# INTRODUCTION TO ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

# Note del corso di

# Istituzioni di Geometria Superiore 2

A.A. 2005/06

Emilia Mezzetti

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica Università degli Studi di Trieste Via Valerio 12/1 34127 Trieste, ITALY e-mail: mezzette@univ.trieste.it

#### Introduction

Algebraic Geometry is the field of mathematics which studies the sets of solutions of systems of algebraic equations, i.e. of equations given by polynomials. The origins of Algebraic Geometry go back to the Ancient Babilonians and Greeks and, since them, this fascinating topic has attracted mathematicians of all times and countries. During the 19<sup>th</sup> and the beginning of last century, important progresses were made, mainly by the so called Italian School of Algebraic Geometry. Then, starting from 1950, the subject was completely refounded, taking into account the advent of Modern Algebra. This work was initiated by the Russian-Italian-American mathematician Oscar Zariski, and pushed on mainly by the ingenious French mathematician Alexander Grothendieck. Recently, important results and answers to classical problems have been given. Unfortunately, the techniques developed have become more and more intricated, so that the approach to this topic is not easy.

These notes are basically a revision of those originally written for a course of algebraic geometry of the Diploma Course in Mathematics at ICTP. They are devoted to the students of the Laurea Specialistica (Magistrale) in Matematica of the University of Trieste. They are not exhaustive of all topics treated in the lectures. For more reference, I warmly recommend the books of Šafarevič [7], Hartshorne [3] and Harris [2].

An asterisk \* near an exercise denotes that it is quoted in the text.

## 1. Affine and projective space.

Let K be a field. By definition, the affine space of dimension n over K is simply the set  $K^n$ : on it, the additive group of  $K^n$  acts naturally by translation. The affine space will be denoted  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  or simply  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . So the points of  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  are n-tuples  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , where  $a_i \in K$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

The natural action of  $K^n$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$ , is the map t defined by

$$t: K^n \times \mathbb{A}^n_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_K$$

$$((x_1,\ldots,x_n),(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) \longrightarrow (x_1+a_1,\ldots,x_n+a_n).$$

Note that: t(0, P) = P, where 0 is the zero vector of  $K^n$  and  $P \in \mathbb{A}^n_K$ , and t(w, t(v, P)) = t(v + w, P), for  $v, w \in K^n$  and  $P \in \mathbb{A}^n_K$ .

The action of a vector v on a point P is "by translation". The point t(v, P) will be denoted P + v. The action t is faithful and transitive: this means that, for any choice of  $P, Q \in \mathbb{A}^n_K$ , there exists one and only one  $v \in V$  such that Q = t(v, P): for this vector, the notation Q - P will be sometimes used.

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{A}_K^n$  be a point, and  $W \subset K^n$  be a vector subspace. We define the affine subspace of  $\mathbb{A}_K^n$  passing through Q with orienting space W (or of direction W) as follows:

$$S = \{ P \in \mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^n \mid P = Q + w, w \in W \}.$$

S can be seen as "W translated in Q". Note that affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  do not necessarily pass through the origin. Two affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with a common orienting space are called parallel. If dim W=m, we also define dim S=m. The subspaces of dimension 1 are called *lines*, those of dimension 2 planes, those of dimension n-1 (or of codimension 1) hyperplanes.

The points of an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  can be characterized as solutions of a system of equations. These are of two types:

a) Parametric equations of a subspace.

Let S be the subspace passing through  $Q(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  with orienting space W, and let  $w_1, \ldots, w_s$  be a basis of W, with  $w_i = (w_{i1}, \ldots, w_{in})$ . Then  $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S$  if and only if there exist  $t_1, \ldots, t_s \in K$  such that

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(y_1,\ldots,y_n)+t_1w_1+\ldots+t_sw_s,$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = y_1 + t_1 w_{11} + \ldots + t_s w_{s1} \\ x_2 = y_2 + t_1 w_{12} + \ldots + t_s w_{s2} \\ \ldots \\ \ldots \end{cases}$$

As  $(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$  varies in  $K^s$  we get in this way all points of S.

For example, if S is the line through Q of direction  $W = \langle w \rangle$ , with  $w = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = y_1 + tb_1 \\ x_2 = y_2 + tb_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n = y_n + tb_n \end{cases}$$

are parametric equations of S.

b) Cartesian equations of a subspace.

Let  $s = \dim W$ ,  $W \subset K^n$ . Then W is the set of vectors whose coordinates are solutions of a homogeneous linear system of rank n - s in n indeterminates  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ :

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}z_1 + \dots + a_{1n}z_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{n-s,1}z_1 + \dots + a_{n-s,n}z_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $P(x_1, ..., x_n)$  belongs to S if and only if P = Q + w, where w is a solution of the previous system, i.e. if and only if the following equations are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}(x_1 - y_1) + \dots + a_{1n}(x_n - y_n) = 0\\ \dots\\ a_{n-s,1}(x_1 - y_1) + \dots + a_{n-s,n}(x_n - y_n) = 0 \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a solution of the system:

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n + b_1 = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{n-s,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{n-s,n}x_n + b_n \end{cases} = 0$$

where we have put  $b_i = -(a_{i1}y_1 + \ldots + a_{in}y_n)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n - s$ . For example a hyperplane is represented by a unique linear equation of the form:

$$a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_nx_n + b = 0.$$

Let V be a K-vector space, of dimension n+1. Let  $V^*=V\setminus\{0\}$  be the subset of non-zero vectors. The following relation in  $V^*$  is an equivalence relation (relation of proportionality):

 $v \sim v'$  if and only if  $\exists \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in K$  such that  $v' = \lambda v$ .

The quotient set  $\frac{V^*}{\sim}$  is called the *projective space* associated to V and denoted  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . The points of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  are the lines of V (through the origin) without the origin. In particular,  $\mathbb{P}(K^{n+1})$  is denoted  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  (or simply  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ) and called the *numerical projective n-space*. By definition, the dimension of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  is equal to dim V-1.

There is a canonical surjection  $p: V^* \to \mathbb{P}(V)$  which takes a vector v to its equivalence class [v]. If  $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in (K^{n+1})^*$ , then the corresponding point of

 $\mathbb{P}^n$  is denoted  $[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ . So  $[x_0,\ldots,x_n]=[x'_0,\ldots,x'_n]$  if and only if  $\exists \lambda \in K^*$  such that  $x'_0=\lambda x_0,\ldots,x'_n=\lambda x_n$ .

If a basis  $e_0, \ldots, e_n$  of V is fixed, then a system of homogeneous coordinates is introduced in V, in the following way: if  $v = x_0 e_0 + \ldots + x_n e_n$ , then  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  are called homogeneous coordinates of the corresponding point P = [v] = p(v) in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . We also write  $P[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ . Note that homogeneous coordinates of a point P are not uniquely determined by P, but are defined only up to multiplication by a non–zero constant. If dim V = n + 1, a system of homogeneous coordinates allows to define a bijection

$$\mathbb{P}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

$$P = [v] \longrightarrow [x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

where  $v = x_0 e_0 + \ldots + x_n e_n$ .

The points  $E_0[1,0,\ldots,0],\ldots,E_n[0,0,\ldots,1]$  are called the fundamental points and  $U[1,\ldots,1]$  the unit point for the given system of coordinates.

A projective (or linear) subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  is a subset of the form  $\mathbb{P}(W)$ , where  $W \subset V$  is a subspace.

Assume that dim W = s + 1 and that W is represented by a linear homogeneous system

$$(*) \begin{cases} a_{10}x_0 + \ldots + a_{1n}x_n = 0 \\ \ldots \\ a_{n-s,0}x_0 + \ldots + a_{n-s,n}x_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that a (n+1)-tuple  $(\bar{x}_0, \ldots, \bar{x}_n)$  is a solution of the system if and only if  $(\lambda \bar{x}_0, \ldots, \lambda \bar{x}_n)$  is, with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So these solutions can also be interpreted as representing the points of  $\mathbb{P}(W)$  and the equations (\*) as a system of Cartesian equations of  $\mathbb{P}(W)$ . To write down parametric equations of  $\mathbb{P}(W)$  it is enough to fix a basis of W, formed by vectors  $w_0, \ldots, w_s$ . Then a general point of  $\mathbb{P}(W)$  is parametrically represented by  $[\lambda_0 w_0 + \ldots + \lambda_s w_s]$ , as  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_s$  vary in  $\mathbb{P}^s$ .

If W, U are vector subspaces of V, the following Grassmann relation holds:

$$\dim U + \dim W = \dim(U \cap W) + \dim(U + W).$$

From this relation, observing that  $\mathbb{P}(U \cap W) = \mathbb{P}(U) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)$ , we get in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ :

$$\dim \mathbb{P}(U) + \dim \mathbb{P}(W) = \dim(\mathbb{P}(U) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)) + \dim \mathbb{P}(U + W).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{P}(U+W)$  is the minimal linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  containing both  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(W)$ : it is denoted  $\mathbb{P}(U)+\mathbb{P}(W)$ .

- **1.1. Example.** Let  $V = K^3$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $U, W \subset K^3$  subspaces of dimension 2. Then  $\mathbb{P}(U), \mathbb{P}(V)$  are lines in the projective plane. There are two cases:
- (i)  $U = W = U + W = U \cap W$ ;
- (ii)  $U \neq W$ , dim  $U \cap W = 1$ ,  $U + W = K^3$ .

In case (i) the two lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  coincide; in case (ii)  $\mathbb{P}(U) \cap \mathbb{P}(W) = \mathbb{P}(U \cap W) = [v]$ , if  $v \neq 0$  is a vector generating  $U \cap W$ . Observe that never  $\mathbb{P}(U) \cap \mathbb{P}(W) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $T \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  be a non-empty set. The linear span  $\langle T \rangle$  of T is the intersection of the projective subspaces of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  containing T, i.e. the minimum subspace containing T. For example, if  $T = \{P_1, \ldots, P_t\}$ , a finite set, then  $\langle P_1, \ldots, P_t \rangle = \mathbb{P}(W)$ , where W is the vector subspace of V generated by vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  such that  $P_1 = [v_1], \ldots, P_t = [v_t]$ . So  $\dim \langle P_1, \ldots, P_t \rangle \leq t - 1$  and equality holds if and only if  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  are linearly independent; in this case, also the points  $P_1, \ldots, P_t$  are called linearly independent. In particular, for t = 2, two points are linearly independent if they generate a line, for t = 3, three points are linearly independent if they generate a plane, etc. It is clear that, if  $P_1, \ldots, P_t$  are linearly independent, then  $t \leq n+1$ , and any subset of  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_t\}$  is formed by linearly independent points.

 $P_1, \ldots, P_t$  are said to be in general position if either  $t \leq n+1$  and they are linearly independent or t > n+1 and any n+1 points among them are linearly independent.

**1.2. Proposition.** The fundamental points  $E_0, \ldots, E_n$  and the unit point U of a system of homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are n+2 points in general position. Conversely, if  $P_0, \ldots, P_n, P_{n+1}$  are n+2 points in general position, then there exists a system of homogeneous coordinates in which  $P_0, \ldots, P_n$  are the fundamental points and  $P_{n+1}$  is the unit point.

Proof. If  $e_0, \ldots, e_n$  is a basis, then clearly the n+1 vectors  $e_0, \ldots, \hat{e_i}, \ldots, e_n, e_0 + \ldots + e_n$  are linearly independent: this proves the first claim. To prove the second claim, we fix vectors  $v_0, \ldots, v_{n+1}$  such that  $P_i = [v_i]$  for all i. So  $v_0, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis and there exist  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  in K such that  $v_{n+1} = \lambda_0 v_0 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n$ . The assumption of general position easily implies that  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are all different from 0, hence  $\lambda_0 v_0, \ldots, \lambda_n v_n$  is a new basis such  $[\lambda_i v_i] = P_i$  and  $P_{n+1}$  is the corresponding unit point.

Let  $H_0 = \langle E_1, \ldots, E_n \rangle$ ,  $H_1 = \langle E_0, E_2, \ldots, E_n \rangle$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $H_n = \langle E_0, \ldots, E_{n-1} \rangle$  be n+1 hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Note that the equation of  $H_i$  is simply  $x_i = 0$ . These hyperplanes are called the fundamental hyperplanes. Let  $U_i = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_i = \{P[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{P}^n = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_n$ , because no point in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has all coordinates equal to zero. There is a map  $\phi_0 : U_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n (=K^n)$  defined by  $\phi_0([x_0, \ldots, x_n]) = (\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{x_0})$ .  $\phi_0$  is bijective and the inverse map is  $j_0 : \mathbb{A}^n \longrightarrow U_0$  such that  $j_0(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = [1, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ .

So  $\phi_0$  and  $j_0$  establish a bijection between the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and the subset  $U_0$  of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . There are other similar maps  $\phi_i$  and  $j_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . So  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is covered by n + 1 subsets, each one in natural bijection with  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

There is a natural way of thinking of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as a completion of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ; this is done by identifying  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with  $U_i$  via  $\phi_i$ , and by interpreting the points of  $H_i(=\mathbb{P}^n \setminus U_i)$ 

as points at infinity of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , or directions in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . We do this explicity for i=0. First of all we identify  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with  $U_0$  via  $\phi_0$  and  $j_0$ . So if  $P[a_0,\ldots,a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , either  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $P \in \mathbb{A}^n$ , or  $a_0 = 0$  and  $P[0,a_1,\ldots,a_n] \notin \mathbb{A}^n$ . Then we consider in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  the line L, passing through  $O(0,\ldots,0)$  and of direction given by the vector  $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ . Parametric equations for L are the following:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = a_1 t \\ x_2 = a_2 t \\ \dots \\ x_n = a_n t \end{cases}$$

with  $t \in K$ . The points of L are identified with points of  $U_0$  (via  $j_0$ ) with homogeneous coordinates  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  given by:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = 1 \\ x_1 = a_1 t \\ x_2 = a_2 t \end{cases}$$

or equivalently, if  $t \neq 0$ , by:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \frac{1}{t} \\ x_1 = a_1 \\ x_2 = a_2 \end{cases}.$$

Now, roughly speaking, if t tends to infinity, this point goes to  $P[0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ . Clearly this is not a rigorous argument, but just a hint to the intuition.

In this way  $\mathbb{P}^n$  can be interpreted as  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with the points at infinity added, each point at infinity corresponding to one direction in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

#### Exercise to $\S 1$ .

1\*. Let V be a vector space of finite dimension over a field K. Let  $\check{V}$  denote the dual of V. Prove that  $\mathbb{P}(\check{V})$  can be put in bijection with the set of the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  (hint: the kernel of a non-zero linear form on V is a subvector space of V of codimension one).

#### 2. Algebraic sets.

Roughly speaking, algebraic subsets of the affine or of the projective space are sets of solutions of systems of algebraic equations, i.e. common roots of sets of polynomials.

Examples of algebraic sets are: linear subspaces of both the affine and the projective space, plane algebraic curves, quadrics, graphics of polynomials functions,

Algebraic geometry is the branch of mathematics which studies algebraic sets (and their generalizations). Our first aim is to give a formal definition of algebraic sets.

Let  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in n variables over the field K. If  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ , and  $F = F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , we can consider the value of F at P, i.e.  $F(P) = F(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in K$ . We say that P is a zero of F if F(P) = 0.

For example the points  $P_1(1,0)$ ,  $P_2(-1,0)$ ,  $P_3(0,1)$  are zeroes of  $F=x_1^2+x_2^2-1$  over any field. If  $G=x_1^2+x_2^2+1$  then G has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ , but it does have zeroes in  $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**2.1. Definition.** A subset X of  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  is an affine algebraic set if X is the set of common zeroes of a family of polynomials of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

This means that there exists a subset  $S \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that

$$X = \{ P \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid F(P) = 0 \ \forall \ F \in S \}.$$

In this case X is called the zeroes set of S and is denoted V(S) (or in some books Z(S), e.g. this is the notation of Hartshorne's book). In particular, if  $S = \{F\}$ , then V(S) will be simply denoted by V(F).

#### 2.2. Examples and remarks.

- 1.  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ : then  $V(S) = \emptyset$ , because S contains non-zero constants.
- 2.  $S = \{0\}$ : then  $V(S) = \mathbb{A}^n$ .
- 3.  $S = \{xy 1\}$ : then V(xy 1) is the hyperbola.
- 4. If  $S \subset T$ , then  $V(S) \supset V(T)$ .

Let  $S \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a set of polynomials, let  $\alpha := \langle S \rangle$  be the ideal generated by S. Recall that  $\alpha = \{ \text{finite sums of products of the form } HF \text{ where } F \in S, H \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \}.$ 

**2.3. Proposition.**  $V(S) = V(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* If  $P \in V(\alpha)$ , then F(P) = 0 for any  $F \in \alpha$ ; in particular for any  $F \in S$  because  $S \subset \alpha$ .

Conversely, if 
$$P \in V(S)$$
, let  $G = \sum_i H_i F_i$  be a polynomial of  $\alpha$   $(F_i \in S \ \forall \ i)$ .  
Then  $G(P) = (\sum_i H_i F_i)(P) = \sum_i H_i(P) F_i(P) = 0$ .

The above Proposition is important in view of the following:

**Hilbert' Basis Theorem.** If R is a Noetherian ring, then the polynomial ring R[x] is Noetherian.

*Proof.* See for instance [4]. 
$$\Box$$

**2.4. Corollary.** Any affine algebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is the zeroes set of a finite number of polynomials, i.e. there exist  $F_1, \ldots, F_r \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $X = V(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ .

Note that  $V(F_1, \ldots, F_r) = V(F_1) \cap \ldots \cap V(F_r)$ , so every algebraic set is a finite intersection of algebraic sets of the form V(F), i.e. zeroes of a unique polynomial F. If F = 0, then  $V(0) = \mathbb{A}^n$ ; if  $F = c \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $V(c) = \emptyset$ ; if deg F > 0, then V(F) is called a hypersurface.

**2.5.** Proposition. The affine algebraic sets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  satisfy the axioms of the closed sets of a topology, called the Zariski topology.

*Proof.* It is enough to check that finite unions and arbitrary intersections of algebraic sets are again algebraic sets.

Let  $V(\alpha), V(\beta)$  be two algebraic sets, with  $\alpha, \beta$  ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Then  $V(\alpha) \cup V(\beta) = V(\alpha \cap \beta) = V(\alpha\beta)$ , where  $\alpha\beta$  is the product ideal, defined by:

$$\alpha\beta = \{ \sum_{\text{fin}} a_i b_i \mid a_i \in \alpha, b_i \in \beta \}.$$

In fact:  $\alpha\beta \subset \alpha \cap \beta$  so  $V(\alpha \cap \beta) \subset V(\alpha\beta)$ , and both  $\alpha \cap \beta \subset \alpha$  and  $\alpha \cap \beta \subset \beta$  so  $V(\alpha) \cup V(\beta) \subset V(\alpha \cap \beta)$ . Assume now that  $P \in V(\alpha\beta)$  and  $P \notin V(\alpha)$ : hence  $\exists F \in \alpha$  such that  $F(P) \neq 0$ ; on the other hand, if  $G \in \beta$  then  $FG \in \alpha\beta$  so (FG)(P) = 0 = F(P)G(P), which implies G(P) = 0.

Let  $V(\alpha_i), i \in I$ , be a family of algebraic sets,  $\alpha_i \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} V(\alpha_i) = V(\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i)$ , where  $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  is the sum ideal of  $\alpha_i$ 's. In fact  $\alpha_i \subset \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \ \forall i$ , hence  $V(\sum_i \alpha_i) \subset V(\alpha_i) \ \forall i$  and  $V(\sum_i \alpha_i) \subset \bigcap_i V(\alpha_i)$ . Conversely, if  $P \in V(\alpha_i) \ \forall i$ , and  $F \in \sum_i \alpha_i$ , then  $F = \sum_i F_i$ ; therefore  $F(P) = \sum_i F_i(P) = 0$ .

# 2.6. Examples.

1. The Zariski topology of the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

Let us recall that the polynomial ring K[x] in one variable is a PID (principal ideal domain), so every ideal  $I \subset K[x]$  is of the form  $I = \langle F \rangle$ . Hence every closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is of the form X = V(F), the set of zeroes of a unique polynomial F(x). If F = 0, then  $V(F) = \mathbb{A}^1$ , if  $F = c \in K^*$ , then  $V(F) = \emptyset$ , if deg F = d > 0, then F can be decomposed in linear factors in polynomial ring over the algebraic closure of K; it follows that V(F) has at most d points.

We conclude that the closed sets in the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are:  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $\emptyset$  and the finite sets.

2. If  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the Zariski topology and the usual topology on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  can be compared. Every closed set in the Zariski topology is closed also in the usual topology: this is better seen working with open sets. Let  $X = V(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$  be a closed set in the Zariski topology, and  $U := \mathbb{A}^n \setminus X$ ; if  $P \in U$ , then  $\exists F_i$  such that  $F_i(P) \neq 0$ , so there exists an open neighbourhood of P in the usual topology in which  $F_i$  does not vanish.

Conversely, there exist closed sets in the usual topology which are not Zariski closed, for example the balls. The first case, of an interval in the real affine line, follows from part 1.

We want to define now the projective algebraic sets in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in n+1 variables. Fix a polynomial  $G(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and a point  $P[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ : then, in general,

$$G(a_0,\ldots,a_n)\neq G(\lambda a_0,\ldots,\lambda a_n),$$

so the value of G at P is not defined.

- **2.7. Example.** Let  $G = x_1 + x_0 x_1 + x_2^2$ ,  $P[0, 1, 2] = [0, 2, 4] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ . So  $G(0, 1, 2) = 1 + 4 \neq G(0, 2, 4) = 2 + 16$ . But if  $Q = [1, 0, 0] = [\lambda, 0, 0]$ , then  $G(1, 0, 0) = G(\lambda, 0, 0) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$ .
- **2.8. Definition.** Let  $G \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ : G is homogeneous of degree d if G is a linear combination of monomials of degree d.
- **2.9. Lemma.** If G is homogeneous of degree  $d, G \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , and t is a new variable, then  $G(tx_0, \ldots, tx_n) = t^d G(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the equality for monomials, i.e. for

$$G = ax_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}\dots x_n^{i_n}$$
 with  $i_0 + i_1 + \dots + i_n = d$ :

$$G(tx_0,\ldots,tx_n) = a(tx_0)^{i_0}(tx_1)^{i_1}\ldots(tx_n)^{i_n} = at^{i_0+i_1+\ldots+i_n}x_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}\ldots x_n^{i_n} = t^dG(x_0,\ldots,x_n).$$

**2.10. Definition.** Let G be a homogeneous polynomial of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . A point  $P[a_0, \ldots, a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$  is a zero of G if  $G(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ . In this case we write G(P) = 0.

Note that by Lemma 2.9 if  $G(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ , then

$$G(\lambda a_0, \dots, \lambda a_n) = \lambda^{\deg G} G(a_0, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

for every choice of  $\lambda \in K^*$ .

**2.11. Definition.** A subset Z of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is a projective algebraic set if Z is the set of common zeroes of a set of homogeneous polynomials of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

If T is such a subset of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , then the corresponding algebraic set will be denoted by  $V_P(T)$ .

Let  $\alpha = \langle T \rangle$  be the ideal generated by the (homogeneous) polynomials of T. If  $F \in \alpha$ , then  $F = \sum_i H_i F_i$ ,  $F_i \in T$ : if  $P \in V_P(T)$ , and  $P[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$ , then  $F(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = \sum_i H_i(a_0, \ldots, a_n) F_i(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ , for any choice of coordinates of P, regardless if F is homogeneous or not. We say that P is a *projective zero* of F.

If F is a polynomial, then F can be written in a unique way as a sum of homogeneous polynomials, called the homogeneous components of F:  $F = F_0 + F_1 + \ldots + F_d$ . More in general, we give the following:

**2.12. Definition.** Let A be a ring. A is called a graded ring over  $\mathbb{Z}$  if there exists a family of additive subgroups  $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $A=\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}A_i$  and  $A_iA_j\subset A_{i+j}$  for all pair of indices.

The elements of  $A_i$  are called homogeneous of degree i and  $A_i$  is the homogeneous component of degree i. The standard example of graded ring is the polynomial ring with coefficients in a ring R. In this case the homogeneous components of negative degrees are all zero.

- **2.13 Proposition Definition.** Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal of a graded ring. I is called **homogeneous** if the following equivalent conditions are fulfilled:
- (i) I is generated by homogeneous elements;
- (ii)  $I = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (I \cap A_k)$ , i.e. if  $F = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k \in I$ , then all homogeneous components  $F_k$  of F belong to I.

*Proof* of the equivalence.

- "(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)": given a system of generators of I, write each of them as sum of its homogeneous components:  $F_i = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{ik}$ . Then a set of homogeneous generators of I is formed by all the elements  $F_{ik}$ .
- "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)": let I be generated by a family of homogeneous elements  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$ , with  $\deg G_{\alpha} = d_{\alpha}$ . If  $F \in I$ , then F is a combination of the elements  $G_{\alpha}$  with suitable coefficients  $H_{\alpha}$ ; write each  $H_{\alpha}$  as sum of its homogeneous components:  $H_{\alpha} = \Sigma H_{\alpha k}$ . Note that the product  $H_{\alpha k} G_{\alpha}$  is homogeneous of degree  $k + d_{\alpha}$ . By the unicity of the expression of F as sum of homogeneous elements, it follows that all of them are combinations of the generators  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$  and therefore they belong to I.

Let  $I \subset K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a homogeneous ideal. Note that, by the noetherianity, I admits a finite set of homogeneous generators.

Let  $P[a_0, \ldots, a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . If  $F \in I$ ,  $F = F_0 + \ldots + F_d$ , then  $F_0 \in I, \ldots, F_d \in I$ . We say that P is a zero of I if P is a projective zero of any polynomial of I or, equivalently, of any homogeneous polynomial of I. This also means that P is a zero of any homogeneous polynomial of a set generating I. The set of zeroes of I will be denoted  $V_P(I)$ : all projective algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are of this form.

As in the affine case, the projective algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  satisfy the axioms of the closed sets of a topology called the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (see also Exercise 3).

Note that also all subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  have a structure of topological space, with the induced topology, which is still called the Zariski topology.

## Exercises to $\S 2$ .

- 1. Let  $F \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a non-constant polynomial. The set  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus V(F)$  will be denoted  $\mathbb{A}^n_F$ . Prove that  $\{\mathbb{A}^n_F | F \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \setminus K\}$  is a topology basis for the Zariski topology.
  - 2. Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a ball. Prove that B is not Zariski closed.
- $3^*$ . Let I, J be homogeneous ideals of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Prove that I + J, IJ and  $I \cap J$  are homogeneous ideals.
- 4\*. Prove that the map  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^3$  defined by  $t \to (t, t^2, t^3)$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and its image, for the Zariski topology.
- 5. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$  be the graph of the map  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \to \sin x$ . Is X closed in the Zariski topology? (hint: intersect X with a line....)

## 3. Examples of algebraic sets.

a) In the Zariski topology both of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  all points are closed. If  $P(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{A}^n$ :  $P=V(x_1-a_1,\ldots,x_n-a_n)$ . If  $P[a_0,\ldots,a_n]\in\mathbb{P}^n$ :  $P=V_P(\langle a_ix_j-a_jx_i\rangle_{i,j=0,\ldots,n})$ .

Note that in the projective case the polynomials defining P as closed set are homogeneous. They can be seen as minors of order 2 of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_n \\
x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_n
\end{pmatrix}$$

with entries in  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

#### b) Hypersurfaces.

Let us recall that the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is a UFD (unique factorization domain), i. e. every non-constant polynomial F can be expressed in a unique way (up to the order and up to units) as  $F = F_1^{r_1} F_2^{r_2} \ldots F_s^{r_s}$ , where  $F_1, \ldots, F_s$  are irreducible polynomials, two by two distinct, and  $r_i \geq 1 \forall i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Hence the hypersurface of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined by F is

$$X := V(F) = V(F_1^{r_1} F_2^{r_2} \dots F_s^{r_s}) = V(F_1 F_2 \dots F_s) = V(F_1) \cup V(F_2) \cup \dots \cup V(F_s).$$

The equation  $F_1F_2...F_s=0$  is called the reduced equation of X. Note that  $F_1F_2...F_s$  generates the radical  $\sqrt{F}$ . If s=1, X is called an irreducible hypersurface; by definition its degree is the degree of its reduced equation. Any hypersurface is a finite union of irreducible hypersurfaces.

In a similar way one defines hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , i. e. projective algebraic sets of the form  $Z = V_P(G)$ , with  $G \in K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , G homogeneous. Since the irreducible factors of G are homogeneous (see Exercise 3.6), any projective hypersurface Z has a reduced equation (whose degree is, by definition, the degree of Z) and Z is a finite union of irreducible hypersurfaces. The degree of a projective hypersurface has the following important geometrical meaning.

**3.1. Proposition.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective hypersurface of degree d. Then a line of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , not contained in Z, meets Z at exactly d points, counting multiplicities.

*Proof.* Let G be the reduced equation of Z and  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be any line.

We fix two points on L:  $A = [a_0, \ldots, a_n], B = [b_0, \ldots, b_n]$ . So L admits parametric equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \lambda a_0 + \mu b_0 \\ x_1 = \lambda a_1 + \mu b_1 \\ \dots \\ x_n = \lambda a_n + \mu b_n \end{cases}$$

The points of  $Z \cap L$  are obtained from the homogeneous pairs  $[\lambda, \mu]$  which are solutions of the equation  $G(\lambda a_0 + \mu b_0, \dots, \lambda a_n + \mu b_n) = 0$ . If  $L \subset Z$ , then this equation is identical. Otherwise,  $G(\lambda a_0 + \mu b_0, \dots, \lambda a_n + \mu b_n)$  is a non-zero homogeneous polynomial of degree d in two variables. Being K algebraically closed, it can be factorized in linear factors:

$$G(\lambda a_0 + \mu b_0, \dots, \lambda a_n + \mu b_n) = (\mu_1 \lambda - \lambda_1 \mu)^{d_1} (\mu_2 \lambda - \lambda_2 \mu)^{d_2} \dots (\mu_r \lambda - \lambda_r \mu)^{d_r}$$

with  $d_1 + d_2 + \ldots + d_r = d$ . Every factor corresponds to a point in  $Z \cap L$ , to be counted with the same multiplicity as the factor.

If K is not algebraically closed, considering the algebraic closure of K and using Proposition 3.1, we get that d is un upper bound on the number of points of  $Z \cap L$ .

c) Affine and projective subspaces.

The subspaces introduced in §1, both in the affine and in the projective case, are examples of algebraic sets.

d) Product of affine spaces.

Let  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{A}^m$  be two affine spaces over the field K. The cartesian product  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  is the set of pairs (P,Q),  $P \in \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{A}^m$ : it is in natural bijection with  $\mathbb{A}^{n+m}$  via the map

$$\phi: \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$$

such that  $\phi((a_1, \ldots, a_n), (b_1, \ldots, b_m)) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m).$ 

From now on we will always identify  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  with  $\mathbb{A}^{n+m}$ . We get two topologies on  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$ : the Zariski topology and the product topology.

**3.1. Proposition.** The Zariski topology is strictly finer than the product topology.

*Proof.* If 
$$X = V(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$$
,  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $Y = V(\beta) \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ ,  $\beta \subset K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , then  $X \times Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  is Zariski closed, precisely  $X \times Y = \mathbb{A}^n$ 

 $V(\alpha \cup \beta)$  where the union is made in the polynomial ring in n+m variables  $K[x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m]$ . Hence, if  $U=\mathbb{A}^n\setminus X,\ V=\mathbb{A}^m\setminus Y$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\mathbb{A}^m$  in the Zariski topology, then  $U\times V=\mathbb{A}^n\times\mathbb{A}^m\setminus ((\mathbb{A}^n\times Y)\cup (X\times\mathbb{A}^m))$  is open in  $\mathbb{A}^n\times\mathbb{A}^m$  in the Zariski topology.

Conversely, we prove that  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{A}^2$  contains some subsets which are Zariski open but are not open in the product topology. The proper open subsets in the product topology are of the form  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{$  finite unions of "vertical" and "horizontal" lines $\}$ .

- Fig. 1 -

Let  $X = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus V(x-y)$ : it is Zariski open but does not contain any non-empty subset of the above form, so it is not open in the product topology. There are similar examples in  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  for any n, m.

Note that there is no similar construction for  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ .

e) Embedding of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let  $H_i$  be the hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of equation  $x_i=0,\ i=0,\ldots,n$ ; it is closed in the Zariski topology, and the complementar set  $U_i$  is open. So we have an open covering of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ :  $\mathbb{P}^n=U_0\cup U_1\cup\ldots\cup U_n$ . Let us recall that for all i there is a bijection  $\phi_i:U_i\to\mathbb{A}^n$  such that  $\phi_i([x_0,\ldots,x_i,\ldots,x_n])=(\frac{x_0}{x_i},\ldots,\hat{1},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_i})$ . The inverse map is  $j_i:\mathbb{A}^n\to U_i$  such that  $j_i(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=[y_1,\ldots,1,\ldots,y_n]$ .

**3.2. Proposition.** The map  $\phi_i$  is a homeomorphism, for  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ .

*Proof.* Assume i = 0 (the other cases are similar).

We introduce two maps:

(i) dehomogeneization of polynomials with respect to  $x_0$ .

It is a map  $^a:K[x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_n]\to K[y_1,\ldots,y_n]$  such that

$$^{a}(F(x_{0},...,x_{n})) = {}^{a}F(y_{1},...,y_{n}) := F(1,y_{1},...,y_{n}).$$

Note that <sup>a</sup> is a ring homomorphism.

(ii) homogeneization of polynomials with respect to  $x_0$ .

It is a map  $h: K[y_1, \ldots, y_n] \to K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  defined by

$$^{h}(G(y_{1},...,y_{n})) = {}^{h}G(x_{0},...,x_{n}) := x_{0}^{\deg G}G(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{0}},...,\frac{x_{n}}{x_{0}})$$

 ${}^hG$  is always a homogeneous polynomial of the same degree as G. The map  ${}^h$  is clearly not a ring homomorphism. Note that always  ${}^a({}^hG) = G$  but in general  ${}^h({}^aF) \neq F$ ; what we can say is that, if  $F(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  is homogeneous, then  $\exists r \geq 0$  such that  $F = x_0^r({}^h({}^aF))$ .

Let  $X\subset U_0$  be closed in the topology induced by the Zariski topology of the projective space, i.e.  $X=U_0\cap V_P(I)$  where I is a homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ . Define  ${}^aI=\{{}^aF\mid F\in I\}$ : it is an ideal of  $K[y_1,\ldots,y_n]$  (because  ${}^a$  is a ring homomorphism). We prove that  $\phi_0(X)=V({}^aI)$ . For: let  $P[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$  be a point of  $U_0$ ; then  $\phi_0(P)=(\frac{x_1}{x_0},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_0})\in\phi_0(X)\Longleftrightarrow P[x_0,\ldots,x_n]=[1,\frac{x_1}{x_0},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_0}]\in X=V_P(I)\Longleftrightarrow F(1,\frac{x_1}{x_0},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_0})=0\ \forall\ {}^aF\in {}^aI\Longleftrightarrow\phi_0(P)\in V({}^aI).$ 

Conversely: let  $Y = V(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha$  ideal of  $K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ , be a Zariski closed set of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Let  ${}^h\alpha$  be the homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  generated by the set  $\{{}^hG \mid G \in \alpha\}$ . We prove that  $\phi_0^{-1}(Y) = V_P({}^h\alpha) \cap U_0$ . In fact:  $[1, x_0, \ldots, x_n] \in \phi_0^{-1}(Y) \iff (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in Y \iff G(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = {}^hG(1, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \,\,\forall\,\, G \in \alpha \iff [1, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \in V_P({}^h\alpha)$ .

From now on we will often identify  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with  $U_0$  via  $\phi_0$  (and similarly with  $U_i$  via  $\phi_i$ ). So if  $P[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \in U_0$ , we will refer to  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  as the homogeneous coordinates of P and to  $\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}$  as the non-homogeneous or affine coordinates of P.

## Exercises to $\S 3$ .

- 1\*. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that, if K is an algebraically closed field, then in  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  both any hypersurface and any complementar set of a hypersurface have infinitely many points.
  - 2. Prove that the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is  $T_1$ .
- $3^*$ . Let  $F \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a homogeneous polynomial. Check that its irreducible factors are homogeneous. (hint: consider a product of two polynomials not both homogeneous...)
- 4. The ideal of an algebraic set and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an algebraic set,  $X = V(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The ideal  $\alpha$  defining X is not unique: for example, let  $X = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ ; then  $0 = V(x_1, x_2) = V(x_1^2, x_2) = V(x_1^2, x_2^3) = V(x_1^2, x_1, x_2, x_2^2) = \dots$  Nevertheless, there is an ideal we can canonically associate to X, i.e. the biggest one. Precisely:

# **4.1. Definition.** Let $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be any set.

The ideal of Y is  $I(Y) = \{F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid F(P) = 0 \text{ for any } P \in Y\} = \{F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid Y \subset V(F)\}$ : it is formed by all polynomials vanishing on Y. Note that I(Y) is in fact an ideal.

For instance, if  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is a point, then  $I(P) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \ldots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ . Indeed all its polynomials vanish on P, and, on the other side, it is maximal.

The following relations follow immediately by the definition:

- (i) if  $Y \subset Y'$ , then  $I(Y) \supset I(Y')$ ;
- (ii)  $I(Y \cup Y') = I(Y) \cap I(Y')$ ;
- (iii)  $I(Y \cap Y') \supset I(Y) + I(Y')$ .

Similarly, if  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is any set, the homogeneous ideal of Z is, by definition, the homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  generated by the set  $\{G \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid G \text{ is homogeneous and } V_P(G) \supset Z\}$ . It is denoted  $I_h(Z)$ .

Relations similar to (i),(ii),(iii) are satisfied.  $I_h(Z)$  is also the set of polynomials  $F(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  such that every point of Z is a projective zero of F.

Let  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be an ideal. Let  $\sqrt{\alpha}$  denote the radical of  $\alpha$ , i.e. the ideal  $\{F \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid \exists r \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } F^r \in \alpha\}$ . Note that always  $\alpha \subset \sqrt{\alpha}$ ; if equality holds, then  $\alpha$  is called a radical ideal.

#### 4.2. Proposition.

- 1) For any  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ , I(X) is a radical ideal.
- 2) For any  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $I_h(Z)$  is a homogeneous radical ideal.

*Proof.* 1) If  $F \in \sqrt{I(X)}$ , let  $r \geq 1$  such that  $F^r \in I(X)$ : hence if  $P \in X$ , then  $(F^r)(P) = 0 = (F(P))^r$  in the base field K. Therefore F(P) = 0.

2) is similar, taking into account that  $I_h(Z)$  is a homogeneous ideal (see Exercise 4.7.).

We can interpret I as a map from  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ , the set of subsets of the affine space, to  $\mathcal{P}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$ . On the other hand, V can be seen as a map in the opposite sense. We have:

- **4.3. Proposition.** Let  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal,  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be any subset. Then:
  - (i)  $\alpha \subset I(V(\alpha))$ ;
  - (ii)  $Y \subset V(I(Y))$ ;
  - (iii)  $V(I(Y)) = \overline{Y}$ : the closure of Y in the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $F \in \alpha$  and  $P \in V(\alpha)$ , then F(P) = 0, so  $F \in I(V(\alpha))$ .

- (ii) If  $P \in Y$  and  $F \in I(Y)$ , then F(P) = 0, so  $P \in V(I(Y))$ .
- (iii) Taking closures in (ii), we get:  $\overline{Y} \subset \overline{V(I(Y))} = V(I(Y))$ . Conversely, let  $X = V(\beta)$  be any closed set containing Y:  $X = V(\beta) \supset Y$ . Then  $I(Y) \supset I(V(\beta)) \supset \beta$  by (i); we apply V again:  $V(\beta) = X \supset V(I(Y))$  so any closed set containing Y contains V(I(Y)) so  $\overline{Y} \supset V(I(Y))$ .

Similar properties relate homogeneous ideals of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ; in particular, if  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , then  $V_P(I_h(Z)) = \overline{Z}$ , the closure of Z in the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

There does not exist any characterization of  $I(V(\alpha))$  in general. We can only say that it is a radical ideal containing  $\alpha$ , so it contains also  $\sqrt{\alpha}$ . To characterize  $I(V(\alpha))$  we need some extra assumption on the base field.

**4.4. Hilbert Nullstellensatz (Theorem of zeroes).** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be an ideal. Then  $I(V(\alpha)) = \sqrt{\alpha}$ .

The assumption on K is necessary. Let me recall that K is algebraically closed if any non–constant polynomial of K[x] has at least one root in K, or, equivalently, if any irreducible polynomial of K[x] has degree 1. So if K is not algebraically closed, there exists  $F \in K[x]$ , irreducible of degree d > 1. Therefore F has no zero in K, hence  $V(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^1_K$  is empty. So  $I(V(F)) = I(\emptyset) = \{G \in K[x] \mid \emptyset \subset V(G)\} = K[x]$ . But  $\langle F \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of K[x], and  $\langle F \rangle \subset \sqrt{\langle F \rangle}$ . If  $\langle F \rangle \neq \sqrt{\langle F \rangle}$ , by the maximality  $\sqrt{\langle F \rangle} = \langle 1 \rangle$ , so  $\exists r \geq 1$  such that  $1^r = 1 \in \langle F \rangle$ , which is false. Hence  $\sqrt{\langle F \rangle} = \langle F \rangle \neq K[x] = I(V(F))$ .

*Proof.* of the Nullstellensatz. It will follow from two facts:

1<sup>st</sup> fact. Let K be an algebraically closed field, let  $\mathcal{M} \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a maximal ideal. Then, there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \ldots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ .

 $2^{nd}$  fact (Weak Nullstellensatz).

Let K be an algebraically closed field, let  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a proper ideal. Then  $V(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$  i.e. the polynomials of  $\alpha$  have at least one common zero in  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$ .

Indeed, since  $\alpha$  is proper, there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}$  containing  $\alpha$ . Then  $V(\alpha) \supset V(\mathcal{M})$ . By  $1^{st}$  Step,  $\mathcal{M} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ , so  $V(\mathcal{M}) = \{P\}$  with  $P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , hence  $P \in V(\alpha)$ .

 $3^{rd}$  **Step** (Rabinowitch method).

Let K be an algebraically closed field: we will prove that  $I(V(\alpha)) \subset \sqrt{\alpha}$ . Since the reverse inclusion always holds, this will conclude the proof.

Let  $F \in I(V(\alpha))$ ,  $F \neq 0$  and let  $\alpha = \langle G_1, \dots, G_r \rangle$ . The assumption on F means: if  $G_1(P) = \dots = G_r(P) = 0$ , then F(P) = 0. Let us consider the polynomial ring in n+1 variables  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  and let  $\beta$  be the ideal  $\beta = 0$ 

 $\langle G_1, \ldots, G_r, x_{n+1}F - 1 \rangle$ :  $\beta$  has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ , hence, by Step 1,  $1 \in \beta$ , i.e. there exist  $H_1, \ldots, H_{r+1} \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$  such that

$$1 = H_1G_1 + \ldots + H_rG_r + H_{r+1}(x_{r+1}F - 1).$$

We introduce the homomorphism  $\psi: K[x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}]\to K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  defined by  $H(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1})\to H(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\frac{1}{F})$ .

The polynomials  $G_1, \ldots, G_r$  do not contain  $x_{n+1}$  so  $\psi(G_i) = G_i \ \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Moreover  $\psi(x_{n+1}F - 1) = 0, \ \psi(1) = 1$ . Therefore

$$1 = \psi(H_1G_1 + \ldots + H_rG_r + H_{r+1}(x_{n+1}F - 1)) = \psi(H_1)G_1 + \ldots + \psi(H_r)G_r$$

where  $\psi(H_i)$  is a rational function with denominator a power of F. By multiplying this relation by a common denominator, we get an expression of the form:

$$F^m = H_1'G_1 + \ldots + H_r'G_r,$$

so 
$$F \in \sqrt{\alpha}$$
.

## **4.10.** Corollaries. Let K be an algebraically closed field.

- 1. There is a bijection between algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and radical ideals of  $K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ . The bijection is given by  $\alpha \to V(\alpha)$  and  $X \to I(X)$ . In fact, if X is closed in the Zariski topology, then V(I(X)) = X; if  $\alpha$  is a radical ideal, then  $I(V(\alpha)) = \alpha$ .
- 2. Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be closed sets. Then
  - (i)  $I(X \cap Y) = \sqrt{I(X) + I(Y)}$ ;
  - (ii)  $I(X \cup Y) = I(X) \cap I(Y) = \sqrt{I(X)I(Y)}$ .

*Proof.* 2. follows from next lemma, using the Nullstellensatz.

**4.11. Lemma.** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Then

- a)  $\sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha}} = \sqrt{\alpha}$ ;
- b)  $\sqrt{\alpha + \beta} = \sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta}};$
- c)  $\sqrt{\alpha \cap \beta} = \sqrt{\alpha \beta} = \sqrt{\alpha} \cap \sqrt{\beta}$ .

Proof.

- a) if  $F \in \sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ , there exists  $r \ge 1$  such that  $F^r \in \sqrt{\alpha}$ , hence there exists  $s \ge 1$  such that  $F^{rs} \in \alpha$ .
- b)  $\alpha \subset \sqrt{\alpha}$ ,  $\beta \subset \sqrt{\beta}$  imply  $\alpha + \beta \subset \sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta}$  hence  $\sqrt{\alpha + \beta} \subset \sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta}}$ .

Conversely,  $\alpha \subset \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\beta \subset \alpha + \beta$  imply  $\sqrt{\alpha} \subset \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$ ,  $\sqrt{\beta} \subset \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$ , hence  $\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta} \subset \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$  so  $\sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\beta}} \subset \sqrt{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta}} = \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$ .

c)  $\alpha\beta \subset \alpha \cap \beta \subset \alpha$  (resp.  $\subset \beta$ ) therefore  $\sqrt{\alpha\beta} \subset \sqrt{\alpha \cap \beta} \subset \sqrt{\alpha} \cap \sqrt{\beta}$ . If  $F \in \sqrt{\alpha} \cap \sqrt{\beta}$ , then  $F^r \in \alpha$ ,  $F^s \in \beta$  for suitable  $r, s \geq 1$ , hence  $F^{r+s} \in \alpha\beta$ , so  $F \in \sqrt{\alpha\beta}$ .

Part 2.(i) of 4.10. implies that, if  $I(X \cap Y) \neq I(X) + I(Y)$ , then I(X) + I(Y) is not radical.

We move now to projective space. There exist proper homogeneous ideals of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  without zeroes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , also assuming K algebraically closed: for example the maximal ideal  $\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . The following characterization holds:

**4.12.** Proposition. Let K be an algebraically closed field and let I be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $V_P(I) = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) either  $I = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $\sqrt{I} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ ;
- (iii)  $\exists d \geq 1$  such that  $I \supset K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ , the subgroup of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  formed by the homogeneous polynomials of degree d.

Proof.

- (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $p: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^n$  be the canonical surjection. We have:  $V_P(I) = p(V(I) \{0\})$ , where  $V(I) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ . So if  $V_P(I) = \emptyset$ , then either  $V(I) = \emptyset$  or  $V(I) = \{0\}$ . If  $V(I) = \emptyset$  then  $I(V(I)) = I(\emptyset) = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ; if  $V(I) = \{0\}$ , then  $I(V(I)) = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = \sqrt{I}$  by the Nullstellensatz.
- (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $\sqrt{I} = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $1 \in \sqrt{I}$  so  $1^r = 1 \in I(r \ge 1)$ . If  $\sqrt{I} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ , then for all variable  $x_k$  there exists an index  $i_k \ge 1$  such that  $x_k^{i_k} \in I$ . If  $d \ge i_0 + i_1 + \dots + i_n$ , then any monomial of degree d is in I, so  $K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]_d \subset I$ .
  - (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) because no point in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has all coordinates equal to 0.
- **4.13. Theorem.** Let K be an algebraically closed field and I be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . If F is a homogeneous non–constant polynomial such that  $V_P(F) \supset V_P(I)$  (i.e. F vanishes on  $V_P(I)$ , then  $F \in \sqrt{I}$ .

Proof. We have  $p(V(I) - \{0\}) = V_P(I) \subset V_P(F)$ . Since F is non-constant, we have also  $V(F) = p^{-1}(V_P(F)) \cup \{0\}$ , so  $V(F) \supset V(I)$ ; by the Nullstellensatz  $I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I} \supset I(V(F)) = \sqrt{(F)} \ni F$ .

- **4.14. Corollary (homogeneous Nullstellensatz).** Let I be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $V_P(I) \neq \emptyset$ , K algebraically closed. Then  $\sqrt{I} = I_h(V_P(I))$ .
- **4.15. Definition.** A homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $\sqrt{I} = \langle x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$  is called *irrelevant*.
- **4.16.** Corollary. Let K be an algebraically closed field. There is a bijection between the set of projective algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and the set of radical

homogeneous non-irrelevant ideals of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

**Remark.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an algebraic set,  $X \neq \emptyset$ . The affine cone of X, denoted C(X), is the following subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ :  $C(X) = p^{-1}(X) \cup \{0\}$ . If  $X = V_P(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ , with  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  homogeneous, then  $C(X) = V(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ . By the Nullstellensatz, if K is algebraically closed,  $I(C(X)) = I_h(X)$ .

# Exercises to §4.

- 1. Give a non-trivial example of an ideal  $\alpha$  of  $K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  such that  $\alpha\neq\sqrt{\alpha}$ .
- 2. Show that the following closed subsets of the affine plane  $Y = V(x^2 + y^2 1)$  and Y' = V(y 1) are such that equality does not hold in the following relation:  $I(Y \cap Y') \supset I(Y) + I(Y')$ .
- 3. Let  $\alpha \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be an ideal. Prove that  $\alpha = \sqrt{\alpha}$  if and only if the quotient ring  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\alpha$  does not contain non–zero nilpotents.
- $4^*$ . Let I be a homogeneous ideal of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  satisfying the following condition: if F is a homogeneous polynomial such that  $F^r \in I$  for some positive integer r, then  $F \in I$ . Prove that I is a radical ideal.

# 5. The projective closure of an affine algebraic set.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be Zariski closed. Fix an index  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and embed  $\mathbb{A}^n$  into  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as the open subset  $U_i$ . So  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n \stackrel{\phi_i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^n$ .

**5.1. Definition.** The projective closure of X,  $\overline{X}$ , is the closure of X in the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Since the map  $\phi_i$  is a homeomorphism (see Proposition 3.2.), we have:  $\overline{X} \cap \mathbb{A}^n = X$  because X is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . The points of  $\overline{X} \cap H_i$ , where  $H_i = V_P(x_i)$ , are called the "points at infinity" of X in the fixed embedding.

Note that, if K is an infinite field, then the projective closure of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is  $\mathbb{P}^n$ : indeed, let F be a homogeneous polynomial vanishing along  $\mathbb{A}^n = U_0$ . We can write  $F = F_0 x_0^d + F_1 x_0^{d-1} + \ldots + F_d$ . By assumption, for every  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $P \in V_P(F)$ , i.e.  $F(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 = {}^a F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . So  ${}^a F \in I(\mathbb{A}^n)$ . We claim that  $I(\mathbb{A}^n) = (0)$ : if n = 1, this follows from the principle of identity of polynomials, because K is infinite. If  $n \geq 2$ , assume that  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$  for all  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in K^n$  and consider  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x)$ : either it has positive degree in x for some choice of  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , but then it has finitely many zeroes against the assumption; or it is always constant in x, so F belongs to  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$  and we can conclude by induction. So the claim is proved. We get therefore that  $F_0 = F_1 = \ldots = F_d = 0$  and F = 0.

**5.2. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine algebraic set,  $\overline{X}$  be the projective closure of X. Then

$$I_h(\overline{X}) = {}^h I(X) := \langle {}^h F | F \in I(X) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathbb{A}^n = U_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let  $F \in I_h(\overline{X})$  be a homogeneous polynomial. If  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in X$ , then  $[1, a_1, \ldots, a_n] \in \overline{X}$ , so  $F(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 = {}^aF(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . Hence  ${}^aF \in X$ . There exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $F = (x_0^k)^h({}^aF)$  (see Proposition 3.2), so  $F \in {}^hI(X)$ . Hence  $I_h(\overline{X}) \subset {}^hI(X)$ .

Conversely, if  $G \in I(X)$  and  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in X$ , then  $G(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 = {}^hG(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , so  ${}^hG \in I_h(X)$  (here X is seen as a subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ). So  ${}^hI(X) \subset I_h(X)$ . Since  $I_h(X) = I_h(\overline{X})$  (see Exercise 5.1), we have the claim.

In particular, if X is a hypersurface and  $I(X) = \langle F \rangle$ , then  $I_h(\overline{X}) = \langle {}^hF \rangle$ .

Next example will show that, in general, it is not true that, if  $I(X) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_r \rangle$ , then  ${}^hI(X) = \langle {}^hF_1, \ldots, {}^hF_r \rangle$ . Only in the last twenty years, thanks to the development of symbolic algebra and in particular of the theory of Groebner bases, the problem of characterizing the systems of generators of I(X), whose homogeneization generates  ${}^hI(X)$ , has been solved.

## **5.3. Example.** The skew cubic.

Let K be an algebraically closed field. The affine skew cubic is the following closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^3$ :  $X = V(y-x^2,z-x^3)$  (we use variables x,y,z). X is the image of the map  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^3$  such that  $\phi(t) = (t,t^2,t^3)$ . Note that  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$  is a homeomorphism (see Exercise 2.4). The ideal  $\alpha = \langle y-x^2,y-x^3\rangle$  defines X and is prime: indeed the quotient ring  $K[x,y,z]/\alpha$  is isomorphic to K[x], hence an integral domain. Therefore  $\alpha$  is radical so  $\alpha = I(X)$ .

Let  $\overline{X}$  be the projective closure of X in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . We are going to prove that  $\overline{X}$  is the image of the map  $\psi: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $\psi([\lambda, \mu]) = [\lambda^3, \lambda^2 \mu, \lambda \mu^2, \mu^3]$ . We identify  $\mathbb{A}^1$  with the open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  defined by  $\lambda \neq 0$  i.e.  $U_0$ , and  $\mathbb{A}^3$  with the open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defined by  $x_0 \neq 0$  ( $U_0$  too). Note that  $\psi|_{\mathbb{A}^1} = \phi$ , because  $\psi([1, t]) = [1, t, t^2, t^3] = \text{via}$  the identification of  $\mathbb{A}^3$  with  $U_0 = (t, t^2, t^3) = \phi(t)$ . Moreover  $\psi([0, 1]) = [0, 0, 0, 1]$ . So  $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) = X \cup \{[0, 0, 0, 1]\}$ .

If G is a homogeneous polynomial of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_3]$  such that  $X \subset V_P(G)$ , then  $G(1, t, t^2, t^3) = 0 \ \forall t \in K$ , so  $G(\lambda^3, \lambda^2 \mu, \lambda \mu^2, \mu^3) = 0 \ \forall \mu \in K, \ \forall \lambda \in K^*$ . Since K is infinite, then  $G(\lambda^3, \lambda^2 \mu, \lambda \mu^2, \mu^3)$  is the zero polynomial in  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , so G(0, 0, 0, 1) = 0 and  $V_P(G) \supset \psi(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , therefore  $\overline{X} \supset \psi(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

Conversely, it is easy to prove that  $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is Zariski closed, in fact that  $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) = V_P(x_1^2 - x_0x_2, x_1x_2 - x_0x_3, x_2^2 - x_1x_3)$ . So  $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1) = \overline{X}$ .

The three polynomials  $F_0 := x_1x_3 - x_2^2$ ,  $F_1 := x_1x_2 - x_0x_3$ ,  $F_2 := x_0x_2 - x_1^2$  are the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with entries in  $K[x_0, x_1, ..., x_3]$ . Let  $F = y - x^2$ ,  $G = z - x^3$  be the two generators of I(X);  ${}^hF = x_0x_2 - x_1^2$ ,  ${}^hG = x_0^2x_3 - x_1^3$ , hence  $V_P({}^hF, {}^hG) = V_P(x_0x_2 - x_1^2, x_0^2x_3 - x_1^3) \neq \overline{X}$ , because  $V_P({}^hF, {}^hG)$  contains the whole line  $V_P(x_0, x_1)$ .

We shall prove now the non-trivial fact:

# **5.4. Proposition.** $I_h(\overline{X}) = \langle F_0, F_1, F_2 \rangle$ .

*Proof.* For all integer number  $d \geq 0$ , let  $I_h(\overline{X})_d := I_h(\overline{X}) \cap K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_d$ : it is a K-vector space of dimension  $\leq \binom{d+3}{3}$ . We define a K-linear map  $\rho_d$  having  $I_h(\overline{X})_d$  as kernel:

$$\rho_d: K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_d \to K[\lambda, \mu]_{3d}$$

such that  $\rho_d(F) = F(\lambda^3, \lambda^2 \mu, \lambda^2 \mu^2, \mu^3)$ . Since  $\rho_d$  is clearly surjective, we compute

$$\dim I_h(\overline{X})_d = \binom{d+3}{3} - (3d+1) = (d^3 + 6d^2 - 7d)/6.$$

For  $d \geq 2$ , we define now a second K-linear map

$$\phi_d: K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_{d-2} \oplus K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_{d-2} \oplus K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_{d-2} \to I_h(\overline{X})_d$$

such that  $\phi_d(G_0, G_1, G_2) = G_0F_0 + G_1F_1 + G_2F_2$ . Our aim is to prove that  $\phi_d$  is surjective. The elements of its kernel are called the *syzygies of degree d* among the polynomials  $F_0, F_1, F_2$ . Two obvious syzygies of degree 3 are constructed by developing, according to the Laplace rule, the determinant of the matrix obtained repeating one of the rows of M, for example

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

We put  $H_1 = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$  and  $H_2 = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , they both belong to ker  $\phi_3$ . Note that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  give raise to syzygies of all degrees  $\geq 3$ , in fact we can construct a third linear map

$$\psi_d: K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_{d-3} \oplus K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]_{d-3} \to \ker \phi_d$$

putting 
$$\psi_d(A, B) = H_1A + H_2B = (x_0, x_1, x_2)A + (x_1, x_2, x_3)B$$
.

Claim.  $\psi_d$  is an isomorphism.

Assuming the claim, we are able to compute dim ker  $\phi_d = 2\binom{d}{3}$ , therefore

$$\dim Im \ \phi_d = 3\binom{d+1}{3} - 2\binom{d}{3}$$

which coincides with the dimension of  $I_h(\overline{X})_d$  previously computed. This proves that  $\phi_d$  is surjective for all d and concludes the proof of the Proposition.

*Proof of the Claim.* Let  $(G_0, G_1, G_2)$  belong to ker  $\phi_d$ . This means that the following matrix N with entries in  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_3]$  is degenerate:

$$N := \begin{pmatrix} G_0 & G_1 & G_2 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the rows of N are linearly dependent over the quotient field of the polynomial ring  $K(x_0, \ldots, x_3)$ . Since the last two rows are independent, there exist reduced rational functions  $\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \frac{b_1}{b_0} \in K(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , such that

$$G_0 = \frac{a_1}{a_0}x_0 + \frac{b_1}{b_0}x_1 = \frac{a_1b_0x_0 + a_0b_1x_1}{a_0b_0}$$

and similarly

$$G_1 = \frac{a_1b_0x_1 + a_0b_1x_2}{a_0b_0}, G_2 = \frac{a_1b_0x_2 + a_0b_1x_3}{a_0b_0}$$

The  $G_i$ 's are polynomials, therefore the denominator  $a_0b_0$  divides the numerator in each of the three expressions on the right hand side. Moreover, if p is a prime factor of  $a_0$ , then p divides the three products  $b_0x_0, b_0x_1, b_0x_2$ , hence p divides  $b_0$ . We can repeat the reasoning for a prime divisor of  $b_0$ , so obtaining that  $a_0 = b_0$  (up to invertible constants). We get:

$$G_0 = \frac{a_1x_0 + b_1x_1}{b_0}, G_1 = \frac{a_1x_1 + b_1x_2}{b_0}, G_2 = \frac{a_1x_2 + b_1x_3}{b_0},$$

therefore  $b_0$  divides the numerators

$$c_0 := a_1x_0 + b_1x_1, c_1 := a_1x_1 + b_1x_2, c_2 := a_1x_2 + b_1x_3.$$

Hence  $b_0$  divides also  $x_1c_0 - x_0c_1 = b_1(x_1^2 - x_0x_1) = -b_1F_2$ , and similarly  $x_2c_0 - x_0c_2 = b_1F_1$ ,  $x_2c_1 - x_1c_2 = -b_1F_0$ . But  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  are irreducible and coprime, so we conclude that  $b_0 \mid b_1$ . But  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  are coprime, so finally we get  $b_0 = a_0 = 1$ .

As a by-product of the proof of Proposition 5.4 we have the minimal free resolution of the *R*-module  $I_h(\overline{X})$ , where  $R = K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_3]$ :

$$0 \to R^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\psi} R^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\phi} I_h(\overline{X}) \to 0$$

where  $\psi$  is represented by the transposed of the matrix M and  $\phi$  by the triple of polynomials  $(F_0, F_1, F_2)$ .

#### Exercises to §5.

- 1\*. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be a closed subset,  $\overline{X}$  be its projective closure in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Prove that  $I_h(X) = I_h(\overline{X})$ .
- 2. Find a system of generators of the ideal of the affine skew cubic X, such that, if you homogeneize them, you get a system of generators for  $I_h(\overline{X})$ .

## 6. Irreducible components.

- **6.1. Definition.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a topological space. X is *irreducible* if the following condition holds: if  $X_1, X_2$  are closed subsets of X such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , then either  $X = X_1$  or  $X = X_2$ . Equivalently, X is irreducible if for all pair of nonempty open subsets U, V we have  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . By definition,  $\emptyset$  is not irreducible.
- **6.2. Proposition.** X is irreducible if and only if any non-empty open subset U of X is dense.

*Proof.* Let X be irreducible, let P be a point of X and  $I_P$  be an open neighbourhood of P in X.  $I_P$  and U are non-empty and open, so  $I_P \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , therefore  $P \in \overline{U}$ . This proves that  $\overline{U} = X$ .

Conversely, assume that open subsets are dense. Let  $U, V \neq \emptyset$  be open subsets. Let  $P \in U$  be a point. By assumption  $P \in \overline{V} = X$ , so  $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$  (U is an open neighbourhood of P).

#### Examples.

- 1. If  $X = \{P\}$  a unique point, then X is irreducible.
- 2. Let K be an infinite field. Then  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is irreducible, because proper closed subsets are finite sets. The same holds for  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .
- 3. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous map of topological spaces. If X is irreducible and f is surjective, then Y is irreducible.
- 4. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a subset, give it the induced topology. Then Y is irreducible if and only if the following holds: if  $Y \subset Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , with  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  closed in X, then either  $Y \subset Z_1$  or  $Y \subset Z_2$ ; equivalently: if  $Y \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Y \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , with U, V open subsets of X, then  $Y \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .
- **6.3. Proposition.** Let X be a topological space, Y a subset of X. Y is irreducible if and only if  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* Note first that if  $U \subset X$  is open and  $U \cap Y = \emptyset$  then  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{Y} = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, if  $P \in U \cap \overline{Y}$ , let A be an open neighbourhood of P: then  $A \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, U is an open neighbourhood of P so  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

Let Y be irreducible. If U and V are open subsets of X such that  $U \cap \overline{Y} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V \cap \overline{Y} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  so  $Y \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  by irreducibility of Y. Hence  $\overline{Y} \cap (U \cap V) \neq \emptyset$ . So  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible. If  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible, we get the irreducibility of Y in a completely analogous way.

**6.4. Corollary.** Let X be an irreducible topological space and U be a non-empty open subset of X. Then U is irreducible.

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.2  $\overline{U}=X$  which is irreducible. By Proposition 6.3 U is irreducible.

For algebraic sets (both affine and projective) irreducibility can be expressed in a purely algebraic way.

**6.5. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  (resp.  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ) be an algebraic set. X is irreducible if and only if I(X) (resp.  $I_h(X)$ ) is prime.

*Proof.* Assume first that X is irreducible,  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ . Let F, G polynomials of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $FG \in I(X)$ : then

$$V(F) \cup V(G) = V(FG) \supset V(I(X)) = X$$

hence either  $X \subset V(F)$  or  $X \subset V(G)$ . In the former case, if  $P \in X$  then F(P) = 0, so  $F \in I(X)$ , in the second case  $G \in I(X)$ ; hence I(X) is prime.

Assume now that I(X) is prime. Let  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  be the union of two closed subsets. Then  $I(X) = I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$  (see §4). Assume that  $X_1 \neq X$ , then  $I(X_1)$  strictly contains I(X) (otherwise  $V(I(X_1)) = V(I(X))$ ). So there exists  $F \in I(X_1)$  such that  $F \notin I(X)$ . But for every  $G \in I(X_2)$ ,  $FG \in I(X_1) \cap I(X_2) = I(X)$  prime: since  $F \notin I(X)$ , then  $G \in I(X)$ . So  $I(X_2) \subset I(X)$  hence  $I(X_2) = I(X)$ .

If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , the proof is similar, taking into account the following:

**6.6. Lemma** Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a homogeneous ideal. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is prime if and only if, for every pair of homogeneous polynomials F, G such that  $FG \in \mathcal{P}$ , either  $F \in \mathcal{P}$  or  $G \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Proof of the Lemma. Let H, K be any polynomials such that  $HK \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $H = H_0 + H_1 + \ldots + H_d$ ,  $K = K_0 + K_1 + \ldots + K_e$  (with  $H_d \neq 0 \neq K_e$ ) be their expressions as sums of homogeneous polynomials. Then  $HK = H_0K_0 + (H_0K_1 + H_1K_0) + \ldots + H_dK_e$ : the last product is the homogeneous component of degree d + e of HK.  $\mathcal{P}$  being homogeneous,  $H_dK_e \in \mathcal{P}$ ; by assumption either  $H_d \in \mathcal{P}$  or  $K_e \in \mathcal{P}$ . In the former case,  $HK - H_dK = (H - H_d)K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$  while in the second one  $H(K - K_e) \in \mathcal{P}$ . So in both cases we can proceed by induction.  $\square$ 

We list now some consequences of the previous Proposition.

- 1. Let K be an infinite field. Then  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are irreducible, because  $I(\mathbb{A}^n) = I_h(\mathbb{P}^n) = (0)$ .
- 2. Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be closed. Y is irreducible if and only if its affine cone C(Y) is irreducible.
- 3. Let  $Y = V(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ , be a hypersurface over an algebraically closed field K. If F is irreducible, then Y is irreducible.
- 4. Let K be algebraically closed. There is a bijection between prime ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and irreducible algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . In particular, the maximal ideals correspond to the points. Similarly, there is a bijection between homogeneous non-irrelevant prime ideals of  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and irreducible algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .
- **6.7.** Definition. A topological space X is called *noetherian* if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:
  - (i) the ascending chain condition for open subsets;
  - (ii) the descending chain condition for closed subsets;
  - (iii) any non-empty set of open subsets of X has maximal elements;
- (iv) any non-empty set of closed subsets of X has minimal elements. The proof of the equivalence is standard.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is noetherian: if the following is a descending chain of closed subsets

$$Y_1 \supset Y_2 \supset \ldots \supset Y_k \supset \ldots$$

then

$$I(Y_1) \subset I(Y_2) \subset \ldots \subset I(Y_k) \subset \ldots$$

is an ascending chain of ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  hence stationary from a suitable m on; therefore  $V(I(Y_m)) = Y_m = V(I(Y_m)) = Y_{m+1} = \ldots$ 

**6.8. Proposition.** Let X be a noetherian topological space and Y be a non-empty closed subset of X. Then Y can be written as a finite union  $Y = Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_r$  of irreducible closed subsets. The maximal  $Y_i$ 's in the union are uniquely determined by Y and called the "irreducible components" of Y. They are the maximal irreducible subsets of Y.

*Proof.* By contradiction. Let S be the set of the non-empty closed subsets of X which are not a finite union of irreducible closed subsets: assume  $S \neq \emptyset$ . By noetherianity S has minimal elements, fix one of them Z. Z is not irreducible, so  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ ,  $Z_i \neq Z$  for i = 1, 2. So  $Z_1, Z_2 \notin S$ , hence  $Z_1, Z_2$  are both finite unions of irreducible closed subsets, so such is Z: a contradiction.

Now assume that  $Y = Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_r$ , with  $Y_i \not\subseteq Y_j$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $Y_i$  irreducible closed for all i. If there is another similar expression  $Y = Y'_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y'_s$ ,  $Y'_i \not\subseteq Y'_j$ 

for  $i \neq j$ , then  $Y_1' \subset Y_1 \cup \ldots Y_r$ , so  $Y_1' = \bigcup_{i=1}^r (Y_1' \cup Y_i)$ , hence  $Y_1' \subset Y_i$  for some i, and we can assume i = 1. Similarly,  $Y_1 \subset Y_j'$ , for some j, so  $Y_1' \subset Y_1 \subset Y_j'$ , so j = 1 and  $Y_1 = Y_1'$ . Now let  $Z = \overline{Y - Y_1} = Y_2 \cup \ldots \cup Y_r = Y_2' \cup \ldots \cup Y_s'$  and proceed by induction.

**6.9. Corollary.** Any algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  (resp. of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ) is in a unique way the finite union of its irreducible components.

Note that the irreducible components of X are its maximal algebraic subsets. They correspond to the minimal prime ideals over I(X). Since I(X) is radical, these minimal prime ideals coincide with the primary ideals appearing in the primary decomposition of I(X).

- **6.10. Definition.** An irreducible closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is called an affine variety. Similarly, an irreducible closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is a projective variety. A locally closed subset in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is the intersection of an open and a closed subset. An irreducible locally closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is a quasi-projective variety.
- **6.11. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$  be affine varieties. Then  $X \times Y$  is irreducible, i.e. a subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}^{n+m}$ .

Proof. Let  $X \times Y = W_1 \cup W_2$ , with  $W_1, W_2$  closed. For all  $P \in X$  the map  $\{P\} \times Y \to Y$  which takes (P,Q) to Q is a homeomorphism, so  $\{P\} \times Y$  is irreducible.  $\{P\} \times Y = (W_1 \cap (\{P\} \times Y)) \cup (W_2 \cap (\{P\} \times Y))$ , so  $\exists i \in \{1,2\}$  such that  $\{P\} \times Y \subset W_i$ . Let  $X_i = \{P \in X \mid \{P\} \times Y \subset W_i\}$ , i = 1,2. Note that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ .

Claim.  $X_i$  is closed in X.

Let  $X^i(Q) = \{P \in X \mid (P,Q) \in W_i\}, Q \in Y$ . We have:  $(X \times \{Q\}) \cap W_i = X^i(Q) \times \{Q\} \simeq X^i(Q); X \times \{Q\} \text{ and } W_i \text{ are closed in } X \times Y, \text{ so } X^i(Q) \times \{Q\} \text{ is closed in } X \times Y \text{ and also in } X \times \{Q\}, \text{ so } X^i(Q) \text{ is closed in } X.$  Note that  $X_i = \bigcap_{Q \in Y} X^i(Q)$ , hence  $X_i$  is closed, which proves the Claim.

Since X is irreducible,  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  implies that either  $X = X_1$  or  $X = X_2$ , so either  $X \times Y = W_1$  or  $X \times Y = W_2$ .

#### Exercises to $\S 6$ .

- 1. Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a topological space. Prove that X is irreducible if and only if all non–empty open subsets of X are connected.
- 2\*. Prove that the cuspidal cubic  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$  of equation  $x^3 y^2 = 0$  is irreducible. (Hint: express Y as image of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  in a continuous map...)

- 3. Give an example of two irreducible subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  whose intersection is reducible.
- 4. Find the irreducible components of the following algebraic sets over the complex field:
  - a)  $V(y^4 x^2, y^4 x^2y^2 + xy^2 x^3) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ ; b)  $V(y^2 xz, z^2 y^3) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ .
- 5\*. Let Z be a topological space and  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  be an open covering of Z such that  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and that all  $U_{\alpha}$ 's are irreducible. Prove that Z is irreducible.

#### 7. Dimension.

Let X be a topological space.

**7.1. Definition.** The topological dimension of X is the supremum of the lengths of the chains of distinct irreducible closed subsets of X, where by definiton the following chain has length n:

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \ldots \subset X_n$$
.

# Example.

- 1. dim  $\mathbb{A}^1 = 1$ : the maximal length chains have the form  $\{P\} \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ .
- 2. dim  $\mathbb{A}^n = n$ : a chain of length n is

$$\{0\} = V(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset V(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \subset \dots \subset V(x_1) \subset \mathbb{A}^n;$$

note that  $V(x_1,\ldots,x_i)$  is irreducible  $\forall i$ , because the ideal  $\langle x_1,\ldots,x_i\rangle$  is prime. Indeed the quotient  $K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\langle x_1,\ldots,x_i\rangle$  is isomorphic to  $K[x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n]$ . Therefore dim  $\mathbb{A}^n \geq n$ . On the other hand, from every chain of irreducible closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , passing to the ideals, we get a chain of the same length of prime ideals in  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , therefore dim  $\mathbb{A}^n \leq \dim K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = n$ .

3. Let X be irreducible. Then  $\dim X = 0$  if and only if X is the closure of every point of it.

We prove now some useful relations between the dimension of X and the dimensions of its subspaces.

#### 7.2. Proposition.

- 1. If  $Y \subset X$ , then dim  $Y < \dim X$ . In particular, if dim X is finite, then also  $\dim Y$  is (in this case, the number  $\dim X - \dim Y$  is called the codimension of Y in X).
  - 2. If  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is an open covering, then  $\dim X = \sup \{\dim U_i\}$ .
- 3. If X is noetherian and  $X_1, \ldots, X_s$  are its irreducible components, then  $\dim X = \sup_i \dim X_i$ .

4. If  $Y \subset X$  is closed, X is irreducible, dim X is finite and dim  $X = \dim Y$ , then Y = X.

Proof.

- 1. Let  $Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \ldots \subset Y_n$  be a chain of irreducible closed subsets of Y. Then their closures are irreducible and form the following chain:  $\overline{Y_0} \subseteq \overline{Y_1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \overline{Y_n}$ . Note that for all i  $\overline{Y_i} \cap Y = Y_i$ , because  $Y_i$  is closed into Y, so if  $\overline{Y_i} = \overline{Y_{i+1}}$ , then  $Y_i = Y_{i+1}$ . Therefore the two chains have the same length and we can conclude that  $\dim Y \leq \dim X$ .
- 2. Let  $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \ldots \subset X_n$  be a chain of irreducible closed subsets of X. Let  $P \in X_0$  be a point: there exists an index  $i \in I$  such that  $P \in U_i$ . So  $\forall k = 0, \ldots, n$   $X_k \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ : it is an irreducible closed subset of  $U_i$ , irreducible because open in  $X_k$  which is irreducible. Consider  $X_0 \cap U_i \subset X_1 \cap U_i \subset \ldots \subset X_n \cap U_i$ ; it is a chain of length n, because  $\overline{X_k \cap U_i} = X_k$ : in fact  $X_k \cap U_i$  is open in  $X_k$  hence dense. Therefore, for all chain of irreducible closed subsets of X, there exists a chain of the same length of irreducible closed subsets of some  $U_i$ . So dim  $X \subseteq \sup \dim U_i$ . By 1., equality holds.
- 3. Any chain of irreducible closed subsets of X is completely contained in an irreducible component of X. The conclusion follows as in 2.
- 4. If  $Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset \ldots \subset Y_n$  is a chain of maximal length in Y, then it is a maximal chain in X, because dim  $X = \dim Y$ . Hence  $X = Y_n \subset Y$ .

# 7.3. Corollary. $\dim \mathbb{P}^n = \dim \mathbb{A}^n$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\mathbb{P}^n = U_0 \cup \ldots \cup U_n$ , and  $U_i$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^n$  for all i.  $\square$ 

If X is noetherian and all its irreducible components have the same dimension r, then X is said to have pure dimension r.

Note that the topological dimension is invariant by homeomorphism. By definition, a *curve* is an algebraic set of pure dimension 1; a *surface* is an algebraic set of pure dimension 2.

We want to study the dimensions of affine algebraic sets. The following definition results to be very important.

**7.4. Definition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an algebraic set. The coordinate ring of X is

$$K[X] := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X).$$

It is a finitely generated K-algebra without non-zero nilpotents, because I(X) is radical. There is the canonical epimorphism  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to K[X]$  such that  $F \to [F]$ . The elements of K[X] can be interpreted as polynomial functions on X: to a polynomial F, we can associate the function  $f: X \to K$  such that  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \to F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ .

Two polynomials F, G define the same function on X if, and only if, F(P) = G(P) for every point  $P \in X$ , i.e. if  $F - G \in I(X)$ , which means exactly that F and G have the same image in K[X].

K[X] is generated as K-algebra by  $[x_1], \ldots, [x_n]$ : these can be interpreted as the functions on X called *coordinate functions*, and generally denoted  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ . In fact  $t_i: X \to K$  is the function which associates to  $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  the constant  $a_i$ . Note that the function f can be interpreted as  $F(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ : the polynomial F evalued at the n- tuple of the coordinate functions.

In the projective space we can do an analogous construction. If  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is closed, then the homogeneous coordinate ring of Y is

$$S(Y) := K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_h(Y).$$

It is also a finitely generated K-algebra, but its elements have no interpretation as functions on Y. They are functions on the cone C(Y).

**7.5. Definition.** Let R be a ring. The Krull dimension of R is the supremum of the lengths of the chains of prime ideals of R

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{P}_r$$
.

Similarly, the *heigth* of a prime ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  is the sup of the lengths of the chains of prime ideals contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ : it is denoted ht $\mathcal{P}$ .

**7.6. Proposition.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let X be an affine algebraic set contained in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Then  $\dim X = \dim K[X]$ .

Proof.

By the Nullstellensatz and by 6.5 the chains of irreducible closed subsets of X correspond bijectively to the chains of prime ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  containing I(X), hence to the chains of prime ideals of the quotient ring K[X].

The dimension theory for commutative rings contains some important theorems about dimension of K-algebras. The following two results are very useful.

- **7.7. Theorem.** Let K be any field.
- 1. Let B be a finitely generated K-algebra and an integral domain. Then  $\dim B = tr.d.Q(B)/K$ , where Q(B) is the quotient field of B. In particular dim B is finite.
- 2. Let B be as above and  $\mathcal{P} \subset B$  be any prime ideal. Then dim  $B = \operatorname{ht}\mathcal{P} + \dim B/\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* For 1. see Portelli's notes. For a proof of 2., see for instance [4], Ch. II, Proposition 3.4. It relies on the normalization lemma and the lying over theorem.  $\Box$ 

**7.8. Corollary.** Let K be an algebraically closed field.

1. 
$$\dim \mathbb{A}^n = \dim \mathbb{P}^n = n$$
.

- 2. If X is an affine variety, then dim X = tr.d.K(X)/K, where K(X) denotes the quotient field of K[X].
  - 2. If  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is closed and irreducible, then  $\dim X = n \operatorname{ht} I(X)$ .

The following is an important characterization of the algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  of codimension 1.

**7.9. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be closed. Then X is a hypersurface if and only if X is of pure dimension n-1.

*Proof.* We give here an elementary direct proof. It can be proved more quickly using the Krull principal ideal theorem.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be a hypersurface, with  $I(X) = (F) = (F_1^{r_1} \dots F_s^{r_s})$ , where  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  are the irreducible factors of F with multiplicities  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  respectively. Then  $V(F_1), \dots, V(F_s)$  are the irreducible components of X, whose ideals are  $(F_1), \dots, (F_s)$ . So it is enough to prove that  $\operatorname{ht}(F_i) = 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

If  $\mathcal{P} \subset (F_i)$  is a prime ideal, then either  $\mathcal{P} = (0)$  or there exists  $G \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $G \neq 0$ . In the second case, let A be an irreducible factor of G belonging to  $\mathcal{P}$ :  $A \in (F_i)$  so  $A = HF_i$ . Since A is irreducible, either H or  $F_i$  is invertible;  $F_i$  is irreducible, so H is invertible, hence  $(A) = (F_i) \subset \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore either  $\mathcal{P} = (0)$  or  $\mathcal{P} = (F_i)$ , and  $\operatorname{ht}(F_i) = 1$ .

Conversely, assume that X is irreducible of dimension n-1. Since  $X \neq \mathbb{A}^n$ , there exists  $F \in I(X)$ ,  $F \neq 0$ . By the irreducibility, some irreducible factor of F, call it H, also vanishes along X. Therefore  $X \subset V(H)$ , which is irreducible of dimension n-1, by the first part. So X = V(H) (by Proposition 7.2, 3).

This proposition does not generalize to higher codimension. There exist codimension 2 algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  whose ideal is not generated by two polynomials. An example in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  is the curve X parametrized by  $(t^3, t^4, t^5)$ . A system of generators of I(X) is  $\langle x^3 - yz, y^2 - xz, z^2 - x^2y \rangle$ . One can show that I(X) cannot be generated by two polynomials. For a discussion of this and other similar examples, see [4], Chapter V.

**7.10. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$  be irreducible closed subsets. Then  $\dim X \times Y = \dim X + \dim Y$ .

Proof. Let  $r = \dim X$ ,  $s = \dim Y$ ; let  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  (resp.  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ ) be coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  (resp.  $\mathbb{A}^m$ ). We can assume that  $t_1, \ldots, t_r$  be a transcendence basis of Q(K[X]) and  $u_1, \ldots, u_s$  be a transcendence basis of Q(K[Y]). By definition,  $K[X \times Y]$  is generated as K-algebra by  $t_1, \ldots, t_n, u_1, \ldots, u_m$ : we want to show that  $t_1, \ldots, t_r, u_1, \ldots, u_s$  is a transcendence basis of  $Q(K[X \times Y])$  over K. Assume that  $F(x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s)$  is a polynomial which vanishes on  $t_1, \ldots, t_r, u_1, \ldots, u_s$ , i.e. F defines the zero function on  $X \times Y$ . Then,  $\forall P \in X$ ,  $F(P; y_1, \ldots, y_s)$  is zero on Y, i.e.  $F(P; u_1, \ldots, u_s) = 0$ . Since  $u_1, \ldots, u_s$  are algebraically independent, every coefficient  $a_i(P)$  of  $F(P; y_1, \ldots, y_s)$  is zero,  $\forall P \in X$ . Since

 $t_1, \ldots, t_r$  are algebraically independent, the polynomials  $a_i(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  are zero, so  $F(x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s) = 0$ . So  $t_1, \ldots, t_r, u_1, \ldots, u_s$  are algebraically independent. Since this is certainly a maximal algebraically free set, it is a transcendence basis.

#### Exercises to $\S 7$ .

- 1\*. Prove that a proper closed subset of an irreducible curve is a finite set. Deduce that any bijection between irreducible curves is a homeomorphism.
- $2^*$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  be the cuspidal cubic of equation:  $x^3 y^2 = 0$ , let K[X] be its coordinate ring. Prove that all elements of K[X] can be written in a unique way in the form f(x) + yg(x), where f, g are polynomial in the variable x. Deduce that K[X] is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring.

## 8. Regular and rational functions.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a locally closed subset and P be a point of X. Let  $\phi: X \to K$  be a function.

**8.1. Definition.**  $\phi$  is regular at P if there exists a suitable neighbourhood of P in which it can be expressed as a quotient of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree; more precisely, if there exist an open neighbourhood U of P in X and homogeneous polynomials  $F, G \in K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  with deg  $F = \deg G$ , such that  $U \cap V_P(G) = \emptyset$  and  $\phi(Q) = F(Q)/G(Q)$ , for all  $Q \in U$ . Note that the quotient F(Q)/G(Q) is well defined.

 $\phi$  is regular on X if  $\phi$  is regular at every point P of X.

The set of regular functions on X is denoted  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ : it contains K (identified with the set of constant functions), and can be given the structure of a K-algebra, by the definitions:

$$(\phi + \psi)(P) = \phi(P) + \psi(P)$$
$$(\phi\psi)(P) = \phi(P)\psi(P),$$

for  $P \in X$ . (Check that  $\phi + \psi$  and  $\phi \psi$  are indeed regular on X.)

**8.2. Proposition.** Let  $\phi: X \to K$  be a regular function. Let K be identified with  $\mathbb{A}^1$  with Zariski topology. Then  $\phi$  is continuous.

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $\phi^{-1}(c)$  is closed in  $X, \forall c \in K$ . For all  $P \in X$ , choose an open neighbourhood  $U_P$  and homogeneous polynomials  $F_P$ ,  $G_P$  such that  $\phi|_P = F_P/G_P$ . Then

$$\phi^{-1}(c) \cap U_P = \{ Q \in U_P | F_P(Q) - cG_P(Q) = 0 \} = U_P \cap V_P(F_P - cG_P)$$

is closed in  $U_P$ . The proposition then follows from:

**8.3. Lemma.** Let T be a topological space,  $T = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  be an open covering of T,  $Z \subset T$  be a subset. Then Z is closed if and only if  $Z \cap U_i$  is closed in  $U_i$  for all i.

*Proof.* Assume that  $U_i = X \setminus C_i$  and  $Z \cap U_i = Z_i \cap U_i$ , with  $C_i$  and  $Z_i$  closed in X.

Claim:  $Z = \bigcap_{i \in I} (Z_i \cup C_i)$ , hence it is closed.

In fact: if  $P \in Z$ , then  $P \in Z \cap U_i$  for a suitable i. Therefore  $P \in Z_i \cap U_i$ , so  $P \in Z_i \cup C_i$ . If  $P \notin Z_j \cap U_j$  for some j, then  $P \notin U_j$  so  $P \in C_j$  and therefore  $P \in Z_j \cup C_j$ .

Conversely, if  $P \in \bigcap_{i \in I} (Z_i \cup C_i)$ , then  $\forall i$ , either  $P \in Z_i$  or  $P \in C_i$ . Since  $\exists j$  such that  $P \in U_j$ , hence  $P \notin C_j$ , so  $P \in Z_j$ , so  $P \in Z_j \cap U_j = Z \cap U_j$ .

8.4. Corollary.

- 1. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ : then  $\phi^{-1}(0)$  is closed. It is denoted  $V(\phi)$  and called the set of zeroes of  $\phi$ .
- 2. Let X be a quasi-projective variety and  $\phi$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Assume that there exists U, open non -empty subset such that  $\phi|_U = \psi|_U$ . Then  $\phi = \psi$ .

*Proof.*  $\phi - \psi \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  so  $V(\phi - \psi)$  is closed. By assumption  $V(\phi - \psi) \supset U$ , which is dense, because X is irreducible. So  $V(\phi - \psi) = X$ .

If  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is locally closed, we can use on X both homogeneous and non-homogeneous coordinates. In the second case, a regular function is locally represented as a quotient F/G, with F and  $G \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . In particular all polynomial functions are regular, so, if X is closed,  $K[X] \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

If  $\alpha \subset K[X]$  is an ideal, we can consider  $V(\alpha) := \bigcap_{\phi \in \alpha} V(\phi)$ : it is closed into X. Note that  $\alpha$  is of the form  $\alpha = \overline{\alpha}/I(X)$ , where  $\overline{\alpha}$  is the image of  $\alpha$  in the canonical epimorphism, it is an ideal of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  containing I(X), hence  $V(\alpha) = V(\overline{\alpha}) \cap X = V(\overline{\alpha})$ .

If K is algebraically closed, from the Nullstellensatz it follows that, if  $\alpha$  is proper, then  $V(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover the following relative form of the Nullstellensatz holds: if  $f \in K[X]$  and f vanishes at all points  $P \in X$  such that  $g_1(P) = \ldots = g_m(P) = 0$   $(g_1, \ldots, g_m \in K[X])$ , then  $f^r \in \langle g_1, \ldots, g_m \rangle \subset K[X]$ , for some  $r \geq 1$ .

**8.5. Theorem.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n_K$  be closed in the Zariski topology. Then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K[X]$ . It is an integral domain if and only if X is irreducible.

Proof. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

- (i) Assume first that X is irreducible. For all  $P \in X$  fix an open neighbourhood  $U_P$  of P and polynomials  $F_P$ ,  $G_P$  such that  $V_P(G_P) \cap U_P = \emptyset$  and  $f|_{U_P} = F_P/G_P$ . Let  $f_P$ ,  $g_P$  be the functions of K[X] defined by  $F_P$  and  $G_P$ . Then  $g_P f = f_P$  holds on  $U_P$ , so it holds on X (by Corollary 8.3). Let  $\alpha \subset K[X]$  be the ideal  $\alpha = \langle g_P \rangle_{P \in X}$ ;  $\alpha$  has no zeroes on X, because  $g_P(P) \neq 0$ , so  $\alpha = K[X]$ . Therefore there exists  $h_P \in K[X]$  such that  $1 = \sum_{P \in X} h_P g_P$  (sum with finite support). Hence in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  we have the relation:  $f = f \sum h_P g_P = \sum h_P (g_P f) = \sum h_P f_P \in K[X]$ .
- (ii) Let X be reducible: for all  $P \in X$ , there exists  $R \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $R(P) \neq 0$  and  $R \in V(X \setminus U_P)$ , so  $r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  is zero outside  $U_P$ . So  $rg_P f = f_P r$  on X and we conclude as above by replacing  $g_P$  with  $g_P r$  and  $f_P$  with  $f_P r$ .

The characterization of regular functions on projective varieties is completely different: we will see in §12 that, if X is a projective variety, then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K$ , i.e. the unique regular functions are constant.

This gives the motivation for introducing the following weaker concept.

**8.6. Definition.** Let X be a quasi-projective variety. A rational function on X is a germ of regular functions on some open non-empty subset of X.

Precisely, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set  $\{(U, f)|U \neq \emptyset$ , open subset of  $X, f \in \mathcal{O}(U)\}$ . The following relation on  $\mathcal{K}$  is an equivalence relation:

$$(U, f) \sim (U', f')$$
 if and only if  $f|_{U \cap U'} = f'|_{U \cap U'}$ .

Reflexive and symmetric properties are quite obvious. Transitive property: let  $(U,f) \sim (U',f')$  and  $(U',f') \sim (U'',f'')$ . Then  $f|_{U\cap U'} = f'|_{U\cap U'}$  and  $f'|_{U'\cap U''} = f''|_{U'\cap U''}$ , hence  $f|_{U\cap U'\cap U''} = f''|_{U\cap U'\cap U''}$ .  $U\cap U'\cap U''$  is a non-empty open subset of  $U\cap U''$  (which is irreducible and quasi-projective), so by Corollary 8.4  $f|_{U'\cap U''} = f''|_{U'\cap U''}$ .

Let  $K(X) := \mathcal{K}/\sim$ : its elements are by definition rational functions on X. K(X) can be given the structure of a field in the following natural way.

Let  $\langle U, f \rangle$  denote the class of (U, f) in K(X). We define:

$$\langle U, f \rangle + \langle U', f' \rangle = \langle U \cap U', f + f' \rangle,$$
  
 $\langle U, f \rangle \langle U', f' \rangle = \langle U \cap U', f f' \rangle$ 

(check that the definitions are well posed!).

There is a natural inclusion:  $K \to K(X)$  such that  $c \to \langle X, c \rangle$ . Moreover, if  $\langle U, f \rangle \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\langle U, f \rangle^{-1} = \langle U \setminus V(f), f^{-1} \rangle$ : the axioms of a field are all satisfied.

There is also an injective map:  $\mathcal{O}(X) \to K(X)$  such that  $\phi \to \langle X, \phi \rangle$ .

**8.7. Proposition.** If  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is affine, then  $K(X) \simeq Q(\mathcal{O}(X)) = K(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ , where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  are the coordinate functions on X.

*Proof.* The isomorphism is as follows:

- (i)  $\psi: K(X) \to Q(\mathcal{O}(X))$
- If  $\langle U, \phi \rangle \in K(X)$ , then there exists  $V \subset U$ , open and non-empty, such that  $\phi \mid_V = F/G$ , where  $F, G \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $V(G) \cap V = \emptyset$ . We set  $\psi(\langle U, \phi \rangle) = f/g$ .
  - (ii)  $\psi': Q(\mathcal{O}(X)) \to K(X)$
- If  $f/g \in Q(\mathcal{O}(X))$ , we set  $\psi'(f/g) = \langle X \setminus V(g), f/g \rangle$ .

It is easy to check that  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are well defined and inverse each other.  $\square$ 

- **8.8. Corollary.** If X is an affine variety, then dim X is equal to the transcendence degree over K of its field of rational functions.
- **8.9. Proposition.** If X is quasi-projective and  $U \neq \emptyset$  is an open subset, then  $K(X) \simeq K(U)$ .

*Proof.* We have the maps:  $K(U) \to K(X)$  such that  $\langle V, \phi \rangle \to \langle V, \phi \rangle$ , and  $K(X) \to K(U)$  such that  $\langle A, \psi \rangle \to \langle A \cap U, \psi \mid_{A \cap U} \rangle$ : they are K-homomorphisms inverse each other.

**8.10.** Corollary. If X is a projective variety contained in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , if i is an index such that  $X \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ , then dim  $X = \dim X \cap U_i = tr.d.K(X)/K$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.2 dim  $X = \sup \dim(X \cap U_i)$ . By 8.8 and 8.9, if  $X \cap U_i$  is non–empty, dim $(X \cap U_i) = tr.d.K(X \cap U_i)/K = tr.d.K(X)/K$  is independent of i.

If  $\langle U, \phi \rangle \in K(X)$ , we can consider all possible representatives of it, i.e. all pairs  $\langle U_i, \phi_i \rangle$  such that  $\langle U, \phi \rangle = \langle U_i, \phi_i \rangle$ . Then  $\overline{U} = \bigcup_i U_i$  is the maximum open subset of X on which  $\phi$  can be seen as a function: it is called the *domain of definition* (or of regularity) of  $\langle U, \phi \rangle$ , or simply of  $\phi$ . It is sometimes denoted dom $\phi$ . If  $P \in \overline{U}$ , we say that  $\phi$  is regular at P.

We can consider the set of rational functions on X which are regular at P: it is denoted  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ . It is a subring of K(X) containing  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , called the local ring of X at P. In fact,  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is a local ring, whose maximal ideal, denoted  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ , is formed by rational functions  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(P)$  is defined and  $\phi(P) = 0$ . To see this, observe that an element of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  can be represented as  $\langle U, F/G \rangle$ : its inverse in K(X) is  $\langle U \setminus V_P(G), G/F \rangle$ , which belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  if and only if  $F(P) \neq 0$ . We'll see in 8.12 that  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is the localization  $K[X]_{I_X(P)}$ .

As in Proposition 8.9 for the fields of rational functions, also for the local rings of points it can easily be proved that, if  $U \neq \emptyset$  is an open subset of X containing P, then  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P,U}$ . So the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  only depends on the local behaviour of

X in the neighbourhood of P.

The residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ : it is a field which results to be naturally isomorphic to the base field K. In fact consider the evaluation map  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X} \to K$  such that  $\phi$  goes to  $\phi(P)$ : it is surjective with kernel  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X} \simeq K$ .

# 8.11. Examples.

1. Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  be the curve  $V(x_1^3 - x_2^2)$ . Then  $F = x_2$ ,  $G = x_1$  define the function  $\phi = x_2/x_1$  which is regular at the points  $P(a_1, a_2)$  such that  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Another representation of the same function is:  $\phi = x_1^2/x_2$ , which shows that  $\phi$  is regular at P if  $a_2 \neq 0$ . If  $\phi$  admits another representation F'/G', then  $G'x_2 - F'x_1$  vanishes on an open subset of X, which is irreducible (see Exercise 6.2), hence  $G'x_2 - F'x_1$  vanishes on X, and therefore  $G'x_2 - F'x_1 \in \langle x_1^3 - x_2^2 \rangle$ . This shows that there are essentially only the above two representations of  $\phi$ . So  $\phi \in K(X)$  and its domain of regularity is  $Y \setminus \{0,0\}$ .

2. The stereographic projection.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the curve  $V_P(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_0^2)$ . Let  $f := x_1/(x_0 - x_2)$  denote the germ of the regular function defined by  $x_1/(x_0 - x_2)$  on  $X \setminus V_P(x_0 - x_2) = X \setminus \{[1, 0, 1]\} = X \setminus \{P\}$ . On X we have  $x_1^2 = (x_0 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2)$  so f is represented also as  $(x_0 + x_2)/x_1$  on  $X \setminus V_P(x_1) = X \setminus \{P,Q\}$ , where Q = [1,0,-1]. If we identify K with the affine line  $V_P(x_2) \setminus V_P(x_0)$  (the points of the  $x_1$ -axis lying in the affine plane  $U_0$ ), then f can be interpreted as the stereographic projection of X centered at P, which takes  $A[a_0, a_1, a_2]$  to the intersection of the line AP with the line  $V_P(x_2)$ . To see this, observe that AP has equation  $a_1x_0 + (a_2 - a_0)x_1 - a_1x_2 = 0$ ; and  $AP \cap V_P(x_2)$  is the point  $[a_0 - a_2, a_1, 0]$ .

## 8.12. The algebraic characterization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ .

Let us recall the construction of the ring of fractions of a ring A with respect to a multiplicative subset S.

Let A be a ring and  $S \subset A$  be a multiplicative subset. The following relation in  $A \times S$  is an equivalence relation:

$$(a,s) \simeq (b,t)$$
 if and only if  $\exists u \in S$  such that  $u(at-bs) = 0$ .

Then the quotient  $A \times S/_{\simeq}$  is denoted  $S^{-1}A$  or  $A_S$  and [(a, s)] is denoted  $\frac{a}{s}$ .  $A_S$  becomes a commutative ring with unit with operations  $\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{t} = \frac{at + bs}{st}$  and  $\frac{a}{s} \frac{b}{t} = \frac{ab}{st}$  (check that they are well–defined). With these operations,  $A_S$  is called the ring of fractions of A with respect to S, or the *localization* of A in S.

There is a natural homomorphism  $j:A\to S^{-1}A$  such that  $j(a)=\frac{a}{1}$ , which makes  $S^{-1}A$  an A-algebra. Note that j is the zero map if and only if  $0\in S$ . More precisely if  $0\in S$  then  $S^{-1}A$  is the zero ring: this case will always be excluded in what follows. Moreover j is injective if and only if S is formed by regular elements. In this case j(A) will be identified with A.

## Examples.

- 1. Let A be an integral domain and set  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $A_S = Q(A)$ : the quotient field of A.
- 2. If  $\mathcal{P} \subset A$  is a prime ideal, then  $S = A \setminus \mathcal{P}$  is a multiplicative set and  $A_S$  is denoted  $A_{\mathcal{P}}$  and called the localization of A at  $\mathcal{P}$ .
  - 3. If  $f \in A$ , then the multiplicative set generated by f is

$$S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots, f^n, \dots\}$$
:

 $A_S$  is denoted  $A_f$ .

4. If  $S = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ is regular}\}$ , then  $A_S$  is called the total ring of fractions of A: it is the maximum ring in which A can be canonically embedded.

It is easy to verify that the ring  $A_S$  enjoys the following universal property:

- (i) if  $s \in S$ , then j(s) is invertible;
- (ii) if B is a ring with a given homomorphism  $f: A \to B$  such that if  $s \in S$ , then f(s) is invertible, then f factorizes through  $A_S$ , i.e. there exists a unique homomorphism  $\overline{f}$  such that  $\overline{f} \circ j = f$ .

We will see now the relations between ideals of  $A_S$  and ideals of A.

If  $\alpha \subset A$  is an ideal, then  $\alpha A_S = \{\frac{a}{s} \mid a \in \alpha\}$  is called the *extension of*  $\alpha$  in  $A_S$  and denoted also  $\alpha^e$ . It is an ideal, precisely the ideal generated by the set  $\{\frac{a}{1} \mid a \in \alpha\}$ .

If  $\beta \subset A_S$  is an ideal, then  $j^{-1}(\beta) =: \beta^c$  is called the contraction of  $\beta$  and is clearly an ideal.

We have:

## 8.13. Proposition.

- 1.  $\forall \alpha \subset A : \alpha^{ec} \supset \alpha$ ;
- 2.  $\forall \beta \subset A_S : \beta = \beta^{ce};$
- 3.  $\alpha^e$  is proper if and only if  $\alpha \cap S = \emptyset$ ;
- 4.  $\alpha^{ec} = \{x \in A \mid \exists s \in S \text{ such that } sx \in \alpha\}.$

Proof.

- 1. and 2. are straightforward.
- 3. if  $1 = \frac{a}{s} \in \alpha^e$ , then there exists  $u \in S$  such that u(s a) = 0, i.e.  $us = ua \in S \cap \alpha$ . Conversely, if  $s \in S \cap \alpha$  then  $1 = \frac{s}{s} \in \alpha^e$ .

$$\alpha^{ec} = \{x \in A \mid j(x) = \frac{x}{1} \in \alpha^e\} =$$

$$= \{x \in A \mid \exists a \in \alpha, t \in S \text{ such that } \frac{x}{1} = \frac{a}{t}\} =$$

$$= \{x \in A \mid \exists a \in \alpha, t, u \in S \text{ such that } u(xt - a) = 0\}.$$

Hence, if  $x \in \alpha^{ec}$ , then:  $(ut)x = ua \in \alpha$ . Conversely: if there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sx = a \in \alpha$ , then  $\frac{x}{1} = \frac{a}{s}$ , i.e.  $j(x) \in \alpha^{e}$ .

If  $\alpha$  is an ideal of A such that  $\alpha = \alpha^{ec}$ ,  $\alpha$  is called *saturated* with S. For example, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a prime ideal and  $S \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is saturated and  $\mathcal{P}^e$  is prime. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{Q} \subset A_S$  is a prime ideal, then  $\mathcal{Q}^c$  is prime in A.

Therefore: there is a bijection between the set of prime ideals of  $A_S$  and the set of prime ideals of A not intersecting S. In particular, if  $S = A \setminus \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  prime, the prime ideals of  $A_{\mathcal{P}}$  correspond bijectively to the prime ideals of A contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ , hence  $A_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathcal{P}^e$ , denoted  $\mathcal{P}A_{\mathcal{P}}$ , and residue field  $A_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{P}A_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Moreover dim  $A_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{ht}\mathcal{P}$ .

In particular we get the characterization of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine variety, let P be a point of X and  $I(P) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be the ideal of P. Let  $I_X(P) := I(P)/I(X)$  be the ideal of K[X] formed by regular functions on X vanishing at P. Then we can construct the localization

$$\mathcal{O}(X)_{I_X(P)} = \{\frac{f}{g} | f, g \in \mathcal{O}(X), g(P) \neq 0\} \subset K(X) :$$

it is canonically identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ . In particular:  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{P,X} = \operatorname{ht} I_X(P) = \dim \mathcal{O}(X) = \dim X$ .

There is a bijection between prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  and prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  contained in  $I_X(P)$ ; they also correspond to prime ideals of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  contained in I(P) and containing I(X).

If X is affine, it is possible to define the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  also if X is reducible, simply as localization of K[X] at the maximal ideal  $I_X(P)$ . The natural map j from K[X] to  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is injective if and only if  $K[X] \setminus I_X(P)$  does not contain any zero divisor. A non-zero function f is a zero divisor if there exists a non-zero g such that fg = 0, i.e.  $X = V(f) \cup V(g)$  is an expression of X as union of proper closed subsets. For j to be injective it is required that every zero divisor f belongs to  $I_X(P)$ , which means that all the irreducible components of X pass through P.

## Exercises to §8.

- 1. Prove that the affine varieties and the open subsets of affine varieties are quasi-projective.
- 2. Let  $X = \{P, Q\}$  be the union of two points in an affine space over K. Prove that  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $K \times K$ .

#### 9. Regular and rational maps.

Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties (or more generally locally closed sets). Let  $\phi: X \to Y$  be a map.

- **9.1. Definition.**  $\phi$  is a regular map or a morphism if
- (i)  $\phi$  is continuous;
- (ii)  $\phi$  preserves regular functions, i.e. for all  $U \subset Y$  (U open and non-empty) and for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ , then  $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{O}(\phi^{-1}(U))$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \phi^{-1}(U) & \stackrel{\phi|}{\longrightarrow} & U & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & K \end{array}$$

Note that:

- a) for all X the identity map  $1_X: X \to X$  is regular;
- b) for all X, Y, Z and regular maps  $X \xrightarrow{\phi} Y, Y \xrightarrow{\psi} Z$ , the composite map  $\psi \circ \phi$  is regular.

An isomorphism of varieties is a regular map which possesses regular inverse, i.e. a regular  $\phi: X \to Y$  such that there exists a regular  $\psi: Y \to X$  verifying the conditions  $\psi \circ \phi = 1_X$  and  $\phi \circ \psi = 1_Y$ . In this case X and Y are said to be isomorphic, and we write:  $X \simeq Y$ .

If  $\phi: X \to Y$  is regular, there is a natural K-homomorphism  $\phi^*: \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ , called the *comorphism associated to*  $\phi$ , defined by:  $f \to \phi^*(f) := f \circ \phi$ .

The construction of the comorphism is functorial, which means that:

- a)  $1_X^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(X)};$
- b)  $(\psi \circ \phi)^* = \phi^* \circ \psi^*$ .

This implies that, if  $X \simeq Y$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . In fact, if  $\phi: X \to Y$  is an isomorphism and  $\psi$  is its inverse, then  $\phi \circ \psi = 1_Y$ , so  $(\phi \circ \psi)^* = \psi^* \circ \phi^* = (1_Y)^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(Y)}$  and similarly  $\psi \circ \phi = 1_X$  implies  $\phi^* \circ \psi^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}(X)}$ .

# 9.2. Examples.

- 1) The homeomorphism  $\phi_i: U_i \to \mathbb{A}^n$  of Proposition 3.2 is an isomorphism.
- 2) There exist homeomorphisms which are not isomorphisms. Let  $Y = V(x^3 y^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ . We have seen (see Exercise 7.2) that  $K[X] \not\simeq K[\mathbb{A}^1]$ , hence Y is not isomorphic to the affine line. Nevertheless, the following map is regular, bijective and also a homeomorphism (see Exercise 7.1):

 $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y \text{ such that } t \to (t^2, t^3);$ 

$$\phi^{-1}: Y \to \mathbb{A}^1$$
 is defined by  $(x,y) \to \begin{cases} \frac{y}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \end{cases}$ 

**9.3. Proposition.** Let  $\phi: X \to Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be a map. Then  $\phi$  is regular if and only if  $\phi_i := t_i \circ \phi$  is a regular function on X, for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  (where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  are the coordinate functions on Y).

*Proof.* If  $\phi$  is regular, then  $\phi_i = \phi^*(t_i)$  is regular by definition.

Conversely: if  $F \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , then

$$\phi^{-1}(V(F) \cap Y) = \{ P \in X | F(\phi(P)) = F(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)(P) = 0 \} = V(F(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n))$$

(note that  $F(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ : it is the composition of F with the regular functions  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ ). In particular  $\phi^{-1}(V(F) \cap Y)$  is closed, so we can conclude that  $\phi$  is continuous. If  $U \subset Y$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ , for all points P of U choose open neighbourhoods  $U_P$  such that  $f = F_P/G_P$  on  $U_P$ . So  $f \circ \phi = F_P(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)/G_P(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$  on  $\phi^{-1}(U_P)$ , hence it is regular on each  $\phi^{-1}(U_P)$  and by consequence on  $\phi^{-1}(U)$ .

If  $\phi: X \to Y$  is a regular map and  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ , by Proposition 9.2. we can represent  $\phi$  in the form  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ , where  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $\phi_i = \phi^*(t_i)$ . If Y is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , let us recall that  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  generate  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ , hence  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  generate  $\phi^*(\mathcal{O}(Y))$ . This observation is the key for the following important result.

**9.4. Theorem.** Let X be a locally closed set and Y be an affine algebraic set. Let Hom(X,Y) denote the set of regular maps from X to Y and  $Hom(\mathcal{O}(Y),\mathcal{O}(X))$  denote the set of K-homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ .

Then the map  $Hom(X,Y) \to Hom(\mathcal{O}(Y),\mathcal{O}(X))$ , such that  $\phi: X \to Y$  goes to  $\phi^*: \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ , is bijective.

Proof. Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  and let  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  be the coordinate functions on Y, so  $\mathcal{O}(Y) = K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ . Let  $u : \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(Y)$  be a K-homomorphism: we want to define a morphism  $u^{\sharp} : X \to Y$  whose associated comorphism is u. By the remark above, if  $u^{\sharp}$  exists, its components have to be  $u(t_1), \ldots, u(t_n)$ . So we define

$$u^{\sharp}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$$
  
 $P \rightarrow (u(t_1)(P)), \dots, u(t_n)(P)).$ 

This is a morphism by Proposition 9.3 and we claim that  $u^{\sharp}(X) \subset Y$ . Let  $F \in I(Y)$  and  $P \in X$ : then

$$(F(u^{\sharp}(P)) = F(u(t_1)(P), \dots, u(t_n)(P)) =$$

$$= F(u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n))(P) =$$

$$= u(F((t_1, \dots, t_n))(P) \text{ because } u \text{ is } K\text{-homomorphism} =$$

$$= u(0)(P) =$$

$$= 0(P) = 0.$$

So  $u^{\sharp}$  is a regular map from X to Y.

We consider now  $(u^{\sharp})^* : \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ : it takes a function f to  $f \circ u^{\sharp} = f(u(t_1), \dots, u(t_n)) = u(f)$ , so  $(u^{\sharp})^* = u$ . Conversely, if  $\phi : X \to Y$  is regular, then  $(\phi^*)^{\sharp}$  takes P to  $(\phi^*(t_1)(P), \dots, \phi^*(t_n)(P)) = (\phi_1(P), \dots, \phi_n(P))$ , so  $(\phi^*)^{\sharp} = \phi$ .

Note that, by definition,  $1_{\mathcal{O}(X)}^{\sharp} = 1_X$ , for all affine X; moreover  $(v \circ u)^{\sharp} = u^{\sharp} \circ v^{\sharp}$  for all  $u : \mathcal{O}(Z) \to \mathcal{O}(Y)$ ,  $v : \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ , K-homomorphisms of affine algebraic sets: this means that also this construction is functorial.

**9.5. Corollary.** Let X, Y be affine algebraic sets. Then  $X \simeq Y$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ .

If X and Y are quasi-projective varieties and  $\phi: X \to Y$  is regular, it is not always possible to define a comorphism  $K(Y) \to K(X)$ . If f is a rational function on Y with  $\mathrm{dom} f = U$ , it can happen that  $\phi(X) \cap \mathrm{dom} f = \emptyset$ , in which case  $f \circ \phi$  does not exist. Nevertheless, if we assume that  $\phi$  is dominant, i.e.  $\overline{\phi(X)} = Y$ , then certainly  $\phi(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $\langle \phi^{-1}(U), f \circ \phi \rangle \in K(X)$ . We obtain a K-homomorphism, which is necessarily injective,  $K(Y) \to K(X)$ , also denoted  $\phi^*$ . Note that in this case, we have:  $\dim X \geq \dim Y$ . As above, it is possible to check that, if  $X \simeq Y$ , then  $K(X) \simeq K(Y)$ , hence  $\dim X = \dim Y$ . Moreover, if  $P \in X$  and  $Q = \phi(P)$ , then  $\phi^*$  induces a map  $\mathcal{O}_{Q,Y} \to \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ , such that  $\phi^* \mathcal{M}_{Q,Y} \subset \mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ . Also in this case, if  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mathcal{O}_{Q,Y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ .

Let now  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a quasi-projective variety and  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^m$  be a map.

**9.6. Proposition.**  $\phi$  is a morphism if and only if, for all  $P \in X$ , there exist an open neighbourhood  $U_P$  of P and n+1 homogeneous polynomials  $F_0, \ldots, F_m$  of the same degree, in  $K[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , such that, if  $Q \in U_P$ , then  $\phi(Q) = [F_0(Q), \ldots, F_m(Q)]$ . In particular, for all  $Q \in U_P$ , there exists an index i such that  $F_i(Q) \neq 0$ .

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ " Let  $P \in X$ ,  $Q = \phi(P)$  and assume that  $Q \in U_0$ . Then  $U := \phi^{-1}(U_0)$  is an open neighbourhood of P and we can consider the restriction  $\phi|_U : U \to U_0$ , which is regular. Possibly after restricting U, using non-homogeneous coordinates on  $Y_0$ , we can assume that  $\phi|_U = (F_1/G_1, \ldots, F_m/G_m)$ , where  $(F_1, G_1)$ , ...,  $(F_m, G_m)$  are pairs of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree such that  $V_P(G_i) \cap U = \emptyset$  for all index i. We can reduce the fractions  $F_i/G_i$  to a common denominator  $F_0$ , so that  $\deg F_0 = \deg F_1 = \ldots = \deg F_m$  and  $\phi|_U = (F_1/F_0, \ldots, F_m/F_0) = [F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_m]$ , with  $F_0(Q) \neq 0$  for  $Q \in U$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Possibly after restricting  $U_P$ , we can assume  $F_i(Q) \neq 0$  for all  $Q \in U_P$  and suitable i. Let i = 0: then  $\phi|_{U_P} : U_P \to U_0$  operates as follows:  $\phi|_{U_P}(Q) = (F_1(Q)/F_0(Q), \ldots, F_m(Q)/F_0(Q))$ , so it is a morphism by Proposition 9.3. From this remark, one deduces that also  $\phi$  is a morphism.

#### 9.7. Examples.

1. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $X = V_P(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_0^2)$ , the projective closure of the unitary

circle. We define  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  by

$$[x_0, x_1, x_2] \rightarrow \begin{cases} [x_0 - x_2, x_1] & \text{if } (x_0 - x_2, x_1) \neq (0, 0); \\ [x_1, x_0 + x_2] & \text{if } (x_1, x_0 + x_2) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

 $\phi$  is well-defined because on X  $x_1^2 = (x_0 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2)$ . Moreover

$$(x_1, x_0 - x_2) \neq (0, 0) \Leftrightarrow [x_0, x_1, x_2] \in X \setminus \{[1, 0, 1]\},\$$

$$(x_0 + x_2, x_1) \neq (0, 0) \Leftrightarrow [x_0, x_1, x_2] \in X \setminus \{[1, 0, -1]\}.$$

The map  $\phi$  is the natural extension of the rational function  $f: X \setminus \{[1,0,1]\} \to K$  such that  $[x_0, x_1, x_2] \to x_1/(x_0-x_2)$  (Example 8.9, 2). Now the point P[1,0,1], the centre of the stereographic projection, goes to the point at infinity of the line  $V_P(x_2)$ .

By geometric reasons  $\phi$  is invertible and  $\phi^{-1}: \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  takes  $[\lambda, \mu]$  to  $[\lambda^2 + \mu^2, 2\lambda\mu, \lambda^2 - \mu^2]$  (note the connection with the Pitagorean triples!).

Indeed: the line through P and  $[\lambda, \mu, 0]$  has equation:  $\mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0$ . Its intersections with X are represented by the system:

$$\begin{cases} \mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_0^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Assuming  $\mu \neq 0$  this system is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mu x_0 - \lambda x_1 - \mu x_2 = 0\\ \mu^2 x_0^2 = \mu^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) = (\lambda x_1 + \mu x_2)^2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, either  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_0 = x_2$ , or  $\begin{cases} (\mu^2 - \lambda^2)x_1 - 2\lambda\mu x_2 = 0 \\ \mu x_0 = \lambda x_1 + \mu x_2 \end{cases}$ , which gives the required expression.

#### 2. Affine transformations.

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$ -matrix with entries in K, let  $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$  be a point. The map  $\tau_A : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^n$  defined by  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \to (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ , such that

$${y_i = \sum_j a_{ij}x_j + b_i, i = 1, \dots, n,}$$

is a regular map called an affine transformation of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . In matrix notation  $\tau_A$  is Y = AX + B. If A is of rank n, then  $\tau_A$  is said non-degenerate and is an isomorphism: the inverse map  $\tau_A^{-1}$  is represented by  $X = A^{-1}Y - A^{-1}B$ . More in general, an affine transformation from  $\mathbb{A}^n$  to  $\mathbb{A}^m$  is a map represented in matrix form by Y = AX + B, where A is a  $n \times m$  matrix and  $B \in \mathbb{A}^m$ . It is injective if and only if  $\mathrm{rk}A = n$  and surjective if and only if  $\mathrm{rk}A = m$ .

The isomorphisms of an algebraic set X in itself are called automorphisms of X: they form a group for the usual composition of maps, denoted Aut X. If  $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ , the non-degenerate affine transformations form a subgroup of  $Aut \mathbb{A}^n$ .

If n=1 and the characteristic of K is 0, then  $Aut \ \mathbb{A}^1$  coincides with this subgroup. In fact, let  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$  be an automorphism: it is represented by a polynomial F(x) such that there exists G(x) satisfying the condition G(F(t)) = t for all  $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , i.e. G(F(x)) = x in the polynomial ring K[x]. Then G'(F(x))F'(x) = 1, which implies  $F'(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in K$ , so F'(x) is a non–zero constant. Hence, F is linear and G is linear too.

If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $Aut \, \mathbb{A}^n$  is not completely described. There exist non–linear automorphisms of degree d, for all d. For example, for n=2: let  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  be given by  $(x,y) \to (x,y+P(x))$ , where P is any polynomial of K[x]. Then  $\phi^{-1}: (x',y') \to (x',y'-P(x'))$ . A very important open problem is the Jacobian conjecture, stating that a regular map  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^n$  is an automorphism if and only if the Jacobian determinant  $|J(\phi)|$  is a non-zero constant.

# 3. Projective transformations.

Let A be a  $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ -matrix with entries in K. Let  $P[x_0,\ldots,x_n]\in\mathbb{P}^n$ : then  $[a_{00}x_0+\ldots+a_{0n}x_n,\ldots,a_{n0}x_0+\ldots+a_{nn}x_n]$  is a point of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if it is different from  $[0,\ldots,0]$ . So A defines a regular map  $\tau:\mathbb{P}^n\to\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if  $\mathrm{rk}A=n+1$ . If  $\mathrm{rk}A=r< n+1$ , then A defines a regular map whose domain is the quasi-projective variety  $\mathbb{P}^n\setminus\mathbb{P}(ker A)$ . If  $\mathrm{rk}A=n+1$ , then  $\tau$  is an isomorphism, called a projective transformation. Note that the matrices  $\lambda A, \lambda \in K^*$ , all define the same projective transformation. So  $PGL(n+1,K):=GL(n+1,K)/K^*$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as the group of projective transformations.

If  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , they are called projectively equivalent if there exists a projective transformation  $\tau : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\tau(X) = Y$ .

#### **9.8. Theorem.** Fundamental theorem on projective transformations.

Let two (n+2)-tuples of points of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in general position be fixed:  $P_0, \ldots, P_{n+1}$  and  $Q_0, \ldots, Q_{n+1}$ . Then there exists one isomorphic projective transformation  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in itself, such that  $\tau(P_i) = Q_i$  for all index i.

*Proof.* Put  $P_i = [v_i], Q_i = [w_i], i = 0, ..., n + 1$ . So  $\{v_0, ..., v_n\}$  and  $\{w_0, ..., w_n\}$  are two bases of  $K^{n+1}$ , hence there exist scalars  $\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_n, \mu_0, ..., \mu_n$  such that

$$v_{n+1} = \lambda_0 v_0 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n, \quad w_{n+1} = \mu_0 w_0 + \ldots + \mu_n w_n,$$

where the coefficients are all different from 0, because of the general position assumption. We replace  $v_i$  with  $\lambda_i v_i$  and  $w_i$  with  $\mu_i w_i$  and get two new bases, so there exists a unique automorphism of  $K^{n+1}$  transforming the first basis in the second one and, by consequence, also  $v_{n+1}$  in  $w_{n+1}$ . This automorphism induces the required projective transformation on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

An immediate consequence of the above theorem is that projective subspaces of the same dimension are projectively equivalent. Also two subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  formed

both by k points in general position are projectively equivalent if  $k \leq n+2$ . If k > n+2, this is no longer true, already in the case of four points on a projective line. The problem of describing the classes of projective equivalence of k-tuples of points of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , for k > n+2, is one the first problems of the classical invariant theory. The solution in the case k=4, n=1 is given by the notion of cross-ratio.

4. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine variety, then  $X_F = X \setminus V(F)$  is isomorphic to a closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ , i.e. to  $Y = V(x_{n+1}F - 1, G_1, \dots, G_r)$ , where  $I(X) = \langle G_1, \dots, G_r \rangle$ . Indeed, the following regular maps are inverse each other:

$$\phi: X_F \to Y$$
 such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to (x_1, \dots, x_n, 1/F(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$ 

$$\psi: Y \to X_F$$
 such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \to (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

Hence,  $X_F$  is a quasi-projective variety contained in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , not closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , but isomorphic to a closed subset of another affine space.

From now on, the term *affine variety* will denote a quasi–projective variety isomorphic to some affine closed set.

If X is an affine variety and precisely  $X \simeq Y$ , with  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  closed, then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(Y) = K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$  is a finitely generated K-algebra. In particular, if K is algebraically closed and  $\alpha$  is an ideal strictly contained in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , then  $V(\alpha) \subset X$  is non-empty, by the relative form of the Nullstellensatz. From this observation, we can deduce that the quasi-projective variety of next example is not affine.

5.  $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  is not affine.

Set  $X = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ : first of all we will prove that  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K[x,y] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ , i.e. any regular function on X can be extended to a regular function on the whole plane.

Indeed: let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ : if  $P \neq Q$  are points of X, then there exist polynomials F, G, F', G' such that f = F/G on a neighbourhood  $U_P$  of P and f = F'/G' on a neighbourhood  $U_Q$  of Q. So F'G = FG' on  $U_P \cap U_Q \neq \emptyset$ , which is open also in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , hence dense. Therefore F'G = FG' in K[x,y]. We can clearly assume that F and G are coprime and similarly for F' and G'. So by the unique factorization property, it follows that F' = F and G' = G. In particular f admits a unique representation as F/G on X and  $G(P) \neq 0$  for all  $P \in X$ . Hence G has no zeroes on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , so  $G = c \in K^*$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

Now, the ideal  $\langle x,y\rangle$  has no zeroes in X and is proper: this proves that X is not affine.

On the other hand, the following property holds: **9.9. Proposition.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be quasi-projective. Then X admits an open covering by affine varieties.

Proof. Let  $X = X_0 \cup ... \cup X_n$  be the open covering of X where  $X_i = U_i \cap X$  =  $\{P \in X | P[a_0, ..., a_n], a_i \neq 0\}$ . So, fixed P, there exists an index i such that  $P \in X_i$ . We can assume that  $P \in X_0$ :  $X_0$  is open in some affine variety Y of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  (identified with  $U_0$ ); set  $X_0 = Y \setminus Y'$ , where Y, Y' are both closed. Since  $P \notin Y'$ ,

there exists F such that  $F(P) \neq 0$  and  $V(F) \supset Y'$ . So  $P \in Y \setminus V(F) \subset Y \setminus Y'$  and  $Y \setminus V(F)$  is an affine open neighbourhood of P in  $Y \setminus Y' = X_0 \subset X$ .

# 6. The Veronese maps.

Let n,d be positive integers; put  $N(n,d) = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$ . Note that  $\binom{n+d}{d}$  is equal to the number of (monic) monomials of degree d in the variables  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ , that is equal to the number of n+1-tuples  $(i_0,\ldots,i_n)$  such that  $i_0+\ldots+i_n=d$ ,  $i_j \geq 0$ . Then in  $\mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$  we can use coordinates  $\{v_{i_0\ldots i_n}\}$ , where  $i_0,\ldots,i_n\geq 0$  and  $i_0+\ldots+i_n=d$ . For example: if n=2, d=2, then  $N(2,2)=\binom{4}{2}-1=5$ . In  $\mathbb{P}^5$  we can use coordinates  $v_{200},v_{110},v_{101},v_{020},v_{011},v_{002}$ .

For all n, d we define the map  $v_{n,d} : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$  such that  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \to [v_{d00\dots 0}, v_{d-1,10\dots 0}, \dots, v_{0\dots 00d}]$  where  $v_{i_0\dots i_n} = x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \colon v_{n,d}$  is clearly a morphism, its image is denoted  $V_{n,d}$  and called the Veronese variety of type (n,d). It is in fact the projective variety of equations:

$$(*)\{v_{i_0...i_n}v_{j_0...j_n}-v_{h_0...h_n}v_{k_0...k_n}, \forall i_0+j_0=h_0+k_0, i_1+j_1=h_1+k_1,\ldots\}$$

We prove this statement in the particular case n=d=2; the general case is similar.

First of all, it is clear that the points of  $v_{n,d}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  satisfy the system (\*). Conversely, assume that  $P[v_{200}, v_{110}, \ldots] \in \mathbb{P}^5$  satisfies the equations (\*), which become:

$$\begin{cases} v_{200}v_{020} = v_{110}^2 \\ v_{200}v_{002} = v_{101}^2 \\ v_{002}v_{020} = v_{011}^2 \\ v_{200}v_{011} = v_{110}v_{101} \\ v_{020}v_{101} = v_{110}v_{011} \\ v_{110}v_{002} = v_{011}v_{101} \end{cases}$$

Then, at least one of the coordinates  $v_{200}, v_{020}, v_{002}$  is different from 0.

Therefore, if  $v_{200} \neq 0$ , then  $P = v_{2,2}([v_{200}, v_{110}, v_{101}])$ ; if  $v_{020} \neq 0$ , then  $P = v_{2,2}([v_{110}, v_{020}, v_{011}])$ ; if  $v_{002} \neq 0$ , then  $P = v_{2,2}([v_{101}, v_{011}, v_{002}])$ . Note that, if two of these three coordinates are different from 0, then the points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  found in this way have proportional coordinates, so they coincide.

We have also proved in this way that  $v_{2,2}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $V_{2,2}$ , called the Veronese surface of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . The same happens in the general case.

If n = 1,  $v_{1,d} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^d$  takes  $[x_0, x_1]$  to  $[x_0^d, x_0^{d-1}x_1, \dots, x_1^{d}]$ : the image is called the *rational normal curve* of degree d, it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . If d = 3, we find the skew cubic.

Let now  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hypersurface of degree  $d: X = V_P(F)$ , with

$$F = \sum_{i_0 + \dots + i_n = d} a_{i_0 \dots i_n} x_0^{i_0} \dots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Then  $v_{n,d}(X) \simeq X$ : it is the set of points

$$\{v_{i_0...i_n} \in \mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)} | \sum_{i_0+...+i_n=d} a_{i_0...i_n} v_{i_0...i_n} = 0 \text{ and } [v_{i_0...i_n}] \in V_{n,d} \}.$$

It coincides with  $V_{n,d} \cap H$ , where H is a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$ : a hyperplane section of the Veronese variety.

The Veronese surface V of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  enjoys a lot of interesting properties. Most of them follow from its property of being covered by a 2-dimensional family of conics, which are precisely the images via  $v_{2,2}$  of the lines of the plane.

To see this, we'll use as coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^5$   $w_{00}, w_{01}, w_{02}, w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{22}$ , so that  $v_{2,2}$  sends  $[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  to the point of coordinates  $w_{ij} = x_i x_j$ . With this choice of coordinates, the equations of V are obtained by annihilating the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the symmetric matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} & w_{01} & w_{02} \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\ell$  be a line of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of equation  $b_0x_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 = 0$ . Its image is the set of points of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  with coordinates  $w_{ij} = x_ix_j$ , such that there exists a non-zero triple  $[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  with  $b_0x_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 = 0$ . But this last equation is equivalent to the system:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 x_0^2 + b_1 x_0 x_1 + b_2 x_0 x_2 = 0 \\ b_0 x_0 x_1 + b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_1 x_2 = 0 \\ b_0 x_0 x_2 + b_1 x_1 x_2 + b_2 x_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

It represents the intersection of V with the plane

$$(*) \begin{cases} b_0 w_{00} + b_1 w_{01} + b_2 w_{02} = 0 \\ b_0 w_{01} + b_1 w_{11} + b_2 w_{12} = 0 \\ b_0 w_{02} + b_1 w_{12} + b_2 w_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

so  $v_{2,2}(\ell)$  is a plane curve. Its degree is the number of points in its intersection with a general hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ : this corresponds to the intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of  $\ell$  with a conic (a hypersurface of degree 2). Therefore  $v_{2,2}(\ell)$  is a conic.

So the isomorphism  $v_{2,2}$  transforms the geometry of the lines in the plane in the geometry of the conics on the Veronese surface. In particular, given two distinct points on V, there is exactly one conic contained in V and passing through them.

From this observation it is easy to deduce that the *secant lines* of V, i.e. the lines meeting V at two points, are precisely the lines of the planes generated by the conics contained in V, so that the (closure of the) union of these secant lines

coincides with the union of the planes of the conics of V. This union results to be the cubic hypersurface defined by the equation

$$\det M = \det \begin{pmatrix} w_{00} & w_{01} & w_{02} \\ w_{01} & w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{02} & w_{12} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Indeed a point of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , of coordinates  $[w_{ij}]$  belongs to the plane of a conic contained in V if and only if there exists a non-zero triple  $[b_0, b_1, b_2]$  which is solution of the homogeneous system (\*).

Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties.

- **9.10. Definition.** The rational maps from X to Y are the germs of regular maps from open subsets of X to Y, i.e. equivalence classes of pairs  $(U, \phi)$ , where  $U \neq \emptyset$  is open in X and  $\phi: U \to Y$  is regular, with respect to the relation:  $(U, \phi) \sim (V, \psi)$  if and only if  $\phi|_{U \cap V} = \psi|_{U \cap V}$ . The following Lemma guarantees that the above defined relation satisfies the transitive property.
- **9.11. Lemma.** Let  $\phi, \psi : X \to Y$  be regular maps between quasi-projective varieties. If  $\phi|_U = \psi|_U$  for  $U \subset X$  open and non-empty, then  $\phi = \psi$ .

Proof. Let  $P \in X$  and consider  $\phi(P)$ ,  $\psi(P) \in Y$ . There exists a hyperplane H such that  $\phi(P) \notin H$  and  $\psi(P) \notin H$ . Up to a projective transformation, we can assume that  $H = V_P(x_0)$ , so  $\phi(P)$ ,  $\psi(P) \in U_0$ . Set  $V = \phi^{-1}(U_0) \cap \psi^{-1}(U_0)$ : an open neighbourhood of P. Consider the restrictions of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  from V to  $Y \cap U_0$ : they are regular maps which coincide on  $V \cap U$ , hence their coordinates  $\phi_i$ ,  $\psi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , coincide on  $V \cap U$ . So  $\phi_i|_V = \psi_i|_V$ . In particular  $\phi(P) = \psi(P)$ .  $\square$ 

A rational map from X to Y will be denoted  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$ . As for rational functions, the domain of definition of  $\phi$ , dom  $\phi$ , is the maximum open subset of X such that  $\phi$  is regular at the points of dom  $\phi$ .

The following proposition follows from the characterization of rational functions on affine varieties.

**9.12. Proposition.** Let X, Y be affine algebraic sets, with Y closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Then  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is a rational map if and only if  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ , where  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in K(X)$ .

If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ , then a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  is assigned by giving m+1 homogeneous polynomials of  $K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of the same degree,  $F_0, \dots, F_m$ , such that at least one of them is not identically zero on X.

A rational map  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is called *dominant* if the image of X via  $\phi$  is dense in X, i.e. if  $\overline{\phi(U)} = X$ , where  $U = \text{dom } \phi$ . If  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is dominant

and  $\psi: Y \dashrightarrow Z$  is any rational map, then dom  $\psi \cap \operatorname{Im} \phi \neq \emptyset$ , so we can define  $\psi \circ \phi: X \dashrightarrow Z$ : it is the germ of the map  $\psi \circ \phi$ , regular on  $\phi^{-1}(\operatorname{dom} \psi \cap \operatorname{Im} \phi)$ .

**9.13. Definition.** A birational map from X to Y is a rational map  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  such that  $\phi$  is dominant and there exists  $\psi: Y \dashrightarrow X$ , a dominant rational map, such that  $\psi \circ \phi = 1_X$  and  $\phi \circ \psi = 1_Y$  as rational maps. In this case, X and Y are called birationally equivalent or simply birational.

If  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is a dominant rational map, then we can define the comorphism  $\phi^*: K(Y) \to K(X)$  in the usual way: it is an injective K-homomorphism.

**9.14. Proposition.** Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties,  $u: K(Y) \to K(X)$  be a K-homomorphism. Then there exists a rational map  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  such that  $\phi^* = u$ .

Proof. Y is covered by open affine varieties  $Y_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in I$  (by Proposition 9.9): for all index  $\alpha$ ,  $K(Y) \simeq K(Y_{\alpha})$  (Prop. 8.8) and  $K(Y_{\alpha}) \simeq K(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ , where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  can be interpreted as coordinate functions on  $Y_{\alpha}$ . Then  $u(t_1), \ldots, u(t_n) \in K(X)$  and there exists  $U \subset X$ , non-empty open subset such that  $u(t_1), \ldots, u(t_n)$  are all regular on U. So  $u(K[t_1, \ldots, t_n]) \subset \mathcal{O}(U)$  and we can consider the regular map  $u^{\sharp}: U \to Y_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow Y$ . The germ of  $u^{\sharp}$  gives a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$ . It is possible to check that this rational map does not depend on the choice of  $Y_{\alpha}$  and U.  $\square$ 

- **9.15. Theorem.** Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties. The following are equivalent:
  - (i) X is birational to Y;
  - (ii)  $K(X) \simeq K(Y)$ ;
- (iii) there exist non-empty open subsets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  such that  $U \simeq V$ . Proof.
- (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) via the construction of the comorphism  $\phi^*$  associated to  $\phi$  and of  $u^{\sharp}$ , associated to  $u:K(Y)\to K(X)$ . One checks that both constructions are functorial.
- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$ ,  $\psi: Y \dashrightarrow X$  be inverse each other. Put  $U' = \text{dom } \phi$  and  $V' = \text{dom } \psi$ . By assumption,  $\psi \circ \phi$  is defined on  $\phi^{-1}(V')$  and coincides with  $1_X$  there. Similarly,  $\psi \circ \phi$  is defined on  $\psi^{-1}(U')$  and equal to  $1_Y$ . Then  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  establish an isomorphism between the corresponding sets  $U := \phi^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(U'))$  and  $V := \psi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(V'))$ .
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $U \simeq V$  implies  $K(U) \simeq K(V)$ ; but  $K(U) \simeq K(X)$  and  $K(V) \simeq K(Y)$  (Prop.8.8), so  $K(X) \simeq K(Y)$  by transitivity.
- **9.16. Corollary.** If X is birational to Y, then  $\dim X = \dim Y$ .
- 9.17. Examples.

a) The cuspidal cubic  $Y = V(x^3 - y^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ .

We have seen that Y is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , but in fact Y and  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are birational. Indeed, the regular map  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y, \ t \to (t^2, t^3)$ , admits a rational inverse  $\psi: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, \ (x,y) \to \frac{y}{x}$ .  $\psi$  is regular on  $Y \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ ,  $\psi$  is dominant and  $\psi \circ \phi = 1_{\mathbb{A}^1}, \ \phi \circ \psi = 1_Y$  as rational maps. In particular,  $\phi^*: K(Y) \to K(X)$  is a field isomorphism. Recall that  $K[Y] = K[t_1,t_2]$ , with  $t_1^2 = t_2^3$ , so  $K(Y) = K(t_1,t_2) = K(t_2/t_1)$ , because  $t_1 = (t_2/t_1)^2 = t_2^2/t_1^2 = t_1^3/t_1^2$  and  $t_2 = (t_2/t_1)^3 = t_2^3/t_1^3 = t_2^3/t_2^2$ , so K(Y) is generated by a unique transcendental element. Notice that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  establish isomorphisms between  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $Y \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ .

b) Rational maps from  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let  $\phi: \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be rational: on some open  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ ,

$$\phi([x_0, x_1]) = [F_0(x_0, x_1), \dots, F_n(x_0, x_1)],$$

with  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  homogeneous of the same degree, without non-trivial common factors. Assume that  $F_i(P) = 0$  for a certain index i, with  $P = [a_0, a_1]$ . Then  $F_i \in I_h(P) = \langle a_1x_0 - a_0x_1 \rangle$ , i.e.  $a_1x_0 - a_0x_1$  is a factor of  $F_i$ . This remark implies that  $\forall Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$  there exists  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $F_i(Q) \neq 0$ , because otherwise  $F_0, \ldots, F_n$  would have a common factor of degree 1. Hence we conclude that  $\phi$  is regular.

# c) Projections.

Let  $\phi: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  be given in matrix form by Y = AX, where A is a  $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix, with entries in K. Then  $\phi$  is a rational map, regular on  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}(\text{Ker}A)$ . Put  $\Lambda := \mathbb{P}(\text{Ker}A)$ . If  $A = (a_{ij})$ , this means that  $\Lambda$  has cartesian equations

$$\begin{cases} a_{00}x_0 + \dots + a_{0n}x_n = 0 \\ a_{10}x_0 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0 \\ \dots \\ a_{m0}x_0 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

The map  $\phi$  has a geometric interpretation: it can be seen as the *projection* of centre  $\Lambda$  to a complementar linear space. First of all, we can assume that rk A = m + 1, otherwise we replace  $\mathbb{P}^m$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Im} A)$ ; hence  $\dim \Lambda = n - (m + 1)$ .

Consider first the case  $\Lambda: x_0 = \ldots = x_m = 0$ ; we identify  $\mathbb{P}^m$  with the subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of equations  $x_{m+1} = \ldots = x_n = 0$ , so  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbb{P}^m$  are complementar subspaces, i.e.  $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{P}^m = \emptyset$  and the linear span of  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbb{P}^m$  is  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Then, for  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \Lambda$ ,  $\phi(Q) = [x_0, \ldots, x_m, 0, \ldots, 0]$ : it is the intersection of  $\mathbb{P}^m$  with the linear span of  $\Lambda$  and Q. In fact, if  $Q[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$  then  $\overline{\Lambda Q}$  has equations

$$\{a_i x_j - a_j x_i = 0, i, j = 0, \dots, m \text{ (check!)}$$

so  $\overline{\Lambda Q} \cap \mathbb{P}^m$  has coordinates  $[a_0, \dots, a_m, 0, \dots, 0]$ .

In the general case, if  $\Lambda = V_P(L_0, \ldots, L_m)$ , with  $L_0, \ldots, L_m$  linearly independent forms, we can identify  $\mathbb{P}^m$  with  $V_P(L_{m+1}, \ldots, L_n)$ , where  $L_0, \ldots, L_m$ ,  $L_{m+1}, \ldots, L_n$  is a basis of  $(K^{n+1})^*$ . Then  $L_0, \ldots, L_m$  can be interpreted as coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{P}^m$ .

If m = n - 1, then  $\Lambda$  is a point P and  $\phi$ , often denoted  $\pi_P$ , is the projection from P to a hyperplane not containing P.

#### d) Rational and unirational varieties.

A quasi-projective variety X is called rational if it is birational to a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (or equivalently to  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ). By Theorem 9.15, X is rational if and only if  $K(X) \simeq K(\mathbb{P}^n) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  for some n, i.e. if K(X) is an extension of K generated by a transcendence basis. In an equivalent way, X is rational if there exists a rational map  $\phi: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$  which is dominant and is an isomorphism if restricted to a suitable open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence X admits a birational parametrization by n parameters.

A weaker notion is that of *unirational* variety: X is unirational if there exists a dominant rational map  $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$  i.e. if K(X) is contained in the quotient field of a polynomial ring. Hence X can be parametrized, but not necessarily generically one–to–one.

It is clear that, if X is rational, then it is unirational. The converse implication has been an important open problem, up to 1971, when it has been solved in the negative, for varieties of dimension  $\geq 3$  (Clemens–Griffiths and Iskovskih–Manin). The result is true for curves (Theorem of Lüroth, 1880) and for surfaces if charK=0 (Theorem of Castelnuovo, 1894).

As an example of rational variety, let us consider the following quadric of maximal rank in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ :  $X = V_P(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)$ : an irreducible hypersurface of degree 2. Let  $\pi_P : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be the projection of centre P[1,0,0,0], such that  $\pi_P([y_0,y_1,y_2,y_3]) = [y_1,y_2,y_3]$ . The restriction of  $\pi_P$  to X is a rational map  $\tilde{\pi}_P : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , regular on  $X \setminus \{P\}$ .  $\tilde{\pi}_P$  has a rational inverse: indeed consider the rational map  $\psi : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow X$ ,  $[y_1,y_2,y_3] \longrightarrow [y_1y_2,y_1y_3,y_2y_3,y_3^2]$ . The equation of X is satisfied by the points of  $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ :  $(y_1y_2)y_3^2 = (y_1y_3)(y_2y_3)$ .  $\psi$  is regular on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus V_P(y_1y_2,y_3)$ . Let us compose  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_P$ :

$$[y_0, \dots, y_3] \in X \stackrel{\pi_P}{\to} [y_1, y_2, y_3] \stackrel{\psi}{\to} [y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2 y_3, y_3^2];$$

 $y_1y_2 = y_0y_3$  implies  $\psi \circ \pi_P = 1_X$ . In the opposite order:

$$[y_1,y_2,y_3] \xrightarrow{\psi} [y_1y_2,y_1y_3,y_2y_3,y_3^2] \xrightarrow{\pi_P} [y_1y_3,y_2y_3,y_3^2] = [y_1,y_2,y_3].$$

So X is birational to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  hence it is a rational surface.

e) A birational non-regular map from  $\mathbb{P}^2$  to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

The following rational map is called the *standard quadratic map*:

$$Q: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad [x_0, x_1, x_2] \to [x_1 x_2, x_0 x_2, x_0 x_1].$$

Q is regular on  $U := \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{A, B, C\}$ , where A[1,0,0], B[0,1,0], C[0,0,1] are the fundamental points (see Fig. 2)

Let a be the line through B and C:  $a = V_P(x_0)$ , and similarly  $b = V_P(x_1)$ ,  $c = V_P(x_2)$ . Then Q(a) = A, Q(b) = B, Q(c) = C. Outside these three lines Q is an isomorphism. Precisely, put  $U' = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{a \cup b \cup c\}$ ; then  $Q: U' \to \mathbb{P}^2$  is regular, the image is U' and  $Q^{-1}: U' \to U'$  coincides with Q. Indeed,

$$[x_0, x_1, x_2] \xrightarrow{Q} [x_1x_2, x_0x_2, x_0x_1] \xrightarrow{Q} [x_0^2x_1x_2, x_0, x_1^2x_2, x_0x_1x_2^2].$$

So  $Q \circ Q = 1_{\mathbb{P}^2}$  as rational map, hence Q is birational and  $Q = Q^{-1}$ .

The set of the birational maps  $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is a group, called the *Cremona group*. At the end of XIX century, Max Noether proved that the Cremona group is generated by PGL(3,K) and by the single standard quadratic map above. The analogous groups for  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , are much more complicated and a complete description is still unknown.

# Exercises to $\S 9$ .

- 1. Let  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^n$  be the map defined by  $t \to (t, t^2, \dots, t^n)$ .
- a) Prove that  $\phi$  is regular and describe  $\phi(\mathbb{A}^1)$ ;
- b) prove that  $\phi: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \phi(\mathbb{A}^1)$  is an isomorphism;
- c) give a description of  $\phi^*$  and  $\phi^{-1*}$ .
- 2. Let  $f: \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  be defined by:  $(x, y) \to (x, xy)$ .

- a) Describe  $f(\mathbb{A}^2)$  and prove that it is not locally closed in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .
- b) Prove that  $f(\mathbb{A}^2)$  is a constructible set in the Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{A}^2$  (i.e. a finite union of locally closed sets).
- 3. Prove that the Veronese variety  $V_{n,d}$  is not contained in any hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{N(n,d)}$
- 4. Let  $GL_n(K)$  be the set of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in K. Prove that  $GL_n(K)$  can be given the structure of an affine variety.
  - 5. Show the unicity of the projective transformation  $\tau$  of Theorem 9.8.
- 6. Let  $\phi: X \to Y$  be a regular map and  $\phi^*$  its comorphism. Prove that the kernel of  $\phi^*$  is the ideal of  $\phi(X)$  in  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Deduce that  $\phi$  is dominant if and only if  $\phi^*$  is injective.
- 7. Prove that  $\mathcal{O}(X_F)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(X)_f$ , where X is an affine algebraic set, F a polynomial and f the function on X defined by F.

# 10. Products of quasi-projective varieties.

Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^m$  be projective spaces over the same field K. The cartesian product  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is simply a set: we want to define an injective map from  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  to a suitable projective space, so that the image be a projective variety, which will be identified with our product.

Let N = (n(+1)(m+1) - 1 and define  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \to \mathbb{P}^N$  in the following way:  $([x_0, \ldots, x_n], [y_0, \ldots, y_m]) \to [x_0y_0, x_0y_1, \ldots, x_iy_j, \ldots, x_ny_m]$ . Using coordinates  $w_{ij}$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, m$ , in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\{w_{ij} = x_i y_j, i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m.$$

It is easy to observe that  $\sigma$  is a well–defined map.

If  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^m = \mathbb{P}(W)$ , note that  $\mathbb{P}^N \simeq \mathbb{P}(V \otimes W)$  and  $\sigma$  is induced by the natural map  $V \times W \to V \otimes W$ .

Let  $\Sigma_{n,m}$  (or simply  $\Sigma$ ) denote the image  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m)$ .

**10.1. Proposition.**  $\sigma$  is injective and  $\Sigma_{n,m}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .

*Proof.* If  $\sigma([x], [y]) = \sigma([x'], [y'])$ , then there exists  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that  $x_i' y_j' = \lambda x_i y_j$  for all i, j. In particular, if  $x_h \neq 0$ ,  $y_k \neq 0$ , then also  $x_h' \neq 0$ ,  $y_k' \neq 0$ , and for all i  $x_i' = \lambda \frac{y_k}{y_k'} x_i$ , so  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] = [x_0', \dots, x_n']$ . Similarly for the second point.

To prove the second assertion, I claim:  $\Sigma_{n,m}$  is the closed set of equations:

$$(*)\{w_{ij}w_{hk}=w_{ik}w_{hj},\ i,h=0,\ldots,n;j,k=0\ldots,m.$$

It is clear that if  $[w_{ij}] \in \Sigma$ , then it satisfies (\*). Conversely, assume that  $[w_{ij}]$ 

satisfies (\*) and that  $w_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ . Then

$$[w_{00}, \dots, w_{ij}, \dots, w_{nm}] = [w_{00}w_{\alpha\beta}, \dots, w_{ij}w_{\alpha\beta}, \dots, w_{nm}w_{\alpha\beta}] =$$

$$= [w_{0\beta}w_{\alpha0}, \dots, w_{i\beta}w_{\alpha j}, \dots, w_{n\beta}w_{\alpha m}] =$$

$$= \sigma([w_{0\beta}, \dots, w_{n\beta}], [w_{\alpha0}, \dots, w_{\alpha m}]).$$

 $\sigma$  is called the Segre map and  $\Sigma_{n,m}$  the Segre variety or biprojective space. Note that  $\Sigma$  is covered by the affine open subsets  $\Sigma^{ij} = \Sigma \cap W_{ij}$ , where  $W_{ij} = \mathbb{P}^N \setminus V_P(w_{ij})$ . Moreover  $\Sigma^{ij} = \sigma(U_i \times V_j)$ , with  $U_i \times V_j \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$ .

**10.2.** Proposition.  $\sigma|_{U_i \times V_j} : U_i \times V_j \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n+m} \to \Sigma^{ij}$  is an isomorphism of varieties.

*Proof.* Assume by simplicity i = j = 0. Choose non-homogeneous coordinates on  $U_0$ :  $u_i = x_i/x_0$  and on  $V_0$ :  $v_j = y_j/y_0$ . So  $u_1, \ldots u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_m$  are coordinates on  $U_0 \times V_0$ . Take non-homogeneous coordinates also on  $W_{00}$ :  $z_{ij} = w_{ij}/w_{00}$ . Using these coordinates we have:

$$\sigma|_{U_i \times V_j} : (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m) \to (v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, u_1 v_1, \dots, u_1 v_m, \dots, u_n v_m)$$

$$||$$

$$([1, u_1, \dots, u_n], [1, v_1, \dots, v_m])$$

i.e.  $\sigma(u_1, ..., v_m) = (z_{01}, ..., z_{nm})$ , where

$$\begin{cases} z_{i0} = u_i, & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n; \\ z_{0j} = v_j, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, m; \\ z_{ij} = u_i v_j = z_{i0} z_{0j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\sigma|_{U_0 \times V_0}$  is regular.

The inverse map takes  $(z_{01},\ldots,z_{nm})$  to  $(z_{10},\ldots,z_{n0},z_{01},\ldots,z_{0m})$ , so it is also regular.

**10.3.** Corollary.  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is irreducible and birational to  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Ex.5, Ch.6, considering the covering of  $\Sigma$  by the open subsets  $\Sigma^{ij}$ . For the second assertion, by Theorem 9.15, it is enough to note that  $\Sigma_{n,m}$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$  contain isomorphic open subsets, i.e.  $\Sigma^{ij}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{n+m}$ .

From now on, we shall identify  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  with  $\Sigma_{n,m}$ . If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  are any quasi-projective varieties, then  $X \times Y$  will be automatically identified with  $\sigma(X \times Y) \subset \Sigma$ .

**10.4. Proposition.** If X and Y are projective varieties (resp. quasi-projective varieties), then  $X \times Y$  is projective (resp. quasi-projective).

Proof.

$$\sigma(X \times Y) = \bigcup_{i,j} (\sigma(X \times Y) \cap \Sigma^{ij}) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{i,j} (\sigma(X \times Y) \cap (U_i \times V_j)) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{i,j} (\sigma((X \cap U_i) \times (Y \cap V_j))).$$

If X and Y are projective varieties, then  $X \cap U_i$  is closed in  $U_i$  and  $Y \cap V_j$  is closed in  $V_j$ , so their product is closed in  $U_i \times V_j$ ; since  $\sigma|_{U_i \times V_j}$  is an isomorphism, also  $\sigma(X \times Y) \cap \Sigma^{ij}$  is closed in  $\Sigma^{ij}$ , so  $\sigma(X \times Y)$  is closed in  $\Sigma$ , by Lemma 8.3.

If X,Y are quasi–projective, the proof is similar. As for the irreducibility, see Exercise 10.1.

# 10.5. Example. $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

 $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$  is given by  $\{w_{ij} = x_i y_j, i = 0, 1, j = 0, 1, \Sigma \text{ has only one non-trivial equation: } w_{00} w_{11} - w_{01} w_{10}, \text{ hence } \Sigma \text{ is a quadric. The equation of } \Sigma \text{ can be written as}$ 

$$\begin{vmatrix} w_{00} & w_{01} \\ w_{10} & w_{11} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

 $\Sigma$  contains two families of special closed subsets parametrized by  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , i.e.

$$\{\sigma(P \times \mathbb{P}^1)\}_{P \in \mathbb{P}^1}$$
 and  $\{\sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times Q)\}_{Q \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ .

If  $P[a_0, a_1]$ , then  $\sigma(P \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is given by the equations:

$$\begin{cases} w_{00} = a_0 y_0 \\ w_{01} = a_0 y_1 \\ w_{10} = a_1 y_0 \\ w_{11} = a_1 y_1 \end{cases}$$

hence it is a line. Cartesian equations of  $\sigma(P \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  are:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 w_{00} - a_0 w_{10} = 0 \\ a_1 w_{01} - a_0 w_{11} = 0; \end{cases}$$

they express the proportionality of the rows of the matrix (\*) with coefficients  $[a_1, -a_0]$ . Similarly,  $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times Q)$  is the line of equations

$$\begin{cases} a_1 w_{00} - a_0 w_{01} = 0 \\ a_1 w_{10} - a_0 w_{11} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\Sigma$  contains two families of lines: two lines of the same family are clearly disjoint while two lines of different families intersect at one point  $(\sigma(P,Q))$ . Conversely, through any point of  $\Sigma$  there pass two lines, one for each family. Note that  $\Sigma$  is exactly the quadric surface of Example 9.17, d) and that the projection of centre [1,0,0,0] realizes an explicit birational map between  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

# Exercises to §10.

- 1. Using Ex. 5 of §6, prove that, if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  are irreducible projective varieties, then  $X \times Y$  is irreducible.
- 2. (\*) Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ . Show that  $X \cap Y \simeq (X \times Y) \cap \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ , where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^n}$  is the diagonal subvariety.
  - 3. Let L, M, N be the following lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ :

$$L: x_0 = x_1 = 0, M: x_2 = x_3 = 0, N: x_0 - x_2 = x_1 - x_3 = 0.$$

Let X be the union of lines meeting L, M and N: write equations for X and describe it: is it a projective variety? If yes, of what dimension and degree?

4. Let X, Y be quasi-projective varieties, identify  $X \times Y$  with its image via the Segre map. Check that the two projection maps  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{p_1} X$ ,  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{p_2} Y$  are regular. (Hint: use the open covering of the Segre variety by the  $\Sigma^{ij}$ 's.)

#### 11. The dimension of an intersection.

Our aim in this section is to prove the following theorem:

**11.1. Theorem.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let  $X,Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be quasi-projective varieties. Assume that  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . Then if Z is any irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$ , then  $\dim Z > \dim X + \dim Y - n$ .

The proof uses in an essential way the Krull's principal ideal theorem (see for instance Atiyah–MacDonald [1]).

The proof of Theorem 11.1 will be divided in three steps. Note first that we can assume that X and Y both intersect  $U_0 \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$ , so, possibly after restricting X and Y, we may work with closed subsets of the affine space. Put  $r = \dim X$ ,  $s = \dim Y$ .

- **Step 1.** Assume that X = V(F) is an irreducible hypersurface, with F irreducible polynomial of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . The irreducible components of  $X \cap Y$  correspond, by the Nullstellensatz, to the minimal prime ideals containing  $I(X \cap Y)$  in  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Let me recall that  $I(X \cap Y) = \sqrt{I(X) + I(Y)} = \sqrt{\langle I(Y), F \rangle}$ . So those prime ideals are the minimal ones over  $\langle I(Y), F \rangle$ . They correspond bijectively to minimal prime ideals containing  $\langle f \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ , where f is the regular function on Y defined by F. We distinguish two cases:
- if  $Y \subset X = V(F)$ , then f = 0 and  $Y \cap X = Y$ ;  $s = \dim Y > r + s n = (n-1) + s n$ . So the theorem is true.

- if  $Y \not\subset X$ , then  $f \neq 0$ , moreover f is not invertible, otherwise  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ : hence the minimal prime ideals over  $\langle f \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  have all height one, so for all Z, irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$ , dim  $Z = \dim Y - 1 = r + s - n$  (Theorem 7.7).
- **Step 2.** Assume that I(X) is generated by n-r polynomials (where n-r is the codimension of X):  $I(X) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_{n-r} \rangle$ . Then we can argue by induction on n-r: we first intersect Y with  $V(F_1)$ , whose irreducible components are all hypersurfaces, and apply Step 1: all irreducible components of  $Y \cap V(F_1)$  have dimension either s or s-1. Then we intersect each of these components with  $V(F_2)$ , and so on.
- **Step 3.** We use the isomorphism  $\psi: X \cap Y \simeq (X \times Y) \cap \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^n}$  (see Ex.2, §10).  $\psi$  preserves the irreducible components and their dimensions, so we consider instead of X and Y, the algebraic sets  $X \times Y$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ , contained in  $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$ . We have  $\dim X \times Y = r+s$  (Proposition 7.10).  $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^n}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$ , so it satisfies the assumption of Step 2. Hence, for all Z we have:  $\dim Z \geq (r+s) + n 2n = r+s-n$ .

The above theorem can be seen as a generalization of the Grassmann relation for linear subspaces. It is not an existence theorem, because it says nothing about  $X \cap Y$  being non–empty. But for projective varieties, the following more precise version of the theorem holds:

**11.2.** Theorem. Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be projective varieties of dimensions r, s. If  $r + s - n \geq 0$ , then  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. Let C(X), C(Y) be the affine cones associated to X and Y. Then  $C(X) \cap C(Y)$  is certainly non-empty, because it contains the origin  $O(0,0,\ldots,0)$ . Assume we know that C(X) has dimension r+1 and C(Y) has dimension s+1: then by Theorem 11.1 all irreducible components Z of  $C(X) \cap C(Y)$  have dimension  $\geq (r+1)+(s+1)-(n+1)=r+s-n+1\geq 1$ , hence Z contains points different from O. These points give raise to points of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  belonging to  $X \cap Y$ . It remains to show:

# 11.3. Proposition. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety.

Then dim  $Y = \dim C(Y) - 1$ . If S(Y) denotes the homogeneous coordinate ring, hence also dim  $Y = \dim S(Y) - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{O\} \to \mathbb{P}^n$  be the canonical map. Let us recall that  $C(Y) = p^{-1}(Y) \cup \{O\}$ . Assume that  $Y_0 := Y \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$  and consider also  $C(Y_0) = p^{-1}(Y_0) \cup \{O\}$ . Then we have:

$$C(Y_0) = \{(\lambda, \lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_n) \mid \lambda \in K, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y_0\}.$$

So we can define a birational map between  $C(Y_0)$  and  $Y_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  as follows:

$$(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in C(Y_0) \to ((y_1/y_0, \dots, y_n/y_0), y_0) \in Y_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$

$$((a_1,\ldots,a_n),\lambda)\in Y_0\times\mathbb{A}^1\to (\lambda,\lambda a_1,\ldots,\lambda a_n)\in C(Y_0).$$

Therefore  $\dim C(Y_0) = \dim(Y_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \dim Y_0 + 1$ . To conclude, it is enough to remark that  $\dim Y = \dim Y_0$  and  $\dim C(Y) = \dim C(Y_0) = \dim S(Y)$ .

#### 11.4. Corollaries.

1. If  $X,Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  are projective curves over an algebraically closed field, then  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

2.  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Proof.* 1. is a straightforward application of Theorem 11.2. To prove 2., assume by contradiction that  $\phi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$  is an isomorphism. If L, L' are skew lines on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , then  $\phi(L)$ ,  $\phi(L')$  are rational disjoint curves of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which contradicts 1

If  $X,Y\subset\mathbb{P}^n$  are varieties of dimensions r,s, then r+s-n is called the expected dimension of  $X\cap Y$ . If all irreducible components Z of  $X\cap Y$  have the expected dimension, then we say that the intersection  $X\cap Y$  is proper or that X and Y intersect properly.

For example, two plane projective curves X, Y intersect properly if they don't have any common irreducible component. In this case, it is possible to preview the number of points of intersections. Precisely, it is possible to associate to every point  $P \in X \cap Y$  a number i(P), called the multiplicity of intersection of X and Y at P, in such a way that  $\sum_{P \in X \cap Y} i(P) = dd'$ , where d is the degree of X and d' is the degree of Y. This result is known as Theorem of Bézout, and is the first result of the branch of algebraic geometry called Intersection Theory. For a proof of the Theorem of Bézout, see for instance the classical book of Walker [8], or the book of Fulton on Algebraic Curves [5].

Let X be a closed subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (resp. of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ) of codimension r. X is called a *complete intersection* if  $I_h(X)$  (resp. I(X)) is generated by r polynomials.

Hence, if X is a complete intersection of codimension r, then X is certainly the intersection of r hypersurfaces. Conversely, if X is intersection of r hypersurfaces, then, by Theorem 11.1, using induction, we deduce that  $\dim X \geq n - r$ ; also assuming equality, we cannot conclude that X is a complete intersection, but simply that I(X) is the radical of an ideal generated by r polynomials.

**11.5. Example.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the skew cubic. The homogeneous ideal of X contains the three polynomials  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ , the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

which are linearly independent polynomials of degree 2. Note that  $I_h(X)$  does not contain any linear polynomial, because X is not contained in any hyperplane, and that the homogeneous component of minimal degree 2 of  $I_h(X)$  is a vector space

of dimension 3. Hence  $I_h(X)$  cannot be generated by two polynomials, i.e. X is not a complete intersection.

Nevertheless, X is the intersection of the surfaces  $V_P(F)$ ,  $V_P(G)$ , where

$$F = \begin{vmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 \end{vmatrix} \text{ and } G = \begin{vmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

In fact:  $G = x_0F - x_3(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) + x_2(x_1x_3 - x_2^2)$ . If  $P[x_0, \dots, x_3] \in V_P(F) \cap V_P(G)$ , then P is a zero of  $x_0x_3^2 - 2x_1x_2x_3 + x_2^3$ , and also of

$$x_2(x_0x_3^2 - 2x_1x_2x_3 + x_2^3) = x_1^2x_3^2 - 2x_1x_2^2x_3 + x_2^4 = (x_1x_3 - x_2^2)^2.$$

Hence P is a zero also of  $x_1x_3 - x_2^2$ . So P annihilates  $x_3(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2)$ . If  $x_3 = 0$ , then also  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 0$ :  $P = [1, 0, 0, 0] \in X$ . If  $x_3 \neq 0$ , then  $P \in V_P(F_1, F_2, F_3) = X$ .

The situation is that the skew cubic X is the set-theoretic intersection of a quadric and a cubic, which are tangent along X, so their intersection is X counted with multiplicity 2.

This example motivates the following definition: X is a set-theoretic complete intersection if  $\operatorname{codim} X = r$  and the ideal of X is the radical of an ideal generated by r polynomials. It is an open problem if all irreducible curves of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  are set-theoretic complete intersections. For more details, see [4].

# Exercises to §11.

1. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the union of three points not lying on a line. Prove that the homogeneous ideal of X cannot be generated by two polynomials.

#### 12. Complete varieties.

We work over an algebraically closed field K.

**12.1. Definition.** Let X be a quasi-projective variety. X is *complete* if, for any quasi-projective variety Y, the natural projection on the second factor  $p_2$ :  $X \times Y \to Y$  is a closed map. (Note that both projections  $p_1, p_2$  are morphisms: see Exercise 4 to §10.)

**Example.** The affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is not complete: let  $X = Y = \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $p_2 : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^1$  is the map such that  $(x_1, x_2) \to x_2$ . Then  $Z := V(x_1x_2 - 1)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  but  $p_2(Z) = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{O\}$  is not closed.

- **12.2. Proposition.** (i) If  $f: X \to Y$  is a regular map and X is complete, then f(X) is a closed complete subvariety of Y.
  - (ii) If X is complete, then all closed subvarieties of X are complete.

Proof. (i) Let  $\Gamma_f \subset X \times Y$  be the graph of  $f \colon \Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ . It is clear that  $f(X) = p_2(\Gamma_f)$ , so to prove that f(X) is closed it is enough to check that  $\Gamma_f$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ . Let us consider the diagonal of  $Y \colon \Delta_Y = \{(y, y) \mid y \in Y\} \subset Y \times Y$ . If  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , then  $\Delta_Y = \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n} \cap (Y \times Y)$ , so it is closed because  $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is the closed subset defined in  $\Sigma_{n,n}$  by the equations  $w_{ij} - w_{ji} = 0, i, j = 0, \dots, n$ . There is a natural map  $f \times 1_Y : X \times Y \to Y \times Y$ ,  $(x, y) \to (f(x), y)$ , such that  $(f \times 1_Y)^{-1}(\Delta_Y) = \Gamma_f$ . It is easy to see that  $f \times 1_Y$  is regular, so  $\Gamma_f$  is closed.

Let now Z be any variety and consider  $p_2: f(X) \times Z \to Z$  and the regular map  $f \times 1_Z: X \times Z \to f(X) \times Z$ . There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Z & \xrightarrow{p_2'} & Z \\ \downarrow^{f \times 1_Z} & \nearrow & p_2 \\ f(X) \times Z & & & \end{array}$$

If  $T \subset f(X) \times Z$ , then  $(f \times 1_Z)^{-1}(T)$  is closed and  $p_2(T) = p'_2((f \times 1_Z)^{-1}(T))$  is closed because X is complete. We conclude that f(X) is complete.

(ii) Let  $T \subset X$  be a closed subvariety and Y be any variety. We have to prove that  $p_2: T \times Y \to Y$  is closed. If  $Z \subset T \times Y$  is closed, then Z is closed also in  $X \times Y$ , hence  $p_2(Z)$  is closed because X is complete.

### 12.3. Corollaries.

- 1. If X is a complete variety, then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K$ .
- 2. If X is an affine complete variety, then X is a point.
- *Proof.* 1. If  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , f can be interpreted as a regular map  $f: X \to \mathbb{A}^1$ . By Proposition 12.2, (i), f(X) is a closed complete subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , which is not complete. Hence f(X) is a point, so  $f \in K$ .
- 2. By 1.,  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K$ . But  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X)$ , hence I(X) is maximal. By the Nullstellensatz, X is a point.

# **12.4.** Theorem. Let X be a projective variety. Then X is complete.

Proof. (sketch, see Šafarevič [7].)

- 1. It is enough to prove that  $p_2: \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m \to \mathbb{A}^m$  is closed, for all n, m. This can be observed by using the local character of closedness and the affine open coverings of quasi-projective varieties.
- 2. If  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  are homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_m$  are coordinates on  $\mathbb{A}^m$ , then any closed subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  can be characterized as the set of common zeroes of a set of polynomials in the variables  $x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m$ , homogeneous in the first group of variables  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ .
- 3. Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  be closed. Then Z is the set of solutions of a system of equations

$$\{G_i(x_0,\ldots,x_n;y_1,\ldots,y_m)=0, i=1,\ldots,t\}$$

where  $G_i$  is homogeneous in the x's. A point  $P(\overline{y}_1, \dots, \overline{y}_m)$  is in  $p_2(Z)$  if and only if the system

$$\{G_i(x_0,\ldots,x_n;\overline{y}_0,\ldots,\overline{y}_m)=0,i=1,\ldots,t\}$$

has a solution in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , i.e. if the ideal of  $K[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$  generated by  $G_1(x;\overline{y}),\ldots$ ,  $G_t(x;\overline{y})$  has at least one zero in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence

$$p_2(Z) = \{ (\overline{y}_1, \dots, \overline{y}_m) | \forall d \ge 1 \ \langle G_1(x; \overline{y}), \dots, G_t(x; \overline{y}) \rangle \not\supset K[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d \} = \bigcap_{d \ge 1} \{ (\overline{y}_1, \dots, \overline{y}_m) | \ \langle G_1(x; \overline{y}), \dots, G_t(x; \overline{y}) \rangle \not\supset K[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d \}.$$

Let  $\{M_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  be the set of the monomials of degree d in  $K[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ ; let  $d_i = \deg G_i(x;\overline{y})$ ; let  $\{N_i^{\beta}\}$  be the set of the monomials of degree  $d-d_i$ ; let finally  $T_d = \{(\overline{y}_1,\ldots,\overline{y}_m) | \langle G_1(x;\overline{y}),\ldots,G_t(x;\overline{y}) \rangle \not\supset K[x_0,\ldots,x_n]_d\}$ .

Then  $P(\overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_m) \notin T_d$  if and only if  $M_{\alpha} = \sum_i G_i(x; \overline{y}) F_{i,\alpha}(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ , for all  $\alpha$  and for suitable polynomials  $F_{i,\alpha}$  homogeneous of degree  $d - d_i$ . So  $P \notin T_d$  if and only if for all  $\alpha$   $M_{\alpha}$  is a linear combination of the polynomials  $\{G_i(x; \overline{y}) N_i^{\beta}\}$ , i.e. the matrix A of the coefficients of the polynomials  $G_i(x; \overline{y}) N_i^{\beta}$  with respect to the basis  $\{M_{\alpha}\}$  has maximal rank  $\binom{n+d}{d}$ . So  $T_d$  is the set of zeroes of the minors of a fixed order of the matrix A, hence it is closed.

- **12.5.** Corollary. Let X be a projective variety. Then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq K$ .
- **12.6.** Corollary. Let X be a projective variety,  $\phi: X \to Y$  be any regular map. Then  $\phi(X)$  is a projective variety. In particular, if  $X \simeq Y$ , then Y is projective.

# 13. The tangent space.

We define the tangent space  $T_{X,p}$  at a point P of an affine variety X as the union of the lines passing through P and touching X at P. Then we will find a "local" characterization of  $T_{X,p}$ , only depending on the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ : this will allow to define the tangent space at a point of any quasi-projective variety.

Assume first that  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is closed and P = (0, ..., 0). Let L be a line through P: if  $A(a_1, ..., a_n)$  is another point of L, then a general point of L has coordinates  $(ta_1, ..., ta_n)$ ,  $t \in K$ . If  $I(X) = (F_1, ..., F_m)$ , then the intersection  $X \cap L$  is determined by the following system of equations in the undeterminate t:

$$F_1(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n) = \ldots = F_m(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n) = 0.$$

The solutions of these equations are the roots of the greatest common divisor G(t) of the polynomials  $F_1(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n),\ldots,F_m(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n)$  in K[t]. We may factorize G(t) as  $G(t)=ct^e(t-\alpha_1)^{e_1}\ldots(t-\alpha_s)^{e_s}$ , where e>0 if and only if  $P\in X\cap L$ , and  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s\neq 0$ . The number e is by definition the **intersection** 

**multiplicity at** P **of** X **and** L**.** If G(t) is identically zero, i.e. if  $L \subset X$ , the intersection multiplicity is, by definition,  $+\infty$ .

Note that the polynomial G(t) doesn't depend on the choice of the generators  $F_1, \ldots, F_m$  of I(X), but only on the ideal I(X) and on L.

**13.1.** Definition. The line L is tangent to the variety X at P if the intersection multiplicity of L and X at P is at least 2 (in particular if  $L \subset X$ ). The tangent space to X at P is the union of the lines that are tangent to X at P; it is denoted  $T_{P,X}$ .

Assume now that  $P \in X$ : then the polynomials  $F_i$  may be written in the form  $F_i = L_i + G_i$ , where  $L_i$  is a linear polynomial (possibly zero) and  $G_i$  contains only terms of degree  $\geq 2$ . Then

$$F_i(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n)=tL_i(a_1,\ldots,a_n)+G_i(ta_1,\ldots,ta_n),$$

where the last term is divisible by  $t^2$ . So L is tangent to X at P if and only if  $L_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Therefore a point  $A(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  belongs to  $T_{P,X}$  if and only if

$$L_1(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \ldots = L_m(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = 0.$$

This shows that  $T_{P,X}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , whose equations are the linear parts of the equations defining X.

#### 13.2. Examples.

- (i)  $T_{O,\mathbb{A}^n} = \mathbb{A}^n$ , because  $I(\mathbb{A}^n) = (0)$ .
- (ii) If X is a hypersurface, I(X) = (F), F = L + G, then  $T_{P,X} = V(L)$ : so  $T_{P,X}$  is either a hypersurface if  $L \neq 0$ , or the whole space  $\mathbb{A}^n$  if L = 0. For instance, if X is the affine plane cuspidal cubic  $V(x^3 y^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ ,  $T_{P,X} = \mathbb{A}^2$ .

Assume now that  $P \in X$  has coordinates  $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ . With a linear transformation we may translate P to the origin  $(0, \ldots, 0)$ , taking as new coordinates functions on  $\mathbb{A}^n$   $x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n$ . This corresponds to the K-isomorphism  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \longrightarrow K[x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n]$ , which takes a polynomial  $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  to its Taylor expansion

$$G(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_n - y_n) = F(y_1, \dots, y_n) + d_P F + d_P^{(2)} F + \dots,$$

where  $d_P^{(i)}F$  denotes the  $i^{th}$  differential of F at P: it is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i in the variables  $x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n$ . In particular the linear term is

$$d_P F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(P)(x_1 - y_1) + \ldots + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}(P)(x_n - y_n).$$

We get that, if  $I(X) = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ , then  $T_{P,X}$  is the linear subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined by the equations

$$d_P F_1 = \ldots = d_P F_m = 0.$$

The affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , which may identified with  $K^n$ , has a natural structure of K-vector space with origin P, so in a natural way  $T_{P,X}$  is a vector subspace (with origin P). The functions  $x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n$  form a basis of the dual space  $(K^n)^*$  and their restrictions generate  $T_{P,X}^*$ . Note moreover that  $\dim T_{P,X}^* = k$  if and only if n - k is the maximal number of polynomials linearly independent among  $d_P F_1, \ldots, d_P F_m$ . If  $d_P F_1, \ldots, d_P F_{n-k}$  are these polynomials, then they form a base of the orthogonal  $T_{P,X}^{\perp}$  of the vector space  $T_{P,X}$  in  $(K^n)^*$ , because they vanish on  $T_{P,X}$ .

Let us define now the differential of a regular function. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  be a regular function on X. We want to define the differential of f at P. Since X is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , f is induced by a polynomial  $F \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and by all polynomials of the form F + G with  $G \in I(X)$ . Fix  $P \in X$ : then  $d_P(F + G) = d_P F + d_P G$  so the differentials of two polynomials inducing the same function f on X differ by the term  $d_P G$  with  $G \in I(X)$ . By definition,  $d_P G$  is zero along  $T_{P,X}$ , so we may define  $d_P f$  as a regular function on  $T_{P,X}$ , the differential of f at P: it is the function on  $T_{P,X}$  induced by  $d_P F$ . Since  $d_P F$  is a linear combination of  $x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n, d_P f$  can also be seen as an element of  $T_{P,X}^*$ .

There is a natural map  $d_p: \mathcal{O}(X) \to T_{P,X}^*$ , which sends f to  $d_p f$ . It is clear that  $d_P(f+g) = d_P f + d_P g$  and  $d_P(fg) = f(P)d_P g + g(P)d_P f$ . In particular, if  $c \in K$ ,  $d_p(cf) = cd_P f$ . So  $d_p$  is a linear map of K-vector spaces. We denote again by  $d_P$  the restriction of  $d_P$  to  $I_X(P)$ , the maximal ideal of the regular functions on X which are zero at P. Since clearly f = f(P) + (f - f(P)) then  $d_P f = d_P (f - f(P))$ , so this restriction doesn't modify the image of the map.

**13.3. Proposition.** The map  $d_P: I_X(P) \to T_{P,X}^*$  is surjective and its kernel is  $I_X(P)^2$ . Therefore  $T_{P,X}^* \simeq I_X(P)/I_X(P)^2$  as K-vector spaces.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in T_{P,X}^*$  be a linear form on  $T_{P,X}$ .  $\phi$  is the restriction of a linear form on  $K^n$ :  $\lambda_1(x_1-y_1)+\ldots+\lambda_n(x_n-y_n)$ , with  $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n\in K$ . Let G be the polynomial of degree 1  $\lambda_1(x_1-y_1)+\ldots+\lambda_n(x_n-y_n)$ : the function g induced by G on X is zero at P and coincides with its own differential, so  $d_p$  is surjective.

Let now  $g \in I_X(P)$  such that  $d_pg = 0$ , g induced by a polynomial G. Note that  $d_PG$  may be interpreted as a linear form on  $K^n$  which vanishes on  $T_{P,X}$ , hence as an element of  $T_{P,X}^{\perp}$ . So  $d_PG = c_1d_pF_1 + \ldots + c_md_pF_m$   $(c_1, \ldots, c_m$  suitable elements of K). Let us consider the polynomial  $G - c_1F_1 - \ldots - c_mF_m$ : since its differential at P is zero, it doesn't have any term of degree 0 or 1 in  $x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n$ , so it belongs to  $I(P)^2$ . Since  $G - c_1F_1 - \ldots - c_mF_m$  defines the function g on X, we conclude that  $g \in I_X(P)^2$ .

**13.4.** Corollary. The tangent space  $T_{P,X}$  is isomorphic to  $(I_X(P)/I_X(P)^2)^*$  as an abstract K-vector space.

**13.5.** Corollary. If  $\phi: X \to Y$  is an isomorphism of affine varieties and  $P \in X$ , then the tangent spaces  $T_{P,X}$  and  $T_{\phi(P),Y}$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.*  $\phi$  induces the comorphism  $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ , which is an isomorphism such that  $\phi^*I_Y(\phi(P)) = I_X(P)$  and  $\phi^*I_Y(\phi(P))^2 = I_X(P)^2$ . So there is an induced homomorphism

$$I_Y(\phi(P))/I_Y(\phi(P))^2 \to I_X(P)/I_X(P)^2$$

which is an isomorphism of K-vector spaces. By dualizing we get the claim.  $\square$ 

The above map from  $T_{P,X}$  to  $T_{\phi(P),Y}$  is called the differential of  $\phi$  at P and is denoted by  $d_P\phi$ .

Now we would like to find a "more local" characterization of  $T_{P,X}$ . To this end we consider the local ring of P in X  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ . We recall that  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ , which is the localization  $\mathcal{O}(X)_{I_X(P)}$ . It is natural to extend the map  $d_P: \mathcal{O}(X) \to T_{P,X}^*$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  setting

$$d_P(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{g(P)d_P f - f(P)d_P g}{g(P)^2}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 13.3 one proves that the map  $d_P: \mathcal{O}_{P,X} \to T_{P,X}^*$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2 \to T_{P,X}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$ . So by duality we have:  $T_{P,X} \simeq (\mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2)^*$ . This proves that the tangent space  $T_{P,X}$  is a local invariant of P in X.

**13.6.** Definition. Let X be any quasi-projective variety,  $P \in X$ . The Zariski tangent space of X at P is the vector space  $(\mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2)^*$ .

It is an abstract vector space, but if  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is closed, taking the dual of the comorphism associated to the embedding of X in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , we have an embedding of  $T_{P,X}$  into  $T_{P,\mathbb{A}^n} = \mathbb{A}^n$ . If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $P \in U_i = \mathbb{A}^n$ , then  $T_{P,X} \subset U_i$ : its projective closure is called the *embedded tangent space* to X at P.

As we have seen the tangent space  $T_{P,X}$  is invariant by isomorphism. In particular its dimension is invariant. If  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is closed,  $I(X) = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ , then  $\dim T_{P,X} = n - r$ , where r is the maximal number of linearly independent elements in the set  $\{d_P F_1, \dots, d_p F_m\}$ .

Since  $d_P F_i = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_1}(P)(x_1 - y_1) + \ldots + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_n}(P)(x_n - y_n)$ , r is the rank of the

following  $m \times n$  matrix, the Jacobian matrix of X at P:

$$J(P) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1}(P) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_n}(P) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial x_1}(P) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial x_n}(P) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The generic Jacobian matrix of X is instead the following matrix with entries in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ :

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rank of J is  $\rho$  when all minors of order  $\rho + 1$  are functions identically zero on X, while some order  $\rho$  minor is different from zero at some point. Hence, for all  $P \in X$  rk  $J(P) \leq \rho$ , and rk  $J(P) < \rho$  if and only if all minors of order  $\rho$  of J vanish at P. It is then clear that there is a non-empty open subset of X where dim  $T_{P,X}$  is minimal, equal to  $n - \rho$ , and a proper (possibly empty) closed subset formed by the points P such that dim  $T_{P,X} > n - \rho$ .

13.7. Definition. The points of an irreducible variety X for which dim  $T_{P,X} = n - \rho$  (the minimal) are called *smooth* or *non-singular* or *simple points* of X. The remaining points are called *singular* (or multiple). X is called *smooth* if all its points are smooth.

If X is quasi-projective, the same argument may be repeated for any affine open subset.

**13.8.** Example. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be the irreducible hypersurface V(F). Then  $J = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n})$  is a row matrix. So  $\operatorname{rk} J = 0$  or 1. If  $\operatorname{rk} J = 0$ , then  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  for all i. So  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \in I(Y) = (F)$ . Since the degree of  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$  is  $\leq \deg F - 1$ , it follows that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0$  in the polynomial ring. If the characteristic of k is zero this means that F a constant: a contradiction. If  $\operatorname{char} K = p$ , then  $F \in K[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]$ ; since K is algebraically closed, then  $F = G^p$  for a suitable G, but this is impossible because F is irreducible. So always  $\operatorname{rk} J = 1 = \rho$ . Hence for F general in F0, i.e. for F1 varying in a suitable non-empty open subset,  $\dim T_{P,X} = n - 1$ . For some particular points, the singular points of F2, we can find  $\dim T_{P,X} = n$ 3, i.e. f3.

So in the case of a hypersurface  $\dim T_{P,X} \ge \dim X$  for every point P in X, and equality holds in the smooth locus of X. In general, one reduces to the case of hypersurfaces using the following theorem:

**13.9. Theorem.** Every quasi-projective variety X is birational to a hypersurface in some affine space.

We will not give here the proof (see for instance Šafarevič's book). Note that one can reduce the proof to the case in which X is affine and that one has to prove that the field of rational functions K(X) is isomorphic to a field of the form  $K(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ , where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  satisfy only one non-trivial relation  $F(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = 0$ , where F is an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in K. This is generally proved using the "Abel's primitive element" concerning extensions of fields. One shows that the elements  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  can be chosen as linear combinations of the original coordinate functions on X: this means that the birational map is in fact a suitable projection.

**13.10. Theorem.** The dimension of the tangent space at a non-singular point of a variety X is equal to  $\dim X$ .

Proof. It is enough to prove the claim under the assumption that X is affine. Let Y be an affine hypersurface birational to X (existing by the previous theorem) and  $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a birational map. There exist open non-empty subsets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  such that  $\phi: U \to Y$  is an isomorphism. The set of smooth points of Y is an open subset W of Y such that  $W \cap V$  is non-empty and that  $\dim T_{P,Y} = \dim Y = \dim X$  for all  $P \in W \cap V$ . But  $\phi^{-1}(W \cap V) \subset U$  is open non-empty and  $\dim T_{Q,X} = \dim X$  for all  $Q \in \phi^{-1}(W \cap V)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

We would like now to study a variety X in a neighborhood of a smooth point. We have seen that P is smooth for X if and only if  $\dim T_{P,X} = \dim X$ . Assume X affine: in this case the local ring of P in X is  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X} \simeq \mathcal{O}(X)_{I_X(P)}$ . But by Theorem 7.7 we have:  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{P,X} = \operatorname{ht} I_X(P) = \dim \mathcal{O}(X) = \dim X$  and  $\dim T_{P,X} = \dim_K \mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2$ . Therefore P is smooth if and only if

$$\dim_K \mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2 = \dim \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$$

(the first one is a dimension as K-vector space, the second one is a Krull dimension). By the Nakayama's Lemma a base of  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2$  corresponds bijectively to a minimal system of generators of the ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$  (observe that the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is K). Therefore P is smooth for X if and only if  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$  is minimally generated by r elements, where  $r = \dim X$ , in other words if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  is a regular local ring.

For example, if X is a curve, P is smooth if and only if  $T_{P,X}$  has dimension 1, i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$  is principal:  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X} = (t)$ . This means that the equation t = 0 only defines the point P, i.e. P has one local equation in a suitable neighborhood of P.

Let P be a smooth point of X and dim X = n. Functions  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{O}_{P,X}$  are called *local parameters* at P if  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{P,X}$  and their residues  $\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_n$ 

in  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2$  (=  $T_{P,X}^*$ ) form a base, or equivalently if  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ . Recalling the isomorphism

$$d_P: \mathcal{M}_{P,X}/\mathcal{M}_{P,X}^2 \to T_{P,X}^*$$

we deduce that  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are local parameters if and only if  $d_P \bar{u}_1, \ldots, d_P \bar{u}_n$  are linearly independent forms on  $T_{P,X}$  (which is a vector space of dimension n), if and only if the system of equations on  $T_{P,X}$ 

$$d_P \bar{u}_1 = \ldots = d_P \bar{u}_n = 0$$

has only the trivial solution P (which is the origin of the vector space  $T_{P,X}$ .

Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  be local parameters at P. There exists an open affine neighborhood of P on which  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are all regular. We replace X by this neighborhood, so we assume that X is affine and that  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are polynomial functions on X. Let  $X_i$  be the closed subset  $V(u_i)$  of X: it has codimension 1 in X, because  $u_i$  is not identically zero on X ( $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ ).

**13.11. Proposition.** In this notation, P is a smooth point of  $X_i$ , for all i = 1, ..., n and  $\bigcap T_{P,X_i} = \{P\}$ .

Proof. Assume that  $U_i$  is a polynomial inducing  $u_i$ , then  $X_i = V(U_i) \cap X = V(I(X) + (U_i))$ . So  $I(X_i) \supset I(X) + (U_i)$ . By considering the linear parts of the polynomials of the previous ideal, we get:  $T_{P,X_i} \subset T_{P,X} \cap V(d_PU_i)$ . By the assumption on the  $u_i$ , it follows that  $T_{P,X} \cap V(d_PU_1) \cap \ldots \cap V(d_PU_n) = \{P\}$ . Since dim  $T_{P,X} = n$ , we can deduce that  $T_{P,X} \cap V(d_PU_i)$  is strictly contained in  $T_{P,X}$ , and dim  $T_{P,X} \cap V(d_PU_i) = n - 1$ . So dim  $T_{P,X_i} \leq n - 1 = \dim X_i$ , hence P is a smooth point on  $X_i$ , equality holds and  $T_{P,X_i} = T_{P,X} \cap V(d_PU_i)$ . Moreover  $\bigcap T_{P,X_i} = \{P\}$ .

Note that  $\bigcap X_i$  has no positive-dimensional component passing through P: otherwise the tangent space to T at P would be contained in  $T_{P,X_i}$  for all i, against the fact that  $\bigcap T_{P,X_i} = \{P\}$ .

**13.12. Definition.** Let X be a smooth variety. Subvarieties  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  of X are called transversal at  $P, P \in \bigcap Y_i$ , if the intersection of the tangent spaces  $T_{P,Y_i}$  has dimension as small as possible, i.e. if  $\operatorname{codim}_{T_{P,X}}(\bigcap T_{P,Y_i}) = \sum \operatorname{codim}_X Y_i$ .

Taking  $T_{P,X}$  as ambient variety, one gets the relation:

$$\dim \bigcap T_{P,Y_i} \ge \sum \dim T_{P,Y_i} - (r-1) \dim T_{P,X};$$

hence

$$\operatorname{codim}_{T_{P,X}}(\bigcap T_{P,Y_i}) = \dim T_{P,X} - \dim \bigcap T_{P,Y_i} \le \sum (\dim T_{P,X} - \dim T_{P,Y_i}) =$$

$$= \sum \operatorname{codim}_{T_{P,X}}(T_{P,Y_i}) \le \sum \operatorname{codim}_X Y_i.$$

If equality holds, P is a smooth point for  $Y_i$  for all i, moreover we get that P is a simple point for the set  $\bigcap Y_i$ .

For example if X is a surface and  $P \in X$  is smooth, there is a nbhd U of P such that P is the transversal intersection of two curves in U, corresponding to local parameters  $u_1, u_2$ . If P is singular we need three functions  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  to generate the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{P,X}$ .

## References.

- [1] M. Atiyah I. MacDonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison Wesley (1969)
  - [2] J. Harris, Algebraic Geometry, Springer (1992)
  - [3] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer (1977)
- [4] E. Kunz, Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, Birkhäuser (1980)
  - [5] W. Fulton, Algebraic Curves, Benjamin (1969)
  - [6] S. Lang, Algebra, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Addison-Wesley (1984)
  - [7] I. Šafarevič, Basic Algebraic Geometry, Springer (1974)
  - [8] R. Walker, Algebraic Curves, Springer (1978)
  - [9] J. Semple L. Roth, Algebraic Geometry, 1957
  - [10] P. Samuel, O. Zariski, Commutative algebra (2 vol.), Van Nostrand, 1958
- [11] J. Dieudonné, Cours de géométrie algébrique, 2 / Précis de géométrie algébrique élémentaire, Presses Universitaires de France, 1974