Translating μ -RA into SQL

3rd October 2019

Mostly straightforward $(\mu\text{-RA} \text{ is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA})$

- Mostly straightforward
 (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ-RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.
 (Type of a relation = the names of its attributes)

- Mostly straightforward
 (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform $\mu\text{-RA's}$ anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

This information can be inferred.

▶ How to translate fixpoints ?

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ-RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X = \varphi) = \mu(X = \kappa \cup \psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X.

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X = \varphi) = \mu(X = \kappa \cup \psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X. Theorem: Decomposition is always possible.

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

This information can be inferred.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X = \varphi) = \mu(X = \kappa \cup \psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X. Theorem: Decomposition is always possible.

Property: Decomposed fixpoints can be computed iteratively by binding X initially to κ and then to only the new tuples generated each time.

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X=\varphi)=\mu(X=\kappa\cup\psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X. Theorem: Decomposition is always possible. Property: Decomposed fixpoints can be computed iteratively by binding X initially to κ and then to only the new tuples generated each time.
 - Fixpoint terms can be translated into recursive SQL if ψ contains exactly one occurrence of X.

- Mostly straightforward (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

This information can be inferred.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X=\varphi)=\mu(X=\kappa\cup\psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X. Theorem: Decomposition is always possible. Property: Decomposed fixpoints can be computed iteratively by binding X initially to κ and then to only the new tuples generated each time.
 - Fixpoint terms can be translated into recursive SQL if ψ contains exactly one occurrence of X.

This is always the case for raw translated UCRPQs.

- Mostly straightforward
 (μ-RA is a variant of RA, and SQL is based on RA)
- Need type information to transform μ -RA's anti-projections into SQL's native projections.

This information can be inferred.

- ► How to translate fixpoints ?
 - We first decompose fixpoints : $\mu(X=\varphi)=\mu(X=\kappa\cup\psi)$ where κ does not contain X and ψ depends linearly of X, i.e. every tuple of ψ is obtained from exactly one tuple of X. Theorem: Decomposition is always possible. Property: Decomposed fixpoints can be computed iteratively by binding X initially to κ and then to only the new tuples generated each time.
 - Fixpoint terms can be translated into recursive SQL if ψ contains exactly one occurrence of X.

This is always the case for raw translated UCRPQs.

► When they cannot, we can use the DBMS's procedural language to execute a WHILE loop computing the fixpoint into a temporary table.

So what exactly do we feed PostgreSQL?

```
(|X|) = SELECT * FROM X
(|c_i \rightarrow v_i|_{1 \le i \le n}) = \text{SELECT } v_1 \text{ AS } c_1, \dots, v_n \text{ AS } c_n
            (\sigma_{f}(\varphi)) = \text{SELECT} * \text{FROM}((\varphi)) \text{ WHERE } f
           (\widetilde{\pi}_a(\varphi)) = \text{SELECT} < \text{other cols} > \text{FROM}((\varphi))
           (\rho_{2}^{b}(\varphi)) = \text{SELECT a AS b, <other_cols> FROM } ((\varphi))
           (\beta_a^b(\varphi)) = \text{SELECT a AS b } < \text{all\_cols} > \text{FROM } ((\varphi))
            (\varphi \cup \psi) = \text{SELECT} * \text{FROM}((\varphi)) \text{ UNION SELECT } * \text{FROM}((\psi))
           (\varphi \bowtie \psi) = \text{SELECT} * \text{FROM} ((\varphi)) \text{ NATURAL JOIN} ((\psi))
(\mu(X = \kappa \cup \psi)) = X where we add before the guery the statement:
CREATE TEMPORARY RECURSIVE VIEW X (<type>) AS
        SELECT * FROM (\kappa)
    UNTON
        SELECT * FROM (\psi);
```

```
Or, if X appears more than once in \psi:
CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE TMP AS
 (SELECT * FROM (\kappa));
DO $$BEGIN
 CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE X AS
  (SELECT * FROM TMP);
 WHILE EXISTS (SELECT 1 FROM X) LOOP
  CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE NEW_LINES AS
   (SELECT * FROM |\psi|) EXCEPT SELECT * FROM TMP);
  INSERT INTO TMP (SELECT * FROM NEW_LINES);
  DROP TABLE X;
  ALTER TABLE NEW_LINES RENAME TO X;
 END LOOP:
END:$$
DROP TABLE X:
ALTER TABLE TMP RENAME TO X:
```