

Chapter 16 : functors

- * core concept remains the same about abstracting a common pattern + making certain laws regarding the same.
- * functor : pattern of mapping over a structure (remember fmap)

→ What is a functor? Way to apply a func. over or around some **structure**, that we don't want to alter. Implemented using typeclass, just like Monoid.



The defn:

class functor f where
fmap :: $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b$

name of the typeclass we intend to define
reference to a type, with functorial structure

to begin defn.
of a typeclass

functor that takes an argument.
i.e. F is a type that has an instance
of the functor typeclass.

→ fmap : does the same thing with lists as map does, but generalizes behaviour over different types with functorial structures. example

>> fmap (+1) (Just 1)
Just 2

>> fmap (10/) (4,5)
(4, 2.0)?

>> let rca = Right "Chris Allen"
>> fmap (++) Esq. rca

```
type E e = Either e
type C e = Constant e
type I = Identity
-- Functor f =>
fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
:: (a -> b) -> [ ] a -> [ ] b
:: (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b
:: (a -> b) -> E e a -> E e b
:: (a -> b) -> (e,) a -> (e,) b
:: (a -> b) -> I a -> I b
:: (a -> b) -> C e a -> C e b
```

Right "Chris Allen, Esq."

→ Let's talk about f, baby : f is the type class defn. of functor must be the same 'f' throughout an entire defn, and it must refer to the type that implements the type class.

*) f must have the kind $(\star \rightarrow \star)$. This can be reasoned from the type signature of the fmap operation, wherein f is applied to a to yield a concrete type.

*) Shining star come into view :

$; K (\rightarrow)$

$(\rightarrow) :: (\star \rightarrow \star \rightarrow \star)$ (get a concrete value
when we apply it to two
arguments i.e. i/p type
& o/p type)

Example : class Elie where

$c :: b \rightarrow f (g a b c)$

$b :: \star$

$f :: \star \rightarrow \star$

$g :: \star \rightarrow \star \rightarrow \star \rightarrow \star$

$g abc$ is an arg,
which will be a concrete
value

req three applies to yield
a concrete type

>> class Impish v where

impossible kind :: $v \rightarrow v a$

→ won't work since
 GHC will notice that
 v sometimes has

arguments, sometimes
not.

* Just as GHC has type inference, it also has kind inference and just as it does with types, it can not only infer the kinds but also validate that they're consistent & make sense.

* Functor is fn. appln.

↓

functor is a typeclass for
function appln. "over" or "through"
some structure F that we want
to leave untouched.

infix operator for

$\text{fmap} : <\$>$

↓
special version of $\$$
↓

can be verified using
 $:t$

* $\text{data fixme}\ \text{PIS}\ a = \text{Fixme}$

↓
PIS a

deriving (Eq, Show)

(will become * else)

↑
(* → *)

instance functor $\text{fixme}\ \text{PIS}$ where

$\text{fmap} - \text{fixme} = \text{fixme}$

fn. applied over f
inside the structure

$\text{fmap}\ f\ (\text{PIS}\ a) = \text{PIS}\ (fa)$

$a \rightarrow b$ fa $f\ b$ \rightarrow lines up perfectly

* Type classes and constructor classes : The facility of being able to use typeclasses with higher-kinded types gives us the means of talking about the contents of types independently from the type that structures those

contents. Hence we have something like fmap that allows us to alter the contents of a value without altering the structure, around the value (a list, or just)

→ functor laws : 2 basic laws: i) Identity

$$\text{fmap id} = \text{id}$$
$$\downarrow$$

If we fmap the identity fn., it should return the same value as entrusted by Identity. The outer structure shouldn't be changed . i.e.

$$\text{fmap id "Hi Julie"} \\ "Hi Julie"$$

ii) composition: $\text{fmap}(f \cdot g) = (\text{fmap } f \cdot \text{fmap } g)$

↓

The answer of mapping a fn. comp. Should be same as when they were composed after individual mapped fn. example:

```
>> fmap ((+1) . (*2)) [1..5]  
[3, 5, 7, 9, 11]
```

```
>> fmap (+1) . fmap (*2) $ [1..5]  
[3, 5, 7, 9, 11]
```

* Structure preservation: functions must be structure preserving.

→ The Good, the Bad & the Ugly:

•) Law abiding & law-breaking functor instances.

* Example for identity

data WhoCares a =

ItDoesnt

I Matter a

I WhatThisIsCalled

deriving (Eq, Show)

↓ law abiding instance

instance functor WhoCares where

fmap - ItDoesnt = ItDoesnt

fmap - WhatThisIsCalled = whatThisIsCalled

fmap f (matter a) = matter (f a)

↓ law breaking instance (break identity law)

instance functor WhoCares where

fmap - ItDoesnt = whatThisIsCalled

fmap - whatThisIsCalled = ItDoesnt

fmap f (matter a) = matter (f a)

* Example for composition

data CountingBirds a = Heisenberg Int a

deriving (Eq, Show)

instance functor CountingBird where

fmap f (Heisenberg n a) =

Heisenbug (n+1) fa

$\text{fmap} \triangleq: \text{functor } f \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \underbrace{f a}_{\downarrow} \rightarrow f b$
↓
doesn't match up well.

>> let u = "Uncle"

>> let oneWhoKnocks = Heisenberg 0 u

>> fmap (++ "Jesse") oneWhoKnocks

Heisenberg 1 "Uncle Jesse"

>> let f = ((++) "Jesse"). (++) "lol")

>> fmap f oneWhoKnocks.

Heisenberg 1 "Uncle lol Jesse"

↓

composition of fmap

↓

>> let j = (++) "Jesse")

>> let l = (++) "lol")

>> fmap j. fmap l \$ oneWhoKnocks

Heisenberg 2 "Uncle lol Jesse"

↓

can be rectified easily by replacing $(n+1)$ with n .

Better to think of anything not a part of the final type argument of our f in functor as being part of the structure; that the fns. being lifted should be obvious to.

→ Commonly used functors

-- lms ~ List (Maybe (String))

>> let ave = Just "ave"

>> let n = Nothing

>> let w = Just "wohoo"

>> let lms = [ave, n, w]

>> replaceWithP lms

'P'

>> :t replaceWithP lms

replaceWithP lms :: Char

-- List (maybe (String)) → Char --

↓

-- o/p of replaceWithP is always same --

↓

>> fmap replaceWithP lms

"PPP"

-- fmap leaves the list structure intact around our list

>> :t fmap replaceWithP lms

fmap replaceWithP lms :: [Char]

↓

Here replaceWithP's o/p is Maybe (String)

o/p is Char , i.e.

fmap replaceWithP lms accomplishes

List (maybe (String)) → List Char ~ String

} moving on

>> (fmap . fmap) replaceWithP lms.

fmap (fmap replaceWithP) lms.

fmap (fmap replaceWithP) [Just "Ave", Nothing, Just
"wohoo"]

[fmap replaceWithP Just "Ave",

fmap replaceWithP Nothing,

fmap replaceWithP Just "wohoo"] fmap . f (x

[Just "p", Nothing, Just "p"]

* type checking for fmap . fmap

fmap Fmap

(.) :: (b → c) → (a → b) → a → c

fmap :: functor f ⇒ (m → n) → (f m → f n)

fmap :: functor g ⇒ (x → y) → (g x → g y)

a

(.) :: $\underbrace{(m \rightarrow n)}_b \rightarrow \underbrace{f m \rightarrow f n}_c \rightarrow (a \rightarrow (m \rightarrow n)) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow (f m \rightarrow f n))$

a → x → y

(m → n) → (g x → g y)

((g x → g y) → (f m → f n)) → (x → y → g x → g y)

→ ((x → y) → f m → f n)

$$((g x \rightarrow g y) \rightarrow (f(g x) \rightarrow f(g y))) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(g x) \rightarrow f(g y)))$$

$$\rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow f(g x) \rightarrow f(g y))$$

$$\boxed{(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow f(g x) \rightarrow f(g y)}$$

↓

$$(\text{Functor } f, \text{Functor } g) \Rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow f(g x) \rightarrow f(g y)$$

* fmap can be thought of taking $a \rightarrow b$ & bringing it one level up. to $f a \rightarrow f b$. i.e. mapping once lifts it once, and according behaviour.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a \rightarrow b & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ f a \rightarrow f b & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ g(f a) \rightarrow g(f b) & & \end{array}$$

(*) Another lift

>> let tripFmap = fmap . fmap . fmap

>> tripFmap replaceWithP lms.

[fmap . fmap Just "are",

fmap . fmap Nothing,

fmap . fmap Just "wohoo"]

↓

lists remember ↑

[Just fmap "are", Nothing fmap, Just fmap "wohoo"]

[Just "ppp", Nothing, Just "ppppp"]

*> let ha = Just ["Ha", "Ha"]

>> let lmls = [ha, Nothing, Just []] (upto 2 levels)

>> (fmap fmap) replaceWithP lmls.

[fmap replaceWithP ha, fmap replaceWithP Nothing,
fmap replaceWithP Just []]

[Just 'p', Nothing, Just 'p']
↓

>> let tripFmap = fmap fmap fmap

>> tripFmap replaceWithP lmls. (another level)

[fmap fmap replaceWithP ha ,

fmap fmap replaceWithP Nothing ,

fmap fmap replaceWithP Just []]

↓

[Just fmap - replaceWithP ["Ha", "Ha"],

Nothing, Just fmap replaceWithP []]

[Just ['p', 'p'], Nothing, Just "u"]

>> tripFmap fmap replaceWithP lmls. (another level)

[Just ["PP", "PP"], Nothing, Just "u"]

* summarizing the types :

replaceWithP :: [maybe [char]] → char level 0

`fmap . replaceWithP :: [Maybe Char] → [Char]`

apple : level 1

maybe [char] → [char]

(fmap fmap) replaceWithP :: (Maybe [Char] → [Maybe Char])

level 1 : `Maybe [Char]` → `Maybe Char`

↓ ↓

appln : level² : [char] → char

(fmap.fmap.fmap) replacewith p :: Maybe[Char] → Level 0
Maybe[Char]

level 1: maybe [char] → Maybe [char]

↓

↓

level 2 :

[char]

[Chap.]

1

1

appln : level 3 :

Chap 8

Chas

*) Lifting by removing one level of structure & then applying at final level.

→ Exercises : Heavy Lifting

1. $a = \text{fmap} (+1) \circ \text{read} "1" :: [\text{Int}]$
2. $b = (\text{fmap} \circ \text{fmap}) (++ "lol") (\text{Just} ["Hello", "Hello"])$
3. $c = (* 2), (\lambda x \rightarrow x - 2)$
4. $d = ((\text{return} '1') ++).(\text{show}).(\lambda x \rightarrow [x, 1..3])$

* 5. $e :: \text{IO Integer}$

$e = \text{let } roi = \text{readIO "1"} : \text{IO Integer}$

$\text{changed} = \text{fmap read} \circ \text{fmap} ("123"++)$

$\$ \text{fmap} \text{ show roi}$

Allows us to lift over IO

to the underlying field,
and that is worked with

in $\text{fmap} (* 3) \text{ changed}$

→ Transforming the unapplied argument

$\text{data Two a b} = \text{Two a b}$
deriving ()

$\text{data Or a b} = \text{First a}$
| Second b
deriving ()

↓
similar to (,) & Either

↓

kind : $\star \rightarrow \star \rightarrow \star$, which isn't compatible
with functor (only structure with $\star \rightarrow \star$ are allowed)

↓

The kindedness of the type can be reduced by
applying arguments.

↓

So to fix the kind incompatibility for our two
 f or types, we apply one of the arguments of
each type constructor, giving us kind $\star \rightarrow \star$.

↓

instance functor (Two a) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Two } a \ b) = \text{Two } a (\underline{f b})$$

↓

untouchable i.e.

part of the structure

↓

instance functor (Or a) where

$$\text{fmap } - (\text{first } a) = \text{first } a$$

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{second } b) = \text{second } (f b)$$

→ Ignoring possibilities : The functor instances for either f or maybe are handy for times you intend to ignore
the left cases, which are typically our error or failure
cases.

↓

Maybe : $\text{InclFJust} :: \text{Num } a \Rightarrow \text{Maybe } a \rightarrow \text{Maybe } a$

$$\text{InclFJust } (\text{Just } x) = \text{Just } \$ x + 1$$

$$\text{InclFJust } \text{Nothing} = \text{Nothing}$$

`show1 f Just :: Show a => Maybe a -> Maybe String`

`show1 f Just (Just s) = Just $ shows`

`show1 f Just Nothing = Nothing`

\downarrow using fmap

`incMaybe :: Num a => Maybe a -> Maybe a`

`incMaybe m = fmap (+1) m`

`showMaybe :: Show a => Maybe a -> Maybe String`

`showMaybe m = fmap (show) m`

\downarrow

fmap has no reason to concern itself with the Nothing - there's no value there for it operate on, so all seems to be working well.

\downarrow another layer of abstraction, writing them without name arguments

`"incMaybe" :: Num a => Maybe a -> Maybe a`

`"inc Maybe" = fmap (+1)`

(similarly for `showMaybe`)

\downarrow

these don't have to specific to maybe, fmap acts over all functor instances.

\downarrow

`:t fmap (+1)`

`fmap (+1) :: (Functor f, Num a) => f a -> f a`

\downarrow

with that they can be written as more generic functions.

↓

$$\text{liftedInc} :: (\text{functor } f, \text{Num } b) \\ \Rightarrow f b \rightarrow f b$$

$$\text{liftedInc} = \text{fmap } (+1)$$

* making these fns polymorphic in the type of functorial makes them more reusable

↓

$$\gg \text{liftedInc } [1..5] \\ [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$$

data Possibly a =
| Yipper a
deriving ()

instance functor Possibly where

$$\text{fmap } - \text{LolNope} = \text{LolNope}$$

$$\text{fmap } f \text{ (Yipper a)} = \text{Yipper}(f a)$$

* Either :

$$\text{incIFRight} :: \text{Num } a \Rightarrow \text{Either } e a \rightarrow \text{Either } e a$$

$$\text{incIFRight } (\text{Right } n) = \text{Right } \$ n + 1$$

$$\text{incIFRight } (\text{Left } e) = \text{Left } e$$

↓
simplifying using fmap.

`liftFRight :: Num a => Either ea -> Either ea`

`incLFRight n = fmap (+1) n`

↓

eta-contract to drop obvious argument

↓

`incLFRight :: Num a => Either ea -> Either ea`

`incLFRight' = fmap (+1)`

↓

removing specification to Either to generalise to
functor instances

↓

`liftedInc :: (Num a, functor f) => f a -> f a`

`liftedInc = fmap (+1)`

Exercise : short exercise

`data Sum a b =`

`first a`

`| second b`

`add()`

instance functor (Sum a) where

`fmap - first a = first a`

`fmap f second b = Second (f b)`

→ A somewhat surprising functor : the behaviour around the const or Constant functor instance is surprising.

↓

const function (returns first element)

↓

const datatype has a similar concept
in mind.

↓

newtype constant $a \circledcirc b =$ phantom type

constant { getConstant :: a }

der ()

↓

kind :: * → * → * (not a valid functor)

↓

const a v

const x

const a b x

↓

instance functor (const a) where

fmap - (constant v) = (constant v)

↓

operation independent to the fn. in question

+

fmap (const 2) (constant 3)

constant { getConstant = 3 }

→ more structures, more functors : At times, the structure of our types may require that we also have a functor instance for an intermediate type - example

data wrap $f a =$ a is an argument to f

wrap ($f a$)

deriving (Eq, Show)

↓

instance functor (wrap f) where

fmap f (wrap fa) = wrap (f fa)

↓

won't work, because there's this f that we're not hopping over, and a (the value fmap should be applying to is an argument to functor type)

↓

instance functor wrap f where

fmap f (wrap fa) = wrap (fmap f fa)

needs to be a functor

↓

adding a constraint

instance functor f \Rightarrow functor (wrap f) where

fmap f (wrap fa) = wrap (fmap f fa)

→ I0 functor : I0 type is an abstract datatype i.e. there are no data constructors that we're allowed to pattern match on, so the typeclasses I0 provides are the only

way, we get to work with type `IO a`.

↓

one of the simplest provided is functor

↓

`getInt :: IO Int` , , → `IO String`
`getInt = fmap read getLine` , , ,

`fmap` lifts `read` over the `IO` type.

↓

`>> fmap (const ()) getInt`

`IO`

∅ → no result → ghci doesn't print any value of
the type `IO ()`

↓

`>> fmap (+1) getInt`

`IO`

`11`

`>> fmap (++ " and me too!") getLine`

`Hello`

`"Hello and me too!"`

↓ can be accomplished using do syntax

`meTooIsm :: IO String`

`meTooIsm = do`

`input ← getLine`

`return (input ++ " and me too!")`

→ what if we want to do something different? what if we wanted to transform only the structure and leave the type argument to that structure or type constructor alone. Through this we arrive at natural transformations.

↓

nat :: $(F \rightarrow g) \rightarrow fa \rightarrow ga$: not possible since we can't have higher-kinded types as argument types to the fn. type

↓

modest change to fix it

↓

{-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}

type Nat f g = forall a. fa → ga

(doing opposite of what a functor does i.e.

transforming the structure, preserving the values as they were.

↓

Quantification of a in the right hand side of the declaration allows us to **obligate all func of this type to be oblivious to the contents of the structures f & g in much the same way that the identity fn. cannot do anything but return the argument it was given.**

↓

Syntactically allows us to avoid talking about a in the type of Nat - which is what we want, we shouldn't

have any specific information about the contents of f and g because we're supposed to be only performing a structural transformation, not a fold.



If we try to elide the ' a ' from the type arguments w/o quantifying it separately, we'll get an error. We'll even get an error if we don't turn on RankNTypes pragma. Example.

type Nat f g = forall a. fa → ga

maybeToList :: Nat Maybe []

maybeToList Nothing = []

maybeToList (Just a) = [a]

($a + 1$ isn't allowed)

↓ instead of using forall, what if we define a in the type.

type Nat f g a = fa → ga, the $a + 1$ case is allowed i.e. the structure alongwith the values (which was principally supposed to stay same) can also be modified.

→ functors are unique to a datatype : in part because of parametericity, in part because arguments to type constructors are applied in order of definition.

→ Quick checking functor instances : functor laws :

$$\text{fmap id} = \text{id}$$

$$\text{fmap } (\text{p} \cdot \text{q}) = (\text{fmap } \text{p}) \cdot (\text{fmap } \text{q})$$

↓

These can be turned into quickcheck properties

1

functorIdentity :: (functor f, Eq (f a))

applied functor $\Rightarrow fa \rightarrow Bool$
 (Just 3) functor identity $[x] =$
 \downarrow $fmap id x = x$
 $fa :: *$
 \downarrow

functorCompose :: (Eq (f c), functor f)

$$\Rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)$$

$$\rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$$

$\rightarrow f_a$

→ Bool

functor Compose f g x =

$$(\text{fmap } g \circ (\text{fmap } f x)) = = (\text{fmap } (g \cdot f) x)$$

↓ Providing concrete instances

$f :: [Int] \rightarrow Bool$

$f x = \text{functor identity } x$

1

quickcheck f ✓

```
>> let c = functorCompose (+) (* 2)
```

```
>> let li x = c (x :: [Int])
```

quickcheck li ✓

*) making QuickCheck generate fns too : Coarbitrary covers the fn. argument type , whereas Arbitrary type class is used for the fn. result type .

↓

```
import Test.QuickCheck
```

```
import Test.QuickCheck.Function
```

```
functorCompose' :: (Eq(fc), Function c)
```

⇒ fa → pattern matching on the fun
→ $\text{fun } a \ b$ value that we're asking
→ $\text{fun } b \ c$ quickcheck to generate.
→ Bool

```
functorCompose' x (fun-f) (fun-g) =
```

```
(fmap (g.f) x) == (fmap g. fmap f $ x)
```

↓

fun type is essentially a product of the weird fn. type and an ordinary Haskell fn. generated from the weirdo .

↓

```
type IntToInt = fun Int Int
```

```
type IntFc = [Int] → IntToInt → IntToInt → Bool
```

```
let fc' = functorCompose'
```

quickcheck ($\text{Fc}' :: \text{IntFc}$)

* can't print those funvalues (using `rebosecheckc`)

Exercises: Instances of `Fun`

1. newtype Identity a = Identity a

Instance functor (Identity a) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Identity } x) = \text{Identity } (fx)$$

2. data Pair a = Pair a a

Instance functor (Pair) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Pair } x y) = \text{Pair } (fx) (fy)$$

3. data Two a b = Two a b

Instance functor (Two a) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Two } x y) = \text{Two } x (fy)$$

4. data Three a b c = Three a b c

Instance functor (Three a b) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Three } x y z) = \text{Three } x y (fz)$$

5. data Three' a b = Three' a b b

Instance functor (Three' a) where

$$\text{fmap } f (\text{Three}' x y z) = \text{Three } x (fy) (fz)$$

6. data Trivial = Trivial