

MAPS - Annual Appraisal 2023-24

Review Period 01/04/2023 - 31/03/2024

Chandrakant Waingankar

Assistant Engineer Position



Overview

Employee Details

Company ACG Inspection Systems Pvt. Ltd.

Business Unit ACGI
Department Quality

Position Assistant Engineer

E-mail chandrakant.waingankar@acg-world.com

Grade M09

Manager Mukesh Kumar Tiwari



Mid Term Progress Summary

Mid Term Progress Summary

Previous Responses

Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)

Rated: On Track - Review: MAPS - Mid Term 2023-24 - Date: 16/10/2023

Mukesh Kumar Tiwari (Manager)

Rated: On Track - Review: MAPS - Mid Term 2023-24 - Date: 18/10/2023

Comments

Previous Responses

Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)

Review: MAPS - Mid Term 2023-24 - Date: 16/10/2023

On track

Mukesh Kumar Tiwari (Manager)

Review: MAPS - Mid Term 2023-24 - Date: 18/10/2023

On Track



Performance Review

Adherence to Compliance 1.ISO Audit 2.Internal Audit

to achieve Standard

Completion dateWeight31/03/20245.00 %

Perspective Future Enablement

Measurement Criteria	Description
Basic (4 points)	'3' NC's
Good (6 points)	'2' NC's
Excellent (8 points)	'1' NC's
Outstanding (10 points)	'0' NC's

Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	10.00	5 %

Adherence to Quality & Test Protocols Preparation of testcases, On time execution and monitoring the sites issues

to deliver best quality and service to the customer.

 Completion date
 Weight

 31/03/2024
 15.00 %

ht Perspective

Execution Excellence

Measurement Criteria	Description
Basic (4 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 20 days of releases
Good (6 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 15 days of release
Excellent (8 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 5 days of releases
Outstanding (10 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and ontime releases

Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	10.00	15 %

Automation testing

to optimize the testing time and to increase productivity.

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202415.00 %Future Enablement



Measurement Criteria	Description		
Basic (4 points)	50% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI	50% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI	
Good (6 points)	60% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI	60% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI	
Excellent (8 points)	70% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI		
Outstanding (10 points)	80% of the test cases to be automated of all projects of ACGI		
Reviewer	Rating	Weight	
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	10.00	15 %	

Bug leakage less than 4 %, Measured on inhouse issues raised v/s site issues raised (Considered only the valid issues excluding all configuration, human error, data correction, invalid operations both inhouse and site)

In order to achieve best quality product.

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202415.00 %Customer Focus

Measurement Criteria	Description	
Basic (4 points)	Less than 12% bug leakage	
Good (6 points)	Less than 8% bug leakage	
Excellent (8 points)	Less than 7% bug leakage	
Outstanding (10 points)	Less than 4% bug leakage	
Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	6.00	15 %

Closure rate of Customer Complaints and On time issue resolution

to provide excellent customer service

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202410.00 %Customer Focus

Measurement Criteria	Description
Basic (4 points)	95% issue resolution as committed date to customer
Good (6 points)	96% issue resolution as committed date to customer
Excellent (8 points)	: 97% issue resolution as committed date to customer
Outstanding (10 points)	More than 98% issue resolution as committed date to customer

Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	8.00	10 %



Cost of poor quality (COPQ)

To reduce the Cost of poor quality (COPQ)

Cost of poor quality (COPQ) is defined as the costs associated with providing poor quality products or services.

1.Jira issues raised from site in No.s (Jira) (1. 4M - 15% reduction of 2022-23, 2.6 M-20% reduction of 2022-23,8M -25% reduction of 2022-23 , 10M - 30% reduction of 2022-23)

2.Jira Issues raised from inhouse and its marked as a not bug-QA (1. 4M - 5% reduction of 2022-23, 2.6 M-10% reduction of 2022-23,8M -15% reduction of 2022-23 , 10M - 20% reduction of 2022-23)

3. Monitoring & resolution cost of Site issues (Jira major & minor) - Service-:Monitor data on Month on Month basis for creating a baseline by Sept 2023 and reduce 10% COPQ value in second half)

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202410.00 %Execution Excellence

Measurement Criteria	Description	
Basic (4 points)	85% of plan	
Good (6 points)	90% of plan	
Excellent (8 points)	95% of plan	
Outstanding (10 points)	Conforming to 100% of the plan	
Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	8.00	10 %

Customer Effort Score of ACGI

In order to drive Customer centric culture in ACGI

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/20245.00 %Team Enablement

Measurement Criteria	Description	
Basic (4 points)	CES Avg score of 4.5	
Good (6 points)	CES Avg Score of 4.6	
Excellent (8 points)	CES Avg score of 4.7	
Outstanding (10 points)	CES Avg score of >4.7	
Reviewer	Rating	Weight



Product Documenation -Ontime Documenation releases for 1.L4 - manual and automation script, 2.ACG Cloud life sciences, 3.All Server Projects

To keep track and record of projects and to record the operating procedure for that particular releases.

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202410.00 %Future Enablement

Measurement Criteria	Description	
Basic (4 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 3 days of releases	
Good (6 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 2 days of release	
Excellent (8 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and 1 days of releases	
Outstanding (10 points)	Adhering the plan along with the roadmap and ontime releases	
Reviewer	Rating	

Product Training, Troubleshooting manuals for Issue resolution, in case of errors

8.00

to Provide quick support and optimize the problem resolution time.

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/20245.00 %Future Enablement

Measurement Criteria	Description	
Basic (4 points)	80% Completion of activities By Feb 2024	
Good (6 points)	90% Completion of activities By Feb 2024	
Excellent (8 points)	Completion of all activities by March 2024	
Outstanding (10 points)	Completion of all activities by Feb 2024	
Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	10.00	5 %

Reproduce the site issues

Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)

to identify the root cause and rectify the problem/ issue at earliest.

Completion dateWeightPerspective31/03/202410.00 %Execution Excellence

Measurement Criteria	Description
Basic (4 points)	94% issues reported from Site must be reproduced

10 %



Good (6 points)
Excellent (8 points)

96% issues reported from Site must be reproduced 98% issues reported from Site must be reproduce all the issues reported from Site must be reproduced

Outstanding (10 points)

Reviewer	Rating	Weight
Chandrakant Waingankar (Self)	8.00	10 %



Summary

MAPS - Annual Appraisal 2023-24 Chandrakant Waingankar

	Self Appraisal	Appraisal Approver
Mid Term Progress Summary	N/A	-
Performance Review	9.00	-
Evidence Attachment	N/A	-
Appraisal Summary	-	-
Overall	86	-