

CFD Code Development Frameworks

Python, C, OpenFOAM, Fenics

Dr. Rodolfo Monico, Taher Chegini, M. Sarraf Joshaghani

CTFM 2018
University of Houston

rostilla@uh.edu
tchegini@uh.edu
m.sarraf.j@uh.edu

June 2, 2018



Table of Content

1 Governing Equations

2 Solution algorithm

3 Grid

4 Problem Statement

Governing Equations

Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations (INSE) in Eulerian form:

$$\partial_t \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{g} \quad (2)$$

Eqn. 1: Density can be omitted, but let's keep it for now.

Eqn. 2:


- LHS is acceleration which is intrinsically Lagrangian and when it is translated to Eulerian coordinates it becomes non-linear
- RHS describes influence of pressure gradient, viscosity and body forces.

Governing Equations

Complexities

Difficulties for numerical solution:

- Nonlocality of pressure gradient (Solution: None)
- Nonlinearity of acceleration term (Solution: e.g. Picard's Method)
- Pressure and velocity are coupled and there is no separate equation for pressure (Solution: e.g. SIMPLE, PISO and ACM)



Governing Equations

Artificial Compressibility Method

ACM first was developed by A.J. Chorin in 1967 and assumes a small compressibility for the fluid and isothermal condition for the flow:

$$\rho = \rho(p) \therefore \partial_t \rho = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}$$

where c is artificial sound speed. So INSE becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t P + c^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla P + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{g}\end{aligned}$$

where $P = \frac{p}{\rho}$.

Governing Equations

Remarks on ACM

- Limited scope of applicability: incompressible steady state problems.
- Small time step: t is pseudo-time step and depends on c . Therefore, if an explicit discretization method is used time step should have a small value.
- Efficient parallelization: no elliptic PDE

Although applicability of the method is very limited, ACM provides a simple yet informative framework for pedagogical purposes




Table of Content

1 Governing Equations

2 Solution algorithm

3 Grid

4 Problem Statement

Solution algorithm

Pseudo Code

- 1 Initialization of the domain and the variables (u , v , p)
- 2 While convergence is reached do:
 - 1 Solve momentum equation in x -direction to get u
 - 2 Solve momentum equation in y -direction to get v
 - 3 Update the boundary conditions for u and v
 - 4 Solve continuity equation to get P
 - 5 Check convergence criteria
- 3 Output the fields data

Solution algorithm

Boundary Conditions

The two common boundary conditions can be formulated as follows:

- Dirichlet: $\phi_g = 2\phi_b - \phi_i$
- Neumann: $\phi_g = \phi_i - \left(\Delta n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right)_b$

Indices: g , b and i denote a ghost, boundary and inner node, respectively

Variables: ϕ is a quantity of interest (u , v or P) and n represents a direction normal to the boundary cell face

Solution algorithm

Convergence Criteria

$$1 \quad E_u = \sqrt{(\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y) \sum_{i,j} (u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n)^2}$$

$$2 \quad E_v = \sqrt{(\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y) \sum_{i,j} (v_{i,j}^{n+1} - v_{i,j}^n)^2}$$

$$3 \quad E_p = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y}{c^2} \sum_{i,j} (P_{i,j}^{n+1} - P_{i,j}^n)^2}$$

$$4 \quad E_{\nabla} = (\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

$$5 \quad E_{total} = \max\{E_u, E_v, E_p, E_{\nabla}\} < \varepsilon$$

where ε is the desired tolerance.

Table of Content

1 Governing Equations

2 Solution algorithm

3 Grid


4 Problem Statement

Grid


Structured Mesh (SM) vs. Unstructured Mesh (UM)

- Node connection: SM has regular connectivities while UM has irregular ones
- Quality: SM gives better results if applicable
- Memory usage: SM requires less memory and converge faster while UM requires storage of cell-to-cell pointers so take up more memory and is slower

Structured




Unstructured



Grid

Structured Mesh (SM) vs. Unstructured Mesh (UM)

- Generation: UMs are usually highly automated and take less time to generate while generating SMs could be cumbersome
- Complex geometry: UM can fit complex geometries much better




Chen, C., R.C. Beardsley, and G. Cowles. 2006. An unstructured grid, finite-volume coastal ocean model (FVCOM) system. *Oceanography* 19(1):78–89, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2006.92>.

Grid


Staggered vs. Collocated

- Collocated: all the variables at the node centers; easier to implement and extend while issues such as checkerboard problem arise
- Staggered: scalar variables at the node centers and vector variables at the face centers; more accurate though more difficult to implement




Grid

Staggered Grid




Grid

Staggered Grid




Grid

Staggered Grid



Grid

Staggered Grid



Solution algorithm

Discretization

Spatial: central difference, second order

$$\partial_x \phi = \frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1}}{2\Delta x}$$

Temporal: backward difference, first order


$$\partial_t \phi = \frac{\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n}{\Delta t}$$

Note: for brevity we use the superscript $n + 1$ to denote the variable in the current time step and no superscript for the previous time step.

Let's discretize the equations based on a staggered grid node numbering.

Discretization

Control Volume for Momentum Equation in x-direction



Discretization


Momentum Equation in x-direction

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(uu) + \partial_y(uv) = -\partial_x P + \nu (\partial_{xx} u + \partial_{yy} u)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\left(\frac{u_{i+1,j} + u_{i,j}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u_{i,j} + u_{i-1,j}}{2}\right)^2}{\Delta x} \\ & + \frac{\left(\frac{u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v_{i+1,j} + v_{i,j}}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v_{i+1,j-1} + v_{i,j-1}}{2}\right)}{\Delta y} \\ & = \\ & - \frac{P_{i+1,j} - P_{i,j}}{\Delta x} \\ & + \nu \left(\frac{u_{i+1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{u_{i,j+1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Discretization

Control Volume for Momentum Equation in y-direction



Discretization


Momentum Equation in y -direction

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x(uv) + \partial_y(vv) = -\partial_y P + \nu (\partial_{xx} v + \partial_{yy} v)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{v_{i,j}^{n+1} - v_{i,j}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\left(\frac{u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v_{i+1,j} + v_{i,j}}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{u_{i-1,j+1} + u_{i-1,j}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}}{2}\right)}{\Delta x} \\ & + \frac{\left(\frac{v_{i,j+1} + v_{i,j}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{v_{i,j} + v_{i,j-1}}{2}\right)^2}{\Delta y} \\ & = \\ & - \frac{P_{i,j+1} - P_{i,j}}{\Delta y} \\ & + \nu \left(\frac{v_{i+1,j} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{v_{i,j+1} - 2v_{i,j} + v_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Discretization

Control Volume for Continuity Equation



Discretization

Continuity Equation

$$\partial_t P + c^2 (\partial_x u + \partial_y v) = 0$$

$$\frac{P_{i,j}^{n+1} - P_{i,j}}{\Delta t} + c^2 \left(\frac{u_{i,j}^n - u_{i-1,j}^n}{\Delta x} + \frac{v_{i,j}^n - v_{i,j-1}^n}{\Delta y} \right) = 0$$

Table of Content

1 Governing Equations

2 Solution algorithm



3 Grid

4 Problem Statement

Problem Statement


Lid-Driven Cavity

- Characteristics: steady-state, incompressible
- Boundary Conditions: walls everywhere (top wall is moving)
 - Velocity: Dirichlet ($\mathbf{U}_x = \mathbf{U}_y = 0$ except for the top wall where $\mathbf{U}_x = 1$ and $\mathbf{U}_y = 0$)
 - Pressure: Neumann ($\nabla p = 0$)




Problem Statement

Outputs



Velocity profile along the middle of axes for $Re=1000$



Problem Statement

Workshop

A numerical solution to this benchmark problem will be presented based on:

- (Finite Difference) Coding from scratch: Python, C
- (Finite Volume) Modifying one of the solvers in OpenFOAM
- (Finite Element) Using libraries provided by FEniCS



Final Remarks

Some other interesting open source CFD projects to look into:

- **Basilisk**: solution of partial differential equations on adaptive Cartesian meshes. (C)

`http://basilisk.fr`

- **FluidDyn**: some Python packages specialized for different tasks, in particular for 2D and 3D Fast Fourier Transforms, numerical simulations, laboratory experiments and processing of images of fluid.

`https://fluiddyn.readthedocs.io/en/latest`

- **Firedrake**: an automated system for the solution of partial differential equations using the finite element method. (Python)

`https://firedrakeproject.org`

Let's Get Started!

```
$ mkdir UHWS
```

```
$ cd UHWS
```

```
$ git clone https://github.com/taataam/UHWorkshop.git
```

```
$ cd UHWorkshop/workshop3
```

