

REAL-WORLD PATTERN

Debounce Search

RxJS vs Signals Showdown

Traditional RxJS

toSignal()

toObservable()

Which approach should YOU use? Let's find out!

The Search Problem

Users type fast. APIs are slow. Without debouncing, you'll fire hundreds of requests per second!

```
// BAD: Fires on EVERY keystroke
<input (input)="search($event)" />

search(event: Event) {
  const query = event.target.value;
  this.http.get(`/api?q=${query}`) // 100+ requests!
}
```

User types "angular"



7 API calls!

WARNING: Without debouncing: Performance issues, rate limits, wasted bandwidth

Traditional RxJS Approach

The classic way: Subject + pipe operators + manual subscription.

```
// Component
searchSubject = new Subject<string>();
results$: Observable<string[]>;

ngOnInit() {
  this.results$ = this.searchSubject.pipe(
    debounceTime(500),
    distinctUntilChanged(),
    filter(q => q.length > 2),
    switchMap(q => this.http.get(`/api?q=${q}`))
);
}

// Template
<input (input)="searchSubject.next($event.target.value)" />
<div *ngFor="let r of results$ | async">{{ r }}</div>
```

- X Verbose boilerplate code
- X Async pipe or manual subscribe
- X Unsubscribe management needed

Signal + toObservable()

The modern approach: Signal for state, convert to Observable for RxJS operators.

```
// State as Signal
searchQuery = signal('');

// Convert to Observable for RxJS operators
searchQuery$ = toObservable(this.searchQuery);

// Back to Signal for template
debouncedSearch = toSignal(
  this.searchQuery$.pipe(
    debounceTime(500),
    distinctUntilChanged(),
    filter(v => v.length > 3),
    map(v => v.toUpperCase())
  ),
  { initialValue: '' }
);
```



Clean Template Syntax

No async pipe needed! Just call the signal as a function.

```
←!— Input binds to signal —→  
<input  
  [value]="searchQuery()"  
  (input)="searchQuery.set($event.target.value)"  
/>  
  
←!— Display debounced result —→  
<p>Searching for: {{ debouncedSearch() }}</p>
```

TIP: Signals auto-unsubscribe when the component is destroyed. No cleanup needed!

```
// Bonus: React to changes with effect()  
effect(() => {  
  console.log('Search changed:', this.debouncedSearch());  
});
```

Side-by-Side Comparison

Traditional RxJS

```
searchSubject = new Subject();
results$: Observable;

ngOnInit() {
  this.results$ = this.searchSubject
    .pipe(...)
}

ngOnDestroy() {
  // Cleanup needed!
}
```

Signal Approach

```
searchQuery = signal('');
debouncedSearch = toSignal(
  toObservable(this.searchQuery)
    .pipe(...)
);
// Auto cleanup!
```

~15

Lines of code

~8

Lines of code

When to Use What?

✓ Use Signal + toObservable()

When you need RxJS operators like debounce, but want Signal's simplicity in templates

✓ Use toSignal() for HTTP

Perfect for "fire and forget" streams like HTTP requests

✓ Use Pure RxJS

For complex event streams requiring WebSockets, retries, or multicasting

Rule of Thumb: Start with Signals, add RxJS only when needed



Level Up Your Angular!

Signals + RxJS = The best of both worlds. Use them together for reactive, performant apps.



Less Boilerplate



Auto Cleanup



Better DX

Found this helpful?

[Repost](#) | [Save](#) | [Follow](#)