

C. RAJA Evaluation of Instruction Program Report 21W: ECON 106IL LAB 2B: ORGNZTN - FIRMS LAB No. of responses = 5 Enrollment = 24 Response Rate = 20.83%


1. Background Information:	
1.1) Year in School:	
Freshman	0 n=5
Sophomore (1
Junior	1
Senior (3
Graduate	0
Other	0
 1.2) UCLA GPA:	
Below 2.0	0 n=5
2.0 - 2.49	0
2.5 - 2.99	0
3.0 - 3.49	0
3.5+ (5
Not Established	0
Expected Grade:	
Α(2 n=5
В(1
C	0
D	0
F	0
P	0
NP (0
?(2
What requirements does this course fulfill?	
Major (5 n=5
Related Field	0
G.E.	0
None	0

2. Preliminary Questions:

^{2.1)} Approximately how many times did you attend the section?

1	0
2	1
3	1
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	1
8	0
9	1
10+	1

You saw the teaching assistant attending lectures.



3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.




n=5 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.55

n=5


n=5

Teaching Assistant Concern - The T. A. was concerned about student learning.




n=5 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.55

Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.




n=5 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.45


Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.



av.=8.4 md=9 dev.=0.89

n=5





5. Comments:

- Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Chadni was SO sweet! I am so grateful. She always answered all my questions in section and office hours and she was so supportive. You can tell she really cared and wanted to help (and she did!). She was always very organized and encouraged us to ask questions and reach out to her via email. Wonderful TA.

Profile

Subunit: ECON
Name of the instructor: C. RAJA

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

21W: ECON 106IL LAB 2B: ORGNZTN - FIRMS LAB

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

3.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never		+ +	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		+ + +	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.3)	Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		 	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.80
3.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never		+ +	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.40
3.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		+ +	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.40
3.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		+ +	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.40
3.7)	Presentation - Your presentation or your group's presentation benefited from the help of the teaching assistant.	Very Low or Never		1	Very High or Always	n=3	av.=8.67
3.8)	Data Analysis - The teaching assistant helped you develop data analysis skills.	Very Low or Never		 	Very High or Always	n=3	av.=8.33
3.9)	Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never		+ (Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.00
3.10)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never		++-	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.80

4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

