

Question 1

Normal Perceptron

Implementation

- I am random shuffling the training vectors and then dividing it into training and validation data by 80-20 ratio.
- After each epoch I am checking the accuracies, precision and recall with validation data.
- When perceptron stops updating, I am terminating.

For some runs of code, here are the accuracy, precision and recall after each epoch.

Run 1

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.997004	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 1	Precision : 0.998498	Recall : 0.999249	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 2	Precision : 0.997751	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 3	Precision : 0.998497	Recall : 0.998497	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 4	Precision : 0.998498	Recall : 0.999249	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 5	Precision : 0.998497	Recall : 0.998497	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 6	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.998497	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 7	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 8	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 9	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 10	Precision : 0.997751	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 11	Precision : 0.998498	Recall : 0.999249	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 12	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 13	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996995	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 14	Precision : 0.998497	Recall : 0.998497	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 15	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996995	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 16	Precision : 0.998498	Recall : 0.999249	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 17	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 18	Precision : 0.999248	Recall : 0.997746	Accuracy : 0.998421

Run 2

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.997099	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 1	Precision : 0.997099	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 2	Precision : 0.997097	Recall : 0.999273	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 3	Precision : 0.994215	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.996842
Epoch : 4	Precision : 0.997091	Recall : 0.997091	Accuracy : 0.996842
Epoch : 5	Precision : 0.994935	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.997236
Epoch : 6	Precision : 0.997099	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 7	Precision : 0.997095	Recall : 0.998545	Accuracy : 0.997631
Epoch : 8	Precision : 0.997091	Recall : 0.997091	Accuracy : 0.996842
Epoch : 9	Precision : 0.997099	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 10	Precision : 0.998542	Recall : 0.996364	Accuracy : 0.997236
Epoch : 11	Precision : 0.998542	Recall : 0.996364	Accuracy : 0.997236

Run 3

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.999254	Recall : 0.999254	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 1	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.991797	Accuracy : 0.995657
Epoch : 2	Precision : 0.998511	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 3	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997017	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 4	Precision : 0.998510	Recall : 0.999254	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 5	Precision : 0.999251	Recall : 0.995526	Accuracy : 0.997236
Epoch : 6	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.974646	Accuracy : 0.986577
Epoch : 7	Precision : 0.998511	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 8	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.993289	Accuracy : 0.996447
Epoch : 9	Precision : 0.998511	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 10	Precision : 0.998511	Recall : 1.000000	Accuracy : 0.999210

Observation :

Since data is linearly separable, it is converging for the training data.
Also on validation data, it is giving fair results.

Perceptron with margin

Implementation

- I am random shuffling the training vectors and then dividing it into training and validation data by 80-20 ratio.
- After each epoch I am checking the accuracies, precision and recall with validation data.
- When perceptron stops updating, I am terminating.

For some runs of code, here are the accuracy, precision and recall after each epoch.

Run 1 (margin = 1000)

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.997006	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.997631
Epoch : 1	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 2	Precision : 0.999249	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 3	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 4	Precision : 0.998501	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 5	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 6	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 7	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 8	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 9	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 10	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 11	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 12	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 13	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997001	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 14	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816

Epoch : 15 Precision : 0.999250 Recall : 0.998501 Accuracy : 0.998816

Run 2 (margin = 1000)

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.997802	Recall : 0.998534	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 1	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996334	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 2	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.995601	Accuracy : 0.997631
Epoch : 3	Precision : 0.999265	Recall : 0.997067	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 4	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996334	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 5	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996334	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 6	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997801	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 7	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996334	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 8	Precision : 0.999265	Recall : 0.997067	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 9	Precision : 0.999267	Recall : 0.999267	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 10	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.996334	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 11	Precision : 0.999265	Recall : 0.997067	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 12	Precision : 0.998533	Recall : 0.997801	Accuracy : 0.998026
Epoch : 13	Precision : 0.999266	Recall : 0.997801	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 14	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997067	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 15	Precision : 0.999266	Recall : 0.998534	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 16	Precision : 0.999266	Recall : 0.998534	Accuracy : 0.998816

Run 3 (margin = 10000)

Epoch : 0	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.994753	Accuracy : 0.997236
Epoch : 1	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997001	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 2	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997001	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 3	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.994003	Accuracy : 0.996842
Epoch : 4	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997001	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 5	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 6	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 7	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 8	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 9	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 10	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 11	Precision : 0.999250	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 12	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998501	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 13	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 14	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 15	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997751	Accuracy : 0.998816

Run 4 (margin = 100000)

Epoch : 0	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997082	Accuracy : 0.998421
Epoch : 1	Precision : 0.999271	Recall : 0.999271	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 2	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.989788	Accuracy : 0.994473
Epoch : 3	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.998541	Accuracy : 0.999210
Epoch : 4	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.985412	Accuracy : 0.992104
Epoch : 5	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997812	Accuracy : 0.998816

Epoch : 6	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.991977	Accuracy : 0.995657
Epoch : 7	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.993435	Accuracy : 0.996447
Epoch : 8	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997812	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 9	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997812	Accuracy : 0.998816
Epoch : 10	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.997812	Accuracy : 0.998816

Observation :

It is giving better results than normal perceptron because of margin as we can see from above runs of code. Margin is handling the validation data very well to give better accuracy than normal perceptron.

Batch Percptron without margin

Implementation

- I am random shuffling the training vectors and then dividing it into training and validation data by 80-20 ratio.
- After each epoch I am checking the accuracies, precision and recall with validation data.
- It is running for max 200 epochs. It is converging very slowly because of batch update.

Run 1 (last column is the number of updates in each epoch)

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.999226	Recall : 0.989272	Accuracy : 0.994078	10132
Epoch : 10	Precision : 0.998463	Recall : 0.995402	Accuracy : 0.996842	63
Epoch : 20	Precision : 0.998465	Recall : 0.996935	Accuracy : 0.997631	47
Epoch : 30	Precision : 0.998466	Recall : 0.997701	Accuracy : 0.998026	40
Epoch : 40	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	37
Epoch : 50	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	36
Epoch : 60	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	33
Epoch : 70	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	32
Epoch : 80	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	31
Epoch : 90	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	27
Epoch : 100	Precision : 0.998469	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.998816	26
Epoch : 110	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	26
Epoch : 120	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	25
Epoch : 130	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	23
Epoch : 140	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	21
Epoch : 150	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	19
Epoch : 160	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	19
Epoch : 170	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	18
Epoch : 180	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	18
Epoch : 190	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	17
Epoch : 199	Precision : 0.999234	Recall : 0.999234	Accuracy : 0.999210	17

Observation :

Due to batch update, the convergance is slower than normal perceptron. But due to generalized update, accuracy over validation data is as better as previous.

Batch perceptron with margin

Run 1

Epoch : 0	Precision : 0.999258	Recall : 0.981063	Accuracy : 0.989341	10132
Epoch : 1	Precision : 0.999260	Recall : 0.983248	Accuracy : 0.990525	111
Epoch : 2	Precision : 0.999261	Recall : 0.984705	Accuracy : 0.991315	99
Epoch : 3	Precision : 0.999261	Recall : 0.985433	Accuracy : 0.991709	89
Epoch : 4	Precision : 0.999263	Recall : 0.986890	Accuracy : 0.992499	84
Epoch : 20	Precision : 0.997799	Recall : 0.990532	Accuracy : 0.993683	42
Epoch : 40	Precision : 0.997805	Recall : 0.993445	Accuracy : 0.995263	31
Epoch : 60	Precision : 0.997807	Recall : 0.994173	Accuracy : 0.995657	28
Epoch : 80	Precision : 0.997809	Recall : 0.994902	Accuracy : 0.996052	25
Epoch : 100	Precision : 0.999269	Recall : 0.995630	Accuracy : 0.997236	23
Epoch : 120	Precision : 0.999269	Recall : 0.995630	Accuracy : 0.997236	20
Epoch : 140	Precision : 0.999270	Recall : 0.996358	Accuracy : 0.997631	17
Epoch : 160	Precision : 0.999270	Recall : 0.996358	Accuracy : 0.997631	16
Epoch : 180	Precision : 0.999270	Recall : 0.996358	Accuracy : 0.997631	15
Epoch : 199	Precision : 0.999270	Recall : 0.996358	Accuracy : 0.997631	15

Run 2

Epoch : 199	Precision : 0.997734	Recall : 0.998488	Accuracy : 0.998026	18
-------------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----

Observation :

Margin is giving slightly better than previous

Question 2

Perceptron with relaxation

Implementation

- I am random shuffling the training vectors and then dividing it into training and validation data by 80-20 ratio.
- After each epoch I am checking the accuracies, precision and recall with validation data.
- It is running for max 200 epochs. I have tested for multiple learning rate also.

Note : Since the data is small, random shuffling is giving very different results each time.

Results of different runs

Epoch : 199	Precision : 0.933333	Recall : 0.965517	Accuracy : 0.970588
Epoch : 199	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.971429	Accuracy : 0.990196
Epoch : 199	Precision : 1.000000	Recall : 0.970588	Accuracy : 0.990196

Observation

Relaxation is giving very fair approximation on the data which is not linearly separable.

Modified Perceptron

Implementation

- I am decreasing learning rate to x% of the previous one after each epoch.
- I also tested where the learning rate decreases on the basis of the number of wrongly classified vectors.

Results

learning rate is decreased 5% after each epoch

Epoch : 199 Precision : 1.000000 Recall : 0.971429 Accuracy : 0.990196

learning rate is decreased 7% after each epoch

Epoch : 199 Precision : 0.947368 Recall : 0.972973 Accuracy : 0.970588

learning rate is decreased 10% after each epoch

Epoch : 199 Precision : 0.951220 Recall : 0.975000 Accuracy : 0.970588

Observation

After testing on multiple decrease rate, I found 5% to work best for several runs.

If the decrease in learning is large then updates become small too soon.

If the decrease in learning is small then it's not too much different from normal perceptron.

Question 3

Implementation

- On each run, I am randomly shuffling the vectors
- I am splitting training data into 80-20 split to validate for each run.
- Each node in tree is handling it's own data. It is checking whether to split or not on some conditions. I check for the following conditions
 1. If depth is too much then don't split
 2. If entropy is zero that means all vectors have same label. Thus don't split.
 3. If there are too less vectors remaining, say 10, then don't split. Go with consensus.
 4. If entropy is less than some threshold
- If a node has to split then it checks for each attribute and each possible split to find best split. I am checking for split at each unique value of each attribute. Implementation is compact so it is taking only 1 second for my implementation !!

Results for some runs and best terminating conditions

Terminating conditions :

- node has less than 10 vectors
- entropy is 0

Precision : 0.969314 Recall : 0.987132 Accuracy : 0.989333

Precision : 0.965517 Recall : 0.974855 Accuracy : 0.986222

Terminating conditions :

- depth is greater than 10
- entropy is 0

Precision : 0.969639 Recall : 0.975191 Accuracy : 0.987111

Precision : 0.986692 Recall : 0.966480 Accuracy : 0.988889

Terminating condition :

- 97% vectors have the same labels

Precision : 0.976699 Recall : 0.952652 Accuracy : 0.983556

Precision : 0.989059 Recall : 0.967880 Accuracy : 0.991111

Question 4

Implementation

- I am creating bag of words. Some trivial words are removed manually to make a good handcrafted feature. e.g. a, an, the
- For KNN, I have tried different distances like euclidean, cityblock, cosine.
- Different values of K is tested.
- For tie in last k values, I am taking the one which has the nearest to the query vector(1NN).
- I tested on small test set of 10 files. I also passed part of training data for validation.

Here are results, for different cases.

For each case, I have printed the confusion matrix.

K = 5

euclidean

(for small test)

Accuracy : 0.700000 (7 / 10)

K = 5

cityblock

(for small test)

Accuracy : 0.800000 (8 / 10)

K = 5

cosine

(for small test)

Accuracy : 0.900000 (9 / 10)

K = 7

cosine

confusion matrix :

```
[ 128.  0.  0.  0.  0.  3.  1.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0. 142.  0.  0.  0. 14.  4.  0.  0.  3.]
[  0.  0. 45.  0.  0.  1.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  1. 58.  0.  0.  4.  1.  2.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0. 165.  0.  4.  1.  1.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0. 110.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0. 109.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  3. 48.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  3.  0. 27.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0. 17.  0.  0. 76.]
```

Accuracy : 0.935118 (908 / 971)

K = 7

euclidean

confusion matrix :

```
[ 126.  1.  0.  0.  0.  4.  1.  0.  0.  0.]
[  3. 150.  1.  0.  0.  6.  2.  0.  0.  1.]
[  2.  0. 31.  0.  0. 13.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  3.  0.  1. 42.  0.  2. 16.  1.  1.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0. 162.  0.  5.  2.  1.  1.]
[  3.  0.  0.  0.  0. 107.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  1. 107.  0.  0.  1.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  8. 40.  2.  1.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0. 10.  0. 20.  0.]
[  1.  0.  0.  0.  0.  4. 18.  0.  1. 69.]
```

Accuracy : 0.879506 (854 / 971)

K= 7

cityblock

confusion matrix :

```
[ 131.  1.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  4. 159.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0. 43.  0.  0.  3.  0.  0.  0.  0.]
[  4.  0.  0. 43. 13.  1.  0.  1.  4.  0.]
[  2.  0.  0.  0. 165.  0.  0.  4.  0.  0.]
[  2.  0.  0.  0.  0. 107.  1.  0.  0.  0.]
[  3.  0.  0.  0.  2.  1. 103.  0.  0.  0.]
[  1.  0.  0.  0.  1.  0.  0. 49.  0.  0.]
[  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  0.  1.  0. 29.  0.]
[  6.  1.  0.  0.  0.  2. 17.  0.  0. 67.]
```

Accuracy : 0.922760 (896 / 971)

Observation :

- Removing stop words improves the accuracy greatly.
- It is observable from above results that cosine distance is more accurate because it doesn't take account of vector length. It takes account of the vector direction. By that it compares the relative(probabilistic) occurrence of each word in the document. In case of cityblock or euclidean, the number of occurrence matters if we don't do normalization.
- Taking 1NN in the situation of tie, increases the accuracy which is intuitive.
- K values can only be found by trial and error and data specific information.