APA - Decision Trees

DATA 3300

Name: Chance Wiese

Assignment

< Q1

Import the funded.xlsx dataset and the required libraries and packages, and view the headers of the df.

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
from sklearn import tree #libraries and packages for training model and evaluating performance on test set
import seaborn as sns
import graphviz #libraries for producing visualizations

# replace with code to import funded.xlsx
df = pd.read_excel('/content/funded.xlsx')
# replace with code to produce df heading
df.head()
```

	outcome	elites	smember	patents	pfunding	months	industry	id	
	soldoff-low	5	0	0	2900000	36	healthcare	1	0
	ipo	0	0	0	5500000	31	healthcare	2	1
	bankrupt	4	0	0	500000	29	defense	3	2
	soldoff-high	5	0	1	2400000	29	tech	4	3
,	ipo	5	1	0	5300000	31	healthcare	5	4

Use the value_counts function to view the class distribution for Outcome.

```
# replace with code to view class distribution of 'outcome' (hint: value counts)
df.value_counts('outcome')
```

```
outcome
soldoff-high 417
bankrupt 354
soldoff-low 260
ipo 178
dtype: int64
```

What would you predict the Outcome to be for a new observation and why?**

```
# replace with code to compute apriori prediction accuracy
apriori = 417/len(df)
print('apriori = ', apriori)
apriori = 0.34491315136476425
```

^{**}Calculate the accuracy of an a priori (naive) prediction. Show your formula.

For a new outcome, I would predict that it's going to be soldoff-high, becase 34% of the time the outcome is soldoff-high, and it is the most common outcome.

< Q2

Assign your IVs to an x object and your DV to a y object, then split your data into a training and test set for x and y, using a 80-20 split. Remember to perform any preprocessing necessary on your IVs (hint: any categorical variables?)

Then train a DecisionTreeClassifier model from the training set, using "gini" as the criterion, with a max depth of 10, a minimum leaf size of 8, and min_impurity_decrease = 0.002.

```
# replace with code to select IVs to include in analysis, assign to object called 'x'
x = df.drop(['id', 'outcome'], axis=1)
# replace with code to dummy code categorical variables
x = pd.get_dummies(data = x, drop_first=False)
# replace with code to assign your DV to an object called 'y'
v = df['outcome']
# replace with code to create 4 dataframe objects x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test. Set test_size to 0.2, set random_state to 10
x_{train}, x_{test}, y_{train}, y_{test} = train_{test}, y_{test}, test_{size} = 0.2, train_{test}
print(x_train.shape)
print(x_test.shape)
print(y_train.shape)
print(y_test.shape)
     (967, 9)
     (242, 9)
     (967,)
     (242.)
# replace with code set parameters based on instructions for DecisionTreeClassifier
model = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "gini", random_state=100, max_depth=10, min_samples_leaf=8, min_impurity_decrease=0.0
# replace with code to fit model to x_train and y_train
model.fit(x_train, y_train)
                           DecisionTreeClassifier
     DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=10, min_impurity_decrease=0.002,
                            min_samples_leaf=8, random_state=100)
```

A

Plot your decision tree using the export_graphviz function from the tree library.

→ B

Using your tree plot, what would be the predicted outcome for a defense company who has no patents and 4 elites?

On how many observations would this prediction be based (i.e., how many observations are in the terminal node where the prediction was made)?

```
gini = 0.596 samples = 120 value = [67, 4, 17, 32] class = soldoff-high
```

We would predict this would be a soldoff-high, because 32 of the 120 samples that met this criteria had an outcome of soldoff-high, which was the most common outcome.

Q3

Apply your model to the test set to evaluate its accuracy. Create a confusion matrix and then respond to the prompts below.

```
# replace with code to predict onto test set using model
predictions = model.predict(x_test)

# replace with code to create new dataframe from x_test, called df_pred

df_pred = x_test
# replace with code to add predictions as a 'predicted_class' column to new dataframe

df_pred['predicted_class'] = predictions
# replace with code to add y_test as a 'actual_class' column to new dataframe

df_pred['actual_class'] = y_test

# replace with code to fit confusion matrix to 'predicted_class' and 'actual_class'
conf = pd.DataFrame(x_test, columns=['actual_class', 'predicted_class'])
confusion_matrix = pd.crosstab(conf['actual_class'], conf['predicted_class'], rownames=['Actual'], colnames=['Predicted'])
# replace with code to visualize confusion matrix with a heatmap
sns.heatmap(confusion_matrix, annot=True)
sns.set(rc={'figure.figsize':(12,10)})
plt.show
```

A

For each of the four outcomes, provide the number of times the model predicted it, the number of times it predicted accurately, and the % accuracy (ratio of the # of accurate predictions / # of predictions).

- Prediction: Bankrupt
 - o Times Predicted: 114
 - o Predicted Accurately: 52
 - % Accuracy: 46%
- Prediction: Soldoff-Low
 - o Times Predicted: 31
 - o Predicted Accurately: 17
 - o % Accuracy: 55%
- Prediction: Soldoff-High
 - o Times Predicted: 74
 - o Predicted Accurately: 46
 - o % Accuracy: 62%
- Prediction: IPO
 - o Times Predicted: 23
 - Predicted Accurately: 17
 - % Accuracy: 74%

→ B

Considering all predictions, in what percentage of observations did the model make an accurate prediction? Show your formula.

```
\label{thm:condition} \textit{\# replace with code to calculate overall accuracy from values in confusion matrix} \\ \textit{print(classification\_report(y\_test, predictions))}
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
bankrupt	0.46	0.76	0.57	68
ipo	0.74	0.47	0.58	36

0.62	0.53	0.57	87
0.55	0.33	0.41	51
		0.55	242
0.59	0.52	0.53	242
0.58	0.55	0.54	242
	0.59	0.550.330.590.52	0.55 0.33 0.41 0.55 0.59 0.52 0.53

< C

Given this accuracy percentage, does it make more sense to use the *a priori* prediction approach or the decision tree model that was generated? Explain your answer.

It makes more sense to use a decision tree model as it was correct around 55% of the time, whereas the a priori method was only right around 34% of the time.

04

Next, compare this model's performance against three other possible decision tree models, each of which use different parameters. In a text cell placed before each new model, outline the criterion used (e.g., 'gini', 'entropy', or 'log_loss'), the max_depth, the min_samples_leaf, and the min_impurity_decrease.

Remember that the first model used the following paramters:

- criterion = gini
- max depth = 10
- min_samples_leaf = 8
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.002

So change at least one of these parameters for each of your new models.

For each model generate a classification_report to show its overall accuracy on the test_set.

Model 1:

- criterion = gini
- max_depth = 10
- min_samples_leaf = 8
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.002

print(classification_report(y_test, predictions))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
bankrupt ipo soldoff-high soldoff-low	0.46 0.74 0.62 0.55	0.76 0.47 0.53 0.33	0.57 0.58 0.57 0.41	68 36 87 51
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.59 0.58	0.52 0.55	0.55 0.53 0.54	242 242 242

∨ Model 2:

- criterion = entropy
- max_depth = 10
- min_samples_leaf = 8
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.002

replace with code to specify DecisionTreeClassifier parameters
model2 = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "entropy", random_state=100, max_depth=10, min_samples_leaf=8, min_impurity_decrease
replace with code to fit model2 to training data
model2.fit(x_train, y_train)

replace with code to drop 'predicted_class' and 'actual_class' columns from x_test
x_test = x_test.drop(columns = ['predicted_class', 'actual_class'])
replace with code to fit model2 to x_test
predictions = model2.predict(x_test)

replace with code to print classification report
print(classification_report(y_test, predictions))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
bankrupt ipo soldoff-high soldoff-low	0.45 0.56 0.65 0.57	0.74 0.50 0.46 0.41	0.56 0.53 0.54 0.48	68 36 87 51
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.56 0.56	0.53 0.53	0.53 0.53 0.53	242 242 242

∨ Model 3:

- criterion = gini
- max_depth = 8
- min_samples_leaf = 6
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.003

replace with code to specify DecisionTreeClassifier parameters
model3 = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "gini", random_state=100, max_depth=8, min_samples_leaf=6, min_impurity_decrease=0.0
replace with code to fit model to training data
model3.fit(x_train, y_train)

replace with code to predict model3 onto x_test
predictions = model3.predict(x_test)

replace with code to print classification report
print(classification_report(y_test, predictions))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
bankrupt ipo soldoff-high soldoff-low	0.53 0.94 0.60 0.47	0.75 0.42 0.57 0.43	0.62 0.58 0.59 0.45	68 36 87 51
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.63 0.60	0.54 0.57	0.57 0.56 0.57	242 242 242

∨ Model 4:

- criterion = log_loss
- max_depth = 8
- min_samples_leaf = 6
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.002

replace with code to specify DecisionTreeClassifier parameters
model4 = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "log_loss", random_state=100, max_depth=8, min_samples_leaf=6, min_impurity_decrease
replace with code to fit model to training data
model4.fit(x_train, y_train)

```
# replace with code to predict model4 onto x_test
predictions = model4.predict(x_test)
```

replace with code to print classification report
print(classification_report(y_test, predictions))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
bankrupt ipo soldoff-high soldoff-low	0.48 0.59 0.60 0.55	0.66 0.53 0.60 0.31	0.56 0.56 0.60 0.40	68 36 87 51
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.56 0.55	0.53 0.55	0.55 0.53 0.54	242 242 242

< A

What parameters led to the best-performing (highest overall accuracy) model? What was the highest accuracy achieved?

- criterion = gini
- max_depth = 8
- min_samples_leaf = 6
- min_impurity_decrease = 0.003
- This model (model3) let to the highest accuracy, of 57%

∨ B

Plot your best performing decision tree using the export_graphviz function from the tree library.

Q5

Arcturus has \$11.5 million to invest and is considering from among 23 applicant firms. Create a Decision Trees model using your most accurate model (i.e., whichever model from Model 1-4 has the highest accuracy percentage), then apply the model as necessary to make predictions regarding the applicant firms.

Data regarding these applicant firms can be found in the fund-applicants.csv data file. Note that there is no "outcome" column (the outcome hasn't happened yet!).

However, there is an amount column, which indicates the requested funding amount. Arcturus wants to make as much money as possible by investing its \$11.5 million, which may require this amount be spread across multiple companies. However, for any specific applicant

company, Arcturus must either invest the full requested amount or not at all (e.g., if a company has requested \$2,500,000, then Arcturus must either invest \$2,500,000 or make no investment in the company).

Begin by importing the fund-applicant.csv file, then apply your best model to this new data (after taking any required preprocessing steps).

```
# replace with code to import fund-applicants.csv dataset, name this df something different (df_2)
df_2 = pd.read_csv('/content/fund-applicants.csv')
# replace with code to produce heading
df_2.head()
```

	id	industry	months	pfunding	patents	smember	elites	amount
0	1	tech	36	6800000	1	0	4	4000000
1	2	media	23	6300000	0	1	3	1700000
2	3	healthcare	27	6100000	0	1	0	3000000
3	4	tech	18	3500000	0	0	8	2500000
4	5	tech	24	4800000	0	1	6	4000000

```
# replace with code to select IVs to include in analysis, assign to object called 'x' x = df_2.drop(['id', 'amount'], axis=1) # replace with code to dummy code categorical variables x = pd.get_dummies(data = x, drop_first=False)
```

< A

In addition to producing the predicted outcomes, also compute the predicted probabilities of the outcome using the model.predict_proba() function.

Add both the predicted outcome and the predicted probability as columns to the your dataframe.

```
# replace with code to fit final model to x, assign to object called 'predictions'
predictions = model3.predict(x)
# replace with code to produce predicted probabilities of outcome classes, assign to object called 'proba'
proba = np.max(model3.predict_proba(x), axis=1)

# replace with code to assign predictions to a new column in new df (dataset you just imported) called 'Predicted_Class'
df_2['predicted_class'] = predictions
# replace with code to assign proba to a new column in new df (dataset you just imported) called 'Predicted_Prob'
df_2['predicted_prob'] = proba
```

→ B

Describe what the predicted probabilities colum indicates (i.e., what do those values mean?). Are these probabilities based on the training or the test set?

The probabilities column displays how confident we are that the predicted outcome will occur. The they display the probability that the corresponding predicted outcome will occur. They are based off the training set.

< C

Using the info provided from the predicted probabilities and predicted outcomes, indicate the companies' project IDs that Arcturus should invest in if, for the sake of diversification, they wanted to invest their \$11.5 million in one project in each of the four industries: media, tech, healthcare, and defense.

Give the IDs of the projects and explain why you'd recommend each project.

• **Healthcare:** ID 21 because it has 100% probability that ipo will be the outcome. They have been around a while, have a good number of elites, and have experience in offering IPOs. The return is very high.

- **Defense:** Of the 2 defense companies that don't go bankrupt, ID 15 is the better investment. It's more affordable for the possible outcome, and if anything would be an investment we're limiting losses on.
- Media: ID 2 because we are 87% sure the predicted outcome will be soldoff-high. It already has lots of funding and return is high.
- **Tech:** ID 7. To maximize spending of the \$11.5 million, this is the best tech investment. We are 59% confident it will be a soldoff-high outcome. It has a high number of elites and has patents. It's been around a while as well.

df_2