**Company Name: - Morgan Stanley**

**Date: -**  15th July 2015 **Venue:** - Fr.Agnel COE

Round 1: - Pre-placement Talk

Round 2: - Aptitude + Result of Aptitude

Round 3: - Interviews:

1. Technical 1: - Eliminator round
2. Group Activity
3. Technical 2: - Professional Fit and HR

**Only 1 student reached till the last round but even she was rejected in the last round.**

**“No one got selected”.**

**Experience of the students and their review were as follow: -**

1. **Blessy Antony:**  It was too extensive and gruelling process.   
    Interviews: tech1 (eliminator), group activity, tech2 , professional fit, hr. College could have practice competitive coding. And as far as tech interviews are concerned subjects like Algos and databases  could be revised by teachers in a week or so
2. **Shirley D'souza** : Morgan Stanley  Made us think out of the box & they tested both tech knowledge n logical thinking
3. **Aditi Samant:** It was good, programs very moderately tough,the examiners could have been more knowledgeable about the interface..but i personally did not face any problems during the test
4. **Chaitali Pawar :** The online test conducted contained certain limitations for including certain functions but overall the recruitment process was good.
5. **Ronak Shah :**  The aptitude test-level was easy(as compared to any programming competition).The time limit was also sufficient. For MCQs, the knowledge of data structures and algorithm is necessary. The college should keep small contests to further encourage students.
6. **Ameya Gamre:** The interview process was good as we were told before hand about were supposed to expect. The test had a few glitches and the software could have been better.
7. **Tanvi Garde :** College should check the computers. Some keyboards, mouse not working properly. Computer hangs sometimes. Management was bad. Aptitude's difficulty level was medium.
8. **Priyadarshini Ashokan :**  The aptitude test was good, but it could have been conducted better.The criteria for eligibility was slightly unfair and it could have been more like the top 50 from all branches rather than branch-wise.
9. **Rigved Kale :** Morgan Stanley was not bad but not great either as personally thought we were undercooked for the aptitude test. There should be more practice with coding on these platforms or shall the platform where we were compiling and coding. Have some sessions as mock with problem statements.
10. **Sitalaxmi Iyer :** The aptitude test was of medium level. Lack of practice was one major drawback during the test. This should be overcome by making coding a regular activity rather than competition.
11. **Ruchira Biswal :** Probably the juniors should have an idea about the process from now and spend the even semester training them. We suddenly realised the seriousness in sem 7.If we had been a little more prepared from Sem 6 it would be better
12. **Apurva Salgaonkar :** The test was good. The college should take more efforts to better the test environment.
13. **Gandikota Karthik :** The aptitude was based on coding more than mcqs. So solving the 2 prob statements would've got me through. Overall the aptitude was of a medium level.
14. **Kevin Garda:** My experience was good. I came to know how much I know. The college should give programming training to the students so that they are able to crack the test.
15. **Yash Sanzgiri:** The test was good. The college must encourage students for such programming practice much more. A specific training for such problems would have been better.
16. **Ambar Roy:**  Test didn't log in for 1st half hour. Hacker rank people who came for invigilation were not up to date. Test was good. Codes and compilers worked well
17. **Neha Jose:** It was a great exposure to the recruitment style of Morgan Stanley. The MCQ and coding questions encouraged us to think out of the box. All in all a good experience.

**Final Conclusion**

From Students review it was clear that they need a practice for programs as the programming part of the aptitude was tough some were having issues related to the computer accessories. Nearly every one said that there should be coding tests in order to improve the coding skills.