Time t	1	2	3
Obi OE	D	1	0_
Q' (A)	0.792	0.156818	0.124264
KF (B)	0.001	0.008019	0.000950699

$$P = \sum_{s \in S} (A_{7}(s))$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S} (A_{7}(s))$$

$$= (A_{3}(A) + A_{3}(B))$$

$$= 0.124264 + 0.000950699$$

$$= 0.125214699$$

$$d_2(B) = 0.9(0.792\times0.01+0.001\times0.99)$$

e) For the same sequence, using the backward algo:

Using
$$\beta_{T}(s) = 1$$

$$\beta_{T}(s) = \sum_{s \in S} T_{ss'} \, \overline{E}_{s'O_{k+1}} \, \beta_{k+1}(s')$$

Time t	1	2_	3
Obs Or	0	1	0
B. (A)	0.157977	0.193	ı
B. (B)	0.096923	0.107	١

$$\beta_2(B) = 0.01 \times 0.08 \times 1 + 0.99 \times 0.01 \times 1$$

= 0.107

$$\begin{array}{lll}
D\left(0_{1}=0,0_{2}=1,0_{2}=0\right) \\
&= 0.792 \times 0.157971 + 0.001 \times 0.096923 \\
&= 0.125214707
\end{array}$$

```
f) Yes, the two results from the forward by backward algorithms agree.
     To find the most likely sequence of values of these states, we compare of (A) x Be(A) with
      of (B) x B (B). If of (A). B (A) is greater, most likely state at time to will be A aquice versa.
     The comparisons are:
      V, (A) B, (A) = 0.792 x 0.15977 = 0.1251178
      V_1(6)\beta_1(6) = 0.001 \times 0.096923 = 0.000096923
      For t=1, state is A
       Q2(A) B2(A) = 0.156818 x 0.793 = 0.1243567
      Q2(B)β2(B): 0.008019 x 0.107 = 0.000858033
      For t=2, state is A
       03(A) B3(A) = 0.124264 X1 = 0.124264
       α3 (B) β3 (B), 0.000950699 x1: 0.000950699
       For t=3, state is A
```

Therefore the most likely sequence is A, A, A.

g) The Viterbi sequence is computed as follows: V1(A) = 0.99 x 0.8 = 0.792 V; (B) = 0.01 × 0.1 = 0.001 for t=1, State is A V2(A) = 0.792 x 0.99 x 0.2 = 0.156816 V2(6) = 0.792 × 0.01 × 0.9 = 0.007128 For t= 2, state is A V3(A) = 0.156816 x 0.99 x 0.8 = 0.1241963 V3(B) = 0.156816 X 0.01 XD.1 = 0.000156816 for 6=3, State is A

p(B)=0.1×0.01=0.001

Therefore, the most likely sequence using Vitubi Algorithm is A, A, A

h) To find the most likely sequence of values for each state separately, we would just have to compare products of T(s). Eso. The resulting sequence A, A, A is equivalent for sequence 0,1,0: t=1, p(A): 0.8 × 0.99 · 0.792 → A to what we observed in part (f) & (g). This is because N(B) is really low in this case. p(B): 0.1 × 0.01 = 0.001 However this does not hold in general. In any t=2, p(A) = 0.2 x 0.99 = 0.198 -> A case where T(B) is modulately higher, the sequence p(B); 0.9×0.01= 0.009 P(A) = 0.8 x 0.99 = 0.192 > A would possibly change.