Optimized Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Enhanced Colour Image Retrieval

A Project Work Synopsis

Submitted in the partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING

IN

COMPUTER SCIENCE WITH SPECIALIZATION IN

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING

Submitted by:

Chahat Gupta:- 22BAI71219

Gargi Khandelwal:- 22BAI71214

Somya Khandelwal:- 22BAI71222

Gargi Ghosh:- 22BAI71138

Under the Supervision of:

<Project Supervisor Name>

CHANDIGARH UNIVERSITY, GHARUAN, MOHALI - 140413, PUNJAB

June 2025

Abstract

This project focuses on the development of an optimized Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based colour image retrieval system. The system integrates multiple low-level image features—color, texture, and shape—into a hybrid feature representation for enhanced image characterization. Optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm, Firefly Algorithm, and Swarm Intelligence are employed to refine feature selection, reduce redundancy, and improve system efficiency. The approach aims to achieve high accuracy, scalability, and robustness in large-scale databases, with applications in digital libraries, medical imaging, surveillance, and e-commerce platforms. The research bridges AI optimization with Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR), offering a comprehensive solution for next-generation visual search engines.

This project focuses on the development of an optimized Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based colour image retrieval system. The system integrates multiple low-level image features—color, texture, and shape—into a hybrid feature representation for enhanced image characterization. Optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm, Firefly Algorithm, and Swarm Intelligence are employed to refine feature selection, reduce redundancy, and improve system efficiency.The approach aims to achieve high accuracy, scalability, and robustness in large-scale databases, with applications in digital libraries, medical imaging, surveillance, and e-commerce platforms. The research bridges AI optimization with Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR), offering a comprehensive solution for next-generation visual search engines.

Additionally, this work highlights the importance of computational efficiency in handling high-dimensional datasets, where redundant features often lead to slow retrieval and reduced precision. By systematically comparing optimization algorithms and their impact on retrieval performance, the project provides new insights into balancing accuracy with computational cost.The developed system will also emphasize robustness to variations in illumination, scaling, and noise, ensuring adaptability in real-world conditions. This extended contribution not only enhances technical performance but also demonstrates how optimized AI techniques can redefine scalability and usability in modern image retrieval applications.

Keywords:- Image Retrieval, Artificial Intelligence, Genetic Algorithm, Firefly Algorithm, Swarm Intelligence, Optimization, Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR).

Table of Contents

Title Page i

Abstract ii

1. Introduction
   1.1 Problem Definition
   1.2 Project Overview
   1.3 Hardware Specification
   1.4 Software Specification
2. Literature Survey
   2.1 Existing System
   2.2 Proposed System
   2.3 Literature Review Summary
3. Problem Formulation
4. Research Objective
5. Methodologies
6. Experimental Setup
7. Conclusion
8. Tentative Chapter Plan for the proposed work
9. Reference

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Definition:

With the explosive growth of digital content, especially in the form of images, the demand for effective retrieval systems has become increasingly critical. Traditional keyword-based image retrieval methods are limited, as they rely on manual annotations, which are time-consuming, subjective, and often inconsistent. Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR) systems aim to overcome this limitation by analyzing the actual visual content of images. However, existing CBIR systems often suffer from high-dimensional feature spaces, redundancy in descriptors, and inefficient similarity measurement, leading to reduced accuracy and high computational costs. Therefore, there is a pressing need to design an optimized AI-based retrieval system that balances retrieval accuracy with computational efficiency.

1.2 Problem Overview:

The retrieval of visually similar images from large-scale databases is a multi-dimensional challenge. Images can be described using features such as color, texture, and shape. While these features individually contribute valuable information, none of them is sufficient on its own to capture the full semantics of an image. Combining them into a hybrid feature vector offers richer representation, but also results in very high-dimensional data with redundant and irrelevant features. To address this, metaheuristic optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Firefly Algorithm (FA), and Swarm Intelligence (SI) can be employed to select the most discriminative features, reduce dimensionality, and enhance retrieval performance. This approach aims to achieve better precision, faster response time, and scalability, making it suitable for real-world applications in healthcare, security, e-commerce, and digital archives.

1.3 Hardware Specification:

- High-performance computing system with a **multi-core processor.**
- Minimum **16 GB RAM** for efficient dataset handling.
- **GPU support (NVIDIA CUDA-enabled)** for AI model training and optimization.
- Sufficient storage capacity (**at least 1 TB**) for large image databases.

1.4 Software Specification:

- **Programming Languages:** Python (with TensorFlow/Keras, OpenCV, Scikit-learn, NumPy, Matplotlib), MATLAB.
- **Database Management System:** MySQL or MongoDB for storing feature vectors and images.
- **Development Tools:** Jupyter Notebook, Anaconda, PyCharm or VS Code for coding and experiments.
- **Visualization Tools:** Matplotlib, Seaborn, and Power BI/Tableau (optional) for performance evaluation.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

2.1 Existing System:-

Early content-based image retrieval (CBIR) relied on hand-crafted, low-level descriptors. Color cues were captured via RGB/HSV histograms and color moments, which are simple and robust to small geometric changes but sensitive to illumination shifts and background clutter. Texture characterization used Gabor filters, Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrices (GLCM), and Local Binary Patterns (LBP)—effective for repetitive patterns but limited when textures are subtle or scale varies. Shape was expressed through edge maps, Hu and Zernike moments; these work best on clean, segmented objects and degrade with occlusion.

The 2000s introduced local invariant features (e.g., SIFT, SURF), improving robustness to scale and rotation, and enabling bag-of-visual-words (BoVW) pipelines with visual vocabularies, TF-IDF weighting, and spatial verification. However, BoVW suffers from codebook quantization errors and high memory.

Deep learning shifted the field: CNN features (VGG, ResNet) outperform hand-crafted descriptors on semantic similarity. Global pooling (MAC, SPoC, GeM) compresses convolutional maps into compact embeddings; metric learning (contrastive/triplet losses) aligns feature space with perceptual similarity. For large-scale retrieval, approximate nearest neighbor (ANN) indices (e.g., IVF-PQ, HNSW) reduce latency, and product quantization compresses vectors. Despite accuracy gains, deep features can be high-dimensional, training is compute-intensive, and generalization across domains may drop without adaptation. Moreover, many systems still treat features as fixed, leaving redundancy and suboptimal weighting unresolved.

2.2 Proposed System:-

The project aims to design and implement an optimized artificial intelligence-based system for efficient colour image retrieval from large-scale databases. The proposed system will integrate advanced computational methods to capture the intrinsic characteristics of digital images, such as color, texture, and shape. By constructing a hybrid feature vector that combines these low-level descriptors, the system intends to significantly enhance the discriminative power of content representation.

Furthermore, the project will explore and compare multiple AI-based optimization algorithms—including Genetic Algorithm, Firefly Algorithm, and Swarm Intelligence—for feature selection and dimensionality reduction. These techniques will help in identifying the most relevant features while minimizing redundancy, thereby improving both the accuracy and computational efficiency of the retrieval process.

The envisioned solution targets practical applications such as digital libraries, medical imaging, surveillance systems, and e-commerce platforms where fast and accurate image retrieval is essential. Ultimately, this research is expected to advance the field of content-based image retrieval (CBIR) by introducing a novel optimization-driven framework that improves scalability, adaptability, and robustness in real-world scenarios.

2.3 Literature Review Summary **(Minimum 7 articles should refer)**

Year and Citation	**Article/ Author**	**Tools/ Software**	**Technique**	**Source**	**Evaluation Parameter**
1991	Swain & Ballard, *Color Indexing*	Matlab/Python	Color histograms	IJCV	Precision/Recall
1996	Manjunath & Ma, *Texture Features for Browsing*	Matlab	Gabor/texture	IEEE TIP	Precision
2004	Lowe, *Distinctive Image Features*	C/C++/OpenCV	SIFT/BoVW	IJCV	mAP
2012	Krizhevsky et al., *ImageNet Classification*	Caffe	CNN features	NeurIPS	mAP/Top-k
2011	Jégou et al., *Product Quantization*	C++	PQ compression	IEEE TPAMI	Recall/Latency
2015	Schroff et al., *FaceNet*	TensorFlow	Triplet loss	CVPR	mAP/ROC
2014	Babenko et al., *Neural Codes for Image Retrieval*	Caffe	CNN global features	ECCV	mAP

3. PROBLEM FORMULATION:

The problem of image retrieval can be described as: *Given a query image, the system must efficiently retrieve the most visually similar images from a large-scale database*. While this task seems straightforward, it is inherently complex due to several challenges:

1. **High-Dimensional Feature Space:**
   Images are represented by multiple features such as color histograms, texture descriptors, and shape features. When combined, these create a high-dimensional feature vector. Direct use of such vectors increases computational cost, slows down retrieval, and introduces redundancy.

2. **Redundancy and Irrelevance in Features:**
   Not all extracted features contribute equally to the retrieval accuracy. Some may even reduce system performance by introducing noise. A mechanism is required to identify and retain only the most discriminative subset of features.

3. **Optimization Requirement:**
   Traditional feature selection methods often fail to balance accuracy with efficiency. Hence, metaheuristic optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Firefly Algorithm (FA), and Swarm Intelligence (SI) are required. These algorithms are capable of global search and can dynamically adapt feature subsets for optimal retrieval results.

4. **Scalability and Robustness:**
   Large-scale databases introduce further complexity, as the system must be scalable while remaining robust to variations in illumination, scaling, noise, and orientation.

Thus, the **problem is formulated as a multi-objective optimization task, where the goals are:**

- **To minimize feature dimensionality.**
- **To maximize retrieval accuracy (precision, recall, mAP).**

- **To reduce computational cost and retrieval latency.**

The research aims to design a hybrid feature-based AI-driven optimization framework that successfully addresses these objectives and advances the state of Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR).

4.OBJECTIVES:

The primary objective of this research is to design and implement an optimized Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven framework for enhanced colour image retrieval (CBIR). The system will focus on integrating hybrid feature descriptors with optimization techniques to improve retrieval accuracy, scalability, and robustness.

The detailed objectives are as follows:

1. To develop a hybrid feature representation model that combines color, texture, and shape descriptors. This will ensure that the visual content of images is captured comprehensively, reducing the chances of missing relevant matches due to reliance on a single feature.

2.To apply and compare metaheuristic optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Firefly Algorithm (FA), and Swarm Intelligence (SI) for effective feature selection and dimensionality reduction. The goal is to eliminate redundant features and retain only the most discriminative ones, improving both system performance and retrieval speed.

3.To evaluate the effectiveness of different optimization strategies by conducting experiments across standard benchmark datasets (e.g., Corel-1000, Caltech-256, ImageNet subsets). Comparative analysis will reveal strengths and weaknesses of each algorithm in terms of retrieval accuracy, efficiency, and scalability.

4.To enhance retrieval efficiency in large-scale databases by designing an optimized indexing and similarity measurement mechanism. This will ensure low latency and quick response times, which are critical in real-world applications.

5. To ensure robustness and adaptability of the system against variations in illumination, orientation, scaling, and noise, making it suitable for deployment in domains like healthcare, security, and e-commerce.
6. To provide a comparative framework for academic and industrial adoption, highlighting how optimization-driven CBIR can outperform traditional and deep learning-only approaches by offering a balanced trade-off between computational efficiency and accuracy.

By achieving these objectives, the project aims to contribute a novel optimization-based CBIR model that is practical, scalable, and applicable across multiple industries.

5. METHODOLOGY:

The methodology adopted for this project follows a structured pipeline designed to achieve high accuracy and efficiency in Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR). The process can be divided into the following stages:

Step 1: Dataset Acquisition and Preprocessing

Benchmark datasets such as **Corel-1000, Caltech-256, and ImageNet subsets** will be used to ensure standardized evaluation. Preprocessing includes resizing images to a uniform scale, applying normalization to reduce intensity variations, and filtering noise. This ensures consistency and prepares data for robust feature extraction.

Step 2: Feature Extraction

- **Color Features:** Extracted using histograms in RGB, HSV, and color moments, which capture global color distribution.
- **Texture Features:** Derived from **Gabor filters, Local Binary Patterns (LBP), and Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrices (GLCM)** to represent surface variations and patterns.
- **Shape Features:** Obtained through **edge detection (Canny, Sobel), Hu moments, and contour-based methods**, capturing structural characteristics.

The extracted descriptors are concatenated into a **hybrid feature vector**, which offers a comprehensive representation of the image content.

Step 3: Dimensionality Reduction and Feature Selection

High-dimensional feature vectors may contain redundant and irrelevant attributes. To address this, **metaheuristic optimization algorithms** will be applied:

- **Genetic Algorithm (GA):** Uses crossover and mutation to evolve optimal feature subsets.
- **Firefly Algorithm (FA):** Models fireflies' attraction to find globally optimized feature weights.
- **Swarm Intelligence (e.g., Particle Swarm Optimization - PSO):** Mimics collective behavior to minimize feature redundancy.
  This step ensures compact and discriminative feature vectors, reducing computational overhead while enhancing retrieval accuracy.

Step 4: Similarity Measurement and Retrieval

Optimized feature vectors will be stored in a **database index**. For retrieval, similarity metrics such as **Euclidean Distance, Cosine Similarity, and Chi-square Distance** will be applied. The system ranks database images based on similarity scores and retrieves the top-k results.

Step 5: Evaluation and Comparative Analysis

The system will be evaluated using metrics like **Precision, Recall, F1-Score, Mean Average Precision (mAP), and Retrieval Time**. Comparative experiments will be conducted to benchmark GA, FA, and SI algorithms against each other and against traditional methods.

Step 6: Implementation and Validation

The methodology will be implemented using **Python (TensorFlow, OpenCV, Scikit-learn)** and **MATLAB** for algorithm prototyping. Results will be validated across multiple datasets to ensure scalability, robustness, and real-world applicability.

6.EXPERIMENTAL SETUP:

The experimental setup is designed to validate the proposed optimization-driven Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR) system by ensuring a balance between accuracy, scalability, and computational efficiency. This setup includes details of **hardware, software, datasets, and evaluation strategies**.

Hardware Environment:

To handle large-scale image datasets and computationally expensive optimization algorithms, a **high-performance computing environment** is required:

- **Processor:** Multi-core CPU (Intel i7/i9 or AMD Ryzen 7/9).
- **Memory:** Minimum **16 GB RAM** to allow smooth processing of feature extraction and optimization tasks.
- **Graphics Processing Unit (GPU):** NVIDIA CUDA-enabled GPU (e.g., RTX 3060/3080) to accelerate deep feature extraction and parallel computations.
- **Storage:** At least **1 TB SSD/HDD** to store image datasets, extracted feature vectors, and experimental results.

Software Environment:

The software stack will include:

- **Programming Languages:** Python (primary), MATLAB (for algorithm prototyping).
- **Libraries/Frameworks:** TensorFlow/Keras (deep feature extraction), OpenCV (image preprocessing), Scikit-learn (evaluation metrics), Numpy/Pandas (data handling), and Matplotlib/Seaborn (visualization).
- **Database Management:** MySQL or MongoDB to store feature vectors and retrieval results.

- **Optimization Modules:** Implementations of GA, Firefly Algorithm, and Swarm Intelligence (PSO) either through Python libraries or custom-coded modules.

Datasets:

Experiments will be conducted on benchmark datasets to ensure fair comparison:

- **Corel-1000:** Widely used dataset with 1,000 images across 10 categories.
- **Caltech-256:** Large dataset with diverse categories for testing scalability.
- **ImageNet Subsets:** To evaluate robustness on complex, real-world data. These datasets provide variation in color, texture, and shape, making them ideal for hybrid feature extraction and optimization.

Evaluation Metrics:

To ensure objective validation, the following metrics will be used:

- **Precision and Recall** – for accuracy of retrieved results.
- **F1-Score** – to balance precision and recall.
- **Mean Average Precision (mAP)** – for overall ranking quality.
- **Retrieval Time and Computational Cost** – to measure system efficiency.
- **Scalability Analysis** – retrieval performance with increasing dataset sizes.

Experimental Protocol:

Experiments will be conducted in **phases**, starting with baseline retrieval using unoptimized hybrid features, followed by optimized retrieval using GA, FA, and SI separately. Comparative results will highlight strengths and weaknesses of each algorithm. Cross-dataset experiments will be performed to test adaptability and robustness.

7.CONCLUSION

This project proposes an **optimized Artificial Intelligence framework** for Content-Based Image Retrieval (CBIR) that integrates **color, texture, and shape features** into a hybrid representation and applies **metaheuristic optimization algorithms**—namely Genetic Algorithm (GA), Firefly Algorithm (FA), and Swarm Intelligence (SI)—for effective feature selection and dimensionality reduction. By reducing redundant and irrelevant features, the system is expected to deliver **higher retrieval accuracy, reduced computational cost, and improved scalability** across large datasets.

Unlike traditional CBIR systems that depend heavily on a single type of feature or simple matching methods, the proposed approach leverages the **complementary nature of multiple descriptors** and enhances them with optimization techniques. This ensures robustness to variations in illumination, scaling, and noise while maintaining efficiency in real-time applications. Through rigorous experimentation on benchmark datasets such as **Corel-1000, Caltech-256, and ImageNet subsets**, the framework aims to provide clear evidence of superiority over conventional systems.

The system is envisioned to have **wide-ranging applications**, including medical imaging (retrieving similar X-rays or MRIs for diagnosis support), surveillance (matching query images against crime databases), e-commerce (visual product search), and digital libraries (fast retrieval of multimedia archives).

Future Scope

While the proposed methodology significantly advances CBIR, several directions remain open for future research:

1. **Deep Learning Integration:** Incorporating **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) embeddings** or **transformer-based vision models (e.g., Vision Transformers)** into the hybrid feature vector can further improve semantic understanding.

2. **Adaptive Optimization:** Developing **dynamic hybrid optimization strategies** that adaptively combine GA, FA, and SI could outperform single-algorithm approaches.

3. **Cross-Domain Retrieval:** Expanding the system for **cross-modal retrieval** (e.g., retrieving images based on text queries or multimodal input) would broaden applicability.

4. **Real-Time Deployment:** Optimizing indexing techniques (e.g., **Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search**) could enable real-time large-scale retrieval in commercial environments.

5. **Privacy-Preserving Retrieval:** With the growing concerns over data security, future systems could explore **federated learning** or **secure indexing mechanisms** to protect sensitive datasets while enabling retrieval.

In conclusion, this work establishes a solid foundation for optimized CBIR, while its **future extensions** promise to make it even more powerful, adaptive, and industry-ready.

8. TENTATIVE CHAPTER PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED WORK

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

CHAPTER 3: OBJECTIVE

CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGIES

CHAPTER 5: EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

REFERENCES

1.Swain, M. J., & Ballard, D. H. (1991). “Color Indexing.” International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV), 7(1), 11–32.

2.Manjunath, B. S., & Ma, W. Y. (1996). “Texture Features for Browsing and Retrieval of Image Data.” IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (TPAMI), 18(8), 837–842.

3.Rui, Y., Huang, T. S., & Chang, S. F. (1999). “Image Retrieval: Current Techniques, Promising Directions and Open Issues.” Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, 10(1), 39–62.

4.Datta, R., Li, J., & Wang, J. Z. (2005). “Content-Based Image Retrieval: Approaches and Trends of the New Age.” ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 40(2), 1–60.

5.Lowe, D. G. (2004). “Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints.” International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV), 60(2), 91–110.

6.Smeulders, A. W. M., Worring, M., Santini, S., Gupta, A., & Jain, R. (2000). “Content-Based Image Retrieval at the End of the Early Years.” IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (TPAMI), 22(12), 1349–1380.

7.Jégou, H., Douze, M., & Schmid, C. (2011). “Product Quantization for Nearest Neighbor Search.” IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (TPAMI), 33(1), 117–128.

8.Babenko, A., Slesarev, A., Chigorin, A., & Lempitsky, V. (2014). “Neural Codes for Image Retrieval.” European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 584–599.

9.Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). “ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks.” Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 25.

10.Schroff, F., Kalenichenko, D., & Philbin, J. (2015). “FaceNet: A Unified Embedding for Face Recognition and Clustering.” IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 815–823.

11.Radenović, F., Tolias, G., & Chum, O. (2018). “Fine-Tuning CNN Image Retrieval with No Human Annotation.” IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (TPAMI), 41(7), 1655–1668.

12. Johnson, J., Douze, M., & Jégou, H. (2019). “Billion-Scale Similarity Search with GPUs.” IEEE Transactions on Big Data, 7(3), 535–547.

13.Mirjalili, S. (2019). Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization. Springer.

14.Yang, X. S. (2010). “Nature-Inspired Metaheuristic Algorithms: Firefly Algorithm, Bat Algorithm, and Applications.” Springer.

15.Eiben, A. E., & Smith, J. E. (2015). Introduction to Evolutionary Computing. Springer.

16.He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition.” IEEE CVPR, 770–778.

17.Wan, J., Wang, D., Hoi, S. C. H., Wu, P., Zhu, J., Zhang, Y., & Li, J. (2014). “Deep Learning for Content-Based Image Retrieval: A Comprehensive Study.” ACM International Conference on Multimedia, 157–166.

18.Chandrasekhar, V., et al. (2012). “Compact Descriptors for Visual Search.” IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, 14(3), 1234–1245.

19.Nandakishore, K., & Mirjalili, S. (2021). “Feature Selection Using Metaheuristic Optimization for Image Processing Applications.” Applied Soft Computing, 113, 107910.

20.Deng, J., et al. (2009). “ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database.” IEEE CVPR, 248–255.