Particle interpretation

(8)

The $A^{M}=0$ is now $\Box A^{M}=0$ (for each component) but with the choice $\phi=0$ \Rightarrow $\Box A^{M}=0$ that is $\Box A^{M}=0$ i=1,2,3

The solution of this is in terms of eikn -in and the coefficients in the linear cambination one called polarization vectors & (1/k)

 $\hat{A}(re) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0} \sum_{A=1}^{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(k) \left[\hat{a}^A(k) e^{-ikn} + \hat{a}^{(A)} + \hat{e}^{(A)} +$

7. A = 0 > R. E(a) = 0

E(A) are chosen to be orthonormal

 $\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(1)}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)}, & \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)},$

are real
unit vectors
whose direction
depends on on
E (propagation
direction)

(9

Now we can calculate the <u>commutation</u> relations of the operators alk) and alk)

$$f_{k}(re) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3} 2ko} \frac{-ikr}{l^{2}} kr = kore - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}$$

$$t \text{ when } c = t = 1$$

and we have

$$\vec{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{[2\pi)^3 2k_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(k) \left[f_k(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \vec{\alpha}(k) + f_k(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \vec{\alpha}(k) \right]$$

$$Q^{(2)}(k) = -\int d\vec{r} \left[(2\pi)^3 2k_0 \right]^{1/2} f(re) i \vec{p}_0 \vec{\mathcal{E}}(k) \cdot \vec{A}(re)$$
 creation

where the orthonormality condition is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{r}) \, i \, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{o} \, f(\mathbf{r}) \, d\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{k}})$$

 $[a^{(2)}(k), a^{(2)}(k)] = 2k_0(2\pi)^3 f_{aa} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k})$

[a(1/k), a(1/k)] = [a(1/k), a(1/k)] = 0

Annihilation and creation operators for photons.

We construct the genator N(k) = A a(k) da(k)

N(k) = particle number operator.

A is a normalization constant = [27) 2kg]

The field energy is given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{r} \left(|\vec{E}|^2 + |\vec{B}|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{r} \left(|\vec{A}|^2 + |\vec{A} \times \vec{A}|^2 \right)$$

"Cenermber that in the readiation, (or Coulomb)

gauge 7.7=0 0=0 3

-A' = E' and B = JxA

(11)

We observe that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \times A = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{x} \\ \partial_{1} & \partial_{2} & \partial_{3} \\ A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{3}{|\hat{y}| k + 1} \underbrace{\text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{1} \partial_{1} A_{k}}_{\text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{1} \partial_{1} A_{k}} = \underbrace{\text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{1} \partial_{1} A_{k}}_{\text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{2} \partial_{2} A_{3} - 2 A_{2}} + \hat{x}_{2} (2 A_{3} - 2 A_{3}) + \hat{x}_{3} (2 A_{2} - 2 A_{3})}_{\text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{1} \partial_{1} A_{2} - 2 A_{3}} \times 1 = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{Eijk } \hat{x}_{1} \partial_{1} A_{2} + \hat{x}_{3} \partial_{1} A_{2} - 2 A_{3} \partial_{2} + \hat{x}_{3} \partial_{1} A_{3} + \hat{x}_{3} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} A_{2} - 2 A_{3} \partial_{1} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} \partial_{1} A_{3} \partial_{1} \partial_{1$$

$$(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})^2 = (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \epsilon_{ijn} \epsilon_{imn} \partial_i A_k \partial_m A_n$$

We use the identity

which gives $(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})^2 = (\partial_j A_k)(\partial_j A_k) - (\partial_j A_k)(\partial_k A_j)$

$$\frac{\partial_{i}(A_{k}\partial_{k}A_{i})}{\partial_{k}(A_{k}\partial_{k}A_{i})} = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{n}A_{i}}{\nabla_{k}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{n}A_{i}}{\nabla_{k}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{n}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{n}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{n}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{k}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}(\partial_{n}A_{i})}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i}}{\partial_{n}A_{i}}\right) + A_{k}\partial_{n}\left(\frac{\partial_{i}A_{i$$

But this would lead to the integreet of a

total divergence which vanishes > $\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{A}\right)^2 = \left(\partial_{\dot{\delta}} A \kappa \right) \partial_{\dot{\delta}} A \kappa$ $\partial_j (A u \partial_j A k) = (\partial_i A k) (\partial_j A k) + A k \nabla^2 A k$ \downarrow 0 vuder integration Also, And $\int (\partial_i A_k) (\partial_i A_k) d^3 C = - \int (A \cdot \nabla A) d^3 C$ $H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathring{A}^2 - \mathring{A} \cdot \mathring{V} \mathring{A} \right) d^3 \mathcal{E}$ Substituting the expansion of Alre) in this integral we obtain (after some algebra) H= \(\frac{1}{2\pi\rangle^3 \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle^3 \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle}} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frace{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frace{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frace{1}{2\pi\rangle} \frac{1}{2\pi\ran $Q^{(k)}(k)Q^{(k)} = Q^{(k)}Q^{(k)}(k) + 2k_0(2\pi)^3 \delta_{aa'} \delta^{(k)}(k')$ freau the commutation relation. $N(k) = (2\pi)^3 k_0 G(k) G(k)$

 $H = \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \frac{d^3k}{2\pi l^3} \log \left(\frac{k}{k} \right) \frac{d^3k}{2\pi l^3} \log \left(\frac{k}{k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$

H -> total emergy of a collection of photons (13) with treansverse polerization.

M -> positive definite
only the treansverse degrees of freedom are
quantized. (Sacrificed Lorentz invariance)

 $H = \frac{5!}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k_0 \left[N(k) + \frac{1}{2}\right]$ $k_0 = \omega_k$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k_0 \left[N(k) + \frac{1}{2}\right]$ $k_0 = \omega_k$

 $Q^{(a)}(k) | 0 > = 0$ $[N^{(a)}, Q^{(a')}] = \delta_{aa'} \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') Q^{(a)}(k)$

N(k) 10> = a(k)a(k) 10> = 0 $[N(k),a(k)] = \bar{a}_{ab}d(k-k)a(k)$

 $N^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle = n^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle$

 $N^{(k)}(k) d^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle = d^{(k)} N^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle + d^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle =$ $= (n^{(k)} + 1) a^{(k)} | n^{(k)} \rangle$

N(k) Q(k) Ind(k) = Q(k) N(k) Ind(k) > - Q(k) Ind(k)>

= (nak)-1) oak, 1 tak)>

The zero point levergy is arbitrary and can (14) be subtracted with no physical consequences.

We may use the energy scale in which the energy of the vacuum state is zero

201410>= \ d3k ko (019 (h) (h) (0) =0

This is equivalent to writing all annihilation operators as the reight of the creation operators.

& normal ordering .:

$$H = \frac{5}{3} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k_0}{k_0} \left[\frac{a_0^2k}{a_0^2k} + \frac{a_0^2k}{a_0^2k} \right]$$

The reale of a(k) and a(k) is clear:

$$Q^{(A)+} | n^{(A)} \rangle = C_{+} | n^{(A)} + 1 \rangle$$

$$(a^{(a)} | n^{(a)}) = c - | n^{(a)} |$$

Or more precisely $a(k_i) + h(k_i) - h(k_i) - h(k_i) - h(k_i) - h(k_i) + h(k_i) - h(k_i) + h$

To determine (± use require that all states (5) are normalized:

$$|C_{+}(h(k))|^{2} < h(k) + 1 | h(k) + 1 > =$$

for C- we can proceed analogously.

$$C_{+}(\hat{n}(k)) = [\hat{n}(k) + 1](2\pi)^{3} 2 k_{0} \int_{1}^{1/2}$$

$$C - (n^{2}h) = (n^{2}h)(2\pi)^{3}2k_{0}(1^{1/2})$$

$$Q^{(k)}(k;) | h^{(k)} - - h^{(k)} - - > = [2\pi)^3 k_0; h^{(k)} | h^{(k)} - h^{(k)} - - >$$

etc.

N(k) -> state vector for a state with a definite
number of photons in state (k, 2)

Situation in which there are many types of photons $|H^{(k)}_{(k)}, H^{(k)}_{(k)}, h^{(k)}_{(k)} \rangle = |H^{(k)}_{(k)}\rangle |H^{(k)}_{(k)}\rangle = -$

Interpreted as a direct product of states.