### Kth Smallest Element in a BST

#ProblemOfTheDay: "Kth Smallest Element in a BST"

Given a Binary Search Tree (BST) and a number **K**, find the **Kth smallest element**. The in-order traversal of a BST gives the elements in sorted order, so it's all about that order, baby! PataStructures #BinarySearchTree

#### • #InOrderTraversal FTW!

In a BST, the left subtree is smaller, the right subtree is larger. When you perform an **in-order traversal**, you get elements in increasing order. So, just traverse and count the nodes until you hit K! #AlgorithmTips #BST #TechExplained

### **Optimal Solution?**

Instead of traversing the whole tree, we stop as soon as we reach the Kth smallest element. No need to visit the remaining nodes! > #Efficiency #BinarySearchTree #SmartTraversal

# Algorithm Breakdown:

- 1. Traverse the tree in-order (left -> root -> right).
- 2. Keep count of nodes you visit.
- 3. When count == K, return the current node's value. Boom, done! 
  #CodingChallenge #InOrderTraversal
- **Python Code**: Here's a clean solution in Python using a recursive approach:

```
python
```

Copier le code

```
class Solution:
    def kthSmallest(self, root: TreeNode, k: int) -> int:
        self.count = 0
        self.result = None

    def inorder(node):
        if node:
            inorder(node.left)
            self.count += 1
            if self.count == k:
```

self.result = node.val
 return
inorder(node.right)

inorder(root)
return self.result

### In this code:

- **count** tracks how many nodes we've visited.
- **result** stores the Kth smallest value when we find it. #CodeSnippet #Python

### Why does it work?

In an in-order traversal of a BST, we process nodes in ascending order. By keeping track of our count, the Kth node we visit will be the Kth smallest. Simple but powerful! #TreeTraversal #BinarySearchTree #AlgorithmExplained

# Edge Cases?

- 1.  $K = 1 \rightarrow Just return the$ **smallest**element (leftmost).
- 2.  $K = n \rightarrow Return the$ **largest**element (rightmost).
- 3. Empty tree? Handle gracefully with proper checks. ≜ #EdgeCases #TestingYourCode

# **Time Complexity**:

- Best case: **O(H)**, where H is the height of the tree (balanced tree).
- Worst case: **O(N)** if the tree is unbalanced (like a linked list).
- Space complexity: O(H) for the recursive call stack. #TimeComplexity #BigO

→ Pro Tip: If you want to optimize further for large values of K, you can use a reverse in-order traversal for finding the Kth largest element. #Optimization #BST #CodingTips

**Conclusion**: The Kth smallest element in a BST is easier than it sounds. With in-order traversal, it's a walk in the park! P Now go ahead and try it on your own. #HappyCoding #BST #InterviewPrep

```
/**
 * Definition for a binary tree node.
 * struct TreeNode {
       int val;
       TreeNode *left;
       TreeNode *right;
       TreeNode() : val(0), left(nullptr), right(nullptr) {}
       TreeNode(int x) : val(x), left(nullptr), right(nullptr) {}
       TreeNode(int x, TreeNode *left, TreeNode *right) : val(x), left(left),
right(right) {}
 * };
*/
class Solution {
public:
    int kthSmallest(TreeNode* root, int k) {
    }
};
# Method 1: recursive in-order traversal
def kthSmallest1(self, root, k):
  inorder = []
  def helper(root):
    if root:
      helper(root.left)
      inorder.append(root.val)
      helper(root.right)
  helper(root)
  return inorder[k-1]
# Method 2: iterative in-order traversal
def kthSmallest2(self, root, k):
  stack = []
  while root or stack:
    while root:
      stack.append(root)
      root = root.left
    root = stack.pop()
    k = 1
    if k == 0: return root.val
    root = root.right
```