

# Cosological Correlators from Scattering Amplitudes

---

**Chandramouli Chowdhury<sup>1</sup>**

*Mathematical Sciences and STAG Research Centre, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom*

*E-mail:* [chandramouli.chowdhury@gmail.com](mailto:chandramouli.chowdhury@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT:** These notes review some of the basic computations for cosmological correlators. These have been reviewed in several places and we discuss the same, sometimes from a slightly different point of view. Towards the end we discuss some recent progress on the relation between these correlators and scattering amplitudes.

---

<sup>1</sup>Send typos/correction/suggestions to the email address above!

---

## Contents

<b>1 Motivation</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Wave Functions in Flat Space</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Free Theory	6
2.2 Interacting Theory	7
2.3 Time Integrals	11
2.4 Connection with Lippman-Schwinger	12
2.5 Poles and Residues	14
2.5.1 Poles	14
2.5.2 Resiudes	15
2.6 Recursions	16
2.7 Reconstruction	16
2.8 Loops	16
<b>3 In-In Correlators</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1 Wave function to Correlators	16
3.2 Fourier Space	18
3.3 Dressing Rules	18
3.3.1 Proof via In-out	19
<b>4 Divergences: IR and UV</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1 UV Loop Divergences	19
4.1.1 Quantum Loop	19
4.1.2 Classical Loop	21
4.2 Correlator Divergences	21
4.3 Fake Divergences	22
4.4 Massless IR Divergence	22
4.5 Contact Divergences	24
<b>5 Correlators of Gauge Theories and Gravity</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1 Wave function for Spinning Theories	25
5.2 Spinning Correlators via Schwinger-Keldysh	25
<b>6 Application of Dressing Rules</b>	<b>25</b>
6.1 Loops	25
6.2 Cutkosky Rules	25

---

## References:

1. Very useful set of notes can be found on <https://github.com/ddbaumann/cosmo-correlators>.
2. Video Lectures by Nima are still the best lectures on the subject for section 2. These can be found in <https://youtu.be/CU7m8m-9Hw4>. Some parts of these lectures can be complemented by the notes [1].
3. QFT in the Schrodinger Picture can be found in [2].
4. Discussion of the Poles for the wave function with recursion relations can be found in [3] and the correlator in [4, 5]. The importance of one particular pole, the relation to flat space limit, can be found in [6].
5. Simple examples of performing loop integrals are given in detail with attached mathematica notebooks in [7].
6. Discussion of dressing Rules can be found in [8].

## 1 Motivation

The canonical motivation for studying cosmological correlators is usually to better understand inflation. However I personally think these are more ubiquitous objects and therefore more widely applicable even in areas that do not have anything to do with cosmology.

1. **Inflationary Correlators:** Most of the correlation functions for scalars and gravitons can be related to imprints left on the CMB or gravitational waves, which therefore, can be used to infer properties of inflation. However beyond the 2-pt function we have not seen anything and are unlikely to see anything beyond the 3-pt functions in the next 100 years. Although, even knowing properties about the 3-pt function imposes some consistency conditions on higher point functions.
2. **QFT in Curved Spacetime:** Apart from flat spacetime, there is no good notion of S-matrix (gauge invariant, field redefinition invariant) in other spacetimes. Hence the only “calculables” are correlation functions. In some cases and with some approximations, we can promote these calculables to observables. But generically even for answering concrete thought experimental questions, we can only compute correlation functions. Hence one can open their favorite QFT book and ask “how does page xyz generalize to dS?”, almost 80% of the time that will not be known. Even basic questions involving unitarity, causality, cluster decomposition, etc. is not well understood in curved space.
3. **Expectation Values:** The name cosmological correlators is misleading as such objects can be computed in places even away from cosmology. For example, expectation values like  $\langle \psi | h_{ij} | \psi \rangle, \langle \psi | \vec{E} | \psi \rangle$ , enables one to compute expectation values of gravitational and electric field in any general setting.
4. **Schwinger-Keldysh Path Integrals:** Computation of such expectation values naturally leads to path integrals which are different from the standard Feynman path integrals. For example, standard Feynman path integrals allow one to compute  $\langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle$  correlators, however expectation values are examples of  $\langle \text{in} | \text{in} \rangle$  correlators and require Schwinger-Keldysh path integrals.
5. **Special Functions:** In flat space one starts encountering functions (beyond Logarithms) while computing loops at higher points, or while studying String amplitudes at tree level. However in dS, one already encounters special functions while doing tree level computations. Hence the analytical structure of dS correlators are far less clearer than in flat space, as the same questions that are “easy” in flat space, are already “hard” in dS. Example: Single exchange in  $\phi^3$  theory.
6. **AdS/CFT:** Most of the literature has focused on the computation of the wave function. That is partly inspired by AdS/CFT. The ground state wave function is given by the

path integral

$$\psi[\phi(\vec{x})] = \int_{\varphi(-\infty, \vec{x})(0)=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi e^{-S[\varphi]} \quad (1.1) \quad \{\text{WF}\}$$

which is the same path integral one uses to compute the AdS partition function. Hence upto some analytical continuation these are similar objects for certain fields in the theory (example: light fields in dS). Thus a lot of attention has been given to compute this object including many recent discussions in dS quantum gravity (connection between the norm of the wave function and the sphere partition function).

7. **(Hidden) Simplicities in Path Integrals:** The whole process of computing the correlator from the wave function requires two kind of path integrals. Firstly the one you use to define the wave function, second, the one you use to get the correlator from the wave function,

$$\langle \psi | \phi(\vec{x}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{x}_n) | \psi \rangle = \int D\phi |\psi[\phi]|^2 \phi(\vec{x}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{x}_n) \quad (1.2) \quad \{\text{corrfromWF}\}$$

That is why it was always thought that the correlation function is much harder than the wave function. However in the last few years we have found other ways of evaluating the correlator, which demonstrate a hidden simplicity in the entire structure.

8. **Polytopes:** A general theme in the study of amplitudes has been a way to repackage the amplitude such that its physical properties are manifest from a completely different point of view, usually mathematical. This has been very successful in flat space for the S-matrix and several examples have helped compute things beyond the standard approaches like Feynman diagrams and BCFW, eg: hidden connection between  $\text{tr}(\phi^3)$  theory and YM. There is a similar ongoing program in cosmology but hasn't been much application to gauge theories unlike in flat space.

## 2 Wave Functions in Flat Space

{sec:wf}

The most well-known path integral: the one with periodic boundary conditions. Typically for all these computations we use Euclidean time (but not very essential)  $t \rightarrow i\tau$ . This computes the partition function

$$Z = \int_{\phi(0)}^{\phi(\beta)=\phi(0)} D\phi e^{-S_E[\phi]} \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, Dirichlet boundary conditions computes the **ground state** wave function

$$\Psi_0[\phi] = \int_{\varphi(-\infty)=0}^{\varphi(0)=\phi} D\varphi e^{-S} \quad (2.2) \quad \{\text{dirichlet}\}$$

This can also be worked out in the Lorentzian setting with some  $i\epsilon$  prescriptions,

$$\Psi_0[\phi] = \int_{\varphi(-\infty(1-i\epsilon))=0}^{\varphi(0)=\phi} D\varphi e^{iS} \quad (2.3)$$

There is an interesting discussion on boundary conditions here [Expand](#). To see why the path integral computes the ground state wave function we go back to the definition of the path integral,

$$\int_{\varphi(T)=\Phi'}^{\varphi(0)=\Phi} D\varphi e^{iS} = \langle \Phi' | e^{-iHT} | \Phi \rangle \quad (2.4)$$

One can extract the vacuum wave function using  $T \rightarrow -\infty(1-i\epsilon)$ , to get,

$$\langle \Phi' | e^{-iHT} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi' | e^{-iHT} | n \rangle \langle n | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi' | n \rangle e^{-iT E_n} \langle n | \Phi \rangle \propto \psi_0^*(\Phi') \psi_0(\Phi) \quad (2.5)$$

In the final step we have used the fact that dominant term from the sum in the limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$  comes from  $E_n \rightarrow 0$ , which essentially picks up the ground state. Thus,

$$\int_{\varphi(-\infty+i\epsilon)=0}^{\varphi(0)=\Phi} D\varphi e^{iS} \propto \psi_{vac}(\Phi) \quad (2.6)$$

A more familiar equation is the Schrodinger equation and one can derive that from here. This roughly becomes equivalent to saying that

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t) = e^{-i \int_0^t H dt} \psi(\vec{x}, 0) \equiv \int Dx e^{iS} \psi(\vec{x}, 0) \quad (2.7)$$

Thus when we ask for the ground state of the wave function we are really asking “what happens to a state at a given time which is the Gaussian at early times?”.

Of course, without any interactions or any time dependence in the mass, etc. the state would continue to remain a Gaussian.

## 2.1 Free Theory

We see how the Gaussian comes about from a path integral computation. Consider the free action of a HO,

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \varphi^2 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

The path integral leading to the ground state wave function is

$$\psi(\phi) = \int_{\varphi(-\infty(1+i\epsilon))=0}^{\varphi(0,\vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi e^{iS[\varphi]} \quad (2.9)$$

Since this is a quadratic path integral, and hence can be evaluated exactly using the saddle point method,

$$\int_{\varphi(-\infty(1-i\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0}^{\varphi(0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{iS} = e^{iS[\varphi_{\text{cl}}]} \equiv e^{iS[\phi]}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\varphi_{\text{cl}}$  satisfies the classical EOM,

$$\ddot{\varphi}_{\text{cl}} + \omega^2 \varphi_{\text{cl}} = 0 \implies \varphi_{\text{cl}} = A e^{i\omega t} + B e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (2.11)$$

To solve for the coefficients  $A, B$  we use the boundary conditions in the path integral,

$$\varphi(-\infty(1-i\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varphi(0) = \phi. \quad (2.12)$$

From the former we are forced to have  $B = 0$ . The latter then fixes the classical solution to be

$$\varphi_{\text{cl}}(t) = \phi e^{i\omega t}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that the choice of the boundary condition renders the classical solution complex. This is not surprising since this choice can also be interpreted as the contour of the path integral having a slight imaginary part, which thus leads to a complex result. Plugging this solution in, we get the classical action

$$\begin{aligned} S[\varphi_{\text{cl}}] &= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}_{\text{cl}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \varphi_{\text{cl}}^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\dot{\varphi}_{\text{cl}} \varphi_{\text{cl}}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \varphi_{\text{cl}} (\ddot{\varphi}_{\text{cl}} + \omega^2 \varphi_{\text{cl}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}_{\text{cl}}(0) \varphi_{\text{cl}}(0) = \frac{i\omega\phi^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Hence,

$$\psi[\phi] = e^{iS[\varphi_{\text{cl}}]} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi^2}. \quad (2.15)$$

This shows that the value of the path integral is obtained from the boundary value of the action. This is also the central idea behind holographic renormalization [9]: for the cases when the boundary value of the action is divergent one can add a set of counterterms at the boundary to ensure that the result is finite.

## 2.2 Interacting Theory

To get more interesting dynamics we consider something that has interactions. For example, consider the  $\phi^4$  theory with the action

$$S_{\phi^4} = - \int_0^\infty dt d^3x \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_t \phi)^2 - (\partial_i \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2) - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int_0^\infty dt d^3x \quad (2.16) \quad \{\text{phi4lag}\}$$

The path integral we would like to do is<sup>1</sup>

$$\psi(\phi) = \int_{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi e^{-\int_0^\infty dt d^3x \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_t \phi)^2 - (\partial_i \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2) - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int_0^\infty dt d^3x \varphi^4} \quad (2.17) \quad \{\text{phi4ex}\}$$

There are multiple ways of attacking this path integral. For example, by construction of a generating functional and then differentiating that, this is conventionally done in the AdS/CFT literature, for example, in section 5 of the book [10] or in [9]. For tree level examples this is also reviewed using the saddle point approximation in <https://github.com/ddbaumann/cosmo-correlators>. We will follow a direct brute force approach to evaluating this. This is roughly similar to the treatment found in [1]. We demonstrate how the path integral in (2.17) is done to  $O(\lambda)$  and the appearance of Witten diagrams. We expand the path integral in  $\lambda$  and obtain

$$\psi(\phi) = \int_{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(\infty, \vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi e^{-\int d^4x \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_t \phi)^2 - (\partial_i \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int d^4x \varphi^4(t, \vec{x})\right) \quad (2.18)$$

where we use the shorthand  $\int d^4x = \int_0^\infty dt \int d^3x$ . The  $O(\lambda^0)$  term is something that we saw for the free theory. To see it explicitly we can pull out the contribution from the free factor which we evaluated in the previous section and write the wave function as

$$\psi(\phi) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \phi(\vec{k}) \omega_k \phi(-\vec{k})} \int_{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(\infty, \vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi e^{-S_0[\varphi]} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int d^4x \varphi^4(t, \vec{x})\right) \quad (2.19)$$

where now

$$S_0 = \int d^3k dt \varphi(t, -\vec{k}) (\partial^2 - \omega_k^2) \varphi(t, \vec{k}) \quad (2.20)$$

with  $\omega_k^2 = k^2 + m^2$ . The  $O(\lambda)$  term can be done in a way we do path integrals with boundary conditions. To ease up notations we denote

$$\psi_1(\phi) = \int d^4x \int_{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=\phi(\vec{x})} D\varphi \varphi^4(t, \vec{x}) e^{-S_0[\phi]} \quad (2.21)$$

such that the wave function  $\psi(\phi)$  becomes

$$\psi(\phi) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3k \phi(\vec{k}) \omega_k \phi(-\vec{k})} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \psi_1(\phi)\right)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>For this discussion, Lorentzian vs Euclidean will not be important, though these are very important for other things like divergences, gravity, etc.

To find  $\psi_1(\phi)$  we work in **momentum space**, where this is defined by taking a Fourier transform in 3-dimensions. This is also the generic situation in dS space<sup>2</sup> and therefore is a good idea. Denoting the Fourier transform of  $\varphi(t, \vec{x})$  as

$$\varphi(t, \vec{x}) = \int d^3k \varphi(t, \vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \quad (2.22)$$

we get the following integral for  $\psi_1(\phi)$ ,

$$\psi_1(\phi) = \int_0^\infty dt \int d^3k_1 \cdots d^3k_4 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4) \int_{\varphi(\infty, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{k})=\phi(\vec{k})} D\varphi \varphi(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} \quad (2.23) \quad \{\text{psi1}\}$$

where the  $\delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4)$  arises from the  $d^3x$  integral and reflects spatial translational invariance. To perform the path integral in  $\varphi(t, \vec{k})$ , it is convenient to split the field into a *classical* and a *quantum* part which have the following properties (this trick is done in many places, including [11]),

$$\varphi(t, \vec{k}) = \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}) + \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}) \quad (2.24)$$

with the split such that the field  $\varphi_c(t, \vec{k})$  satisfies the free classical equations of motion,

$$(\partial_t^2 - k^2)\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}) = 0 \quad (2.25) \quad \{\text{eomphic}\}$$

and the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_c(0, \vec{k}) &= \phi(\vec{k}), & \varphi_c(\infty, \vec{k}) &= 0, \\ \varphi_q(0, \vec{k}) &= 0, & \varphi_q(\infty, \vec{k}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26) \quad \{\text{bndycond}\}$$

Since the field  $\varphi_c(t, \vec{k})$  is chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions of the field  $\varphi(t, \vec{k})$ , it automatically provides the boundary conditions satisfied by the field  $\varphi_q(t, \vec{k})$ . With this split we note that the action itself has a very simple form

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \int d^3k dt \varphi(t, -\vec{k})(\partial^2 - k^2)\varphi(t, \vec{k}) \\ &= \int d^3k dt \varphi_c(t, -\vec{k})(\partial^2 - k^2)\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}) + \int d^3k dt \varphi_q(t, -\vec{k})(\partial^2 - k^2)\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}) \\ &\quad + \int d^3k dt \varphi_c(t, -\vec{k})(\partial^2 - k^2)\varphi_q(t, \vec{k}) + \int d^3k dt \varphi_q(t, -\vec{k})(\partial^2 - k^2)\varphi_q(t, \vec{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

The first two terms on the RHS are zero since  $\varphi_c$  satisfies the EOM. The third term is also zero because of an integration by parts and using the boundary conditions of the fields. Therefore the only remaining term is the fourth term,

$$S_0 = \int d^3k dt \varphi_q(t, -\vec{k})(\partial_t^2 - k^2)\varphi_q(t, \vec{k}) \quad (2.28)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Homogeneity and Isotropy would imply that a function  $f(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) \equiv f(|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2|)$ .

Using this and the definition of the field  $\varphi_c$  we can find the explicit solutions of the fields by the Green functions method. The field  $\varphi_c$  is particularly simple, as we can easily solve (2.25) with the boundary conditions (2.26) to give,

$$\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}) = \phi(\vec{k}) e^{-\omega_k t} \quad (2.29)$$

The mode  $e^{-kt}$  is selected from the boundary condition at  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (In Lorentzian spacetime it would be supplemented with an  $i\epsilon$  prescription). These are referred to as the *Bulk-Boundary propagators* and are normally obtained as a Bessel function. The field  $\varphi_q$  can be represented by the Green function method,

$$\varphi_q(t, \vec{k}) = \int_0^\infty dt' G(t, t', \vec{k}) \varphi_q(t', \vec{k}) \quad (2.30)$$

where the function  $G(t, t', \vec{k})$  is obtained from,

$$(\partial_t^2 + \omega_k^2) G(t, t', \vec{k}) = \delta(t - t') \quad (2.31)$$

and satisfies

$$G(t, t', \vec{k}) = G(t', t, \vec{k}), \quad G(0, t', \vec{k}) = G(\infty, t', \vec{k}) = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Without the boundary conditions this would be the same as the Feynman Green function we learn in amplitudes. However due to the additional boundary conditions we get

$$G(t, t', \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2\omega_k} \left[ \Theta(t - t') e^{-\omega_k(t-t')} + \Theta(t' - t) e^{-\omega_k(t'-t)} - e^{-\omega_k(t+t')} \right] \quad (2.33) \quad \{\text{bulkbulk}\}$$

These are known as the *Bulk-Bulk propagators* and are conventionally expressed in terms of Bessel  $K$ ,  $I$  functions. Where the two Bessel functions appear as a consequence of the boundary conditions on the fields. Note that due to the last term in the Green function above it is not invariant time translations.

We can now evaluate (2.23). We first evaluate the path integrals over the fields  $\varphi(t, \vec{k})$  and then evaluate the time integrals.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varphi(\infty, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{k})=\phi(\vec{k})} D\varphi \varphi(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} \\ &= \int_{\varphi_q(\infty, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi_q(0, \vec{k})=0} D\varphi_q (\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_1) + \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_1)) \cdots (\varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_4) + \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4)) e^{-\int d^3 k dt \varphi_q(t, \vec{k})(\partial_t^2 + k^2)\varphi(t, \vec{k})} \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Since the action is even in  $\varphi_q$  the odd-point terms involving them are zero. Thus the remaining terms include

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varphi(0, \vec{x})=0}^{\varphi(0, \vec{k})=\phi(\vec{k})} D\varphi \varphi(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} \\
& = \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_4) \int D\varphi_q e^{-S_0} + \sum_{\text{perms}} \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_1) \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_2) \int D\varphi_q \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_3) \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} \\
& + \int D\varphi_q \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35} \quad \{\text{phi4exp1}\}$$

We thus have the contribution to the 4-pt wavefunction coefficient, the 2-pt wave function coefficient and the normalization term. The integral  $\int D\varphi_q e^{-S_0}$  can be absorbed in the normalization of the wave function.

Thus we are left with the following contributions to the wave function.

The first term in (2.35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_4) \int D\varphi_q e^{-S_0} \\
& \longrightarrow \int d^3 k_1 \cdots d^3 k_4 \phi(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{k}_4) \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4) \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t(\omega_{k_1} + \cdots + \omega_{k_4})}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

which can be diagrammatically represented as,

$$\int d^3 k_1 \cdots d^3 k_4 \phi(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{k}_4) \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4) \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t(\omega_{k_1} + \cdots + \omega_{k_4})} = \overline{\text{---}} \swarrow \swarrow \text{---} \tag{2.37}$$

This is a contact diagram.

Similarly, the second term in (2.35) becomes a tadpole,

$$\sum_{\text{perms}} \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_1) \varphi_c(t, \vec{k}_2) \int D\varphi_q \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_3) \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} \longrightarrow \overline{\text{---}} \swarrow \swarrow \text{---} \tag{2.38}$$

where the integral over the  $\varphi_q$  fields gives the bulk-bulk propagator,

$$\int D\varphi_q \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_3) \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} = \delta(\vec{k}_3 + \vec{k}_4) G(t, t, \vec{k}_3) \tag{2.39}$$

Through this we can compute the integral over  $\vec{k}_3$  to give the following integral representation

$$\overline{\text{---}} \swarrow \swarrow \text{---} = \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 \phi(\vec{k}_1) \phi(\vec{k}_2) \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \int d^3 k' \int_0^\infty dt G(t, t, \vec{k}) \tag{2.40}$$

The final term in (2.35) is a disconnected diagram and is given as

---


$$\int D\varphi_q \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdots \varphi_q(t, \vec{k}_4) e^{-S_0} = \quad \text{Diagram: two separate circles} \quad (2.41)$$

These are the analogues of disconnected graphs and can be omitted by working with  $\log[\psi]$ . Such diagrams are called **Witten diagrams** and they compute the **wave function coefficients**,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\phi) = e^{\int d^3 k \phi(\vec{k}) k^2 \phi(-\vec{k})} & \left[ 1 + \lambda \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \phi(\vec{k}_1) \phi(\vec{k}_2) \psi_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda \int d^3 k_1 \cdots d^3 k_4 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4) \phi(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \phi(\vec{k}_4) \psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \cdots, \vec{k}_4) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

where for the example above the coefficients  $\psi_2$  and  $\psi_4$  are given as,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) &= \text{Diagram: a circle with two incoming lines from the top left and right, and two outgoing lines to the bottom left and right} = \int d^3 k' \int_0^\infty dt e^{-k_1 t} G(t, t, \vec{k}'), \\ \psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \cdots, \vec{k}_4) &= \text{Diagram: a circle with four incoming lines from the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right, and four outgoing lines to the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right} = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-(\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2} + \omega_{k_3} + \omega_{k_4})t} \end{aligned} \quad (2.43) \quad \{\text{wittenexp}\}$$

### 2.3 Time Integrals

For the contact graphs in  $\phi^4$  theory we get

$$\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \cdots, \vec{k}_4) = \text{Diagram: a circle with four incoming lines from the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right, and four outgoing lines to the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right} = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-(\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2} + \omega_{k_3} + \omega_{k_4})t} \quad (2.44)$$

We shall often suppress the spatial momentum conserving delta function,  $\delta(\vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_4)$ . In this case the time integrals are easy to perform and gives simple poles in the energies,

$$\text{Diagram: a circle with four incoming lines from the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right, and four outgoing lines to the top left, top right, bottom left, and bottom right} = \frac{1}{\omega_{k_1} + \cdots + \omega_{k_4}} \quad (2.45)$$

This is the crucial difference between a scattering amplitude and a wave function. For an amplitude we would get a delta function in the energies however for the wave function we get poles. If we work with mode functions in dS then we can get more complicated functions of this variable. We return back to the interpretation of these singularities in section 2.5.

We can now directly evaluate Witten diagrams to obtain the corrections to the wave function. For example, we can repeat the same procedure for  $\phi^3$  theory. In this case, the first two

corrections are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(\vec{k}) &= \text{---} \quad | \\
&\quad \text{---} \quad | \\
&\quad \text{---} \quad | \\
\psi_3(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) &= \text{---} \quad | \\
&\quad \diagdown \quad | \\
&\quad \diagup \quad | \\
\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_4) &= \text{---} \quad | \\
&\quad \diagdown \quad | \\
&\quad \diagup \quad | \\
&\quad \text{---} \quad | \\
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Consider the 3rd diagram. That is a single exchange and has the following integral representation

$$\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_4) = \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 e^{-(\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2})t_1} e^{-(\omega_{k_3} + \omega_{k_4})t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) \tag{2.47} \quad \{\text{phi3int}\}$$

where  $\vec{k} = \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2$ . By using the Green function given in (2.33) we can evaluate the time integrals very easily,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_4) &= \frac{1}{2(\omega_{12} + \omega_k)(\omega_{12} + \omega_{34})\omega_k} + \frac{1}{2(\omega_{34} + \omega_k)(\omega_{12} + \omega_{34})\omega_k} - \frac{1}{2(\omega_{12} + \omega_k)(\omega_{34} + \omega_k)\omega_k} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\omega_{12} + \omega_k)(\omega_{34} + \omega_k)(\omega_{12} + \omega_{34})}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48} \quad \{\text{phi3int1}\}$$

where we have used the shorthand  $\omega_{12} = \omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2}$ . Where we again obtain an answer which has poles in the sum of energies. Each of these poles have a physical meaning which is connected to the structure of the time integrals and we shall discuss them in section 2.5. However there is another physical way to see how this arises as shown below.

## 2.4 Connection with Lippman-Schwinger

Solving for the perturbative correction of the wavefunction can be done by either the path integral as discussed above or by solving the Schrodinger equation. The latter is not usually discussed in textbooks but there are some which discuss them [2, 12]. In terms of differential equations the question we are really solving is

$$H\psi = E\psi \tag{2.49}$$

where  $E$  is the ground state energy. The free Hamiltonian is given as,

$$H_0 = \int d^3k - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi(\vec{k})\partial\phi(-\vec{k})} + \omega_k^2\phi(\vec{k})\phi(-\vec{k}) \tag{2.50}$$

The first term is just the square of the conjugate momentum. By applying this to the Gaussian ground state

$$\psi_0^{free}(\phi) = e^{-\int d^3k \omega_k \phi_{\vec{k}} \phi_{-\vec{k}}} \quad (2.51)$$

we get the ground state energy,

$$E_0^{free} = V \int d^3k \omega_k \quad (2.52)$$

with  $V$  denoting the volume of space, often represented by  $\delta^3(0)$ . The excited states of this can be obtained by acting with the ladder operators,

$$a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger = \omega_k \phi(\vec{k}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}, \quad a_{\vec{k}} = \omega_k \phi(\vec{k}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}. \quad (2.53)$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{k_1}^{free}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{k_1}}} a_{k_1}^\dagger \psi_0^{free}(\phi), \\ \psi_{k_1, k_2}^{free}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{k_1} \omega_{k_2}}} a_{k_1}^\dagger a_{k_2}^\dagger \psi_0^{free}(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

The energy of these states are given as

$$E_{k_1}^{free} - E_0 = \omega_{k_1}, \quad E_{k_1, k_2}^{free} - E_0 = \omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2} \quad (2.55)$$

For the interacting theory corresponding the action (2.16) the Hamiltonian is given as

$$H = H_0 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int d^3x \phi^4(\vec{x}) \quad (2.56)$$

Just like we work out the corrections to the energy and states in quantum mechanics we can repeat the same here. For example, the first order correction to  $n$ -particle state is given by the **Lippmann-Schwinger equation**

$$\psi_n^{(1)}(\phi) = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_m^{free} | H_{int} | \psi_n^{free} \rangle}{E_n^{free} - E_m^{free}} \psi_m^{free}(\phi) \quad (2.57)$$

When applied to the interaction  $\lambda \phi^4$ , we see how the poles in the energy arises. They are simply a consequence of the denominators in the equation above! For example the contribution to the ground state to first order, i.e.,  $n = 0$ , receives a correction from  $m = 0, 2, 4$ . The term with  $m = 4$  is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^{(1)}(\phi) \Big|_{m=4} &= \lambda \int d^3x \int d^3k_1 \cdots d^3k_4 \frac{\langle \psi_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{free} | \phi^4(x) | \psi_0^{free} \rangle}{E_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{free} - E_0^{free}} \psi_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{free}(\phi) \\ &= \lambda \int d^3x \int d^3k_1 \cdots d^3k_4 \frac{\langle \psi_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{free} | \phi^4(x) | \psi_0^{free} \rangle}{\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2} + \omega_{k_3} + \omega_{k_4}} \psi_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{free}(\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

The rest of the computation is exactly similar to how we manipulate with ladder operators while doing S-matrix computations. This shows how we obtain these corrections for the wave functions from a more familiar QM language and explains the origins of the mysterious poles.

## 2.5 Poles and Residues

{sec:poles}

### 2.5.1 Poles

We now understand the origin of the poles in different physical ways. However there is another very simple integral argument to understand the poles. Consider the following integral

$$I(k) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-kt} \quad (2.59)$$

For any such integral the poles can arise in two ways. One, from the edge of the limit (known as pinch singularity) and the one where the integrand itself is singular. For the case of  $k \neq 0$  there is no value in which the integral is singular. However for the particular case of  $k \rightarrow 0$  the integral is singular as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For the physical applications, in place of  $k$  we have sums of energies. Hence the only place the singularities can arise for such integrals is when the sums of energies go to zero, example,  $\omega_{k_1} + \dots + \omega_{k_4}$ . This can not occur for any real value of the momenta but only appears in the complex plane after analytical continuation. For the case of  $\phi^3$  theory (2.47) we have two such time integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^\infty dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 e^{-(k_{12}+k)t_1} e^{(k_{34}-k)t_2}, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 e^{-(k_{12}+k)t_1} e^{(k_{34}+k)t_2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

For the second integral it is very easy to see that the singularities arise from  $k_{12} + k \rightarrow 0$  and  $k_{34} + k \rightarrow 0$ . For the first integral, the singularities arise in the limit  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1, t_1 \rightarrow \infty$  which gives

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty dt_1 e^{-(k_{12}+k_{34})t_1} \quad (2.61)$$

which is singular when  $k_{12} + k_{34} \rightarrow 0$ . The second place a singularity can arise is when  $t_2 \rightarrow 0, t_1 \rightarrow \infty$  which leads to

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty dt_1 e^{-(k_{12}+k)t_1} \quad (2.62)$$

which gives  $k_{12} + k \rightarrow 0$  as confirmed by the explicit evaluation of the integral (2.48). Thus we recover the singularities of the final answer. While this method of checking for the singularities work for these simple examples it is in general inconvenient to apply them. Plus, in this process we also encounter spurious singularities like  $\frac{1}{k}$  which do not appear in the final answer as seen in (2.47). However the general takeaway from this is that the singularities will only appear when the sum of energies entering a vertex is zero. For the scattering amplitude these reflect the energy conserving delta functions at each vertex.

### 2.5.2 Resiudes

Since we have seen that we get poles in the unphysical planes we can compute their residues. For the contact diagram it is a very simple structure. By computing the residue at  $\omega_{k_1} \cdots \omega_{k_4} \rightarrow 0$  we get  $\lambda$ . In this case it is equivalent to computing the time integrals from  $(-\infty, \infty)$  as that effectively enforces the energy conservation and thus is equivalent to evaluating the residue  $\omega_{k_1} = -\omega_{k_1} - \omega_{k_2} - \omega_{k_3}$ .

For the exchange diagram it is more interesting as there are more residues to consider. Let us denote the wave function via

$$\psi_4 = \int_{-\infty}^0 dt_1 dt_2 e^{ix_1 t_1} e^{ix_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + \omega_k)(x_2 + \omega_k)} \quad (2.63) \quad \{\text{psi4phi3}\}$$

where  $x_1 = \omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2}$  and  $x_2 = \omega_{k_3} + \omega_{k_4}$ . For this integral we can compute the residue at the total energy pole which gives

$$\underset{x_2 = -x_1}{\text{Res}} \psi_4 = \frac{1}{\omega_k^2 - x_1^2} = \frac{1}{\vec{k}^2 + m^2 - (\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2})^2} \quad (2.64) \quad \{\text{resEpole}\}$$

which is the familiar  $\frac{1}{s+m^2}$  where  $s$  is the Mandelstam for the  $s$ -channel. It is easy to see that we obtain the same result by changing the limits of the time integrals in (2.63) to  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 dt_2 e^{ix_1 t_1} e^{ix_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) = \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2)}{\vec{k}^2 + m^2 - (\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2})^2} + \delta(x_1 + k)\delta(x_2 + k)\# \quad (2.65)$$

where the second term on the RHS vanishes for generic scattering process and comes from the boundary term in the Green function (2.33). Hence this integral picks up the contribution from the total energy conserving delta function

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 dt_2 e^{ix_1 t_1} e^{ix_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) = \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2)}{\vec{k}^2 + m^2 - (\omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2})^2} \quad (2.66)$$

which then matches with the contribution from the residue evaluated at the pole (2.64). This is known as the **flat space limit**. In dS this ends up computing the high energy limit of the scattering process as the Bessel functions as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  reduce to massless plane waves in flat space. An intuitive way to understand that is that the frequency of the mode functions get blue-shifted as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

By a similar set of arguments one can also evaluate the **partial energy singularities**. These correspond to enforcing energy conservation only in a subset of the time integrals. For instance by changing the limits of the time integral  $t_2 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 e^{ix_1 t_1} e^{ix_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) = \frac{\delta(x_2 + \omega_k)}{\omega_k^2 - x_1^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{x_1 + \omega_k} \frac{1}{x_1 - \omega_k} \delta(x_2 + \omega_k) \quad (2.67)$$

where this reduces to an amplitude (in this case it is trivial as it's a 3-pt) times a lower point wave function coefficient (the leftmost factor on the RHS). This can be extended to higher point functions and spins. The factor in the middle is general sensitive to the spin and the scaling dimension of the particle exchanged [13, 14].

## 2.6 Recursions

{ssec:recursion}

We can exploit properties of the Green function in (2.33) to derive useful recursion relations. These follow by noting that the Green function satisfies

$$(\partial_{t_1} + \partial_{t_2})G(t_1, t_2, k) = e^{-\omega_k(t_1+t_2)} \quad (2.68)$$

Therefore consider the single exchange graph

$$\psi_4 = \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 e^{-x_1 t_1} e^{-x_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, k) \quad (2.69)$$

and insert the differential operator  $(\partial_{t_1} + \partial_{t_2})$  inside this

$$\int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 (\partial_{t_1} + \partial_{t_2}) e^{-x_1 t_1} e^{-x_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, k) = 0 \quad (2.70)$$

The RHS follows from the boundary conditions satisfied by the Green function. By expanding the derivatives on the LHS we obtain a term proportional to  $\psi_4$  and a product of contact terms,

$$(x_1 + x_2)\psi_4 = \int_0^\infty dt_1 e^{-(x_1 + \omega_k)t_1} \int_0^\infty dt_2 e^{-(x_1 + \omega_k)t_2} \implies \psi_4 = \frac{1}{(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + \omega_k)(x_2 + \omega_k)} \quad (2.71)$$

This is a nice alternative form of using the Lippmann Schwinger equation which directly makes the physical poles manifest avoids running into the spurious poles. This can be easily extended to spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  [14] and spin 1 [15].

## 2.7 Reconstruction

## 2.8 Loops

## 3 In-In Correlators

### 3.1 Wave function to Correlators

Until now we have studied the wave function in some detail. However to compute the correlator we need to average over the field configurations at  $t = 0$ . This requires us to do the path integral (1.2),

$$\langle \psi | \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n | \psi \rangle = \int D\phi |\psi(\phi)|^2 \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\phi_i \equiv \phi(\vec{k}_i)$ . Let us consider the case of  $n = 4$  and demonstrate the evaluation of this path integral. The probability distribution  $|\psi(\phi)|^2$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\phi)|^2 &= e^{-2 \int \text{Re}\psi_2(\vec{k}) \phi_k \phi_{-k} d^3 k} \left[ 1 + \int 2\text{Re}\psi_3 \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 + \int 2\text{Re}\psi_4 \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 d^3 k_1 \cdots d^3 k_4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int 2\text{Re}\psi_3 \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 \int 2\text{Re}\psi_3 \phi'_1 \phi'_2 \phi'_3 d^3 k'_1 d^3 k'_2 d^3 k'_3 + \cdots \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the term in the 2nd line comes by expanding an exponential,  $e^{-x^2 - \lambda x^3} = e^{-x^2}(1 - \lambda x^3 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(x^3)^2 + \dots)$ . Thus we can use this probability distribution  $|\psi(\phi)|^2$  with the quadratic part of this effective action given by  $2\text{Re}\psi_2$  to compute the 4-pt correlator and obtain the following contribution

$$\langle \psi | \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\text{Re}\psi_2(k_1) \cdots 2\text{Re}\psi_2(k_4)} \left[ \text{Re}\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_4) + \frac{\text{Re}\psi_3(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}) \text{Re}\psi_3(\vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4, \vec{k})}{\text{Re}\psi_2(\vec{k})} \right] \quad (3.3)$$

This computation is independent of the background of spacetime. In dS, the factors in the front represent the conversion from dimension  $\Delta$  to the shadow dimension  $d - \Delta$ . We will usually strip these factors off and represent the correlator as

$$\langle \psi | \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 | \psi \rangle = \text{Re}\psi_4(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_4) + \frac{\text{Re}\psi_3(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}) \text{Re}\psi_3(\vec{k}_3, \vec{k}_4, \vec{k})}{\text{Re}\psi_2(\vec{k})} \quad (3.4)$$

This shows that knowing the wave function coefficients is in general sufficient to determine the correlation functions. There is an additional subtlety while considering loops as we can get loop integrals while multiplying product of two wave functions. These are known as classical loops and will be discussed later. Note that only the first term in this expansion will have the total energy pole and thus the flat space limit of both these objects are the same.

By applying this formula for the  $\phi^3$  case we get

$$\langle \psi | \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{(\omega_{12} + \omega_{34})(\omega_{12} + \omega_k)(\omega_{34} + \omega_k)} + \frac{1}{\omega_k(\omega_{12} + \omega_k)(\omega_{34} + \omega_k)} \quad (3.5) \quad \{\text{psi34ptcorr}\}$$

Thus we see the appearance of an extra pole  $\frac{1}{\omega_k}$ . The example above hints that the extra contribution to the correlator can be obtained by replacing the total energy pole with  $\frac{1}{\omega_k}$ . This indeed ends up being true for general diagrams [5] where the idea is to draw the Witten diagram which gives the wave function, and to that, replace certain poles in a similar manner. Example,

$$\langle \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 \rangle^{(2)} = \psi_4^{(2)} + \frac{\psi_6^{(1)}}{\psi_2} + \frac{\psi_4 \psi_4}{\psi_2 \psi_2} \quad (3.6)$$

where the terms on the RHS denote the bubble contribution to the wavefunction; the diagram obtained by cutting one leg of the bubble, i.e, a double exchange (a 6-pt graph in  $\phi^4$  theory) and finally the diagrams obtained by cutting two intermediate legs of the bubble (product of 4-pt contact). This process illustrates some features of the correlator which was not apparent before, however it also hides another structure that can be made apparent by going to Fourier space.

### 3.2 Fourier Space

Before explaining the structure of the dressing rule let us consider an analog of Fourier space [16] for these time integrals in presence of the boundary conditions. The standard Fourier transform which gives the Feynman (time ordered) Green function is given by

$$\frac{1}{2k} \left[ \Theta(t_1 - t_2)e^{-k(t_1-t_2)} + \Theta(t_2 - t_1)e^{-k(t_2-t_1)} \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ip(t_1-t_2)}}{p^2 + k^2} \quad (3.7)$$

However the Green functions for the wave function have an additional term on the LHS which indicate that they satisfy Dirichlet boundary condition. This can be made apparent on the RHS by replacing the exponentials with sines,

$$\frac{1}{2k} \left[ \Theta(t_1 - t_2)e^{-k(t_1-t_2)} + \Theta(t_2 - t_1)e^{-k(t_2-t_1)} - e^{-k(t_1+t_2)} \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(pt_1)\sin(pt_2)}{p^2 + k^2} \quad (3.8)$$

Using this representation we shall be able to conveniently derive a new integrand and study its properties. For example, applying this formula to the single exchange diagram we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_4 &= \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 e^{-x_1 t_1} e^{-x_2 t_2} G(t_1, t_2, \vec{k}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt_1 dt_2 e^{-x_1 t_1} e^{-x_2 t_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(pt_1)\sin(pt_2)}{p^2 + \omega_k^2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \frac{p^2}{(p^2 + x_1^2)(p^2 + x_2^2)} \frac{1}{p^2 + \omega_k^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9) \quad \{\text{WFsingleex}\}$$

While at this stage the integral looks fairly uninteresting and a simple rewriting of the integral above it is has some interesting properties. For instance, it can be used to combine several graphs of spin-1 under a single integrand **give**. However it has the disadvantage that there is nothing nice about this representation while applied to loops. For example, when applied to the bubble graph in  $\phi^4$  theory we get (see appendix E of [8])

$$\psi_4^{(2)} = \int d^3 l \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_1 dp_2 \frac{1}{(p_1^2 + l^2)(p_2^2 + (\vec{l} + \vec{k})^2)} \mathcal{P}_{1/2}(k_{12}; p_1, p_2) \mathcal{P}_{1/2}(k_{34}; p_1, p_2) \quad (3.10) \quad \{\text{psi4bub}\}$$

where the function  $\mathcal{P}_{1/2}(k; p_1, p_2)$  is,

$$\mathcal{P}_{1/2}(k; p_1, p_2) = \frac{k}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{k^2 + (p_1 - p_2)^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 + (p_1 + p_2)^2} \right] \quad (3.11)$$

However as shown below it has an interesting use when applied to the correlator.

### 3.3 Dressing Rules

By using the Fourier space representation of the bulk-bulk propagators we obtain a similar representation for the in-in correlator (3.5),

$$\langle \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp x_1 x_2}{(p^2 + x_1^2)(p^2 + x_2^2)} \frac{1}{p^2 + \omega_k^2} \quad (3.12)$$

While this looks very similar to the the wave function in (3.9) the Kernel also applies to loop diagrams! In flat space the same kernel works for any theory without derivative interactions. For example, the 1-loop contribution to the correlator (which includes a contribution from the bubble graph in (3.10)) is given as,

$$\langle \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 \rangle^{(2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp x_1 x_2}{(p^2 + x_1^2)(p^2 + x_2^2)} \int \frac{d^4 L}{L^2(L + K)^2} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $L^\mu = (l_0, \vec{l})$ ,  $d^4 L = dl_0 d^3 l$  and  $K^\mu = (p, \vec{k})$ . This shows that after computing the contributions from all the wave function coefficients at  $O(\lambda^2)$  to the correlator we find a way to bring the extra Kernel integrals outside the 4D-loop integral for the amplitude. This can be expressed in a more diagrammatic way via,

$$\langle \phi_1 \cdots \phi_4 \rangle^{(2)} = \text{Diagram with red auxiliary propagators} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp \, \textcolor{red}{x_1 x_2}}{(p^2 + x_1^2)(p^2 + x_2^2)} \int \frac{d^4 L}{L^2(L + P)^2} \quad (3.14)$$

where the red lines denote the **auxiliary propagators** which impose a loop integral over the energy variable of the flat space Feynman diagram.

One can now apply this rule for higher point graphs, example,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1 \cdots \phi_6 \rangle^{(s)} &= \text{Diagram with red auxiliary propagators} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp_1 dp_2 \textcolor{red}{k_{12} k_{34} k_{56}}}{(p_1^2 + k_{12}^2)(p_2^2 + k_{34}^2)((p_1 + p_2)^2 + k_{56}^2)} \int \frac{d^4 L}{L^2(L^2 + P_1)^2(L + P_2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3.1 Proof via In-out

There is an elegant proof that can be given for the rule above using the in-out formalism.

## 4 Divergences: IR and UV

There are a few kind of divergences one encounters while computing these correlation functions. Some of them are identical to the divergences one has in flat space scattering amplitudes and the others are different. We discuss some of them below.

### 4.1 UV Loop Divergences

#### 4.1.1 Quantum Loop

While computing loops in the wave function one would encounter divergences which are similar to the ones appearing in scattering amplitude. This can be understood by taking a flat space limit of the loop integrand and recovering the same structure as the loop integrand of the amplitude. However, apart from the usual expected divergence we can also encounter other divergences from the same integral as discussed below.

For example, consider the wave function coefficient  $\psi_2$  to  $O(\lambda)$  in  $\phi^4$  theory. This has a contribution from the tadpole diagram of the form,

$$\psi_2 = \text{Diagram: a horizontal line with two lines meeting at its center, forming a V-shape, which then connects to a circle (tadpole).} \quad (4.1)$$

From the explicit expression given in (2.43) we obtain

$$\psi_2 = \int \frac{d^3 l}{2k(2k + 2l)} \quad (4.2) \quad \{\text{tadpoleflat1}\}$$

Before evaluating the integral explicitly we note that the flat space limit of this object works best at the level of the loop integrand. However this naturally raises a puzzle: what happened to the  $l_0$  appearing in the scattering amplitude? Turns out that by taking the residue at the total energy pole, we recover the scattering amplitude of the corresponding process after integrating over  $l_0$ , i.e,

$$\lim_{E \rightarrow 0} \psi_2(E) = \int d^3 l B, \text{ where } B = \int dl_0 \mathcal{A} = \int dl_0 \int d^3 l A \quad (4.3)$$

where  $A$  is the loop integrand obtained from the Feynman diagram of the corresponding process.

To evaluate the loop integral in flat space, we can use the standard hard-cutoff  $\Lambda$  to evaluate this integral. In dS space we need to be slightly careful because the cutoff is imposed on the co-moving momentum **Senatore-Zaldarriaga** which results in  $\frac{\Lambda}{(Hz)^2}$  where  $H$  is the Hubble scale. This implies that we need to perform the  $z$ -integrals only after having done the loop integral. For any practical calculation beyond such simple cases that is very hard. For our purpose let us first discuss the flat space case.

We can directly integrate (4.2) this by going to polar coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2 &= \int \frac{d^3 l}{2k(2k + 2l)} = \frac{\pi}{k} \int_0^\Lambda dl \frac{l^2}{k + l} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{k} \left[ \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} - k\Lambda - k^2 \log \frac{k}{\Lambda} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.4) \quad \{\text{qloop1}\}$$

We see that the first term is standard divergence we obtain while computing the amplitude. The term linear in  $\Lambda$  and the  $\log \frac{k}{\Lambda}$  are new and it is expected that we add counterterms to remove them. However it is unclear as to how one chooses the counterterm. This will be discussed more in section 4.2.

### Co-Moving Cutoff and Flat Space Limit

The co-moving cutoff is also necessary in order to implement the correct flat space limit and ensure consistency with the ward identities.

### 4.1.2 Classical Loop

The terminology classical loop is a bit misleading. These simply refer to the set of terms arising in the path integral over the boundary field while computing the in-in correlator. For example, for the 2-pt function we have the following terms arising from the path integral,

$$\langle \phi(\vec{k})\phi(-\vec{k}) \rangle = \psi_2(\vec{k}, -\vec{k}) + \int d^3l \frac{\psi_4(\vec{k}, \vec{l}, \vec{k}, \vec{l})}{2\psi_2(\vec{l})} \quad (4.5) \quad \{\text{corrtadpole}\}$$

where we have explicitly shown the momentum dependences and the loop integral in the second term is the one known as the **classical loop**. The factor of 2 in the second term comes from a symmetry factor. From the explicit form of the wave function in section 2 this takes the following form

$$\int d^3l \frac{\psi_4(\vec{k}, \vec{l}, \vec{k}, \vec{l})}{2\psi_2(\vec{l})} = \int d^3l \frac{1}{2l(2k+2l)}. \quad (4.6)$$

This integral can be evaluated in a similar manner as (4.4) to give,

$$\int d^3l \frac{\psi_4}{2\psi_2} = \pi \left[ \Lambda + k \log \frac{k}{\Lambda} \right] \quad (4.7) \quad \{\text{cloop1}\}$$

This shows that we can obtain divergences from classical loops as well. Hence while computing correlators we need to keep track of all such divergences.

## 4.2 Correlator Divergences

\{\text{ssec:corrdiv}\}

Previously we saw how different terms from the wave function give different divergences. These will all contribute to the correlation function. From the formula (4.5) we obtain the full contribution to the 2-pt function. Combining both the results (4.4) and (4.7) we obtain the full correlator given as

$$\langle \phi(\vec{k})\phi(-\vec{k}) \rangle = \frac{\pi \Lambda^2}{2k} \quad (4.8)$$

which is exactly similar to the kind of divergence from the S-matrix! Hence the same set of counterterms also work for the correlator (at least in these theories). This leads us to an important point. From (4.4) we see that the final set of divergent terms in the wave function (quantum loop) are different from that of the correlator. Since the correlation function is the more physical quantity (in the sense of being a measurable) we need to choose the counterterm such that it renormalizes the correlator. However this choice will make the wave function divergent and thus, we come to the conclusion that the wave function must inherently be a divergent quantity for the correlator to be finite.

### 4.3 Fake Divergences

For some diagrams [7] we can encounter a set of divergences which are “fake”. This is most easily seen by for the cactus diagram in  $\phi^4$  theory,

$$\psi_2 = \text{Diagram} \quad (4.9)$$

By substituting the Feynman rules we get the following integral representation for this diagram,

$$\psi_2 = \int d^3 l_1 d^3 l_2 \int_0^\infty dz_1 dz_2 e^{-2kz_1} [G(z_1, z_2, \vec{l}_1)]^2 G(z_2, z_2, \vec{l}_2) \quad (4.10)$$

where the  $G(z_1, z_2, \vec{k})$  are given in (2.33). Due to the lack of an external leg for  $z_2$ , the integral is naively divergent. However, it can be checked that the integral is actually finite in a number of ways. For example, by introducing a factor  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  such that the integral becomes,

$$\psi_2 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int d^3 l_1 d^3 l_2 \int_0^\infty dz_1 dz_2 e^{-2kz_1} e^{-\epsilon z_2} [G(z_1, z_2, \vec{l}_1)]^2 G(z_2, z_2, \vec{l}_2) \quad (4.11)$$

and taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  after performing the  $z$ -integrals we find that the integral is finite,

$$\psi_2 = \int \frac{2d^3 l_1 d^3 l_2}{(2k)(2k + 2l_1)} \left[ \frac{1}{(2l_1)(2l_2 + 2l_1)(2k + 2l_1)} + \frac{2}{(2k + 2l_1 + 2l_2)(2k + 2l_1)(2l_1 + 2l_2)} \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

The clue that the result should be finite appears from the flat space limit. Had this divergence been a real divergence then it would have also arisen in the flat space limit as it is still at the level of the loop integrand.

This result can also be obtained via the canonical form of the cosmological polytope or from the recursion relations described in section 2.6.

### 4.4 Massless IR Divergence

For massless fields in dS there is also an additional kind of divergence that arise even at tree level. These are IR divergences. This is easily understood by analytically continuing global dS to the Euclidean sphere with the metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{H^2}(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_{d-1}) \quad (4.13)$$

where  $\theta$  is analytically continued from the time variable in dS. The Hubble constant plays the role of sphere radius. The mode functions on the sphere are Spherical Harmonics in higher dimensions

$$\square Y_{\vec{l}} = -H^2 L(L+d-1) Y_{\vec{l}} \quad (4.14)$$

We consider a scalar field whose action is given as

$$S = \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right] \quad (4.15)$$

by expanding  $\phi$  in terms of the spherical harmonics we obtain

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\vec{l}} \phi(\vec{l}) Y_{\vec{l}}(x) \quad (4.16)$$

through which we can obtain the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{l}} \frac{1}{H^d} (H^2 l(l+d-1) + m^2) |\phi(\vec{l})|^2 \quad (4.17)$$

From this we can deduce the mode function on the sphere by inverting the Kernel,

$$G(x, x') = \sum_{\vec{l}} H^d \frac{Y_{\vec{l}}(x) Y_{\vec{l}}^*(x')}{H^2 l(l+d-1) + m^2} \quad (4.18) \quad \{\text{sphereprop1}\}$$

The sum can be exactly performed and results in

$$G(x, x') = \frac{H^{d-2}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + \nu) \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} - \nu)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d-1}{2} + \nu, \frac{d-1}{2} - \nu; \frac{d}{2}; 1 - \frac{y}{4}\right) \quad (4.19)$$

where  $y$  is the chordal distance and  $\nu$  is analogous to the  $\nu$  parameter in dS,

$$y = 2(1 - \cos \theta \cos \theta' - \sin \theta \sin \theta' \vec{w} \cdot \vec{w}'), \quad \nu = \sqrt{\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{m^2}{H^2}}$$

with  $\vec{w}, \vec{w}'$  being points on the unit sub-sphere  $S_{d-1}$ . The final expression in terms of the Hypergeometric function is the analytically continued version of the propagator in global dS space.

From equation (4.18) we see that the sum receives a divergent as  $m \rightarrow 0$  from the  $l = 0$  mode,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} G(x, x') = \frac{H^d}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \frac{1}{m^2} \quad (4.20)$$

where we have used

$$Y_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}} \quad (4.21)$$

Since  $\square Y_0 = 0$  this divergence arises from the zero mode of the field.

It is then argued that the in the presence of an interaction (arbitrarily small), these divergences can be resummed to give a finite answer. [Say more](#)

## 4.5 Contact Divergences

For some values of  $\Delta$  we have additional divergences which are apparent in momentum space but not in position space. These arise while taking the Fourier transform of a correlator in position space and typically reflect the presence of contact terms  $\delta(x - x')$ .

The simplest example for which one gets this is in 2-pt functions while computing the on-shell action. Another way to obtain this is by taking a Fourier transform of the standard CFT 2-pt function in position space. For example,

$$\langle O(\vec{x})O(\vec{0}) \rangle = \frac{1}{(x)^{2\Delta}} \quad (4.22)$$

By taking the Fourier transform we obtain the 2-pt function in momentum space

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(\vec{k}) &= \int d^3x e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \langle O(\vec{x})O(\vec{0}) \rangle \\ &= \int d^3x \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}}{x^{2\Delta}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{2\Delta-2}} \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta e^{ikx\cos\theta} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{2\Delta-2}} \frac{\sin(kx)}{kx} \\ &= \sin(\pi\Delta)\Gamma(2-2\Delta)k^{2\Delta-3} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where the last line is written for  $\frac{1}{2} < \Delta < \frac{3}{2}$  but can be analytically continued for all  $\Delta$  except for the times when there is a pole from the Gamma function which are not cancelled by the  $\sin(\pi\Delta)$ . These give the values,

$$\Delta = n + \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (4.24)$$

Hence for these values of  $\Delta$  you would get additional divergences in momentum space, but not in position space. There are a few proposed resolutions to handling these divergences.

1. In most the “mass” of the field gets renormalized to a value different from the one you start with which ensures that the scaling dimension  $\Delta$  is away from these critical values hence avoiding any divergences.
2. In real cosmology these are avoided anyways due to the presence of a slow roll parameter in inflation.
3. You can add counterterms at the boundary to remove these divergences as proposed in several papers by A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis [17]. It has been argued that the addition of these terms also help in satisfying the conformal ward identity in momentum space.
4. For some examples it has been shown that all the IR divergences would vanish by resumming all graphs, however there is no general consensus about how these should be treated.

## 5 Correlators of Gauge Theories and Gravity

### 5.1 Wave function for Spinning Theories

The wave function for spinning theories follows a very similar story as the scalar ones. Upon obtaining the bulk-boundary and bulk-bulk propagators, computation is exactly similar. However for spinning theories one must note that the wave functions are also gauge invariant. This states that

$$\psi(A_i) = \psi(A_i + \partial_i \lambda) \quad (5.1) \quad \{\text{gaugeinv}\}$$

This condition is implied by the fact that the wave function satisfies the Gauss law,

$$\partial_i \frac{\partial \psi(\vec{A}_i)}{\partial A_i} = 0 \quad (5.2) \quad \{\text{gauss}\}$$

Classically this is simply the statement  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$ . To see this explicitly expand the RHS of (5.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A_i + \partial_i \lambda) &= \psi(A_i) + \int d^3x \partial_i \lambda \frac{\partial \psi(\vec{A})}{\partial A_i} \\ &= \psi(A_i) + \int d^3x \partial_i \left( \lambda \frac{\partial \psi(\vec{A})}{\partial A_i} \right) - \int d^3x \lambda \partial_i \frac{\partial \psi(\vec{A})}{\partial A_i} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

The term in the middle vanishes as  $\lambda(|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$  and the last term vanishes as a consequence of (5.2) thereby establishing (5.1). This statement is also true with matter fields,

$$\psi(A_i + \partial_i \lambda, \phi + i\lambda\phi, \phi^\dagger - i\lambda\phi^\dagger) = \psi(A_i, \phi, \phi^\dagger) \quad (5.4)$$

where the Gauss constraint is now given as

$$\partial_i \frac{\partial \psi(A_i)}{\partial A_i} = i \left( \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \phi^\dagger \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^\dagger} \right) \psi \quad (5.5)$$

where the RHS denotes the charge density of the complex scalar field in QED.

The invariance of the wave function under this set of gauge transformations has an interesting application in SK path integrals.

### 5.2 Spinning Correlators via Schwinger-Keldysh

## 6 Application of Dressing Rules

### 6.1 Loops

### 6.2 Cutkosky Rules

### Acknowledgements

I have benefited greatly from discussions with several people on this subject and a partial list includes: Nima Arkani-Hamed, Pinaki Banerjee, Paolo Benincasa, Pratyusha Chowdhury,

George Doran, Maria Jose Fernandez, Felix Haehl, Sadra Jazayeri, Savan Kharel, Alok Ladha, Arthur Lipstein, Joe Marshall, Ioannis Matthiakakis, Jiajie Mei, Yuyu Mo, Radu Moga, Ricardo Monteiro, Enrico Pajer, Priyadarshi Paul, Siddharth Prabhu, Suvrat Raju, Prashant Raman, Ivo Sachs, Kostas Skenderis, Farman Ullah, Pierre Vanhove.

## References

- [1] P. Benincasa, *Amplitudes meet Cosmology: A (Scalar) Primer*, [2203.15330](#).
- [2] B. Hatfield, *Quantum field theory of point particles and strings* (1992).
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Benincasa and A. Postnikov, *Cosmological Polytopes and the Wavefunction of the Universe*, [1709.02813](#).
- [4] M.H.G. Lee, *From amplitudes to analytic wavefunctions*, *JHEP* **03** (2024) 058 [[2310.01525](#)].
- [5] P. Benincasa and G. Dian, *The Geometry of Cosmological Correlators*, [2401.05207](#).
- [6] S. Raju, *New Recursion Relations and a Flat Space Limit for AdS/CFT Correlators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 126009 [[1201.6449](#)].
- [7] C. Chowdhury and K. Singh, *Analytic Results for Loop-Level Momentum Space Witten Diagrams*, [2305.18529](#).
- [8] C. Chowdhury, A. Lipstein, J. Marshall, J. Mei and I. Sachs, *Cosmological Dressing Rules*, [2503.10598](#).
- [9] K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849 [[hep-th/0209067](#)].
- [10] M. Ammon and J. Erdmenger, *Gauge/gravity duality: Foundations and applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (4, 2015), [10.1017/CBO9780511846373](#).
- [11] J. Zinn-Justin, *From Random Walks to Random Matrices*, Oxford Graduate Texts, Oxford University Press (6, 2019).
- [12] H. Liu, Y. Zhou and J. Evslin, *Perturbative ground states of the 1+1d double-well*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 357 [[1909.04946](#)].
- [13] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G.L. Pimentel, *The Cosmological Bootstrap: Spinning Correlators from Symmetries and Factorization*, *SciPost Phys.* **11** (2021) 071 [[2005.04234](#)].
- [14] C. Chowdhury, P. Chowdhury, R.N. Moga and K. Singh, *Loops, recursions, and soft limits for fermionic correlators in (A)dS*, *JHEP* **10** (2024) 202 [[2408.00074](#)].
- [15] S. Albayrak, C. Chowdhury and S. Kharel, *New relation for Witten diagrams*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 274 [[1904.10043](#)].
- [16] S. Raju, *Recursion Relations for AdS/CFT Correlators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 126002 [[1102.4724](#)].
- [17] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, *Implications of conformal invariance in momentum space*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 111 [[1304.7760](#)].