
| | 7.8. Registers | 36 |
| | 7.9. Random | 39 |
| | 7.10. Action Profile | 39 |
| | | 1 C |
| | 7.11. Action Selector | 11 |
| | 7.11.1. Action Selector Example | 12 |
| | 7.12. Parser Value Sets | 13 |
| | | 15 |
| | 7.13.1. Packet Digest | 18 |
| Α. | Appendix: Open Issues 4 | 18 |
| | A.1. Action Selectors | 18 |
| | A.2. Metadata serialization | 18 |
| | A.3. How does PSA interact with multiple pipelines | 19 |
| | A.4. PSA profiles | 19 |
| в. | Appendix: Implementation of the InternetChecksum extern | 19 |
| C. | Appendix: Example implementation of Counter extern 5 | 60 |

1. Target Architecture Model

The Portable Switch Architecture (PSA) Model has six programmable P4 blocks and two fixed-function blocks, as shown in Figure 1. Programmable blocks are hardware blocks whose function can be programmed using the P4 language. The Packet buffer and Replication Engine (PRE) and the Buffer Queuing Engine (BQE) are target dependent functional blocks that may be configured for a fixed set of operations.

Incoming packets are parsed and validated, and then passed to an ingress match action pipeline, which makes decisions on where the packets go. After the ingress pipeline, the packet may be buffered and/or replicated (sent to multiple egress ports). For each such egress port, the packet passes through an egress match action pipeline before it is deparsed and queued to leave the pipeline. Note: the checksum operations are available – validation in the parser, and checksum computation and update in deparser (see also Table 5).




Figure 1. Portable Switch Pipeline

A programmer targeting the PSA is required to instantiate objects for the programmable blocks

that conform to these APIs (see section 5). Note that the programmable block APIs are templatized on user defined headers and metadata. In PSA, the user can define a single metadata type for all controls.

When instantiating the main package object, the instances corresponding to the programmable blocks are passed as arguments.

2. Naming conventions

In this document we use the following naming conventions:

- Types are named using CamelCase followed by _t. For example, PortId_t.
- Control types and extern object types are named using CamelCase. For example IngressParser.
- Struct types are named using lower case words separated by _ followed by _t. For example psa_ingress_input_metadata_t.
- Actions, extern methods, extern functions, headers, structs, and instances of controls and externs start with lower case and words are separated using _. For example send_to_port.
- Enum members, const definitions, and #define constants are all caps, with words separated by _. For example PORT_CPU.

Architecture specific metadata (e.g. structs) are prefixed by psa_.

3. Packet paths

Figure 2 shows all possible paths for packets that must be supported by a PSA implementation. An implementation is allowed to support paths for packets that are not described here.




Figure 2. Packet Paths in PSA

Table 1 defines the meanings of the abbreviations in Figure 2. There can be one or more hardware, software, or PSA architecture components between the "packet source" and "packet destination" given in that table, e.g. a normal multicast packet passes through the packet replication engine and typically also a packet buffer after leaving the ingress departer, before arriving at the egress parser. This table focuses on the P4-programmable portions of the architecture as sources and destinations of these packet paths.

Table 2 shows what can happen to a packet as a result of a single time being processed in ingress, or a single time being processed in egress. The cases are the same as shown in Table 1, but have been grouped together by similar values of "Processed next by".

There are metadata fields defined by PSA that enable your P4 program to identify which path each packet arrived on, and to control where it will go next. See section 6.

For egress packets, the choice of egress port, or the port to the CPU, is made by the immediately previous processing step (ingress for NU, NM, or CI2E packets, egress for CE2E packets), and egress processing cannot change that choice to a different port. It can change the packet to RECIRC

| Abbreviation | Description | Packet Source | Packet Destination |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| NFP | normal packet from port | port | ingress parser |
| NFCPU | packet from CPU port | CPU port | ingress parser |
| NU | normal unicast packet | ingress departer | egress parser |
| | from ingress to egress | | |
| NM | normal multicast-replicated | ingress departer, | egress parser (more than |
| | packet from ingress to egress | with help from PRE | one copy is possible) |
| NTP | normal packet to port | egress deparser | port |
| NTCPU | normal packet to CPU port | egress deparser | CPU port |
| RESUB | resubmitted packet | ingress departer | ingress parser |
| CI2E | clone from ingress to egress | ingress departer | egress parser |
| RECIRC | recirculated packet | egress deparser | ingress parser |
| CE2E | clone from egress to egress | egress deparser | egress parser |

Table 1. Packet path abbreviation meanings.

| Abbreviation | Description | Processed next by | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Resulting} \\ \textbf{packet(s)} \end{array}$ |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| NFP | normal packet from port | | At most one CI2E packet, |
| NFCPU | packet from CPU port | ingress | plus at most one of a |
| RESUB | resubmitted packet | | RESUB, NU, or NM packet. |
| RECIRC | recirculated packet | | See section 6.2 for details. |
| NU | normal unicast packet | | At most one CE2E packet, |
| | from ingress to egress | | plus at most one of a |
| NM | normal multicast-replicated | egress | RECIRC, NTP, or NTCPU |
| | packet from ingress to egress | | packet. |
| CI2E | clone from ingress to egress | | See section 6.5 for details. |
| CE2E | clone from egress to egress | | |
| NTP | normal packet to port | device at other | determined by the |
| | | end of port | other device |
| NTCPU | normal packet to CPU port | CPU | determined by CPU |

Table 2. Result of packet processed one time by ingress or egress.

instead, or drop the packet. Ingress code is the intended place in your P4 program where the output port(s) are chosen.

A single packet received by a PSA system from a port can result in 0, 1, or many packets going out, all under control of the P4 program. For example, a single packet received from a port could cause all of the following to occur, if the P4 program so directed it:

- The original packet received as NFP from port 2. Ingress processing creates a CI2E clone destined for the CPU port (copy 1), and a multicast NM packet to multicast group 18, which is configured in the PacketReplicationEngine to have copies made to ports 5 (copy 2) and 7 (copy 3).
- Copy 1 performs egress processing, which sends the packet on path NTCPU to the CPU port.
- Copy 2 performs egress processing, which creates a CE2E clone destined for port 8 (copy 4), and sends a NTP packet to port 5.
- Copy 3 performs egress processing, which does a RECIRC back to ingress instead of sending the packet to port 7 (copy 5).
- Copy 4 performs egress processing, which sends a NTP packet to port 8.
- Copy 5 performs ingress processing, which sends a NU packet destined for port 1 (copy 6).
- Copy 6 performs egress processing, which drops the packet instead of sending it to port 1.

This is simply an example of what is possible given an appropriately written P4 program. There is no need to use all of the packet paths available. The numbering of the packet copies above is only for purposes of distinctly identifying each one in the example. The ports described in the example are also arbitrary. A PSA implementation is free to perform the steps above in many possible orders.

There is no mandated mechanism in PSA to prevent a single received packet from creating packets that continue to recirculate, resubmit, or clone from egress to egress indefinitely. This can be prevented by suitable testing of your P4 program, and/or creating in your P4 program a "time to live" metadata field that is carried along with copies of a packet, similar to the IPv4 Time To Live header field.

A PSA implementation may optionally drop resubmitted, recirculated, or egress-to-egress clone packets after an implementation-specific maximum number of times from the same original packet. If so, the implementation should maintain counters of packets dropped for these reasons, and preferably record some debug information about the first few packets dropped for these reasons (perhaps only one).

4. PSA Data types

4.1. PSA type definitions

Each PSA implementation will have specific bit widths for the following types. These widths should be defined in the target specific implementation of the PSA file.

4.2. PSA supported metadata types

```
enum InstanceType_t {
               /// Packet received by ingress that is none of the cases below.
    NORMAL,
    NORMAL_UNICAST, /// Normal packet received by egress which is unicast
    NORMAL_MULTICAST, /// Normal packet received by egress which is multicast
    CLONE_I2E, /// Packet created via a clone operation in ingress,
               /// destined for egress
    CLONE_E2E, /// Packet created via a clone operation in egress,
                /// destined for egress
    RESUBMIT, /// Packet arrival is the result of a resubmit operation
    RECIRCULATE /// Packet arrival is the result of a recirculate operation
}
struct psa_ingress_parser_input_metadata_t {
 PortId_t
                           ingress_port;
  InstanceType_t
                           instance_type;
}
struct psa_egress_parser_input_metadata_t {
 PortId t
                           egress_port;
  InstanceType\_t
                           instance_type;
struct psa_parser_output_metadata_t {
  ParserError_t
                          parser_error;
struct psa_ingress_input_metadata_t {
 // All of these values are initialized by the architecture before
  // the Ingress control block begins executing.
 PortId_t
                          ingress_port;
  InstanceType_t
                          instance_type;
  Timestamp t
                          ingress_timestamp;
  ParserError_t
                          parser_error;
}
struct psa_ingress_output_metadata_t {
 // The comment after each field specifies its initial value when the
  // Ingress control block begins executing.
 ClassOfService_t
                          class_of_service; // 0
                                             // false
 bool
                           clone;
  PortId_t
                           clone_port;
                                            // undefined
 ClassOfService_t
                           clone_class_of_service; // 0
                                            // true
 bool
                           drop;
                                             // false
                           resubmit;
  bool
                           multicast_group; // 0
  MulticastGroup_t
  PortId_t
                           egress_port;
                                             // undefined
                                             // false
 bool
                           truncate;
  PacketLength_t
                           truncate_payload_bytes; // undefined
}
```

```
struct psa_egress_input_metadata_t {
 ClassOfService_t
                           class_of_service;
 PortId_t
                           egress_port;
 InstanceType_t
                           instance_type;
                                            /// instance coming from PRE
 EgressInstance_t
                           instance;
 Timestamp_t
                           egress_timestamp;
 ParserError_t
                           parser_error;
struct psa_egress_output_metadata_t {
 // The comment after each field specifies its initial value when the
 // Egress control block begins executing.
 bool
                           clone;
                                           // false
                           clone_class_of_service; // 0
 ClassOfService_t
                                          // false
 bool
                           drop;
                                          // false
 bool
                           recirculate;
 bool
                           truncate:
                                          // false
                           truncate_payload_bytes; // undefined
 PacketLength_t
```

4.3. Match kinds

Additional supported match kind types

```
match_kind {
    range, /// Used to represent min..max intervals
    selector /// Used for implementing dynamic_action_selection
}
```

5. Programmable blocks

The following declarations provide a template for the programmable blocks in the PSA. The P4 programmer is responsible for implementing controls that match these interfaces and instantiate them in a package definition.

It uses the same user-defined metadata type IM and header type IH for all ingress parsers and control blocks. The egress parser and control blocks can use the same types for those things, or different types, as the P4 program author wishes.

```
in psa_ingress_output_metadata_t istd);
parser EgressParser<H, M>(packet_in buffer,
                          out H parsed_hdr,
                          inout M user_meta,
                          in psa_egress_parser_input_metadata_t istd,
                          out psa_parser_output_metadata_t ostd);
control Egress<H, M>(inout H hdr, inout M user_meta,
                           psa_egress_input_metadata_t istd,
                     in
                     inout psa_egress_output_metadata_t ostd);
control EgressDeparser<H, M>(packet_out buffer,
                             clone_out cl,
                             inout H hdr,
                             in M meta,
                             in psa_egress_output_metadata_t istd);
package IngressPipeline<IH, IM>(IngressParser<IH, IM> ip,
                                 Ingress<IH, IM> ig,
                                 IngressDeparser<IH, IM> id);
package EgressPipeline<EH, EM>(EgressParser<EH, EM> ep,
                               Egress<EH, EM> eg,
                               EgressDeparser<EH, EM> ed);
package PSA_Switch<IH, IM, EH, EM> (IngressPipeline<IH, IM> ingress,
                                     PacketReplicationEngine pre,
                                     EgressPipeline<EH, EM> egress,
                                     BufferingQueueingEngine bqe);
```

6. Packet Path Details

Refer to section 3 for the packet paths provided by PSA, and their abbreviated names, used often in this section.

6.1. Initial values of packets processed by ingress

Table 3 describes the initial values of the packet contents and metadata when a packet begins ingress processing.

Note that the ingress_port value for a resubmitted packet could be PORT_RECIRCULATE if a packet was recirculated, and then that recirculated packet was resubmitted.

6.1.1. Initial packet contents for packets from ports

For Ethernet ports, packet_in for FP and NFCPU path packets contains the Ethernet frame starting with the Ethernet header. It does not include the Ethernet frame CRC.

TBD: Whether the payload is always the minimum of 46 bytes (64 byte minimum Ethernet frame size, minus 14 bytes of header, minus 4 bytes of CRC), or whether an implementation is allowed to leave some of those bytes out.}

| | NFP | NFCPU | RESUB | RECIRC |
|--|--|--------|----------------|-------------|
| packet_in | see text | | | |
| user_meta | see text | | | |
| IngressParser istd fie | IngressParser istd fields (type psa_ingress_parser_input_metadata_t) | | | |
| ingress_port | PortId_t value of | PORT_ | copied from | PORT_ |
| | packet's input port | CPU | resub'd packet | RECIRCULATE |
| instance_type | NORMAL | NORMAL | RESUBMIT | RECIRCULATE |
| Ingress istd fields (type psa_ingress_input_metadata_t) | | | | |
| ingress_port | Same value as received by IngressParser above. | | | |
| instance_type Same value as received by IngressParser above. | | | | |
| ingress_timestamp | Time that packet began processing in IngressParser. | | | |
| | For RESUB or RECIRC packets, the time the 'copy' | | | opy' |
| | began IngressParser, not the original. | | | |
| parser_error | From output of IngressParser. Always error.NoError if there | | | |
| | was no parser error. | | | |

Table 3. Initial values for packets processed by ingress.

The PSA does not put further restrictions on packet_in.length() as defined in the P4₁₆ spec. Targets that do not support it, should provide mechanisms to raise an error.

6.1.2. Initial packet contents for resubmitted packets

For RESUB packets, packet_in is the same as the pre-IngressParser contents of packet_in, for the packet that caused this resubmitted packet to occur (i.e. with NO modifications from any ingress processing). Truncation is not supported for RESUB packets.

6.1.3. Initial packet contents for recirculated packets

For RECIRC packets, packet_in is created by starting with the headers emitted by the egress departer of the egress packet that was recirculated, followed by the payload of that packet, i.e. the part that was not parsed by the egress parser. Truncation is not supported for RECIRC packets.

6.1.4. User-defined metadata for all ingress packets

The PSA architecture does not mandate initialization of user-defined metadata to known values as given as input to the ingress parser. If a user's P4 program explicitly initializes all user-defined metadata early on (e.g. in the parser's start state), then that will flow through the rest of the parser into the Ingress control block as one might normally expect. This will be left as an option to the user in their P4 programs, not required behavior for all P4 programs.

TBD: Is this true for RESUB and RECIRC packets, or is it intended that there by a way in PSA to specify some collection of fields to be preserved with a resub/recirc'ed packet?

6.2. Behavior of packets after ingress processing is complete

The pseudocode below defines where copies of packets will be made after the Ingress control block has completed executing, based upon the contents of several metadata fields in the struct psa_ingress_output_metadata_t.

The function platform_port_valid() mentioned below takes a value of type PortId_t, returning true only when the value represents an output port for the implementation. It is expected that for some PSA implementations there will be bit patterns for a value of type PortId_t that do not correspond to any port. This function returns true for PORT_CPU, but false for PORT_RECIRCULATE.

PORT_RECIRCULATE is only intended as a value for ingress_port when ingress processing begins for a recirculated packet (see Table 3. See section 6.5 for how P4 programs cause a packet to be recirculated). platform_port_valid is not defined in PSA for calling from the P4 data-plane program, since there is no known use case for calling it at packet processing time. It is intended for describing the behavior in pseudo-code. The control plane is expected to configure tables with valid port numbers.

A comment saying "recommended to log error" is not a requirement, but a recommendation, that a PSA implementation should maintain a counter that counts the number of times this error occurs. It would also be useful if the implementation recorded details about the first few times this error occurred, e.g. a FIFO queue of the first several invalid values of ostd.egress_port that cause an error to occur, perhaps with other information about the packet that caused it, with tail dropping if it fills up. Control plane or driver software would be able to read these counters, and read and drain the FIFO queues to assist P4 developers in debugging their code.

```
struct psa_ingress_output_metadata_t {
 // The comment after each field specifies its initial value when the
 // Ingress control block begins executing.
 ClassOfService_t
                          class_of_service; // 0
                                            // false
 bool
                           clone;
                                             // undefined
 PortId t
                           clone_port;
 ClassOfService_t
                           clone_class_of_service; // 0
 bool
                           drop;
                                             // true
                                             // false
 bool
                           resubmit;
                           multicast_group; // 0
 MulticastGroup_t
                                             // undefined
 PortId_t
                           egress_port;
 bool
                           truncate;
                                             // false
 PacketLength_t
                           truncate_payload_bytes; // undefined
   psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd;
   if (ostd.class_of_service value is not supported) {
        ostd.class_of_service = 0;  // use default class 0 instead
        // Recommended to log error about unsupported
        // ostd.class_of_service value.
   if (ostd.truncate) {
        Truncate the payload to at most ostd.truncate_payload_bytes long.
            This affects any copies made below except resubmitted
           packets, which are not required to support truncation.
   }
   if (ostd.clone) {
        if (ostd.clone_class_of_service value is not supported) {
           ostd.clone_class_of_service = 0;
           // Recommmended to log error about unsupported
           // ostd.clone_class_of_service value.
        if (platform_port_valid(ostd.clone_port)) {
           create a clone of the packet and send it to the packet
                buffer with class of service ostd.clone_class_of_service,
                after which it will start egress processing.
        } else {
            // Do not create a clone. Recommended to log error about
```

```
// unsupported ostd.clone_port value.
    }
}
// Continue below, regardless of whether a clone was created.
// Any clone created above is unaffected by the code below.
if (ostd.drop) {
    drop the packet
              // Do not continue below.
    return;
}
if (ostd.resubmit) {
    resubmit the packet, i.e. it will go back to starting with the
        ingress parser;
              // Do not continue below.
}
if (ostd.multicast_group != 0) {
    Make 0 or more copies of the packet according to the control
       plane configuration of multicast group ostd.multicast_group.
       Every copy will have the same value of ostd.class_of_service
   return; // Do not continue below.
}
if (platform_port_valid(ostd.egress_port)) {
    enqueue one packet for output port ostd.egress_port with class
        of service ostd.class_of_service
} else {
    drop the packet
    // Recommended to log error about unsupported ostd.egress_port
    // value.
}
```

Note: Truncation is not currently supported for cloned packets. The functionality of a truncated cloned packet can be replaced with sending a digest.

TBD: If it is planned to be possible at the end of ingress to send a packet to be replicated via a multicast_group, and also have a copy go to the control CPU, give an example showing this case (after showing some simpler common cases). Ideally it should be possible for the copy going to the control CPU to have a software-defined header (defined in the P4 program) that is different than any headers on the packet copies going to the Egress control block.

Whenever the pseudocode above indicates that a packet should be sent on a particular packet path, a PSA implementation may under some circumstances instead drop the packet. For example, the packet buffer may be too low on available space for storing new packets, or some other congestion control mechanism such as RED (Random Early Detection) or AFD (Approximate Fair Dropping) may select the packet for dropping. It is recommended that an implementation maintain counters of packets dropped, preferably with separate counters for as many different reasons as the implementation has for dropping packets outside the control of the P4 program.

A PSA implementation may implement multiple classes of service for packets sent to the packet buffer. If so, the Ingress control block may choose to assign a value to the ostd.class_of_service field to change the packet's class of service to a value other than the default of 0.

PSA only specifies how the Ingress control block can control the class of service of packets. PSA does not mandate a scheduling policy among queues that may exist in the packet buffer. Something at least as flexible as weighted fair queuing, with an optional strict high priority queue, is recommended for PSA implementations with separate queues for each class of service.

Normally all unicast packets (i.e. those that follow the "enqueue one packet" path in the pseudocode above) received by a PSA device on the same ingress port, and sent to the same output port, will be processed by the Ingress control block in the same order they are received, and then pro-

cessed by the Egress control bock in the same relative order as they are processed by the Ingress control block, i.e. all such packets go through the same FIFO queue in the packet buffer.

It is expected that some PSA implementations will implement the class of service mechanism by having a separate FIFO queue per class of service, and thus while unicast packets with the same ingress port, egress port, and class of service will pass through the system in FIFO order, unicast packets with the same ingress and egress port, but different classes of service, may be processed by the Egress control block in a different order than they were processed by the Ingress control block.

All of the above is also true for multicast packets (i.e. those that follow the "Make 0 or more copies" path in the pseudocode above) that are received on the same input port and their copies are made to the same output port, at least during times when the multicast group memberships are stable (i.e. it has been a significant time since the control plane has updated the multicast group configuration). As for unicast packets, multicast packets with the same ingress port and replicated using the same value of ostd.multicast_group, but different values of ostd.class_of_service, are expected that they may be processed by the Egress control block in a different order than they were processed by the Ingress control block.

The control plane API excerpt below is an example intended to be added as part of the P4 Runtime API, and the final version of this message will be defined by the P4 Runtime WG.

```
// The ClassOfServiceInfo message should be added to the "oneof"
// inside of message "Entity".

// ClassOfServiceInfo is only intended to be read. Attempts to update
// this entity have no effect, and should return an error status that
// the entity is read only.

message ClassOfServiceInfo {
    // The number of class of service queues per output port that are
    // available in this PSA implementation.
    uint32 class_of_service_queues_per_output_port = 1;
    // The list of values of type ClassOfService_t that are supported by
    // this PSA implementation. It is recommended that they be a
    // contiguous range from 0 up to
    // (class_of_service_queues_per_output_port - 1).
    repeated uint32 class_of_service_id = 2;
}
```

6.3. Actions for directing packets during ingress

All of these actions modify one or more metadata fields in the struct with type psa_ingress_output_metadata_t that is an out parameter of the Ingress control block. None of these actions has any other immediate effect. What happens to the packet is determined by the value of all fields in that struct when ingress processing is complete, not at the time one of these actions is called. See Section 6.2.

These actions are provided for convenience in making changes to these metadata fields. Their effects are expected to be common kinds of changes one will want to make in a P4 program. If they do not suit your use cases, you are of course welcome to modify the metadata fields directly in your P4 programs however you prefer, perhaps within actions you define yourself.

6.3.1. Unicast operation

Sends packet to a port. See Table 4, column NU, for how metadata fields are filled in when such a packet begins egress processing.

```
/// Modify ingress output metadata to cause one packet to be sent to
```

```
/// egress processing, and then to the output port egress_port.
/// (Egress processing may instead drop or recirculate the packet.)
/// This action does not change whether a clone or resubmit operation
/// will occur.
action send_to_port(inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t meta,
                    in PortId_t egress_port)
{
    meta.drop = false;
    meta.multicast_group = 0;
    meta.egress_port = egress_port;
}
```

6.3.2. Multicast operation

Sends packet to a multicast group or a port. See Table 4, column NM, for how metadata fields are filled in when each multicast-replicated copy of such a packet begins egress processing.

The multicast group parameter is the multicast group id. The control plane must configure the multicast groups through a separate mechanism such as the P4 Runtime API.

```
/// Modify ingress output metadata to cause 0 or more copies of the
/// packet to be sent to egress processing.
/// This action does not change whether a clone or resubmit operation
/// will occur.
/// The control plane must configure each multicast_group to create the
/// desired copies of the packet. For a particular multicast group,
/// the control plane specifies a list of 0 or more copy
/// specifications:
/// (egress_port[0], instance[0]),
/// (egress_port[1], instance[1]),
/// ...,
/// (egress_port[N-1], instance[N-1])
/// Copy number i sent to egress processing will have its struct of
/// type psa_egress_input_metadata_t filled in with egress_port equal
/// to egress_port[i], and instance filled in with instance[i].
action multicast(inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t meta,
                 in MulticastGroup_t multicast_group)
{
    meta.drop = false;
    meta.multicast_group = multicast_group;
}
```

6.3.3. Drop operation

Do not send a copy of the packet for normal egress processing.

```
/// Modify ingress output metadata to cause no packet to be sent for
/// normal egress processing.
```

```
/// This action does not change whether a clone will occur. It will
/// prevent a packet from being resubmitted.
action ingress_drop(inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t meta)
{
    meta.drop = true;
}
```

6.3.4. Truncate operation

For all copies of the packet made at the end of ingress processing, truncate the payload to be at most the specified number of bytes. Specifying 0 is legal, and causes only packet headers to be sent, with no payload.

6.4. Initial values of packets processed by egress

Table 4 describes the initial values of the packet contents and metadata when a packet begins egress processing.

6.4.1. Initial packet contents for normal packets

For NU and NM packets, packet_in comes from the ingress packet that caused this packet to be sent to egress. It starts with the packet headers as emitted by the ingress departer, followed by the payload of that packet, i.e. the part that was not parsed by the ingress parser. Truncation of the payload is supported.

6.4.2. Initial packet contents for packets cloned from ingress to egress

For CI2E packets, packet_in is from the ingress packet that caused this clone to be created. It is the same as the pre-IngressParser contents of packet_in of that ingress packet, with no modifications from any ingress processing. Truncation of the payload is supported.

6.4.3. Initial packet contents for packets cloned from egress to egress

For CE2E packets, packet_in is from the egress packet that caused this clone to be created. It starts with the headers emitted by the egress departer, followed by the payload of that packet, i.e. the part that was not parsed by the egress parser. Truncation of the payload is supported.

6.4.4. User-defined metadata for all egress packets

Pending discussion of bridged metadata, and how clone packets may be able to carry metadata with them (see A.2).

| | NU | NM | CI2E | CE2E | |
|---|---|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| packet_in | see text | | | | |
| user_meta | see text | | | | |
| EgressParser istd fi | EgressParser istd fields (type psa_egress_parser_input_metadata_t) | | | | |
| egress_port | ostd.egress_port | from PRE | ostd.clone_port | ostd.clone_port | |
| | of ingress packet | configuration | of cloned | of cloned | |
| | | | ingress packet | egress packet | |
| instance_type | NORMAL_ | NORMAL_ | CLONE_I2E | CLONE_E2E | |
| | UNICAST | MULTICAST | | | |
| Egress istd fields (t | Egress istd fields (type psa_egress_input_metadata_t) | | | | |
| class_of_service | service ostd.class_of_service | | ostd.clone_class_of_service of | | |
| of ingress packet | | | packet that caused this clone | | |
| egress_port | Same value as received by EgressParser above. | | | | |
| instance_type Same value as received by EgressParser above. | | | | | |
| instance | instance From PacketReplicationEngine configuration for NM packets. | | | ckets. | |
| | 0 for all other kinds of packets. | | | | |
| egress_timestamp | Time that packet began processing in EgressParser. Filled in | | | | |
| | independently for each copy of a multicast-replicated packet. | | | | |
| parser_error | From output of EgressParser. Always error.NoError if there | | | | |
| | was no parser error. See "Multicast copies" section. | | | | |

Table 4. Initial values for packets processed by egress.

6.4.5. Multicast copies

The following fields may differ among copies of a multicast-replicated packet that are processed in egress.

- egress_port This field will typically differ among copies of a multicast-replicated packet, but it may also be the same for arbitrary copies, as determined by the control plane configuration of the PacketReplicationEngine. It is expected that the control plane will configure the PacketReplicationEngine so that each copy of the same original packet is assigned a unique value of the pair (egress_port, instance).
- instance See egress_port
- egress_timestamp This value is filled in independently for each copy of a multicast-replicated packet. Depending upon the quantity of traffic destined to each output port, the timestamp could vary significantly between copies of the same original packet.
- parser_error In the common case, this will typically be the same for every copy of the same original multicast-replicated packet. However, it is determined by the EgressParser P4 code for each copy independently, so if that parsing behavior depends upon a field that can differ among copies, e.g. egress_port, then parser_error can differ among copies.

All contents of a packet and its associated metadata, other than those mentioned above, will be the same for every copy of the same original multicast-replicated packet.

6.5. Behavior of packets after egress processing is complete

The pseudocode below defines where copies of packets will be made after the Egress control block has completed executing, based upon the contents of several metadata fields in the struct psa_egress_output_metadata_t.

```
struct psa_egress_output_metadata_t {
    // The comment after each field specifies its initial value when the
```

```
// Egress control block begins executing.
 bool
                           clone;
                                         // false
                          clone_class_of_service; // 0
 ClassOfService_t
 bool
                           drop;
                                         // false
                          recirculate; // false
 bool
 bool
                           truncate:
                                          // false
 PacketLength_t
                           truncate_payload_bytes; // undefined
}
   psa_egress_input_metadata_t istd;
   psa_egress_output_metadata_t ostd;
   if (ostd.truncate) {
       Truncate the payload to at most truncate_payload_bytes long.
           This affects any copies made below except recirculated
           packets, which are not required to support truncation.
   if (ostd.clone) {
       if (ostd.clone_class_of_service value is not supported) {
           ostd.clone_class_of_service = 0;
           // Recommmended to log error about unsupported
           // ostd.clone_class_of_service value.
       if (platform_port_valid(ostd.clone_port)) {
           create a copy of the packet and send it to the packet
                buffer with class of service specified by
                ostd.clone_class_of_service, after which it will start
                egress processing.
       } else {
            // Do not create a clone. Recommended to log error about
            // unsupported ostd.clone_port value.
       }
   // Continue below, regardless of whether a clone was created.
   // Any clone created above is unaffected by the code below.
   if (ostd.drop) {
       drop the packet
       return; // Do not continue below.
   if (ostd.recirculate) {
       recirculate the packet, i.e. it will go back to starting with the
            ingress parser;
                // Do not continue below.
   }
   // The value istd.egress_port below is the same one that the
   // packet began its egress processing with, as decided during
   // ingress processing for this packet. The egress code is not
   // allowed to change it.
   enqueue one packet for output port istd.egress_port
```

TBD: Should it be possible to truncate a cloned packet differently than the normal packet that goes out?

As for the handling of a packet after ingress processing, a PSA implementation may drop a packet after egress processing, even if the pseudocode above says that a packet will be sent. For example, you may attempt to clone a packet after egress when the packet buffer is too full, or you may attempt to recirculate a packet when the ingress pipeline is busy handling other packets. It is recommended that an implementation maintain counters of packets dropped, preferably with separate counters for as many different reasons as the implementation has for dropping packets outside the control of the P4 program.

6.6. Actions for directing packets during egress

6.6.1. Drop operation

Do not send the packet out of the device after egress processing is complete.

```
/// Modify egress output metadata to cause no packet to be sent out of
/// the device.

/// This action does not change whether a clone will occur. It will
/// prevent a packet from being recirculated.

action egress_drop(inout psa_egress_output_metadata_t meta)
{
    meta.drop = true;
}
```

6.6.2. Truncate operation

For all copies of the packet made at the end of egress processing, truncate the payload to be at most the specified number of bytes. Specifying 0 is legal, and causes only packet headers to be sent, with no payload.

6.7. Contents of packets sent out to ports

There is no metadata associated with NTP and NTCPU packets.

They begin with the series of bytes emitted by the egress departer. Following that is the payload, which are those packet bytes that were not parsed in the egress parser. Truncation is supported for these packets.

For Ethernet ports, any padding required to get the packet up to the minimum frame size required is done by the implementation, as well as calculation of and appending the Ethernet frame CRC.

It is expected that typical P4 programs will have explicit checks to avoid sending packets larger than a port's maximum frame size. A typical implementation will drop frames larger than this maximum supported size. It is recommended that they maintain error counters for such dropped frames.

6.8. Clone, Recirculate, Resubmit




Figure 3. Clone, recirculate, and resubmit in PSA

Figure 3 shows the proposed architectures for clone, recirculate, and resubmit in PSA.

6.9. Packet Cloning

Packet cloning is a mechanism to send a copy of a packet to a specified port, and is often synonymous with packet mirroring. In PSA cloning is represented as an extern clone_out.

Packet cloning happens at the end of the ingress and/or egress pipeline. PSA specifies the following semantics for clone operation. When the clone operation is invoked at the end of the ingress pipeline, the cloned packet is a copy of the original packet from ingress port. When the clone operation is invoked at the end of egress pipeline, the cloned packet is a copy of the modified packet after egress processing. In both cases, the cloned packet is submitted to the egress pipeline for further processing.

Logically, PRE implements the mechanics of copying a packet. PRE reads the original packet from ingress port and the modified packet from egress departer. PRE then decides which version of the packet to use to generate a copy based on the value of the metadata provided by the ingress and egress pipeline. Two sets of configuration metadata are provided to the PRE, one from each pipeline. The cloning metadata is:

The clone bit specifies whether a packet should be cloned in the specific pipeline. If the bit is true, then the cloned packet should be generated at the end of the pipeline. The clone_port specifies which port to send the cloned packet to after egress processing. The clone_port could be any addressable port in the pipeline. For instance, it can be a port to control CPU or a port to the next hop router.

TBD: Decide how to add other metadata to the cloned packet (see A.2).

```
extern clone_out {
   /// Write @hdr into the ingress/egress clone engine.
   /// @T can be a header type, a header stack, a header union, or a struct
   /// containing fields with such types.
   void emit<T>(in T hdr);
}
```

6.9.1. Clone Examples

The partial program below demonstrates one way to use the clone_out extern to configure the PRE to create a copy of the packet and send the cloned copy to clone_port.

```
header clone_metadata_t {
    bit<8> custom_tag;
    EthernetAddress srcAddr;
control ingress(inout headers hdr,
                inout metadata user_meta,
                in psa_ingress_input_metadata_t istd,
                inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    action do_clone (PortId_t port) {
        ostd.clone = true;
        ostd.clone_port = port;
        user_meta.custom_clone_id = 3w1;
    }
    table t {
        key = {
            user_meta.fwd_metadata.outport : exact;
        actions = { do_clone; }
    }
    apply {
        t.apply();
}
control IngressDeparserImpl(packet_out packet,
    clone_out clone,
    inout headers hdr,
    in metadata meta,
    in psa_ingress_output_metadata_t istd) {
    DeparserImpl() common_deparser;
    apply {
        if (meta.custom_clone_id == 3w1) {
            clone.emit({ 8w1, hdr.ethernet.srcAddr });
        common_deparser.apply(packet, hdr);
        packet.emit(hdr.ethernet);
        packet.emit(hdr.ipv4);
    }
}
```

6.10. Packet Resubmission

Packet resubmission is a mechanism to repeat ingress processing on a packet.

If a packet is resubmitted, it re-enters the ingress parser after the ingress pipeline processing. The ingress_port of the resubmitted packet is the same as the original packet, however, the instance_type of the resubmitted packet is changed to RESUBMIT. The resubmitted packet has the same header and payload as the original packet.

The ingress parser is able to distinguish between the original packet and the resubmitted packet using the <code>instance_type</code> field in <code>ingress_parser_intrinsic_metadata_t</code>. The ingress parser is allowed to choose a different algorithm to parse the resubmitted packet. Similarly, the ingress pipeline can choose to process the resubmitted packet with different actions as opposed to the ones used to process the original packet.

PSA specifies that the resubmit operation can only be used in the ingress pipeline. The egress pipeline cannot resubmit packets. As described in Section 3, there is no mandated mechanism in PSA to prevent a single received packet from creating packets that continue to recirculate, resubmit, or clone from egress to egress indefinitely. However, targets may impose limits on the number of resubmissions, recirculations, or clones.

Packet resubmission is intended to increase the capacity and flexibility of the packet processing pipeline. For example, because the same packet is processed by the ingress pipeline multiple times, it effectively increase the amount of operations on the packet by N folds, where N is the number of times the packet is resubmitted. Another use case is deploy multiple packet processing algorithms on the same packet. For example, the original packet can be parsed, modified and resubmitted in the first pass. Then, the resubmitted packet can be parsed and modified using a different algorithm.

To facilitate communication between the first pass and the second pass through the ingress pipeline, the resubmission mechanism supports attaching optional metadata with the resubmitted packet. The metadata is generated during the first pass through the ingress pipeline, and used in the second pass. See Section A.2 for the discussion.

A PSA implementation provides a configuration bit resubmit to the PRE to enable the resubmission mechanism. If resubmit is set to true, the resubmission mechanism resends the original packet with the optional attached metadata. If resubmit is set to false, the resubmission mechanism is disabled and the original packet is not resubmitted even if the add_metadata method is invoked in the departer.

```
extern resubmit {
   /// Write @hdr into the ingress packet buffer.
   /// @T can be a header type, a header stack, a header union or a struct
   /// containing fields with such types.
   void emit<T>(in T hdr);
}
```

6.11. Packet Recirculation

Packet recirculation sends the deparsed packet at the end of egress pipeline to the ingress parser. Unlike the previous two mechanisms, recirculation does not replicate packets. The modified packet itself is sent back to the ingress pipeline for further processing.

In PSA, recirculation is controlled by the recirculate bit in the egress pipeline. If the recirculate bit is set to true, the modified packet at the end of the pipeline is sent to a special recirculation port. The recirculation port overrides the value in egress_port.

```
extern recirculate {
    /// Write @hdr into the egress packet.
    /// @T can be a header type, a header stack, a header union or a struct
    /// containing fields with such types.
    void emit<T>(in T hdr);
}
```

Users can leverage the existing emit methods in packet_out to attach additional metadata to the recirculated packet. Upon receiving the recirculated packet in ingress parser, the instance_type of the recirculated packet is set to RECIRCULATE. It is the user's responsibility to parse the recirculated

packet correctly.

7. PSA Externs

7.1. Restrictions on where externs may be used

All instantiations in a P4₁₆ program occur at compile time, and can be arranged in a tree structure we will call the instantiation tree. The root of the tree T represents the top level of the program. Its children are the node for the package PSA_Switch described in Section 5, and any externs instantiated at the top level of the program. The children of the PSA_Switch node are the packages and externs passed as parameters to the PSA_Switch instantiation. See Figure 4 for a drawing of the smallest instantiation tree possible for a P4 program written for PSA.




Figure 4. Minimal PSA instantiation tree

If any of those parsers or controls instantiate other parsers, controls, and/or externs, the instantiation tree contains child nodes for them, continuing until the instantiation tree is complete.

For every instance whose node is a descendant of the Ingress node in this tree, call it an Ingress instance. Similarly for the other ingress and egress parsers and controls. All other instances are top level instances.

A PSA implementation is allowed to reject programs that instantiate externs, or attempt to call their methods, from anywhere other than the places mentioned in Table 5.

For example, Counter being restricted to "Ingress, Egress" means that every Counter instance must be instantiated within either the Ingress control block or the Egress control block, or be a descendant of one of those nodes in the instantiation tree. If a Counter instance is instantiated in Ingress, for example, then it cannot be referenced, and thus its methods cannot be called, from any control block except Ingress or one of its descendants in the tree.

PSA implementations need not support instantiating these externs at the top level. PSA implementations are allowed to accept programs that use these externs in other places, but they need not. Thus P4 programmers wishing to maximize the portability of their programs should restrict their use of these externs to the places indicated in the table.

emit method calls for the type packet_out are restricted to be within deparser control blocks in PSA, because those are the only places where an instance of type packet_out is visible. Similarly all methods for type packet_in, e.g. extract and advance, are restricted to be within parsers in

| Extern type | Where it may be instantiated and called from |
|------------------|--|
| ActionProfile | Ingress, Egress |
| ActionSelector | Ingress, Egress |
| Checksum | IngressParser, EgressParser, IngressDeparser, EgressDeparser |
| Counter | Ingress, Egress |
| Digest | Ingress, Egress |
| DirectCounter | Ingress, Egress |
| DirectMeter | Ingress, Egress |
| Hash | Ingress, Egress |
| InternetChecksum | IngressParser, EgressParser, IngressDeparser, EgressDeparser |
| Meter | Ingress, Egress |
| Random | Ingress, Egress |
| Register | Ingress, Egress |
| ValueSet | IngressParser, EgressParser |
| Clone | IngressDeparser, EgressDeparser |
| Resubmit | IngressDeparser |

Table 5. Summary of controls that can instantiate and invoke externs.

PSA programs. $P4_{16}$ restricts all verify method calls to be within parsers for all $P4_{16}$ programs, regardless of whether they are for the PSA.

Rationale:

- It is expected that the highest performance PSA implementations will not be able to update the same extern instance from both Ingress and Egress, nor from more than one of the parsers or controls defined in the PSA architecture.
- In a multi-pipeline device, there are effectively multiple instantiations of the ingress pipeline and of the egress pipeline. The primary motivation to create a multi-pipeline device is the practical difficulty in allowing the same stateful object (e.g. table, counter, etc.) to be accessed at a packet rate higher than that of a single pipeline. Thus each stateful object should be accessed from only a single pipeline on such a device.

7.2. Packet Replication Engine

The PacketReplicationEngine extern (abbreviated PRE) represents a part of the PSA pipeline that is not programmable via writing P4 code.

Even though the PRE can not be programmed using P4, it can be configured both directly using control plane APIs and by setting intrinsic metadata. The file psa.p4 defines some actions to help set these metadata fields for some common use cases, described in sections 6.3 and 6.6.

The PRE extern object has no constructor, and thus it cannot be instantiated in the user's P4 program. The architecture instantiates it exactly once, without requiring the user's P4 program to instantiate it. The PRE is made available to the PSA_Switch package. A corresponding Buffering and Queuing Engine (BQE) extern is defined for the egress pipeline (see 7.3).

7.3. Buffering Queuing Engine

The BufferingQueueingEngine extern (abbreviated BQE) represents another part of the PSA pipeline, after egress, that is not programmable via writing P4 code.

Even though the BQE can not be programmed using P4, it can be configured both directly using control plane APIs and by setting intrinsic metadata.

7.4. Hashes 7. PSA EXTERNS

The BQE extern object has no constructor, and thus it cannot be instantiated in the user's P4 program. The architecture instantiates it exactly once, without requiring the user's P4 program to instantiate it. The BQE is made available to the PSA_Switch package.

7.4. Hashes

```
Supported hash algorithms:
enum HashAlgorithm_t {
  IDENTITY,
  CRC32,
  CRC32_CUSTOM,
  CRC16,
  CRC16_CUSTOM,
  ONES_COMPLEMENT16, /// One's complement 16-bit sum used for IPv4 headers,
                      /// TCP, and UDP.
  TARGET_DEFAULT
                       /// target implementation defined
7.4.1. Hash function
Example usage:
parser P() {
  Hash<bit<16>>(HashAlgorithm_t.CRC16) h;
  bit<16> hash_value = h.get_hash(buffer);
Parameters:
  • algo – The algorithm to use for computation (see 7.4).
  • 0
          - The type of the return value of the hash.
extern Hash<0> {
  /// Constructor
  Hash(HashAlgorithm_t algo);
  /// Compute the hash for data.
  /// Oparam data The data over which to calculate the hash.
  /// @return The hash value.
  0 get_hash<D>(in D data);
  /// Compute the hash for data, with modulo by max, then add base.
  /// @param base Minimum return value.
  /// @param data The data over which to calculate the hash.
  /// @param max The hash value is divided by max to get modulo.
  ///
             An implementation may limit the largest value supported,
  ///
             e.g. to a value like 32, or 256.
  /// @return (base + (h \% max)) where h is the hash value.
  O get_hash<T, D>(in T base, in D data, in T max);
```

TBD: Should there be a const defined that specifies the maximum allowed value of max parameter?

7.5. Checksums

PSA provides checksum functions compute an integer on the stream of bytes in packet headers. Checksums are often used as an integrity check to detect corrupted or otherwise malformed packets.

7.5.1. Basic checksum

The basic checksum extern provided in PSA supports arbitrary hash algorithms. Parameters:

• W - The width of the checksum

```
extern Checksum<W> {
    /// Constructor
    Checksum(HashAlgorithm_t hash);

    /// Reset internal state and prepare unit for computation
    void clear();

    /// Add data to checksum
    void update<T>(in T data);

    /// Get checksum for data added (and not removed) since last clear
    W     get();
}
```

7.5.2. Incremental checksum

PSA also provides an incremental checksum that comes equipped with an additional subtract method that can be used to remove data previously added. The checksum is computed using the ONES_COMPLEMENT16 hash algorithm used with protocols such as IPv4, TCP, and UDP – see IETF RFC 1624 and section B for details.

```
// Checksum based on 'ONES_COMPLEMENT16' algorithm used in IPv4, TCP, and UDP.
// Supports incremental updating via 'remove' method.
// See IETF RFC 1624.
extern InternetChecksum {
  /// Constructor
  InternetChecksum();
  /// Reset internal state and prepare unit for computation
  void clear();
  /// Add data to checksum. data must be a multiple of 16 bits long.
  void add<T>(in T data);
  /// Subtract data from existing checksum. data must be a multiple of
  /// 16 bits long.
  void subtract<T>(in T data);
  /// Get checksum for data added (and not removed) since last clear
 bit<16> get();
  /// Get current state of checksum computation. The return value is
  /// only intended to be used for a future call to the set_state
```

```
/// method.
bit<16> get_state();

/// Restore the state of the InternetChecksum instance to one
/// returned from an earlier call to the get_state method. This
/// state could have been returned from the same instance of the
/// InternetChecksum extern, or a different one.
void set_state(bit<16> checksum_state);
}
```

7.5.3. InternetChecksum examples

The partial program below demonstrates one way to use the InternetChecksum extern to verify whether the checksum field in a parsed IPv4 header is correct, and set a parser error if it is wrong. It also demonstrates checking for parser errors in the Ingress control block, dropping the packet if any errors occurred during parsing. PSA programs may choose to handle packets with parser errors in other ways than shown in this example – it is up to the P4 program author to choose and write the desired behavior.

Neither $P4_{16}$ nor the PSA provide any special mechanisms to record the location within a packet that a parser error occurred. A P4 program author can choose to record such location information explicitly. For example, one may define metadata fields specifically for that purpose – e.g. to hold an encoded value representing the last parser state reached, or the number of bytes extracted so far – and then assign values to those fields within the parser state code.

```
// Define additional error values, one of them for packets with
// incorrect IPv4 header checksums.
error {
    UnhandledIPv40ptions,
    BadIPv4HeaderChecksum
typedef bit<32> PacketCounter_t;
typedef bit<8> ErrorIndex_t;
const bit<9> NUM_ERRORS = 256;
parser IngressParserImpl(packet_in buffer,
                         out headers hdr,
                         inout metadata user_meta,
                         in psa_ingress_parser_input_metadata_t istd,
                         out psa_parser_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    InternetChecksum() ck;
    state start {
        buffer.extract(hdr.ethernet);
        transition select(hdr.ethernet.etherType) {
            0x0800: parse_ipv4;
            default: accept;
    }
    state parse_ipv4 {
        buffer.extract(hdr.ipv4);
        // TBD: It would be good to enhance this example to
```

```
// demonstrate checking of IPv4 header checksums for IPv4
       // headers with options, but this example does not handle such
       // packets.
       verify(hdr.ipv4.ihl == 5, error.UnhandledIPv40ptions);
       ck.clear();
       ck.add({
           /* 16-bit word 0 */ hdr.ipv4.version, hdr.ipv4.ihl, hdr.ipv4.diffserv,
           /* 16-bit word 1 */ hdr.ipv4.totalLen,
           /* 16-bit word 2 */ hdr.ipv4.identification,
           /* 16-bit word 3 */ hdr.ipv4.flags, hdr.ipv4.fragOffset,
           /* 16-bit word 4 */ hdr.ipv4.ttl, hdr.ipv4.protocol,
           /* 16-bit word 5 skip hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum, */
           /* 16-bit words 6-7 */ hdr.ipv4.srcAddr,
           /* 16-bit words 8-9 */ hdr.ipv4.dstAddr
           });
       // The verify statement below will cause the parser to enter
       // the reject state, and thus terminate parsing immediately,
       // if the IPv4 header checksum is wrong. It will also record
       // the error error.BadIPv4HeaderChecksum, which will be
       // available in a metadata field in the ingress control block.
       verify(ck.get() == hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum,
              error.BadIPv4HeaderChecksum);
       transition select(hdr.ipv4.protocol) {
           6: parse_tcp;
           default: accept;
   }
   state parse_tcp {
       buffer.extract(hdr.tcp);
       transition accept;
   }
}
control ingress(inout headers hdr,
               inout metadata user_meta,
                     psa_ingress_input_metadata_t istd,
               inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
   // Table parser_error_count_and_convert below shows one way to
   // count the number of times each parser error was encountered.
   // Although it is not used in this example program, it also shows
   // how to convert the error value into a unique bit vector value
   // 'error_idx', which can be useful if you wish to put a bit
   // vector encoding of an error into a packet header, e.g. for a
   // packet sent to the control CPU.
   DirectCounter<PacketCounter_t>(CounterType_t.PACKETS) parser_error_counts;
   ErrorIndex_t error_idx;
   action set_error_idx (ErrorIndex_t idx) {
       error_idx = idx;
       parser_error_counts.count();
```

```
}
   table parser_error_count_and_convert {
        key = {
            istd.parser_error : exact;
        actions = {
            set_error_idx;
        }
        default_action = set_error_idx(0);
        const entries = {
            error.NoError
                                        : set error idx(1);
            error.PacketTooShort
                                        : set_error_idx(2);
            error.NoMatch
                                        : set_error_idx(3);
            error.StackOutOfBounds
                                        : set_error_idx(4);
            error.HeaderTooShort
                                        : set_error_idx(5);
            error.ParserTimeout
                                        : set_error_idx(6);
            error.BadIPv4HeaderChecksum : set_error_idx(7);
            error.UnhandledIPv4Options : set_error_idx(8);
       psa_direct_counters = { parser_error_counts };
   }
   apply {
        if (istd.parser_error != error.NoError) {
            // Example code showing how to count number of times each
            // kind of parser error was seen.
            parser_error_count_and_convert.apply();
            ingress_drop(ostd);
            exit:
        }
        // Do normal packet processing here.
   }
}
```

The partial program below demonstrates one way to use the InternetChecksum extern to calculate and then fill in a correct IPv4 header checksum in the departer block. In this example, the checksum is calculated fresh, so the outgoing checksum will be correct regardless of what changes might have been made to the IPv4 header fields in the Ingress (or Egress) control block that precedes it.

```
control EgressDeparserImpl(packet_out packet,
                           clone_out cl,
                           inout headers hdr,
                           in metadata meta,
                           in psa_egress_output_metadata_t istd)
{
   InternetChecksum() ck;
   apply {
        ck.clear();
        ck.add({
                                */ hdr.ipv4.version, hdr.ipv4.ihl, hdr.ipv4.diffserv,
            /* 16-bit word 0
            /* 16-bit word 1
                                */ hdr.ipv4.totalLen,
           /* 16-bit word 2
                                */ hdr.ipv4.identification,
            /* 16-bit word 3
                                */ hdr.ipv4.flags, hdr.ipv4.fragOffset,
            /* 16-bit word 4
                                */ hdr.ipv4.ttl, hdr.ipv4.protocol,
            /* 16-bit word 5 skip hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum, */
```

```
/* 16-bit words 6-7 */ hdr.ipv4.srcAddr,
    /* 16-bit words 8-9 */ hdr.ipv4.dstAddr
    });
    hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum = ck.get();
    packet.emit(hdr.ethernet);
    packet.emit(hdr.ipv4);
    packet.emit(hdr.tcp);
}
```

As a final example, we can use the InternetChecksum to compute an incremental checksum for the TCP header. Recall the TCP checksum is computed over the *entire* packet, including the payload. Because the packet payload is not available in P4, we assume that the TCP checksum on the original packet is correct, and update it incrementally by invoking subtract and then add on any fields that are modified by the program. For example, the Ingress control in the program below updates the IPv4 source address, recording the original source address in a metadata field:

```
control ingress(inout headers hdr,
                inout metadata user_meta,
                      psa_ingress_input_metadata_t istd,
                inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd) {
   action drop() {
      ingress_drop(ostd);
   action forward(PortId_t port, bit<32> srcAddr) {
     user_meta.fwd_metadata.old_srcAddr = hdr.ipv4.srcAddr;
     hdr.ipv4.srcAddr = srcAddr;
      send_to_port(ostd, port);
   table route {
       key = { hdr.ipv4.dstAddr : lpm; }
       actions = {
          forward;
          drop;
        }
   apply {
        if(hdr.ipv4.isValid()) {
          route.apply();
        }
   }
}
```

The departer first updates the IPv4 checksum as above, and then incrementally computes the TCP checksum

```
ck.clear();
        ck.add({
            /* 16-bit word 0
                                */ hdr.ipv4.version, hdr.ipv4.ihl, hdr.ipv4.diffserv,
            /* 16-bit word 1 */ hdr.ipv4.totalLen,
            /* 16-bit word 2 */ hdr.ipv4.identification,
            /* 16-bit word 3
                                */ hdr.ipv4.flags, hdr.ipv4.fragOffset,
            /* 16-bit word 4
                                */ hdr.ipv4.ttl, hdr.ipv4.protocol,
            /* 16-bit word 5 skip hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum, */
           /* 16-bit words 6-7 */ hdr.ipv4.srcAddr,
           /* 16-bit words 8-9 */ hdr.ipv4.dstAddr
           });
       hdr.ipv4.hdrChecksum = ck.get();
        // Update TCP checksum
        ck.clear();
        // Subtract the original TCP checksum
        ck.subtract(hdr.tcp.checksum);
        // Subtract the effect of the original IPv4 source address,
        // which is part of the TCP 'pseudo-header' for the purposes
        // of TCP checksum calculation (see RFC 793), then add the
        // effect of the new IPv4 source address.
        ck.subtract(user_meta.fwd_metadata.old_srcAddr);
        ck.add(hdr.ipv4.srcAddr);
       hdr.tcp.checksum = ck.get();
       packet.emit(hdr.ethernet);
       packet.emit(hdr.ipv4);
        packet.emit(hdr.tcp);
    }
}
```

7.6. Counters

Counters are a mechanism for keeping statistics. The control plane can read counter values. A P4 program cannot read counter values, only update them. If you wish to implement a feature involving sequence numbers in packets, for example, use Registers instead (Section 7.8).

Direct counters are counters associated with a particular P4 table, and are implemented by the extern DirectCounter. There are also indexed counters, which are implemented by the extern Counter. The primary differences between direct counters and indexed counters are:

- Number of independently updatable counter values:
 - A single instantiation of a direct counter always contains as many independent counter values as the number of entries in the table with which it is associated.
 TBD: See below for what this means for tables that use action profiles.
 - You must specify the number of independent counter values for an indexed counter when instantiating it. This number of counters need not be the same as the size of any table.
- Where counter updates are allowed in the P4 program:
 - For a direct counter, you may only invoke its **count** method from inside the actions of the table with which it is associated, and this always updates the counter value associated with the matching table entry.
 - For an indexed counter, you may invoke its count method anywhere in the P4 program where extern object method invocations are permitted (e.g. inside actions, or directly inside a control's apply block), and every such invocation must specify the index of the counter value to be updated.

Counters are only intended to support packet counters and byte counters, or a combination of both called PACKETS_AND_BYTES. The byte counts are always increased by some measure of the packet length, where the packet length used might vary from one PSA implementation to another. For example, one implementation might use the Ethernet frame length, including the Ethernet header and FCS bytes, as the packet arrived on a physical port. Another might not include the FCS bytes in its definition of the packet length. Another might only include the Ethernet payload length. Each PSA implementation should document how it determines the packet length used for byte counter updates.

If you wish to keep counts of other quantities, or to have more precise control over the packet length used in a byte counter, you may use Registers to achieve that (Section 7.8).

7.6.1. Counter types

```
enum CounterType_t {
   PACKETS,
   BYTES,
   PACKETS_AND_BYTES
}
7.6.2. Counter
/// Indirect counter with n_counters independent counter values, where
/// every counter value has a data plane size specified by type W.
extern Counter<W, S> {
 Counter(bit<32> n_counters, CounterType_t type);
 void count(in S index);
  /*
 /// The control plane API uses 64-bit wide counter values. It is
 /// not intended to represent the size of counters as they are
 /// stored in the data plane. It is expected that control plane
 /// software will periodically read the data plane counter values,
 /// and accumulate them into larger counters that are large enough
 /// to avoid reaching their maximum values for a suitably long
 /// operational time. A 64-bit byte counter increased at maximum
 /// line rate for a 100 gigabit port would take over 46 years to
 /// wrap.
 @ControlPlaneAPI
   bit<64> read
                     (in S index);
   bit <64> sync_read (in S index);
   void set
                      (in S index, in bit<64> seed);
   void reset
                      (in S index);
   void start
                     (in S index);
                     (in S index);
   void stop
 }
}
```

See section C for pseudocode of an example implementation of the Counter extern.

PSA implementations must not update any counter values if an indexed counter is updated with an index that is too large. It is recommended that they count such erroneous attempted updates, and record other information that can help an P4 programmer debug such errors.

7.6.3. Direct Counter

```
extern DirectCounter<W> {
 DirectCounter(CounterType_t type);
 void count();
  /*
 @ControlPlaneAPI
         read<W>
                       (in TableEntry key);
    W
         sync_read<W> (in TableEntry key);
    void set
                       (in W seed);
    void reset
                       (in TableEntry key);
    void start
                       (in TableEntry key);
                       (in TableEntry key);
    void stop
 }
}
```

A DirectCounter instance must appear in the list of values of the psa_direct_counters table attribute for exactly one table. We call this table the DirectCounter instance's "owner". It is an error to call the count method for a DirectCounter instance anywhere except inside an action of its owner table.

The counter value updated by an invocation of **count** is always the one associated with the table entry that matched.

TBD: How to describe which counter value is updated for tables with action profiles and direct counters? Or should this combination even be allowed?

An action of an owner table need not have count method calls for all of the DirectCounter instances that the table owns. You must use an explicit count() method call on a DirectCounter to update it, otherwise its state will not change.

An example implementation for the DirectCounter extern is essentially the same as the one for Counter. Since there is no index parameter to the count method, there is no need to check for whether it is in range.

The rules here mean that an action that calls count on a DirectCounter instance may only be an action of that instance's one owner table. If you want to have a single action A that can be invoked by multiple tables, you can still do so by having a unique action for each such table with a DirectCounter, where each such action in turn calls action A, in addition to any count invocations they have.

A DirectCounter instance must have a counter value associated with its owner table that is updated when there is a default action assigned to the table, and a search of the table results in a miss. If there is no default action assigned to the table, then there need not be any counter updated when a search of the table results in a miss.

By "a default action is assigned to a table", we mean that either the table has a default_action table property with an action assigned to it in the P4 program, or the control plane has made an explicit call to assign the table a default action. If neither of these is true, then there is no default action assigned to the table.

TBD: Verify that the method of reading this default action counter state is documented for the control plane API. I believe that Antonin Bas said that it can be accessed using the same API call

used to read a DirectCounter value associated with a table entry, except that the key in the API call should be empty.

TBD: Should a single table be restricted to have at most one DirectCounter associated with it, or should it be allowed to have more than one?

7.6.4. Example program using counters

The following partial P4 program demonstrates the instantiation and updating of Counter and DirectCounter externs.

```
typedef bit<48> ByteCounter_t;
typedef bit<32> PacketCounter_t;
typedef bit<80> PacketByteCounter_t;
const PortId_t NUM_PORTS = 512;
struct headers {
    ethernet_t
                     ethernet;
    ipv4_t
                     ipv4;
}
control ingress(inout headers hdr,
                inout metadata user_meta,
                      psa_ingress_input_metadata_t istd,
                inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    Counter<ByteCounter_t, PortId_t>((bit<32>) NUM_PORTS, CounterType_t.BYTES)
        port_bytes_in;
    DirectCounter<PacketByteCounter_t>(CounterType_t.PACKETS_AND_BYTES)
        per_prefix_pkt_byte_count;
    action next_hop(PortId_t oport) {
        per_prefix_pkt_byte_count.count();
        send_to_port(ostd, oport);
    action default_route_drop() {
        per_prefix_pkt_byte_count.count();
        ingress_drop(ostd);
    table ipv4_da_lpm {
        key = { hdr.ipv4.dstAddr: lpm; }
        actions = {
            next_hop;
            default_route_drop;
        }
        default_action = default_route_drop;
        psa_direct_counters = {
            // table ipv4_da_lpm owns this DirectCounter instance
            per_prefix_pkt_byte_count
        };
    }
    apply {
        port_bytes_in.count(istd.ingress_port);
```

7.7. Meters 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
if (hdr.ipv4.isValid()) {
            ipv4_da_lpm.apply();
        }
    }
}
control egress(inout headers hdr,
               inout metadata user_meta,
                     psa_egress_input_metadata_t istd,
               inout psa_egress_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    Counter<ByteCounter_t, PortId_t>((bit<32>) NUM_PORTS, CounterType_t.BYTES)
        port_bytes_out;
    apply {
        // By doing these stats updates on egress, then because
        // multicast replication happens before egress processing,
        // this update will occur once for each copy made, which in
        // this example is intentional.
        port_bytes_out.count(istd.egress_port);
    }
}
```

7.7. Meters

Meters (RFC 2698) are a more complex mechanism for keeping statistics about packets, most often used for dropping or "marking" packets that exceed an average packet or bit rate. To mark a packet means to change one or more of its quality of service values in packet headers such as the 802.1Q PCP (priority code point) or DSCP (differentiated service code point) bits within the IPv4 or IPv6 type of service byte. The meters specified in the PSA are 3-color meters.

PSA meters do not require any particular drop or marking actions, nor do they automatically implement those behaviors for you. Meters keep enough state, and update their state during execute() method calls, in such a way that they return a GREEN (also known as conform), YELLOW (exceed), or RED (violate) result. See RFC 2698 for details on the conditions under which one of these three results is returned. The P4 program is responsible for examining that returned result, and making changes to packet forwarding behavior as a result. The value returned by an uninitialized meter shall be GREEN. This is in accordance with the P4 Runtime specification.

RFC 2698 describes "color aware" and "color blind" variations of meters. The Meter and DirectMeter externs implement both. The only difference is in which execute method you use when updating them. See the comments on the extern definitions below.

Similar to counters, there are two flavors of meters: indexed and direct. (Indexed) meters are addressed by index, while direct meters always update a meter state corresponding to the matched table entry or action, and from the control plane API are addressed using P4 Runtime table entry as key.

There are many other similarities between counters and meters, including:

- The number of independently updatable meter values.
- Where meter updates are allowed in a P4 program.
- For BYTES type meters, the packet length used in the update is determined by the PSA implementation, and can vary from one PSA implementation to another.

Further similarities between direct counters and direct meters include:

• DirectMeter execute method calls must be performed within actions invoked by the table that owns the DirectMeter instance. It is optional for such an action to call the execute

7.7. Meters 7. PSA EXTERNS

method.

• There must be a meter state associated with a DirectMeter instance's owner table, that can be updated when the table result is a miss. As for a DirectCounter, this state only needs to exist if a default action is assigned to the table.

The table attribute to specify that a table owns a DirectMeter instance is psa_direct_meters. The value of this table attribute is a list of meter instances.

As for counters, if you call the execute(idx) method on an indexed meter and idx is at least the number of meter states, so idx is out of range, no meter state is updated. The execute call still returns a value of type $MeterColor_t$, but the value is undefined – programs that wish to have predictable behavior across implementations must not use the undefined value in a way that affects the output packet or other side effects. The example code below shows one way to achieve predictable behavior. Note that this undefined behavior cannot occur if the value of n_meters of an indexed meter is 2^W , and the type S used to construct the meter is bit < W >, since the index value could never be out of range.

```
#define METER1_SIZE 100
Meter<bit<7>>(METER1_SIZE, MeterType_t.BYTES) meter1;
bit<7> idx;
MeterColor_t color1;

// ... later ...

if (idx < METER1_SIZE) {
    color1 = meter1.execute(idx, MeterColor_t.GREEN);
} else {
    // If idx is out of range, use a default value for color1. One
    // may also choose to store an error flag in some metadata field.
    color1 = MeterColor_t.RED;
}</pre>
```

Any implementation will have a finite range that can be specified for the Peak Burst Size and Committed Burst Size. An implementation should document the maximum burst sizes they support, and if the implementation internally truncates the values that the control plane requests to something more coarse than any number of bytes, that should also be documented. It is recommended that the maximum burst sizes be allowed as large as the number of bytes that can be transmitted across the implementation's maximum speed port in 100 milliseconds.

Implementations will also have finite ranges and precisions that they support for the Peak Information Rate and Committed Information Rate. An implementation should document the maximum rate it supports, as well as the precision it supports for implementing requested rates. It is recommended that the maximum rate supported be at least the rate of the implementation's fastest port, and that the actual implemented rate should always be within plus or minus 0.1% of the requested rate.

```
7.7.1. Meter types
```

```
enum MeterType_t {
    PACKETS,
    BYTES
}
```

7.7.2. Meter colors

```
enum MeterColor_t { RED, GREEN, YELLOW };
```

7.8. Registers 7. PSA EXTERNS

7.7.3. Meter

```
// Indexed meter with n_meters independent meter states.
extern Meter<S> {
 Meter(bit<32> n_meters, MeterType_t type);
 // Use this method call to perform a color aware meter update (see
 // RFC 2698). The color of the packet before the method call was
 // made is specified by the color parameter.
 MeterColor_t execute(in S index, in MeterColor_t color);
 // Use this method call to perform a color blind meter update (see
 // RFC 2698). It may be implemented via a call to execute(index,
  // MeterColor_t.GREEN), which has the same behavior.
 MeterColor_t execute(in S index);
  /*
 @ControlPlaneAPI
   reset(in MeterColor_t color);
   setParams(in S index, in MeterConfig config);
   getParams(in S index, out MeterConfig config);
 }
}
7.7.4. Direct Meter
extern DirectMeter {
 DirectMeter(MeterType_t type);
 // See the corresponding methods for extern Meter.
 MeterColor_t execute(in MeterColor_t color);
 MeterColor_t execute();
  /*
 @ControlPlaneAPI
   reset(in TableEntry entry, in MeterColor_t color);
   void setConfig(in TableEntry entry, in MeterConfig config);
   void getConfig(in TableEntry entry, out MeterConfig config);
 }
}
```

7.8. Registers

Registers are stateful memories whose values can be read and written during packet forwarding under the control of the P4 program. They are similar to counters and meters in that their state can be modified as a result of processing packets, but they are far more general in the behavior they can implement.

Although you may not use register contents directly in table match keys, you may use the read() method call on the right-hand side of an assignment statement, which retrieves the current value of

7.8. Registers 7. PSA EXTERNS

the register. You may copy the register value into metadata, and it is then available for matching in subsequent tables. The value returned by an uninitialized register is undefined. If a target implementation chooses to return some value, the PSA recommends the value should be 0.

A simple usage example might be to verify that a "first packet" was seen for a particular type of flow. A register cell would be allocated to the flow, initialized to "clear". When the protocol signaled a "first packet", the table would match on this value and update the flow's cell to "marked". Subsequent packets in the flow could would be mapped to the same cell; the current cell value would be stored in metadata for the packet and a subsequent table could check that the flow was marked as active.

```
extern Register<T, S> {
 Register(bit<32> size);
       read (in S index);
 void write (in S index, in T value);
  /*
 @ControlPlaneAPI
  {
    Т
                      (in S index);
         read<T>
    void set
                      (in S index, in T seed);
    void reset
                      (in S index);
 }
  */
}
```

Another example using registers is given below. It implements a packet and byte counter, where the byte counter can be updated by a packet length specified in the P4 program, rather than one chosen by the PSA implementation.

```
const PortId_t NUM_PORTS = 512;
// It would be more convenient to use a struct type to represent the
// state of a combined packet and byte count, and many other compound
// values one might wish to store in a Register instance. However,
// the latest p4test as of 2017-Aug-13 does not allow a struct type to
// be returned from a method call like Register.read().
#define PACKET_COUNT_WIDTH 32
#define BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH 48
//#define PACKET_BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH (PACKET_COUNT_WIDTH + BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH)
#define PACKET_BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH 80
#define PACKET_COUNT_RANGE (PACKET_BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH-1):BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH
#define BYTE_COUNT_RANGE (BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH-1):0
typedef bit<PACKET_BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH> PacketByteCountState_t;
action update_pkt_ip_byte_count (inout PacketByteCountState_t s,
                                 in bit<16> ip_length_bytes)
{
   s[PACKET_COUNT_RANGE] = s[PACKET_COUNT_RANGE] + 1;
   s[BYTE_COUNT_RANGE] = (s[BYTE_COUNT_RANGE] +
                           (bit<BYTE_COUNT_WIDTH>) ip_length_bytes);
}
```

7.8. Registers 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
control ingress(inout headers hdr,
                inout metadata user_meta,
                      psa_ingress_input_metadata_t istd,
                inout psa_ingress_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    Register<PacketByteCountState_t, PortId_t>((bit<32>) NUM_PORTS)
        port_pkt_ip_bytes_in;
    apply {
        ostd.egress_port = 0;
        if (hdr.ipv4.isValid()) {
            @atomic {
                PacketByteCountState_t tmp;
                tmp = port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.read(istd.ingress_port);
                update_pkt_ip_byte_count(tmp, hdr.ipv4.totalLen);
                port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.write(istd.ingress_port, tmp);
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Note the use of the $\mathtt{Qatomic}$ annotation in the block enclosing the $\mathtt{read}()$ and $\mathtt{write}()$ method calls on the $\mathtt{Register}$ instance. It is expected to be common that register accesses will need the $\mathtt{Qatomic}$ annotation around portions of your program in order to behave as you desire. As stated in the $\mathtt{P4}_{16}$ specification, without the $\mathtt{Qatomic}$ annotation in this example, an implementation is allowed to process two packets $\mathtt{P1}$ and $\mathtt{P2}$ in parallel, and perform the register access operations in this order:

```
// Possible order of operations for the example program if the
// @atomic annotation is _not_ used.

tmp = port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.read(istd.ingress_port); // for packet P1
tmp = port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.read(istd.ingress_port); // for packet P2

// At this time, if P1 and P2 came from the same ingress_port,
// each of their values of tmp are identical.

update_pkt_ip_byte_count(tmp, hdr.ipv4.totalLen); // for packet P1
update_pkt_ip_byte_count(tmp, hdr.ipv4.totalLen); // for packet P2

port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.write(istd.ingress_port, tmp); // for packet P1
port_pkt_ip_bytes_in.write(istd.ingress_port, tmp); // for packet P2

// The write() from packet P1 is lost.
```

Since different implementations may have different upper limits on the complexity of code that they will accept within an <code>@atomic</code> block, we recommend you keep them as small as possible, subject to maintaining your desired correct behavior.

Individual counter and meter method calls need not be enclosed in **@atomic** blocks to be safe — they guarantee atomic behavior of their individual method calls, without losing any updates.

As for indexed counters and meters, access to an index of a register that is at least the size of the register is out of bounds. An out of bounds write has no effect on the state of the system. An out of bounds read returns an undefined value. See the example in Section 7.7 for one way to write code to guarantee avoiding this undefined behavior. Out of bounds register accesses are impossible

7.9. Random 7. PSA EXTERNS

for a register instance with type S declared as bitW and size 2^W entries.

7.9. Random

The Random extern provides generation of pseudo-random numbers in a specified range with a uniform distribution. If one wishes to generate numbers with a non-uniform distribution, you may do so by first generating a uniformly distributed random value, and then using appropriate table lookups and/or arithmetic on the resulting value to achieve the desired distribution.

An implementation is not required to produce cryptographically strong pseudo-random number generation. For example, a particularly inexpensive implementation might use a linear feedback shift register to generate values.

```
extern Random<T> {
   Random(T min, T max);
   T read();

/*
   @ControlPlaneAPI
   {
     void reset();
     void setSeed(in T seed);
   }
   */
}
```

7.10. Action Profile

Action profiles are used as table implementation attributes.

Action profiles provide a mechanism to populate table entries with action specifications that have been defined outside the table entry specification. An action profile extern can be instantiated as a resource in the P4 program. A table that uses this action profile must specify its implementation attribute as the action profile instance.

| Table entry | Key (h.f: lpm) | Action spec. |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| t1 | 01001* | set_port(1) |
| t2 | 1100* | set_port(2) |
| t3 | 101* | set_port(1) |

(a) Direct table.

| Table entry | Key (h.f: lpm) | Member ref. |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| t1 | 01001* | m1 |
| t2 | 1100* | m2 |
| t3 | 101* | m1 |

| Member ref. | Action spec. |
|-------------|--------------|
| m1 | set_port(1) |
| m2 | set_port(2) |
| | |

(b) Indirect table with action profile implementation.

Figure 5. Action profiles in PSA

Figure 5 contrasts a direct table with a table that has an action profile implementation. A direct table, as seen in Figure 5 (a) contains the action specification in each table entry. In this example,

7.10. Action Profile 7. PSA EXTERNS

the table has a match key consisting of an LPM on header field n.f. The action is to set the port. As we can see, entries t1 and t3 have the same action, i.e. to set the port to 1. Action profiles enable sharing an action across multiple entries by using a separate table as shown in Figure 5 (b).

A table with an action profile implementation has entries that point to a member reference instead of directly defining an action specification. A mapping from member references to action specifications is maintained in a separate table that is part of the action profile instance defined in the table implementation attribute. When a table with an action profile implementation is applied, the member reference is resolved and the corresponding action specification is applied to the packet.

Action profile members may only specify action types defined in the actions attribute of the implemented table. An action profile instance may be shared across multiple tables only if all such tables define the same set of actions in their actions attribute. Tables with an action profile implementation cannot define a default action. The default action for such tables is implicitly set to NoAction.

The control plane can add, modify or delete member entries for a given action profile instance. The controller-assigned member reference must be unique in the scope of the action profile instance. An action profile instance may hold at most size entries as defined in the constructor parameter. Table entries must specify the action using the controller-assigned reference for the desired member entry. Directly specifying the action as part of the table entry is not allowed for tables with an action profile implementation.

```
extern ActionProfile {
    /// Construct an action profile of 'size' entries
    ActionProfile(bit<32> size);

    /*
    @ControlPlaneAPI
    {
        entry_handle add_member (action_ref, action_data);
        void delete_member (entry_handle);
        entry_handle modify_member (entry_handle, action_ref, action_data);
    }
    */
}
```

7.10.1. Action Profile Example

The P4 control block Ctrl in the example below instantiates an action profile ap that can contain at most 128 member entries. Table indirect uses this instance by specifying the implementation attribute. The control plane can add member entries to ap, where each member can specify either a foo or NoAction action. Table entries for indirect table must specify the action using the controller-assigned member reference.

```
control Ctrl(inout H hdr, inout M meta) {
  action foo() { meta.foo = 1; }
  action_profile ap(32w128);

table indirect {
  key = {hdr.ipv4.dst_address: exact;}
  actions = { foo; NoAction; }
  implementation = ap;
}
```

7.11. Action Selector 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
apply {
   indirect.apply();
}
```

7.11. Action Selector

Action selectors are used as table implementation attributes.

Action selectors implement yet another mechanism to populate table entries with action specifications that have been defined outside the table entry. They are more powerful than action profiles because they also provide the ability to dynamically select the action specification to apply upon matching a table entry. An action selector extern can be instantiated as a resource in the P4 program, similar to action profiles. Furthermore, a table that uses this action selector must specify its implementation attribute as the action selector instance.

| Table | Key | Member/ |
|-------|------------|------------|
| entry | (h.f: lpm) | Group ref. |
| t1 | 01001* | g1 |
| t2 | 1100* | m2 |
| t3 | 101* | g2 |

| Group | Members |
|-------|---------|
| ref. | |
| g1 | m1, m2 |
| g2 | m1 |
| g3 | m2 |

| Member ref. | Action spec. |
|-------------|--------------|
| m1 | set_port(1) |
| m2 | set_port(2) |

Figure 6. Action selectors in PSA

Figure 6 illustrates a table that has an action selector implementation. In this example, the table has a match key consisting of an LPM on header field h.f. A second match type selector is used to define the fields that are used to look up the action specification from the selector at runtime.

A table with an action action selector implementation consists of entries that point to either an action profile member reference or an action profile group reference. An action selector instance can be logically visualized as two tables as shown in Figure 6. The first table contains a mapping from group references to a set of member references. The second table contains a mapping from member references to action specifications.

When a packet matches a table entry at runtime, the controller-assigned reference of the action profile member or group is read. If the entry points to a member then the corresponding action specification is applied to the packet. However, if the entry points to a group, a dynamic selection algorithm is used to select a member from the group, and the action specification corresponding to that member is applied. The dynamic selection algorithm is specified as a parameter when instantiating the action selector.

Action selector members may only specify action types defined in the actions attribute of the implemented table. All actions in a group must be of the same type. The action parameters for actions in the same group are allowed to differ, and the action of different groups in a selector may be different. An action selector instance may be shared across multiple tables only if all such tables define the same set of actions in their actions attribute. Furthermore, the selector match fields for such tables must be identical and must be specified in the same order across all tables sharing the selector. Tables with an action selector implementation cannot define a default action. The default action for such tables is implicitly set to NoAction.

The dynamic selection algorithm requires a field list as an input for generating the index to a member entry in a group. This field list is created by using the match type selector when defining the table match key. The match fields of type selector are composed into a field list in the order they are specified. The composed field list is passed as an input to the action selector implementation. It is illegal to define a selector type match field if the table does not have an action selector implementation.

7.11. Action Selector 7. PSA EXTERNS

The control plane can add, modify or delete member and group entries for a given action selector instance. An action selector instance may hold at most size member entries as defined in the constructor parameter. The number of groups may be at most the size of the table that is implemented by the selector. Table entries must specify the action using a reference to the desired member or group entry. Directly specifying the action as part of the table entry is not allowed for tables with an action selector implementation.

```
extern ActionSelector {
  /// Construct an action selector of 'size' entries
  /// @param algo hash algorithm to select a member in a group
  /// @param size number of entries in the action selector
  /// @param outputWidth size of the key
  ActionSelector(HashAlgorithm_t algo, bit<32> size, bit<32> outputWidth);
  /*
  @ControlPlaneAPI
                                     (action_ref, action_data);
     entry_handle add_member
                  delete_member
                                     (entry_handle);
     entry_handle modify_member
                                     (entry_handle, action_ref, action_data);
     group_handle create_group
     void
                  delete_group
                                     (group_handle);
                                     (group_handle, entry_handle);
     void
                  add_to_group
     void
                  delete_from_group (group_handle, entry_handle);
  }
  * /
}
```

7.11.1. Action Selector Example

The P4 control block Ctrl in the example below instantiates an action selector as that can contain at most 128 member entries. The action selector uses a crc16 algorithm with output width of 10 bits to select a member entry within a group.

Table indirect_with_selection uses this instance by specifying the implementation attribute as shown. The control plane can add member and group entries to as. Each member can specify either a foo or NoAction action. When programming the table entries, the control plane does not include the fields of match type selector in the match key. The selector match fields are instead used to compose a list that is passed to the action selector instance. In the example below, the list {hdr.ipv4.src_address, hdr.ipv4.protocol} is passed as input to the crc16 hash algorithm used for dynamic member selection by action selector as.

```
control Ctrl(inout H hdr, inout M meta) {
  action foo() { meta.foo = 1; }

  action_selector as(HashAlgorithm.crc16, 32w128, 32w10);

table indirect_with_selection {
  key = {
    hdr.ipv4.dst_address: exact;
    hdr.ipv4.src_address: selector;
    hdr.ipv4.protocol: selector;
}
  actions = { foo; NoAction; }
```

7.12. Parser Value Sets 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
implementation = as;
}
apply {
  indirect_with_selection.apply();
}
```

7.12. Parser Value Sets

A parser value set is a named set of values that may be used during packet header parsing time to make decisions. You may use control plane API calls to add values to a set, and remove values from a set, at run time, much like P4 tables. Unlike tables, they may not have actions associated with them. They may only be used to determine whether a particular value is in the set, returning a Boolean value. That Boolean value can then be used in a select statement to control parsing (see examples below).

```
extern ValueSet<D> {
   ValueSet(int<32> size);
   bool is_member(in D data);
    /*
   @ControlPlaneAPI
   message ValueSetEntry {
        uint32 value_set_id = 1;
        // FieldMatch allows specification of exact, lpm, ternary, and
        // range matching on fields for tables, and these options are
        // permitted for the ValueSet extern as well.
        repeated FieldMatch match = 2;
   }
   // ValueSetEntry should be added to the 'message Entity'
   // definition, inside its 'oneof Entity' list of possibilities.
   */
}
```

The control plane API excerpt above is intended to be added as part of the P4 Runtime API¹.

The control plane API for a ValueSet is similar to that of a table, except only match fields may be specified, with no actions. This includes API calls that specify ternary or range matching, although for ValueSets these do not require specifying any priority values, since the only result of a ValueSet is_member call is "in the set" or "not in the set".

If a PSA target can do so, it should implement control plane API calls involving ternary or range matching using ternary or range matching capabilities in the target, consuming the minimal table entries possible.

However, a PSA target is allowed to implement such control plane API calls by "expanding" them into as many exact match entries as needed to have the same behavior. For example, a control plane API call adding all values in the range 5 through 8 may be implemented as adding the four separate exact match values 5, 6, 7, and 8.

The parser definition below shows an example that uses two ValueSet instances called tpid_types and trill_types.

```
parser IngressParserImpl(packet_in buffer,
```

¹The P4 Runtime API, defined as a Google Protocol Buffer .proto file, can be found at https://github.com/p4lang/PI/blob/master/proto/p4/p4runtime.proto

7.12. Parser Value Sets 7. PSA EXTERNS

out headers parsed_hdr,
inout metadata user_meta,

```
in psa_ingress_parser_input_metadata_t istd,
                         out psa_parser_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    ValueSet<bit<16>>(4) tpid_types;
    ValueSet<bit<16>>(2) trill_types;
    state start {
        buffer.extract(parsed_hdr.ethernet);
        transition select(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType) {
            0x0800: parse_ipv4;
            0x86DD: parse_ipv6;
            default: dispatch_tpid_value_set;
        }
    }
    state dispatch_tpid_value_set {
        bool is_tpid = tpid_types.is_member(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType);
        transition select(is_tpid) {
            true: parse_vlan_tag;
            default: dispatch_trill_value_set;
        }
    }
    state dispatch_trill_value_set {
        bool is_trill = trill_types.is_member(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType);
        transition select(is_trill) {
            true: parse_trill;
            default: accept;
        }
    }
    state parse_vlan_tag {
        // extract VLAN 802.1Q header here
        transition accept;
    state parse_trill {
        // extract TRILL header here
        transition accept;
    }
    state parse_ipv4 {
        transition accept;
    }
    state parse_ipv6 {
        transition accept;
    }
}
The second example (below) has the same parsing behavior as the example above, but combines the
two parse states dispatch_tpid_value_set and dispatch_trill_value_set into one.
    state dispatch_tpid_value_set {
        bool is_tpid = tpid_types.is_member(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType);
        bool is_trill = trill_types.is_member(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType);
        transition select(is_tpid, is_trill) {
            (true,
                       _): parse_vlan_tag;
            (false, true): parse_trill;
```

7.13. Timestamps 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
default: accept;
}
```

The third example (below) demonstrates one way to have a ValueSet that matches on multiple fields, by making the type D a struct containing multiple bit vectors.

```
struct CustomValueSet1_t {
    bit<16> etherType;
    bit<8> partialMacAddress;
}
parser IngressParserImpl(packet_in buffer,
                         out headers parsed_hdr,
                         inout metadata user_meta,
                         in psa_ingress_parser_input_metadata_t istd,
                         out psa_parser_output_metadata_t ostd)
{
    ValueSet<CustomValueSet1_t>(2) trill_types;
    state dispatch_tpid_value_set {
        bool is_trill =
            trill_types.is_member({parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType,
                                   parsed_hdr.ethernet.dstAddr[7:0]});
        transition select(is_trill) {
            true: parse_vlan_tag;
            default: accept;
    }
    // ... etc.
```

A PSA compliant implementation is not required to support any use of a ValueSet is_member method call return value, other than directly inside of a select expression. For example, a program fragment like the one shown below may be rejected, and thus P4 programmers striving for maximum portability should avoid writing such code.

```
bool is_tpid = tpid_types.is_member(parsed_hdr.ethernet.etherType);
is_tpid = is_tpid && (parsed_hdr.ethernet.dstAddr[47:40] == 0xfe);
transition select(is_tpid) {
    // ...
```

7.13. Timestamps

A PSA implementation provides an ingress_timestamp value for every packet in the Ingress control block, as a field in the struct with type psa_ingress_input_metadata_t. This timestamp should be close to the time that the first bit of the packet arrived to the device, or alternately, to the time that the device began parsing the packet. This timestamp is *not* automatically included with the packet in the Egress control block. A P4 program wishing to use the value of ingress_timestamp in egress code must copy it to a user-defined metadata field that reaches egress.

A PSA implementation also provides an egress_timestamp value for every packet in the Egress control block, as a field of the struct with type psa_egress_input_metadata_t.

One expected use case for timestamps is to store them in tables or Register instances to implement checking for timeout events for protocols, where precision on the order of milliseconds is

7.13. Timestamps 7. PSA EXTERNS

sufficient for most protocols.

Another expected use case is INT (In-band Network Telemetry²), where precision on the order of microseconds or smaller is necessary to measure queueing latencies that differ by those amounts. It takes only 0.74 microseconds to transmit a 9 Kbyte Ethernet jumbo frame on a 100 gigabit per second link.

For these applications, it is recommended that an implementation's timestamp increments at least once every microsecond. Incrementing once per clock cycle in an ASIC or FPGA implementation would be a reasonable choice. The timestamp should increment at a constant rate over time. For example, it should not be a simple count of clock cycles in a device that implements dynamic frequency scaling³.

Timestamps are of type Timestamp_t, which is type bit<W> for a value of W defined by the implementation. Timestamps are expected to wrap around during the normal passage of time. It is recommended that an implementation pick a rate of advance and a bit width such that wrapping around occurs at most once every hour. Making the wrap time this long (or longer) makes timestamps more useful for several use cases.

- Checking for timeouts of protocol hello / keep-alive traffic that is on the order of seconds or minutes.
- If timestamps are placed into packets without converting them to other formats, then external data analysis systems using those timestamps will in many cases need to do so, e.g. to compare timestamps stored in packets by different PSA devices. These systems will need different formulas and/or parameters to perform this conversion for each wrap period, or to add extra external time references to the recorded data. The extra data required for accurate conversion is lower, and the likelihood of conversion mistakes is lower, if the timestamp values wrap less often.
- If timestamps are converted to other formats within a P4 program, it will need access to parameters that are likely to change every wrap time, e.g. at least a "base value" to add some calculated value to. A straightforward way to do this requires the control plane to update these values at least once or twice per timestamp wrap time.
- Programs that wish to use (egress_timestamp ingress_timestamp) to calculate the queueing latency experienced by a packet need the wrap time to exceed the maximum queueing latency.

Examples of the number of bits required for wrap times of at least one hour:

- A 32-bit timestamp advancing by 1 per microsecond takes 1.19 hours to wrap.
- A 42-bit timestamp advancing by 1 per nanosecond takes 1.22 hours to wrap.

A PSA implementation is not required to implement time synchronization, e.g. via PTP⁴ or NTP⁵. TBD: This text has been written assuming that it is more important for timestamps to be increasing at a constant rate, with no sudden "jumps" due to time synchronization events. Is this what people want from timestamps?

TBD: Some time synchronization methods avoid sudden "jumps" by temporarily speeding up or slowing down the rate of increase by a small percentage, until the desired synchronization is achieved. Which ones? citation?). Would anyone mind if PSA implementations were allowed to do this with their timestamp values?

The control plane API excerpt below is intended to be added as part of the P4 Runtime API.

```
// The TimestampInfo and Timestamp messages should be added to the
// "oneof" inside of message "Entity".
```

²http://p4.org/p4/inband-network-telemetry

³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dynamic_frequency_scaling

⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precision_Time_Protocol

⁵https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Network_Time_Protocol

7.13. Timestamps 7. PSA EXTERNS

```
// TimestampInfo is only intended to be read. Attempts to update this
// entity have no effect, and should return an error status that the
// entity is read only.
message TimestampInfo {
  // The number of bits in the device's 'Timestamp_t' type.
 uint32 size_in_bits = 1;
  // The timestamp value of this device increments
  // 'increments_per_period' times every 'period_in_seconds' seconds.
 uint64 increments_per_period = 2;
  uint64 period in seconds = 3;
}
// The timestamp value can be read or written. Note that if there are
// already timestamp values stored in tables or 'Register' instances,
// they will not be updated as a result of writing this timestamp
// value. Writing the device timestamp is intended only for
// initialization and testing.
message Timestamp {
  bytes value = 1;
```

For every packet P that is processed by ingress and then egress, with the minimum possible latency in the packet buffer, it is guaranteed that the egress_timestamp value for that packet will be the same as, or slightly larger than, the ingress_timestamp value that the packet was assigned on ingress. By "slightly larger than", we mean that the difference (egress_timestamp - ingress_timestamp) should be a reasonably accurate estimate of this minimum possible latency through the packet buffer, perhaps truncated down to 0 if timestamps advance more slowly than this minimum latency.

Consider two packets such that at the same time (e.g. the same clock cycle), one is assigned its value of ingress_timestamp near the time it begins parsing, and the other is assigned its value of egress_timestamp near the time that it begins its egress processing. It is allowed that these timestamps differ by a few tens of nanoseconds (or by one "tick" of the timestamp, if one tick is larger than that time), due to practical difficulties in making them always equal.

Recall that the binary operators + and - on the bit<W> type in P4 are defined to perform wrap-around unsigned arithmetic. Thus even if a timestamp value wraps around from its maximum value back to 0, you can always calculate the number of ticks that have elapsed from timestamp t1 until timestamp t2 using the expression (t2-t1) (if more than 2^W ticks have elapsed, there will be aliasing of the result). For example, if timestamps were W >= 4 bits in size, $t1 = 2^W - 5$, and t2 = 3, then (t2-t1) = 8.

It is sometimes useful to minimize storage costs by discarding some bits of a timestamp value in a P4 program for use cases that do not need the full wrap time or precision. For example, an application that only needs to detect protocol timeouts with an accuracy of 1 second can discard the least significant bits of a timestamp that change more often than every 1 second.

Another example is an application that needed full precision of the least significant bits of a timestamp, but the combination of the control plane and P4 program are designed to examine all entries of a Register array where these partial timestamps are stored more often than once every 5 seconds, to prevent wrapping. In that case, the P4 program could discard the most significant bits of the timestamp so that the remaining bits wrap every 8 seconds, and store those partial timestamps in the Register instance.

7.13.1. Packet Digest

Packet digest is a mechanism to perform a upcall to the control plane. A upcall is a way to send information from the lower layer of the software stack to an upper layer. In the case of packet digest, the information is sent from data-plane to control plane.

A PSA implementation should provide a digest mechanism. The digest contains two pieces of information: the receiver identifier and the digest message. The receiver identifier is an opaque id used by the control plane to route the digest message to the right receiver. The digest message can contain any from the data-plane.

In PSA, digest is performed at the end of the ingress pipeline in the departer.

TBD: The digest extern provides a pack method to specify the content in the digest header.

The compiler decides the best serialization format to send the data object to the control plane.

On the control plane side, the control plane API calls the unpack method, and pass in a reference to the data object to be read.

The unpack method return 0 if succeed. The field names in the control plane API is derived from the field names in the data-plane pack method.

7.13.1.1. Control Plane The control plane should provide a

A. Appendix: Open Issues

As with any work in progress, we have a number of open issues that are under discussion in the working group. In addition to the TBDs in the document, there a number of larger issues that are summarized here:

A.1. Action Selectors

The size parameter in the action_selector instance that defines the maximum number of members in a selector. In some cases it might be useful to allow the controller to dynamically provision resources on the selector or to utilize different selector sizes on different targets, while using a common P4 program.

We also need to formalize the interaction of action profiles and action selectors with counters and meters.

A.2. Metadata serialization

We have several proposals for serializing metadata that is bridged across the PRE between the ingress and egress pipelines, or as part of cloned and resubmitted packets. We are actively working

on building a set of examples to determine what will be the final PSA API for these operations.

For the clone_out and resubmit externs, we are currently discussing the addition of a method, add_metadata, to enable prepending metadata to the cloned or resubmitted packet. It is the responsibility of the programmer to parse these packets such that it correctly extracts the attached metadata. The attached metadata may be of type header, header stack, header union or struct of the above types. Invoking the add_metadata method multiple times will attach all specified metadata to the same copy of the packet in the order in which the method was called. The PSA architecture instantiates the clone_out extern in the ingress and egress deparser. It is an error to instantiate the clone_out extern in blocks other than the deparser block.

A.3. How does PSA interact with multiple pipelines

As presented, the PSA describes the operation of a single packet processing pipeline. However, programmable devices typically support multiple pipelines. It is unlikely that high performance devices implement any form of coherency across pipelines, even though forwarding across pipelines may happen.

In the current specification, each extern has its own resources and they are constrained to a single pipeline, i.e., not shared across pipelines and not maintained coherent with any other externs even if attached to the same logical table. It is the responsibility of the programmer to maintain state across pipelines using control-plane operations, if so desired.

Likewise, for programming multiple pipelines, it is the responsibility of the vendor and of target dependent tools to specify how PSA programs are mapped to multiple pipelines. A simple implementation may use a copy of the PSA program on each pipeline, and thus keeping pipelines fully isolated. We will continue to discuss and refine our position.

A.4. PSA profiles

We are considering whether to specify different limits that a certain PSA implementation has to have in order for the implementation to be considered compliant. The main point of PSA is to enable a variety of devices, and thus limits may be artificial. On the other hand, for most interesting applications, it is necessary to support a minimum of functionality.

B. Appendix: Implementation of the InternetChecksum extern

Besides RFC 1461, RFC 1071 and RFC 1141 also contain useful tips on efficiently computing the Internet checksum, especially in software implementations.

Here we give reference implementations for the methods of the InternetChecksum extern, specified with the syntax and semantics of $P4_{16}$, with extensions of a for loop and a return statement for returning a value from a function.

The minimum internal state necessary for one instance of an InternetChecksum object is a 16-bit bit vector, here called sum.

```
// This is one way to perform a normal one's complement sum of two
// 16-bit values.
bit<16> ones_complement_sum(in bit<16> x, in bit<16> y) {
    bit<17> ret = (bit<17>) x + (bit<17>) y;
    if (ret[16:16] == 1) {
        ret = ret + 1;
    }
    return ret[15:0];
}
bit<16> sum;
```

```
void clear() {
    sum = 0;
// Restriction: data is a multiple of 16 bits long
void update<T>(in T data) {
   bit<16> d;
    for (each 16-bit aligned piece d of data) {
        sum = ones_complement_sum(sum, d);
}
// Restriction: data is a multiple of 16 bits long
void remove<T>(in T data) {
    bit<16> d;
    for (each 16-bit aligned piece d of data) {
        // ~d is the negative of d in one's complement arithmetic.
        sum = ones_complement_sum(sum, ~d);
    }
}
// The Internet checksum is the one's complement _of_ the one's
// complement sum of the relevant parts of the packet. The methods
// above calculate the one's complement sum of the parts in the
// variable 'sum'. get() returns the bitwise negation of 'sum', which
// is the one's complement of 'sum'.
bit<16> get() {
    return ~sum;
}
bit<16> get_state() {
    return sum;
}
void set_state(bit<16> checksum_state) {
    sum = checksum_state;
}
```

C. Appendix: Example implementation of Counter extern

The example implementation below, in particular the function next_counter_value, is not intended to restrict PSA implementations. The storage format for PACKETS_AND_BYTES type counters demonstrated there is one example of how it could be done. Implementations are free to store state in other ways, as long as the control plane API returns the correct packet and byte count values.

Two common techniques for counter implementations in the data plane are:

- wrap around counters
- saturating counters, that 'stick' at their maximum possible value, without wrapping around.

This specification does not mandate any particular approach in the data plane. Implementations should strive to avoid losing information in counters. One common implementation technique is

to implement an atomic "read and clear" operation in the data plane that can be invoked by the control plane software. The control plane software invokes this operation frequently enough to prevent counters from ever wrapping or saturating, and adds the values read to larger counters in driver memory.

```
Counter(bit<32> n_counters, CounterType_t type) {
   this.num_counters = n_counters;
   this.counter_vals = new array of size n_counters, each element with type W;
   this.type = type;
   if (this.type == CounterType_t.PACKETS_AND_BYTES) {
        // Packet and byte counts share storage in the same counter
        // state. Should we have a separate constructor with an
        // additional argument indicating how many of the bits to use
        // for the byte counter?
        W shift_amount = TBD;
        this.shifted_packet_count = ((W) 1) << shift_amount;</pre>
        this.packet_count_mask = (~((W) 0)) << shift_amount;</pre>
        this.byte_count_mask = ~this.packet_count_mask;
   }
}
W next_counter_value(W cur_value, CounterType_t type) {
   if (type == CounterType_t.PACKETS) {
        return (cur_value + 1);
   // Exactly which packet bytes are included in packet_len is
   // implementation-specific.
   PacketLength_t packet_len = <packet length in bytes>;
   if (type == CounterType_t.BYTES) {
        return (cur_value + packet_len);
   // type must be CounterType_t.PACKETS_AND_BYTES
   // In type W, the least significant bits contain the byte
   // count, and most significant bits contain the packet count.
   // This is merely one example storage format. Implementations
   // are free to store packets_and_byte state in other ways, as
   // long as the control plane API returns the correct separate
   // packet and byte count values.
   W next_packet_count = ((cur_value + this.shifted_packet_count) &
                           this.packet_count_mask);
   W next_byte_count = (cur_value + packet_len) & this.byte_count_mask;
   return (next_packet_count | next_byte_count);
}
void count(in S index) {
   if (index < this.num_counters) {</pre>
        this.counter_vals[index] = next_counter_value(this.counter_vals[index],
                                                       this.type);
   } else {
        // No counter_vals updated if index is out of range.
        // See below for optional debug information to record.
}
```

Optional debugging information that may be kept if an index value is out of range includes:

- Number of times this occurs.
- A FIFO of the first N out-of-range index values that occur, where N is implementation-defined (e.g. it might only be 1). Extra information to identify which count() method call in the P4 program had the out-of-range index value is also recommended.