





**C. RAJA**  
**Evaluation of Instruction Program Report**  
 20F: ECON 101 DIS 1B: MICROECONOMC THEORY  
 No. of responses = 8  
 Enrollment = 28  
 Response Rate = 28.57%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




## 2. Preliminary Questions:


2.1) Approximately how many times did you attend the assigned section?



2.2) If you primarily attended a section that is not assigned to you, what was your primary reason for doing so?




2.3) You saw the TA of the assigned section attending lectures.




## 3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

3.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



3.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.




3.3)	Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.		n=8 av.=8.38 md=9 dev.=1.41
3.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		n=8 av.=8.63 md=9 dev.=1.06
3.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		n=7 av.=8.57 md=9 dev.=1.13 ab.=1
3.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		n=8 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
3.7)	Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.		n=8 av.=8.25 md=9 dev.=1.49
3.8)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		n=8 av.=8.75 md=9 dev.=0.46

#### 4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

4.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)		n=8 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=0.46
4.2)	Workload/pace was		n=8 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
4.3)	Integration of section with course was		n=8 av.=2.88 md=3 dev.=0.35
4.4)	Texts, required readings		n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.41 ab.=2

## 4.5) Homework assignments



n=3  
 av.=2.33  
 md=2  
 dev.=0.58  
 ab.=5

## 4.6) Graded materials, examinations



n=7  
 av.=2.43  
 md=3  
 dev.=0.79  
 ab.=1

## 4.7) Lecture presentations



n=6  
 av.=2.83  
 md=3  
 dev.=0.41  
 ab.=1

## 4.8) Class discussions



n=7  
 av.=2.86  
 md=3  
 dev.=0.38  
 ab.=1

## 5. Comments:

- 5.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Chandni is an amazing Economics TA. I would switch into her section if I ever see that she is a TA for a course I am taking in the future. She's always extremely prepared and knows what topics are important for students. She's very fair as a grader, responds to emails very quickly, and is willing to accomodate student needs. She's the best.
  - Chandni was an amazing TA and explained the concepts well. It was clear she cared about the success of her students and I was glad to have her as my TA
  - I enjoyed the discussion section more than the lecture video, TA Chandni is very kind and patient, she go over the discussion problems with lots of details. Also, she is willing to accommodate students' need as much she can. She is very sincere to give me advice on how to improve in the future exams since I failed the first midterm. I am very glad to have her as my TA this Fall quarter.
  - I switched over to Chandi's section Week 1 and I am so glad I did! She was very organized and went through the discussion questions each week very clearly, and held office hours afterwards to answer any extra questions after. She very willingly offered extra review sessions before exams, and prepared us for the midterms and final as best as she could. She was always open for questions and was very welcoming to questions, emails, etc. outside of class. I was very grateful for this, especially due to how large our class was, the remote instruction via Zoom, and how lectures were asynchronous. Thank you for a great quarter!!
  - Ms. Raja is easily my favorite TA. She knows the material and knows how to explain it. She is willing to offer as much time as needed to help us.
  - none

# Profile

Subunit: ECON  
 Name of the instructor: C. RAJA  
 Name of the course: 20F: ECON 101 DIS 1B: MICROECONOMC THEORY  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

3.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.50
3.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.25
3.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.38
3.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.63
3.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
3.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
3.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.25
3.8) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.75

## 4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

4.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low								High	n=8	av.=2.25
4.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow								Too Much	n=8	av.=2.00
4.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.88
4.4) Texts, required readings	Poor								Excellent	n=6	av.=2.17
4.5) Homework assignments	Poor								Excellent	n=3	av.=2.33
4.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor								Excellent	n=7	av.=2.43
4.7) Lecture presentations	Poor								Excellent	n=6	av.=2.83
4.8) Class discussions	Poor								Excellent	n=7	av.=2.86