Improving LM Accuracy

- Steps
- Terminology Used
- Experiments
 - L8 Geohash with only OSM data
 - Steps
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 3
 - Observations
 - Neighbor level 5
 - Iterations
 - L8 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data
 - Steps
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 3
 - Observations
 - Neighbor level 5
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - L8 Geohash with only pings data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 5
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - L7 Geohash with only OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 5
 - L7 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 5
 - L50 Geohash with OSM data
 - Results
- Action Items (Discussed in meeting with Product)
 - Use reached time instead of delivered time
 - · L8 Geohash with only OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 5
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - Neighbor level 4 to 5
 - Neighbor level 2 to 5
 - Neighbor level 5 to 5
 - Neighbor level 4 to 7
 - L8 Geohash with only pings data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - Neighbor level 4 to 7
 - Neighbor level 5 to 7
 - L8 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 4 to 7
 - Experiment with Custom Geohash (50mX50m)
 - L50 Geohash with only OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 5
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - L50 Geohash with only pings data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - L50 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data
 - Results
 - Neighbor level 3 to 5
 - Filter PINGS data based on accuracy
 - L8 Geohash with only pings data
 - Neighbor level 4 to 7
 - · PINGS data based on time of day
- · Relevant Logics in code
 - Last mile time calculation from distance

predicted LM and actual LM. 02 Feb 2018	
• Following are the stats for Koramangala zone orders between '2018-01-26 00:00:00' and '2018-02-02 00:00:00'	
• Total: 38620	
 Non-compliant: 9670 (25%) Non-accurate: 23488 (60%) (+5/-5 minutes) 	
For a Koramangala Zone, get all the Geohashes (Custom L50) and for each Geohash, find distance and time given by OSM fo neighbors and for their neighbors till 4-5 levels. 02 Feb 2018	r all the
Once this is done, predict LM using A* algorithm and compare it with the trips that occurred for last 7 days. 05 Feb 2018	
Build a feedback mechanism to calculate time between 2 geohashes based on the pings that we get from DEs and update the entry based on entries	cached
Have a rough time-slots to start with: BreakFast, Lunch, Dinner 05 Feb 2018	
Apply aging to give more weight to latest data. 05 Feb 2018	
Compare LM with the trips that occurred for last 7 days. 06 Feb 2018	
Tune the parameters: aging, number of lat-longs in a Geohash etc to optimize to accuracy. Compare the predicted LM with actificate this step by tuning the parameters. 09 Feb 2018	ual LM and

For a last 7 days trips that occurred in Koramangala zone, find out OSM time for the corresponding lat-lng and compare it with Lucifer

Terminology Used

- Accuracy: Prediction is accurate if (actual_lm 5 minutes) < prediction < (actual_lm + 5 minutes)
- Compliance: Prediction is compliant if prediction >= actual_Im

Experiments

L8 Geohash with only OSM data

Steps

- For a Koramangala zone, get all L8 Geohash entries and for each L8 Geohash find neighbors till 3 levels.
- For each neighbor pair, find OSM distance and calculate time from the distance
 - We can't use time given by OSM as it returns time in minutes and for most of the L8 geohash pairs, it would return time as 0
 minutes.
 - Use mins_per_km_80_perc field from sla_area_data_v3 table to calculate time from distance.
- Get all the orders from Koramangala zone for a day.
- For each order, calculate shortest time between restaurant and customer L8 Geohash by using Djikstra's algorithm provided by JGraphT library.

Results

Neighbor level 3

Total number of orders: 8278 Number of valid orders: 3839

Valid order: Path exists between source to destination

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2383 (62.1%)	2412 (62.8%)
New	2429 (63.3%)	1173 (30.1%)

Observations

- · Compliance is affected by a great margin. That means predicted LM is less than the actual value for most of the times.
- For few orders, graph is not reachable. That has reduced the number of valid orders from 8278 to 3839
- On debugging, it was discovered that graph has more than one components, Mostly due to restaurant or customer from out of Koramangala zone (or from the border).

• Also, to improve compliance, check the result by using time = 10 for pairs with time < 10.

Following are the results by applying above observations:

Total number of orders: 4306

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2652 (61.6%)	2638 (61.3%)
New	2633 (61.1%)	1777 (41.3%)

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 4432

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2729 (61.6%)	2718 (61.3%)
New	2753 (62.1%)	1763 (39.8%)

Iterations

- 1. Complete the graph by adding missing entries.
- 2. Repeat by increasing number of neighbors per Geohash.
- 3. Exploer other options to get time from distance.

L8 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data

Steps

- Collect de pings data from de_locations table (For duration: 26/01 to 02/02)and parse locations column to find out tiem required to travel from one geohash to another.
- Divide the data infor Breakfast, Lunch and Dinner.
- If multiple values exist for same geohash pair and time of day, remove outliers and take the maximum one.
- Add this info on top of the OSM time data that is collected in earlier experiment.

Results

Neighbor level 3

Total number of orders: 4306

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2652	2638
New	1429	50

Observations

- Both accuracy and compliance is affected. As number of non-compliant orders are equal to number of orders, prediction is always less than actual time.
- Maybe we don't have enough data points. Let's retry the experiment by collecting ping logs for longer duration (1 month) and geohash neighbors till level 5.
- Also, experiment by using Level 7 geohash instead of Level 8.

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 4432

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2729	2718
New	787	30

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Skipping immediate neighbors till level 2

Total number of orders: 4432

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2729 (61.57%)	2718 (61.33%)
New	2541 (57.33%)	628 (14.16%)

L8 Geohash with only pings data

Results

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 4385

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2708 (61.76%)	2689 (61.32%)
New	1344 (30.65%)	41 (1%)

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Skipping immediate neighbors till level 2

Total number of orders: 4371

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	2700 (61.77%)	2680 (61.31%)
New	2772 (63.42%)	1485 (34%)

L7 Geohash with only OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 6198

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	3642 (58.8%)	3847 (62.1%)
New	3569 (57.5%)	2819 (45.5%)

L7 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 6198

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	3642 (58.8%)	3847 (62.1%)
New	3412 (55.1%)	3553 (57.3%)

L50 Geohash with OSM data

Results

Total number of orders: 900

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	36.66%	
New	50.0%	

Action Items (Discussed in meeting with Product)

- 1. Use reached_time instaed of delivered_time to calculate actual LM. So actual LM will become reached_time pickedup_time
- 2. Repeat experiment with L50 (50mX50m custom geohash). Having a bigger geohash will help in reducing pings inaccuracy.
- 3. Filter pings based on accuracy. Experiment with different threshold numbers.
- 4. Use GDMA instead of OSM for base values.
- 5. Divide the available pings data in different segments based on time.
 - Start with B, L and D
 - Use 24 segments baed on each hour of the day.

Use reached time instead of delivered time

L8 Geohash with only OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 3272

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1564 (47.78%)	2430 (74.27%)
New	2203 (67.32%)	1686 (51.52%)

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Total number of orders: 2896

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1375 (47.48%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	1997 (68.95%)	1472 (50.82%)

Neighbor level 4 to 5

Total number of orders: 2896

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1375 (47.47%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	1994 (68.85%)	1480 (51.10%)

Neighbor level 2 to 5

Total number of orders: 2896

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1375 (47.47%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	1998 (68.99%)	1469 (50.72%)

Neighbor level 5 to 5

Total number of orders: 2896

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1375 (47.47%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	1972 (68.09%)	1561 (53.90%)

Neighbor level 4 to 7

Total number of orders: 2897

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1376 (47.47%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	2004 (69.17%)	1471 (50.77%)

L8 Geohash with only pings data

Results

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Total number of orders: 3259

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1554 (47.68%)	2421 (74.28%)
New	2251 (69.07%)	1609 (49.37%)

Neighbor level 4 to 7

Total number of orders: 2891

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1372 (47.45%)	2181 (75.44%)
New	2069 (71.56%)	1218 (42.13%)

Neighbor level 5 to 7

Total number of orders: 2889

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1371 (47.45%)	2180 (75.45%)
New	2035 (70.43%)	1493 (51.67%)

L8 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 4 to 7

Total number of orders: 2897

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1376 (47.47%)	2184 (75.41%)
New	2013 (69.48%)	740 (25.54%)

Experiment with Custom Geohash (50mX50m)

L50 Geohash with only OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 5

Total number of orders: 3276

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1566 (47.80%)	2431 (74.20%)
New	2225 (67.91%)	1620 (49.45%)

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Total number of orders: 3276

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1566 (47.80%)	2431 (74.20%)
New	2226 (67.94%)	1637 (49.96%)

L50 Geohash with only pings data

Results

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Total number of orders: 3274

Filtering pairs on 50Secs

Method	Accurate	Compliant

Existing	1565 (47.80%)	2431 (74.25%)
New	2247 (68.63%)	886 (27.06%)

L50 Geohash with pings data relayed over OSM data

Results

Neighbor level 3 to 5

Total number of orders: 3276

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1566 (47.80%)	2431 (74.20%)
New	2179 (66.51%)	706 (21.55%)

Filter PINGS data based on accuracy

L8 Geohash with only pings data

Neighbor level 4 to 7

Total number of orders: 2859

Filtering PINGS with less that 25m accuracy

Method	Accurate	Compliant
Existing	1359 (47.53%)	2162 (75.62%)
New	2047 (71.59%)	1138 (39.80%)

PINGS data based on time of day

Relevant Logics in code

Last mile time calculation from distance

- A cron runs Every 6 hours
- Gets last 7 days trips for the area id
- · Calculates intercept and slope by plotting distance and time
- Intercept and slope is stored in sla_area_data_v3
- Intercept and slope are used to calculate last mile time from distance as follow
 - time = intercept + slope * last_mile_distance