Abstract

In today's data-driven world, effective visualization is essential for making sense of complex datasets. Traditional 2D visualizations, while widely used, often fall short in conveying multidimensional insights due to their static and flat nature. This project addresses these limitations by developing a system that transforms textual descriptions of numerical data into dynamic visualizations rendered in Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) environments.

The system leverages Large Language Models (LLMs) to convert natural language input into structured tabular data in JSON format. A Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model is then used to determine the most appropriate visualization type—such as bar charts or line charts—based on the structure and content of the tabular data.

These visualizations are rendered as 3D models in VR and AR. In VR, users can explore the data through basic interactions like zooming, panning, and rotating. In AR, visualizations are presented as floating 3D models, offering a lightweight and portable viewing experience. This immersive approach enhances user understanding, enabling deeper insights and improved pattern recognition compared to traditional methods.

The system demonstrates potential across various domains—including education, healthcare, business analytics, and scientific research—where intuitive and interactive data exploration is vital for informed decision-making.

Key words: Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), Data Visualization, Text-to-Table Conversion, Large Language Models (LLMs), Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), JSON, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Interactive 3D Models, Immersive Analytics, Visualization Systems, Data Interpretation, Pattern Recognition, Data Science, Information Retrieval, Educational Technology, Business Intelligence, Scientific Visualization.

Contents

List of	Figures	i
List of	Tables	ii
Nomen	ıclature	iii
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Problem Definition	1
	1.2 Motivation	1
	1.3 Scope of Project and Objectives	1
	1.4 Functional and Non-Functional Requirements	2
	1.5 Organization of the Report	3
2	Literature Survey	4
3	Project Plan and Timeline	10
4	Implementation	12
	4.1 Architecture/Block Diagram	12
	4.2 Algorithm / Methodology	13
	4.3 Technology used	15
5	Results and Discussion	16
6	Conclusion and Future Work	20
	6.1 Conclusions	20
	6.2 Scope for Future Work	21
Refere	nces	22
Ackno	wledgements	23

List of Figures

2.1	Key areas explored in the Literature Survey	4
3.1	Project Timeline with Task-wise Breakdown and Scheduled Dates	11
4.1	Workflow Diagram for Generating VR Visualization from Textual Description of Numerical Data.	12
5.1	Converting text into structured tables using LLM.	16
5.2	Custom dataset for RAG model training	17
5.3	Visualization type prediction by RAG model based on input JSON data	17
5.4	VR environment displaying a 3D visualization generated from JSON input	18
5.5	AR environment displaying a static 3D visualization.	18

List of Tables

2.1	Summary of Research Papers on Text-to-Table Conversion Methods	5
2.2	Summary of Research Papers on Text Processing Techniques	7

Nomenclature

VR Virtual Reality: A simulated 3D environment that immerses users in

a virtual world, allowing interaction with data.

AR A technology that overlays digital 3D visualizations onto the

real-world environment through a camera view, enabling contextual

data display without full immersion.

LLM Large Language Model: A machine learning model designed to

process and generate human-like text, used for converting textual

data to structured tables.

RAG Retrieval-Augmented Generation: A model that enhances accuracy

by retrieving relevant information to guide visualization selection.

3D Visualization Three-dimensional representation of data in a VR environment for

immersive exploration.

NLP Natural Language Processing: A field of AI enabling machines to

interpret and generate human language.

Data Filtering The process of selectively viewing subsets of data based on

user-defined conditions.

Zooming Adjusting the scale of a visualization to either zoom in on a specific

area or get an overview of the data.

Scale Adjustments Modifying the dimensions or proportions of a visualization to

highlight specific data aspects.

User Interaction The capability for users to manipulate and engage with visualized

data, such as filtering, rotating, or adjusting the scale.

Data Representation The method by which data is presented visually or in a structured

format, such as tables or charts.

Machine Learning (ML) A subset of AI that allows systems to learn patterns from data and

improve over time.

Data Science A field that uses scientific methods, algorithms, and systems to

extract knowledge from structured and unstructured data.

Visualization Design The practice of creating clear, effective visual representations of data

that are easy to interpret.

Visualization Systems Platforms that generate and display data visualizations for analysis,

from simple charts to complex 3D models.

Information Retrieval The process of obtaining relevant data from large datasets to

determine the best visualization type.

Immersive Visualization A method that allows users to interact with and explore data in a 3D,

engaging virtual environment.

Interactive 3D Models Visual representations of data in three dimensions, allowing users to

manipulate and gain insights.

Introduction

This project addresses the challenge of making numerical data more accessible by converting textual descriptions into structured JSON tables and generating visualizations for immersive exploration. These visualizations are rendered in both Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) environments. Traditional 2D graphs often lack depth and engagement, while AR/VR offers spatial understanding and improved perception. The system uses Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) models to identify suitable visualizations from the JSON-formatted data. VR enables basic interactions like zoom, rotate, and pan, whereas AR presents static, view-only visualizations. This chapter sets the context for the project, outlining its scope and objectives, and leads into the subsequent discussion on related work, methodology, implementation, and evaluation.

1.1 **Problem Definition**

Develop a system that transforms textual descriptions of numerical data into suitable visualizations, which are then rendered in an immersive Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality environments for interactive exploration.

1.2 Motivation

Traditional 2D visualizations limit depth and spatial context, making complex data harder to interpret. VR and AR offer immersive 3D environments that enhance pattern recognition and insight generation through spatial visualization, making data analysis more intuitive and engaging.

1.3 Scope of Project and Objectives

The project aims to develop a system that transforms textual descriptions of numerical data into meaningful visualizations rendered in immersive AR and VR environments. Leveraging Large Language Models (LLMs), Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) models, and 3D rendering, the system overcomes the limitations of traditional 2D visualizations by offering a more spatial and intuitive experience.

Scope of the Project:

- **Text-to-Table Conversion:** Use LLMs to extract JSON structured tabular data from textual descriptions.
- Visualization Identification: Implement a RAG model to determine the most appropriate visualization type (e.g., bar chart, line chart) from the JSON structured table.
- 3D Visualization Rendering: Generate and display the visualizations in both VR and AR environments.
- **VR Interaction:** Support basic VR interactions such as zoom, rotate, and pan.
- AR Visualization: Provide static, view-only visualization overlays in AR for spatial context.

Objectives of the Project:

The primary objectives of this project are:

- To develop a system that converts textual descriptions of numerical data into structured tabular form (in JSON format) using LLMs.
- To design and implement a RAG-based model to identify the most suitable type of visualization (e.g., bar chart, line chart).
- To render 3D visualizations in VR for immersive data exploration with basic interactions like zoom, rotate, and pan.
- To enable static, spatial visualization in AR for passive observation of the data in real-world context.
- To provide a novel approach to data visualization that enhances user understanding and insight generation beyond traditional 2D formats.

1.4 Functional and Non-Functional Requirements

Functional Requirements

- Accept textual description of numerical data as input.
- Convert the textual input into a structured table (JSON format) using LLMs.
- Use a RAG model to identify the appropriate visualization type (e.g., bar chart, line chart).
- Generate 3D visualizations from the structured data.

- Render the visualization in VR with basic interaction (zoom, rotate, pan).
- Display static, view-only visualizations in AR.

Non-Functional Requirements

- **Response Time:** The system should convert input and render visualizations in AR/VR within a reasonable time.
- Accuracy: The RAG model should consistently identify suitable and meaningful visualizations based on the input data.

1.5 Organization of the Report

Chapter 1 introduces the problem, motivation, and objectives of the project. It also outlines the scope, describing how textual descriptions of numerical data are converted into 3D visualizations rendered in immersive AR and VR environments. Chapter 2 presents a comprehensive literature survey, reviewing existing work in text-to-table conversion, data visualization techniques, and immersive technologies, and identifies gaps this project aims to address. Chapter 3 outlines the project plan and timeline, detailing phases such as requirement analysis, system design, implementation, and testing. Chapter 4 focuses on implementation, explaining the system architecture, key algorithms, and technologies used — including Large Language Models (LLMs) and Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) models. Chapter 5 discusses results, evaluating the effectiveness of the system in generating visualizations and rendering them in AR and VR, with emphasis on the basic interaction capabilities in VR. Chapter 6 concludes the report by summarizing findings and suggesting future enhancements, such as improving model accuracy and expanding visualization capabilities.

Literature Survey

This chapter presents a comprehensive review of existing research that informs the development of the system. The literature survey is divided into three key areas central to the project: Conversion of Text into Table/Images/Videos, Processing Techniques for Text, and Table to Visualization. In the first section, we explore various methods for converting raw text data into structured formats, such as tables, which are necessary for further analysis and visualization. The second section examines advanced text processing techniques, including the use of BERT-based embeddings for text clustering and information extraction, which enable more efficient data structuring. The third section focuses on the challenge of transforming structured data into visualizations, evaluating both LLMs and RAG models for generating suitable visualizations, with a discussion on their limitations and biases. This chapter highlights the gaps in existing research and supports the need for an integrated system that combines text-to-table transformation, semantic text processing, and immersive visualization in AR and VR—where VR allows basic interactions and AR provides static spatial rendering.




Figure 2.1: Key areas explored in the Literature Survey

Conversion of Text into Table/Images/Videos

This step explores various approaches to transforming raw text data into structured forms, such as tables, images, or videos, that can be used for further analysis or visualization. Several works have explored Large Language Models (LLMs) and sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) models for

generating structured data from unstructured text. These models, such as BERT and GPT, are used to extract key information and organize it into tabular formats, which is critical for downstream visualization tasks.

 Table 2.1: Summary of Research Papers on Text-to-Table Conversion Methods

Year	Title	Publication (IEEE/Journal)	What is the paper about? (Aspects)	Methodology (Steps in 2-3 lines)	Datasets (Size, Type, etc.)	Results (Validation Metrics)	Advantages	Limitations	Ref No.
			This paper					The system	
			introduces Revilio,					is primarily	
			a system that uses					designed for	
			large language			The system		scenarios	
			models to	The system first		outperform		where table	
			reconstruct tables	detects headers	The paper	s traditional		column	
			from free-form text,	from text, generates	evaluates	methods		boundaries	
			especially when	a table sketch using	Revilio on	with an	It can	are lost and	
			column boundaries	an LLM, and then	multiple	accuracy	handle large	may not	
		ACM	are lost. It	refines the table	datasets,	improveme	tables	generalize	
		International	addresses	using a	including	nt of	effectively	well to	
	Tabularis	Conference on	challenges like	"generate-and-rank	those	5.8-11.3%	and	other types	
	Revilio:	Information and	ensuring semantic	" strategy to ensure	containing	over neural	improves	of	
	Convertin	Knowledge	and syntactic	syntactic and	tables with	and	table	text-to-table	
	g Text to	Management	consistency in table	semantic	over 100,000	symbolic	reconstructi	conversion	
2024	Tables	(CIKM 2024)	generation.	consistency.	rows.	baselines.	on accuracy.	tasks.	[1]
							The		
							approach		
							ensures		
							syntactically		
				First, the system			valid tables	The model	
			This paper presents	generates the table		Achieved	and can	requires	
			a two-stage	structure (headers)		up to 20%	utilize large	high-quality	
	gTBLS:		approach to	from text using		improveme	pre-trained	training	
	Generatin		converting	conditional text	The paper	nt in	models in a	data and	
	g Tables		unstructured text	generation. Then, it			zero-shot	fine-tuning	
	from Text		into structured	formulates	datasets like	s for table	configuratio	to achieve	
	by		tables. It uses a	questions based on	E2E,	content	n, which is	optimal	
	Condition			these headers and		generation	beneficial	results,	
	al		generates table	uses an LLM to	xt, and	tasks	for many	which can	
	Question	arXiv preprint	structures (headers)	answer them, filling	WikiBio,	compared	real-world	be	
	Answerin	arXiv:2403.1445	and content by	the table with	among	to previous	applications	resource-int	
2024	g	7	asking questions.	appropriate content.	others.	methods.		ensive.	[2]

	1	T	T	T	I	1	1	1	1
						The			
						approach			
						demonstrat			
						es the			
						capability		Performanc	
			This paper explores			to		e can be	
			how large language		Datasets like			inconsistent	
						C			
			models (LLMs),		E2E,	well across		depending	
			such as GPT-3, can		WikiTableTe	various	No need for		
			be used for the		xt, and	datasets,	extensive	complexity	
	Large		text-to-table task	LLMs are prompted	WikiBio are	with	fine-tuning	and type of	
	Language		without fine-tuning	to generate tables	used for	improveme	or specific	text, as	
	Models		on specific datasets.	from unstructured	evaluation,	nts in	schema	LLMs may	
	as	Proceedings of	It evaluates how	text, and the task is	focusing on	output	design,	struggle	
	Generaliz	the Association			different	quality		with	
	able	for	generalize across	multiple datasets		compared	adaptable to		
		Computational		1	71	•			
	Text-to-T	I -	various input texts			_	various text		
	able	Linguistics	and generate valid	few-shot learning	conversion	fine-tuned	formats and	structured	
2024	Systems	(ACL 2024)	tables.	techniques.	tasks.	models.	datasets.	data.	[3]
								Requires	
						Fine-tuned		high	
	On the		Examines how	Implements	TabFact,	models		computatio	
	Use of			_	· ·			nal	
			LLMs can generate		Spider	improve			
	Large		SQL queries from	_	(large-scale	SQL		resources;	
	Language				tabular	accuracy	LLMs can	struggles	
	Models		text using	domain-specific	question-ans	over	generalize	with	
	for Table		retrieval-augmented	tables to improve	wering	zero-shot	across	complex	
2024	Tasks	VLDB/NeurIPS	generation (RAG).	SQL accuracy.	datasets).	approaches.	domains.	queries	[4]
					Rotowire,	Seq2seq			
	Text-to-T			Uses fine-tuned	E2E,	models			
	able: A			' '	WikiTableTe	outperform			
	New Way		Proposes	with table		RE/NER		Struggles	
	of		converting		(various	models;	No need for		
	Informati		unstructured text to	relation	sizes, sports,	BART-larg	predefined	diversity,	
	on		structured tables	embeddings to	Wikipedia,	e improves	schemas;	reasoning,	
	Extractio		using seq2seq	extract structured	open-domain	extraction	works on	and large	
2022	n	ACL	models.	data.	tables).	accuracy.	long texts.	tables	[5]
1	Taxx 1-								
	Towards							a. ·	
	Controlle							Struggles	
	d					CTRLSciT		with	
	Table-to-			Introduces		abNet	Uses	hallucinatio	
	Text		Focuses on	CTRLSciTab	CTRLSciTab	(Bart)	domain-spe	n and	
	Generatio		controlled text	dataset and uses a	(8,967	outperform	cific	aligning	
	n with		generation from	retriever-generator	table-descript	s GPT-3.5,	knowledge	generated	
	Scientific		tabular data with a	model with external	ion pairs with		for	text with	
	Reasonin	IEEE/Scientific	scientific reasoning		scientific	fluency and		scientific	
2023		NLP Conference	component.	knowledge.	knowledge).	factuality.	accuracy.	facts	[6]
	g	INLE Conterence	component.	knowieuge.	knowledge).	ractuanty.	accuracy.	iacis	[6]

Processing Techniques for Text

Text processing techniques, including text clustering and information extraction, have been key to understanding how raw text data can be structured. Techniques such as BERT-based embeddings have been applied to text clustering, and various NLP methods have been developed to extract significant data from the text. These processing methods enable more accurate and efficient transformation of text into structured formats (like tables) that are easier to analyze and visualize.

 Table 2.2: Summary of Research Papers on Text Processing Techniques

			-	-		_	_		
					Datasets	Results			
		Publication	What is the paper	Methodology	(Size, Type,	(Validation			
Year	Title	(IEEE/Journal)	about? (Aspects)	(Steps in 2-3 lines)	etc.)	Metrics)	Advantages	Limitations	Ref No.
						Achieved			
						88.2%			
						sentiment	1. Improves		
						classificatio	financial		
				1. Pretrained on a		n accuracy	sentiment	FinBERT,	
				large corpus of		(higher	classificatio	like other	
				financial		than	n accuracy.	deep	
				documents (SEC		LSTM,	2. Works	learning	
			FinBERT is a	filings, earnings		CNN, and	well with	models,	
			domain-specific	calls, analyst	Financial	traditional	small	lacks	
			adaptation of BERT	reports). 2.	filings (SEC	methods).	training	interpretabil	
	FinBERT:		for financial text	Fine-tuned on	10-K, 10-Q),	Outperform	datasets. 3.	ity, making	
	A Large		analysis. It is	sentiment	analyst	ed BERT in	Outperform	its decision	
	Language		trained on financial	classification and	reports, and	financial	s traditional	process	
	Model for		reports, earnings	ESG-related	136,578	text	ML models	opaque—a	
	Extractin		calls, and analyst	discussions. 3.	earnings call	classificatio	and even	key	
	g		reports to enhance	Compared against	transcripts.	n,	general	challenge in	
	Informati		sentiment	traditional ML	Total dataset	especially	BERT for	finance	
	on from	Contemporary	classification and	models (SVM, RF,	size: 4.9	with small	finance	where	
	Financial	Accounting	financial text	LSTM, CNN) and	billion	training	applications	transparenc	
2023	Text	Research, Wiley	understanding.	general BERT.	tokens.	samples.		y is crucial.	[7]
	The			The study applies		BERT-base			
	Performa		This paper	BERT to generate		d		The study	
	nce of		evaluates the	text embeddings	Utilized three	representati	BERT	focuses on	
	BERT as		effectiveness of	and compares	popular text	ons	captures	unsupervise	
	Data		BERT embeddings	clustering	clustering	outperform	contextual	d learning;	
	Represent		in text clustering	performance using	datasets: AG	ed TF-IDF	information,	results may	
	ation of		tasks, comparing	algorithms like	News,	in 28 out of	leading to	vary with	
	Text		them with	k-means and deep	DBpedia, and	36 metrics,	improved	different	
	Clusterin	Journal of Big	traditional TF-IDF	embedded	20	including	clustering	clustering	
2022	g	Data	representations.	clustering	Newsgroups.	clustering	performance	algorithms.	[8]

						accuracy			
						(ACC),			
						normalized			
						mutual			
						information			
						(NMI), and			
						adjusted			
						rand index			
						(ARI).			
							Offers a		
			Tri ·						
			This survey				detailed		
			provides a	1 1			understandi		
	A Survey		comprehensive	various text			ng of the	-	
	of Text		overview of text	_			progression	it doesn't	
	Represent		representation	techniques,			and	provide	
	ation and		methods in NLP,	discussing their			applications	experimenta	
	Embeddin		from early	evolution,	Not	Not	of text	1 validations	
	g		techniques to	applications, and	applicable	applicable	representati	or	
	Techniqu		advanced	performance in	(survey	(survey	on	comparison	
2023	es in NLP	IEEE Access	embeddings.	NLP tasks.	paper).	paper).	techniques.	s.	[9]
	Graph-Ba								
	sed Text								
	Represent			Tri I			*** 11' 1.		
	ation and			The paper analyzes			Highlights		
	Matching:		This review focuses	various			the potential		
	A Review		on graph-based	graph-based text			of		
	of the		methods for text	_			graph-based		
	State of		representation and	techniques, their			representati	experimenta	
	the Art	IEEE	matching,	methodologies, and			ons in	1	
	and	Transactions on	discussing their		Not	Not	capturing	comparison	
	Future	Knowledge and	applications and	tasks like text	applicable	applicable	complex	s; primarily	
	Challenge	Data	future research	matching and	(review	(review	relationship	theoretical	
2020	s	Engineering	directions.	retrieval.	paper).	paper).	s in text.	analysis.	[10]
	From								
	Text to								
	Knowled								
	ge with		This paper explores	The authors discuss			Provides		
	Graphs:		1 1 1	integrating			insights into		
	_		_				_		
	Modellin		trends in	linguistics, NLP,			combining		
	g,			and graph databases			multiple	G :	
	Querying		querying	to transform			disciplines	Conceptual	
	and		knowledge		Not	Not	for effective	framework	
	Exploitin		extracted from text		applicable	applicable	knowledge	without	
	g Textual		using graph-based	_	(conceptual	(conceptual	representati	empirical	
2023	Content	arXiv preprint	models.	representations.	paper).	paper).	on.	validation.	[11]

	A Novel										
	Multidim										
	ensional										
	Reference										
	Model for										
	Heteroge							Demonstrat	Enhances	May require	
	neous		This	study				ed	processing	complex	
	Textual		introduces a	model	The	proposed		improved	of	integration	
	Datasets		to	handle	model	integrates		extraction	heterogeneo	of various	
	Using		heterogeneo	us	multiple	linguistic	Evaluated or	of	us textual	linguistic	
	Context,		textual data	sets by	features t	o enhance	datasets with	meaningful	data by	features;	
	Semantic		leveraging	context,	information	on	varying sizes	information	considering	scalability	
	and		semantic,	and	extraction	n from	and types to	across	multiple	needs	
	Syntactic		syntactic		diverse	text	test	diverse	linguistic	further	
2023	Clues	arXiv preprint	information.		sources.		adaptability.	datasets.	aspects.	assessment.	[12]

Table to Visualization

Once text is converted into a table, the next challenge is transforming that table into a suitable visualization. Text-to-visualization attempts have been made; table-to-visualization can be done using LLMs. However, our findings show that LLMs tend to be biased towards generating specific visualization types, such as pie charts and bar charts, especially when provided with only a few examples. This bias arises from the LLM's inherent tendency to favor these common visualization types, limiting the diversity and appropriateness of the generated visualizations. RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) models are more effective at selecting the most appropriate visualization based on data content. This step is crucial for ensuring meaningful and context-aware visualizations within the immersive AR/VR environments used in this project.

Outcomes of Background Work:

Visual Representation vs Textual Representation: Research consistently shows that visual representations of data are far easier to interpret than textual data. This highlights the importance of the project's goal of converting text into meaningful visualizations.

Text Processing: Various efforts have been made in text processing, particularly in clustering and extracting important data using models like BERT, which have been pivotal in understanding and structuring text data.

Text to Visualization: While text-to-visualization techniques are still emerging, models such as LLMs have demonstrated the capability to perform the crucial task of converting tables into visual representations effectively.

Project Plan and Timeline

This chapter outlines the structured roadmap adopted for the successful development of the system. It begins with the Project Plan, which breaks the system into modular tasks: from requirement analysis and text-to-table conversion using LLMs, to visualization type selection via RAG models, and immersive visualization rendering in AR and VR. Each stage is defined with its objective and associated technologies. The plan ensures a streamlined development approach that integrates natural language processing, data structuring, and immersive visualization. The second part details the Project Timeline, presenting a task-wise schedule mapped across development phases. A Gantt-style chart illustrates timelines for activities such as requirement gathering, technology selection, LLM testing, dataset preparation, visualization pipeline setup, and AR/VR integration. This structured timeline supports a logical progression and aligns with project deadlines, ensuring on-time delivery.

Project Plan

- Requirement Analysis: Define system inputs, expected outputs, and confirm data flow.
- Text-to-Table Module: Use LLMs to convert textual descriptions into structured tabular data (JSON format).
- Visualization Selection: Apply a RAG model to determine the most appropriate chart type based on data content.
- 3D Visualization Rendering: Convert selected visualization types into 3D models and render them in AR and VR environments.
- VR Interaction: Enable basic controls like zoom, rotate, and pan for immersive data exploration.
- AR Visualization: Display static, spatial visualizations in AR for passive viewing.
- System Integration and Testing: Combine all modules and verify overall functionality, performance, and visual accuracy.

Project Timeline

The Gantt chart titled "Project Timeline with Task-wise Breakdown and Scheduled Dates" outlines the end-to-end phases from January to April 2025. It is divided into four main sections:

- 1. Planning (mid-January): Covered initial project planning and requirement gathering.
- 2. Research & Tech Setup (late January to mid-February): Focused on studying research papers, finalizing the tech stack, and selecting a suitable LLM model.
- **3. Testing Phase (late February to early March):** Involved testing the LLM with sample inputs and documenting the results.
- **4. Implementation (March to April):** Included tasks such as converting text to tables, developing the VR environment, dataset creation, building a RAG model for visualization, developing AR visualization, and finally integrating all components.

Each task is time-boxed, and completed phases are visually marked, showing steady progress toward project completion.




Table 3.1: Project Timeline with Task-wise Breakdown and Scheduled Dates

Implementation

This section details the end-to-end system implementation for converting user-submitted textual descriptions of numerical data into immersive 3D VR and AR visualizations. It starts with a description of the frontend interface, where users input their data in natural language and choose between three options—VR generation, chart generation via a RAG model, or AR visualization. The process continues with input validation to ensure the presence of meaningful numerical content. Valid input is then passed to a Large Language Model (LLM) to extract structured tabular data. This structured data is further processed by a RAG model trained on sector-specific datasets to determine the most appropriate visualization type. The selected chart is rendered in a 3D VR environment using Unity and Three.js, offering zoom functionality for deeper insight. Additionally, the same chart can be rendered in an AR environment using Unity and AR Foundation, allowing users to place and explore data visualizations in their real-world surroundings. This chapter highlights how each technology—LLMs, RAG models, Unity, and AR/VR frameworks—contributes to transforming raw textual input into an engaging, spatially interactive experience.

4.1 Architecture / Block Diagram




Figure 4.1: Workflow for Textual Data to VR Visualization

4.2 Algorithm / Methodology

1. Frontend Interface

The process begins with a simple and intuitive frontend interface designed to accept a textual description of numerical data from the user.

The interface contains a text input box, where the user can enter natural language input like:

I have 100 Rs. I spent 20 on clothes, 10 on food.

Below the input box, there are three action buttons:

- 1. Generate VR Initiates the generation of a Virtual Reality-based 3D visualization.
- Generate Visualisation Chart Uses a Retrieval-Augmented Generation model to process the table achieved from the LLM model and generate a visualisation chart.
- 3. Generate AR Produces an Augmented Reality visualization for mobile

2. Input Validation

Once the form is submitted, the system performs validation on the entered text:

- It checks that the input falls within an acceptable word limit, ensuring it's neither too short to lack meaning nor too long to overwhelm the model
- It confirms the presence of numerical data—quantities, percentages, counts, or monetary figures—necessary for further processing. If the input fails either check, the system alerts the user and prompts them to revise and resubmit the input.

3. Text Parsing into Structured Tabular Format Using LLM

- For valid input, the text is passed to a Large Language Model (LLM) that processes the natural language and extracts structured data.
- The LLM identifies key attributes (e.g. years, counts, ratios, and categories), and organizes them into a table.

4. Chart Type Selection Using a RAG Model

- The structured table is then passed into a Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model.
- This model is trained on a custom dataset of 50 records from the education, agriculture, environment, commerce and finance sectors (sourced from community.data.gov.in), helping it identify contextually appropriate visualization types.
- Depending on the tabular content, the RAG model selects a 3D visualization type such as bar chart or line graph.

5. 3D VR Visualization Rendering Using Unity and Three.js

Based on the selected visualization type, the system uses Unity and Three.js to render a 3D chart in a Virtual Reality environment. Inside the VR environment, users can interact with the visualization to enhance their understanding. Interaction features include Zooming In/Out to closely inspect specific data points or get a full overview.

6. Completion and Insight Extraction

- Once the interactive 3D visualization is rendered, users can explore the data in an immersive environment.
- The process concludes with the user gaining clear, intuitive insights from their original textual input—bridging the gap between raw data and visual understanding without manual chart creation.

7. 3D AR Visualization Rendering Using Unity and AR Foundation

- Once the chart is generated, Unity and AR Foundation are used to build a 3D chart, which is rendered in an Augmented Reality environment on the user's Android device. The AR experience includes Color-coded X, Y, and Z axes, labeled using TextMeshPro and Chart geometry (bars, lines) built using Unity primitives.
- The AR-based 3D chart helps users better understand data by placing it in their real-world environment. With clearly labeled, color-coded axes and 3D shapes like bars or lines, users can easily see patterns and relationships. Displaying data

in space makes complex information more intuitive and visually engaging, especially for presentations or learning.

4.3 Technology used

Unity (2023.1.0)

Used to create the Augmented Reality (AR) environment and render 3D visualizations. It enables immersive viewing of the visualized data within the AR space.

Python (3.10)

Acts as the backbone for data processing, handling input/output flow, and managing API integration between various components of the system, including the LLM and visualization modules.

Large Language Models (LLMs)

Responsible for converting unstructured textual input into structured tabular data. The LLM extracts entities, numerical values, and contextual information to form a usable dataset.

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) Model

Analyzes the structure and semantics of the tabular data to determine the most suitable type of data visualization, such as bar charts or line graphs.

Results and Discussion

The developed system successfully converts textual descriptions of numerical data into structured tabular format in JSON using Large Language Models (LLMs). A custom dataset was created to train the Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model, enhancing its ability to map the JSON-formatted data to the most relevant visualization type, such as a bar chart or line chart. These visualizations are then rendered as 3D models for immersive viewing in both Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR). While VR supports basic interaction through zooming, rotating, and panning, AR provides static spatial placement for passive observation. This section evaluates the system's performance across each stage, highlighting its effectiveness, accuracy, and observed limitations.

Key findings:

The system efficiently translates textual descriptions of numerical data into structured JSON tables using the Llama-2-13B-chat.Q4 K M model.




Figure 5.1: Converting text into structured tables using LLM (Llama-2-13B-chat.Q4 K M)

A custom dataset of 50 records was created to train the RAG model, improving its ability to map structured tabular data to the most relevant visualization type.




Figure 5.2: Custom dataset for RAG model training

The RAG model effectively predicts the most appropriate visualization type based on the nature and distribution of the tabular data.




Figure 5.3: Visualization type prediction by RAG model based on input JSON data

The system renders the selected visualizations as immersive 3D models in both Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR). In VR, users can zoom, rotate, and pan to explore the visualization. In AR, the charts are displayed as static 3D objects within the camera view.




Figure 5.4: VR environment displaying a 3D visualization generated from JSON input

The AR visualization displays a 3D bar chart comparing the number of colleges across four Indian states. Each bar is color-coded and positioned in real-world space, enhancing clarity and engagement through spatial data representation.




Figure 5.5: AR environment displaying a static 3D visualization

Performance Evaluation

- Text-to-Table Conversion (LLM): The Llama-2-13B-chat.Q4 K M model achieved a 100% JSON validity rate, ensuring that all outputs were syntactically correct. It demonstrated a 94% accuracy in field extraction, correctly identifying the expected keys from the input text, and a 91% accuracy in value extraction, accurately mapping the numerical values to their respective fields in the generated JSON.
- Visualization Type Prediction (RAG Model): The RAG model, trained on a custom dataset of 50 labeled samples, demonstrated progressive improvement across 15 epochs. It began with a baseline validation accuracy of 44.44% and gradually improved to a peak of 88.89%. The model consistently reduced its loss from 0.6931 to 0.6168, indicating effective learning. This training progression reflects the model's increasing ability to generalize from limited data, ultimately achieving 88.89% classification accuracy on the validation set by the final epoch.
- VR Visualization Rendering: The system was deployed on Meta Quest 2, where the visualizations were displayed in a stable and responsive panel within the VR interface. The environment effectively demonstrated key functionalities such as zoom, pan, and rotation, with smooth performance and interaction latency under 100 milliseconds. The visualization panel loaded promptly, with an average scene load time of 1.5 seconds, providing a functional and user-friendly VR experience for data exploration.
- AR Visualization Rendering: In the AR mode, the system displayed floating 3D visualizations within the camera view. This setup provided a lightweight and platform-independent approach to visualizing data in augmented environments.

Conclusion and Future Work

This chapter concludes the report by summarizing the key findings and outcomes of the project. It highlights how the system has successfully implemented a unified system capable of transforming natural language descriptions of numerical data into both VR and AR-based 3D visualizations. The system integrates a frontend user interface, a Large Language Model (LLM) for parsing text to structured JSON tables, a Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model for chart type selection, and rendering tools like Unity, Three.js, and AR Foundation for immersive visual output. By supporting both VR and AR modes, the solution enables users to intuitively explore their data in simulated and real-world environments. The use of color-coded axes, interactive 3D elements, and smart chart selection has made complex data more accessible and engaging. This project not only demonstrates the feasibility of bridging textual input with immersive data representation but also sets a foundation for more adaptive and intelligent visualization systems.

6.1 Conclusions

This project successfully demonstrated the feasibility of generating both VR and AR visualizations from textual descriptions of numerical data. By integrating Large Language Models (LLMs), a Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model, and immersive technologies like Unity, Three.js, and AR Foundation, the system provides a seamless pipeline from natural language input to interactive 3D chart rendering.

Key achievements include:

- Efficient parsing of textual descriptions into structured tabular formats using LLMs.
- Accurate selection of appropriate visualization types through a custom-trained RAG model.
- Enhanced data understanding via immersive 3D experiences in both virtual and augmented environments.
- Versatile application potential across domains such as education, agriculture, commerce, and environmental analytics.

While the system meets its core objectives, limitations such as limited dataset diversity, platform dependency, and scope for broader interaction design point to opportunities for future refinement and extension.

6.2 Scope for Future Work

Future enhancements to the project could include:

- **Multimodal Input Support**: Enabling support for voice input or scanned handwritten descriptions to broaden accessibility.
- **Dynamic Dataset Expansion**: Training the RAG model on a larger and more diverse dataset across multiple domains to improve generalization and accuracy.
- Live Data Integration: Allowing the system to connect with APIs and databases for real-time chart updates based on incoming data streams.
- Advanced AR/VR Interactions: Introducing gesture-based manipulation, voice commands, or gaze tracking to create a more natural and immersive user experience.
- Cross-Device Compatibility: Extending visualization support across different platforms such as iOS, desktop browsers (via WebXR), and VR headsets.
- **Model Optimization**: Reducing latency in visualization rendering and improving the efficiency of LLM and RAG model inference time for real-time performance.
- Multilingual Processing: Incorporating multilingual understanding to process user inputs in regional or global languages, enhancing accessibility.
- User Customization Features: Allowing users to choose color schemes, axis scales, or data filters to personalize the visualization output.

These improvements would strengthen the system's practical applications and usability in real-world scenarios.

References

- 1. S. Coyne and Y. Dong, "Tabularis Revilio: Converting Text to Tables," ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM 2024), 2024.
- 2. M. Singh, S. Gulwani, V. Le, and G. Verbruggen, "gTBLS: Generating Tables from Text by Conditional Question Answering," arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14457, 2024.
- 3. A. Sundar, C. Richardson, and L. Heck, "Large Language Models as Generalizable Text-to-Table Systems," Proceedings of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2024), 2024.
- 4. Y. Dong, M. Oyamada, C. Xiao, and H. Zhang, "On the Use of Large Language Models for Table Tasks," VLDB/NeurIPS, 2024.
- 5. X. Wu, J. Zhang, and H. Li, "Text-to-Table: A New Way of Information Extraction," ACL, 2022.
- 6. Z. Guo, J. Zhou, J. Qi, M. Yan, Z. He, X. Wang, and C. Zhou, "Towards Controlled Table-to-Text Generation with Scientific Reasoning," IEEE/Scientific NLP Conference, 2023.
- 7. A. H. Huang, H. Wang, and Y. Yang, "FinBERT: A Large Language Model for Extracting" Information from Financial Text," Contemporary Accounting Research, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 806–841, Summer 2023, doi: 10.1111/1911-3846.12832.
- 8. P. Kumar, R. Kumar, and A. Gupta, "The Performance of BERT as Data Representation of Text Clustering," Journal of Big Data, 2022.
- 9. T. A. Sharma, R. P. Singh, and K. Gupta, "A Survey of Text Representation and Embedding Techniques in NLP," IEEE Access, 2023.
- 10. R. Kumar and A. Gupta, "Graph-Based Text Representation and Matching: A Review of the State of the Art and Future Challenges," IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 2020.
- 11. A. Sharma, R. Patel, and R. Singh, "From Text to Knowledge with Graphs: Modelling, Querying and Exploiting Textual Content," arXiv preprint, 2023.
- 12. D. Patel and M. Kumar, "A Novel Multidimensional Reference Model for Heterogeneous Textual Datasets Using Context, Semantic and Syntactic Clues," arXiv preprint, 2023.

Acknowledgements

We, the members of the project team, Chandana Galgali, Prachi Gandhi, Mahek Thakkar and Harsh Singwi, would like to express our sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the successful completion of this mini project.

Firstly, we would like to extend our deepest appreciation to our Project Guide, Prof. Avani Sakhapara, for her unwavering guidance, continuous support, and valuable feedback throughout the project. Her expertise and insightful suggestions were instrumental in shaping our approach and ensuring the project's success.

We are also grateful to the IT Department and the ETRX Department at KJSSE for providing the necessary resources, facilities, and an enabling environment that made the completion of this project possible.

Finally, we would like to express our heartfelt thanks to our families and friends for their constant support, encouragement, and understanding. Their moral support and patience were crucial in helping us navigate the challenges of this project.