CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

[s 13] [Repealed]

[Definition of "Queen".] [Rep. by the A.O. 1950.]

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

²⁰·[[s 14] "Servant of Government".

The words "servant of Government" denote any officer or servant continued, appointed or employed in India by or under the authority of Government.]

20. Subs. by the A.O. 1950, for section 14.

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

²⁰·[[s 14] "Servant of Government".

The words "servant of Government" denote any officer or servant continued, appointed or employed in India by or under the authority of Government.]

20. Subs. by the A.O. 1950, for section 14.

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

[s 15] [Repealed]

[Definition of "British India".] [Rep. by the A.O. 1937.]

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

[s 16] [Repealed]

[Definition of "Government of India".] [Rep. by the A.O. 1937.]

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

21.[s 17] "Government"

The word "Government" denotes the Central Government or the Government of a ²². [***] State.]

COMMENT-

Legislature of a State cannot be comprehended in the expression 'State Government'. 23.

- 21. Subs. by A.O. 1950, for section 17.
- 22. The word and letter "Part A" omitted by Act 3 of 1951, section 3 and Sch (w.e.f. 1-4-1951).
- 23. RS Nayak v AR Antulay, (1984) 2 SCC 183 [LNIND 1984 SC 43] : AIR 1984 SC 684 [LNIND 1984 SC 43] .

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

21.[s 17] "Government"

The word "Government" denotes the Central Government or the Government of a ²². [***] State.]

COMMENT-

Legislature of a State cannot be comprehended in the expression 'State Government'. 23.

- 21. Subs. by A.O. 1950, for section 17.
- 22. The word and letter "Part A" omitted by Act 3 of 1951, section 3 and Sch (w.e.f. 1-4-1951).
- 23. RS Nayak v AR Antulay, (1984) 2 SCC 183 [LNIND 1984 SC 43] : AIR 1984 SC 684 [LNIND 1984 SC 43] .

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

^{24.}[[s 18] "India."

"India" means the territory of India excluding the State of Jammu and Kashmir.]

COMMENT-

This exclusion of the State of Jammu and Kashmir in this section is not violative of Article 1 and the First Schedule of the Constitution of India. ^{25.} In fact, *Fazal Ali*, CJ, as he then was, held that exclusion of a territory postulates the existence of a territory itself; State of Jammu and Kashmir cannot be taken as a foreign territory. ^{26.} Since the First Schedule to the Constitution of India specifically includes Jammu and Kashmir as a part of the territories of India, the exclusion of the State of Jammu and Kashmir from section 18 of the Penal Code only means that for the purposes of application of the provisions of the Indian Penal Code, that State shall not be considered as a part of India. In fact, section 1 of the Code itself makes this position abundantly clear. The State of Jammu and Kashmir has a separate Penal Code of its own. It is known as the Ranbir Penal Code, which is almost same as the Indian Penal Code.

^{24.} Subs. by Act 3 of 1951, section 3 and Sch, for section 18 (w.e.f. 1-4-1951). Earlier section 18 was repealed by the A.O. 1937 and was again inserted by the A.O. 1950.

^{25.} KRK Vara Prasad v UOI, AIR 1980 AP 243 [LNIND 1980 AP 27].

^{26.} Virender Singh v General Officer Commanding, 1974 J & K LR 101 (FB).

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

^{24.}[[s 18] "India."

"India" means the territory of India excluding the State of Jammu and Kashmir.]

COMMENT-

This exclusion of the State of Jammu and Kashmir in this section is not violative of Article 1 and the First Schedule of the Constitution of India. ^{25.} In fact, *Fazal Ali*, CJ, as he then was, held that exclusion of a territory postulates the existence of a territory itself; State of Jammu and Kashmir cannot be taken as a foreign territory. ^{26.} Since the First Schedule to the Constitution of India specifically includes Jammu and Kashmir as a part of the territories of India, the exclusion of the State of Jammu and Kashmir from section 18 of the Penal Code only means that for the purposes of application of the provisions of the Indian Penal Code, that State shall not be considered as a part of India. In fact, section 1 of the Code itself makes this position abundantly clear. The State of Jammu and Kashmir has a separate Penal Code of its own. It is known as the Ranbir Penal Code, which is almost same as the Indian Penal Code.

^{24.} Subs. by Act 3 of 1951, section 3 and Sch, for section 18 (w.e.f. 1-4-1951). Earlier section 18 was repealed by the A.O. 1937 and was again inserted by the A.O. 1950.

^{25.} KRK Vara Prasad v UOI, AIR 1980 AP 243 [LNIND 1980 AP 27].

^{26.} Virender Singh v General Officer Commanding, 1974 J & K LR 101 (FB).

CHAPTER II GENERAL EXPLANATIONS

THIS Chapter is for the most part an elaborate interpretation clause. It is a key to the interpretation of the whole Code. The leading terms used are here defined and explained and the meanings thus, announced are steadily adhered to throughout the subsequent chapters.

[[s 19] "Judge."

[s 19] The word "Judge" denotes not only every person who is officially designated as a Judge, but also every person,—

who is empowered by law to give, in any legal proceeding, civil or criminal, a definitive judgment, or a judgment which, if not appealed against, would be definitive, or a judgment which, if confirmed by some other authority, would be definitive, or

who is one of a body of persons, which body of persons is empowered by law to give such a judgment.

ILLUSTRATIONS

- (a) A Collector exercising jurisdiction in a suit under Act 10 of 1859, is a Judge.
- (b) A Magistrate exercising jurisdiction in respect of a charge on which he has power to sentence to fine or imprisonment, with or without appeal, is a Judge.
- (c) A member of a panchayat which has power, under ²⁷ Regulation VII, 1816, of the Madras Code, to try and determine suits, is a Judge.
- (d) A Magistrate exercising jurisdiction in respect of a charge on which he has power only to commit for trial to another Court, is not a Judge.

COMMENT-

Section 19 IPC, 1860 defines a 'Judge' as denoting not only every person who is officially designated as a Judge, but also every person who is empowered by law to give in any legal proceedings, civil or criminal, a definitive judgment, or a judgment which, if not appealed against, would be definitive, or a judgment which, if confirmed by some other authority, would be definitive, or who is one of a body of persons empowered by law to give such a judgment. The Collector is neither a Judge as defined under section 19 nor does he act judicially, when discharging any of the functions under the Land Acquisition Act. ²⁸. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner while passing an order under section 7-A of Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 was 'Judge' within definition under section 19 of IPC, 1860. ²⁹. The right to pronounce a definitive judgment is considered the sine qua non of a Court. ³⁰.