

## Lesson 5 HW 2 Ellen Chancey

Ellen Chancey

September 23, 2018

### Question 3.15

For this table  $X^2 = 11.5$  with  $P = 0.24$  where using scores (3,10,20,35) for income and (1,3,4,5) for job satisfaction.  $M^2 = 7.04$  with  $P = 0.008$ . Explain why the results are so different.

The results are quite different because the Pearson Likelihood ratio is detecting any pattern in the table, and because it aims to detect any departure from independence, it is not sensitive to a particular kind of departure, in this case from an ordinal variable. The linear trend alternative however aims to detect only departure from independence based on a directional association, so it is more sensitive to this trend.

How to obtain these values in R:

Set Up

```
job <- matrix(c(2,4,13,3,2,6,22,4,0,1,15,8,0,3,13,8), byrow = TRUE, nrow = 4)
dimnames(job) <- list(income=c("<5", "5-15", "15-25", ">25"),
                      satisfaction=c("very dis", "little satis", "mod satis",
                                     "very satis"))
job
```

	satisfaction			
income	very dis	little satis	mod satis	very satis
<5	2		4	13
5-15	2		6	22
15-25	0		1	15
>25	0		3	13

$\chi^2$  Pearson Likelihood Ratio

```
chisq.test(job)

## Warning in chisq.test(job): Chi-squared approximation may be incorrect

##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data:  job
## X-squared = 11.524, df = 9, p-value = 0.2415
```

$M^2$  Linear Trend Alternative

```
library("vcdExtra")

## Warning: package 'vcdExtra' was built under R version 3.4.4

## Loading required package: vcd

## Loading required package: grid

## Loading required package: gnm

## Warning: package 'gnm' was built under R version 3.4.4

CMHtest(job, rscores = c(3,10,20,35), cscores = c(1,3,4,5))

## Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel Statistics for income by satisfaction
##
##               AltHypothesis  Chisq Df    Prob
## cor             Nonzero correlation  7.0449  1 0.0079493
## rmeans   Row mean scores differ 10.0388  3 0.0182395
## cmeans   Col mean scores differ  7.4797  3 0.0580826
## general      General association 11.4134  9 0.2484298
```

### Question 3.17b

Use table 2.13. Obtain a 95% CI for gamma. Interpret the association.

```
sex <- matrix(c(300,4,4,17,78,15,3,14,107,16,46,54,234,32,35,336), byrow =
TRUE, nrow = 4)
dimnames(sex) <- list(premarital=c("always", "almost always", "sometimes",
"not"),
                      homosexual=c("always", "almost always", "sometimes",
"not"))
sex
```

	homosexual			
premarital	always	almost always	sometimes	not
always	300	4	4	17
almost always	78	15	3	14
sometimes	107	16	46	54
not	234	32	35	336

```
library("DescTools")

## Warning: package 'DescTools' was built under R version 3.4.4

GoodmanKruskalGamma(sex, conf.level = 0.95)

##      gamma    lwr.ci    upr.ci
## 0.6573527 0.6053989 0.7093064

# -1 to +1 association, 0 indicates no association
```

The 95% CI for gamma is (0.6573527,0.7093064). This indicates that there is a very strong positive association between opinion on premarital sex and homosexual sex, as these values are close to 1.

## Additional Problem

A study of hospitalized patients found that, among the subjects that were hospitalized for lung cancer the smoking histories defined by number of cigarettes per day (CPD) is in the following table. Using these data, assess whether CPD is associated with lung cancer using the Cochran-Armitage test. Interpret your results.

### Set Up

```
smoking <- matrix(c(7,55,489,475,293,38,61,129,570,431,154,12), byrow = TRUE,
nrow = 2)
dimnames(smoking) <- list(cancer=c("Lung", "Other"),
                           CPD=c("0", "<5", "5-14", "15-24", "25-49",
"50+"))
smoking
```

		0	<5	5-14	15-24	25-49	50+
cancer	Lung	7	55	489	475	293	38
cancer	Other	61	129	570	431	154	12

### Cochran-Armitage Test

```
library("DescTools")
DescTools::CochranArmitageTest(smoking)

##
## Cochran-Armitage test for trend
##
## data: smoking
## Z = 11.368, dim = 6, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: two.sided
```

The z statistic value of 11.368 indicates a moderate positive linear association between lung cancer and cigarettes per day with a significant p value.

### Session Info

```
sessionInfo()

## R version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30)
## Platform: x86_64-w64-mingw32/x64 (64-bit)
## Running under: Windows 10 x64 (build 16299)
##
## Matrix products: default
##
## locale:
```

```

## [1] LC_COLLATE=English_United States.1252
## [2] LC_CTYPE=English_United States.1252
## [3] LC_MONETARY=English_United States.1252
## [4] LC_NUMERIC=C
## [5] LC_TIME=English_United States.1252
##
## attached base packages:
## [1] grid      stats      graphics  grDevices  utils      datasets  methods
## [8] base
##
## other attached packages:
## [1] DescTools_0.99.25 vcdExtra_0.7-1    gnm_1.1-0        vcd_1.4-3
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
##  [1] Rcpp_0.12.13      ca_0.70           knitr_1.16        magrittr_1.5
##  [5] MASS_7.3-47       colorspace_1.3-2  lattice_0.20-35   stringr_1.2.0
##  [9] tools_3.4.1       nnet_7.3-12       htmltools_0.3.6   qvcalc_0.9-1
## [13] yaml_2.1.14       rprojroot_1.2     digest_0.6.12     lmtest_0.9-35
## [17] manipulate_1.0.1  Matrix_1.2-10     relimp_1.0-5      evaluate_0.10.1
## [21] rmarkdown_1.6     stringi_1.1.5     compiler_3.4.1    backports_1.1.0
## [25] boot_1.3-19       expm_0.999-2      mvtnorm_1.0-6     foreign_0.8-69
## [29] zoo_1.8-0

```