

Q1

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ a) } f(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

$$\text{Where } z = w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 \dots x_nx_n + b$$

$$y = f(z)$$

$$ww = (w_1, w_2, w_3) = (0.5, -0.25, 0.1)$$

$$\text{bias } b = 0.5$$

$$\text{input } x = (0, 0, 0) \Rightarrow y = f(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow y = f(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + b)$$

$$y = f(0.5 \times 0 + -0.25 \times 0 + 0.1 \times 0 + 0.5)$$

$$y = f(0.5)$$

$$y = \frac{1}{1+e^{-0.5}} = \frac{1}{1+0.6065} = \frac{1}{1.6065}$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.6224}}$$

The value of the output  $y = 0.6224$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Rectified Linear unit (ReLU) } f(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{given weights } ww = (0.5, -0.25, 0.1)$$

$$\text{bias } b = 0.5$$

$$\text{input } x = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\text{output } y = f(z)$$

$$y = f(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + b)$$

$$= f(0.5 \times 0 - 0.25 \times 0 + 0.1 \times 0 + 0.5)$$

$$= f(0.5)$$

$$\underline{y = z = 0.5} \quad \because \underline{0.5 \geq 0}$$

$\therefore$  The value of output  $y = 0.5$

c) f Sigmoid function  $f(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$

given values

$$w = (0.5, -0.25, 0.1) ; b = 0.5$$

$$x = (1, 1, 1)$$

$$\text{output } y = f(z) = f(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + b)$$

$$y = f(0.5 \times 1 - 0.25 \times 1 + 0.1 \times 1 + 0.5) = f(0.85)$$

$$y = f(0.5 - 0.25 + 0.6)$$

$$y = f(0.85)$$

$$y = \frac{1}{1+e^{-0.85}} = \frac{1}{1+0.427}$$

$$\text{value of output } y = \underline{0.7007} \quad (\text{since } y \geq 0.5) \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Class 1}}$$

d) True class label  $t = 1$

$$\text{output } y = 0.55$$

$$\text{Squared loss } E = \frac{1}{2} (t - y)^2$$

$$\text{Cross-entropy loss } E = -[t \log y + (1-t) \log (1-y)]$$

$$\text{Squared loss } E = \frac{1}{2} (t - y)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 - 0.55)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (0.2025)$$

$$= \underline{0.1012}$$

$$\text{Cross Entropy loss } E = -[1 \log 0.55 + (1-1) \log (1-0.55)]$$

$$= -[\log 0.55]$$

$$= -[-0.2596]$$

$$= \underline{0.2596}$$

③. If  $\eta = 0.1$  &  $t = 1$

What are the updated weights after performing backpropagation.

$$w \leftarrow w - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w}$$

$$\text{gradient } \frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y} \times \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \times \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}$$

$$\text{Sigmoid function } f = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \quad \& \quad E = \frac{1}{2} (t-y)^2$$

Current weights  $w = (0.5, -0.25, 1)$ , bias  $b = 0.5$ , input  $x = (1, 1, 1)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{gradient } \frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial (t-y)^2/2}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial f(z)} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}$$

$$= -(t-y) f(z) (1-f(z)) x$$

$$y = f(z)$$

$$z = 0.5 \times 1 - 0.25 \times 1 + 0.1 \times 1 + 0.5$$

$$= 0.85$$

$$y = \frac{1}{1+e^{-0.85}} = \underline{\underline{0.7007}}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \rightarrow = -(1-0.7007) f(0.85) (1-f(0.85))$$

$$= -(0.2993) (0.7007) (0.2993)$$

$$= \underline{\underline{-0.0627}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \rightarrow = -(1-0.7007) f(0.85) (1-f(0.85))$$

$$= -0.0627$$

$$\textcircled{3} \rightarrow = -0.0627$$

$$w_1 = 0.5 - (0.1 \times -0.0627) = 0.5 + 0.00627 = 0.50627$$

$$w_2 = -0.25 - (0.1 \times -0.0627) = -0.25 + 0.00627 = -0.24373$$

$$w_3 = 0.1 - (0.1 \times -0.0627) = 0.1 + 0.00627 = 0.10627$$

$$\text{updated weights } w = \underline{\underline{(0.5062, -0.243, 0.10627)}}$$

Q2

Given

$$\text{input feature map} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Convolution filter} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

① Complete output feature map of the Convolution layer =  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$

$$2+0+8+3 = \textcircled{13}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 2 & 0 \times 1 & 3 \\ 2 \times 4 & 1 \times 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \times 2 & 3 \times 1 \\ 2 & 1 \times 4 & 3 \times 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 0+3+4+0 = \textcircled{7}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 \times 2 & 1 \times 1 & 0 \\ 0 \times 4 & 2 \times 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \times 2 & 0 \times 1 \\ 0 & 2 \times 4 & 1 \times 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 4+1+0+8 = \textcircled{11}$$

$$2+0+8+3 = \textcircled{13}$$

$$\text{Output feature map} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{13} & \textcircled{7} \\ \textcircled{11} & \textcircled{13} \end{bmatrix}$$

② Output of the max pooling layer where a  $2 \times 2$  input is down sampled to one output. = 13

$$\text{Output feature map} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{13} & \textcircled{7} \\ \textcircled{11} & \textcircled{13} \end{bmatrix}$$

Q3

Given the values

$$h_0 = 0$$

$$\text{time } t=3 \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2, 1, 4)$$

$$\text{weight b/w i/p \& Hidden layer} \rightarrow w_x(1), w_x(2), w_x(3) = (3, 2, 2)$$

$$\text{weight b/w hidden \& output layer} \rightarrow w_h(1), w_h(2), w_h(3) = (4, 2, 3)$$

$$\text{weight b/w previous} \rightarrow w_y(1), w_y(2), w_y(3) = (2, 1, 4)$$

Hidden layer output & the current hidden layer input



$$h_t = \begin{cases} z_t & \text{if } z_t \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \& \quad z_t = h_{t-1} w_h + x_t w_x$$

① value of  $h_1 \Rightarrow z_1 = h_0 w_h + x_1 w_x$   
 $= 0 \times 4 + 2 \times 3$   
 $= 0 + 6$   
 $= \underline{6}$

$z_1 > 0$  so implies  $h_1 = z_1$   
 $\underline{h_1 = 6}$

value of  $h_2 \Rightarrow z_2 = h_1 w_h + x_2 w_x$   
 $= 6 \times 2 + 1 \times 2$   
 $= 12 + 2$   
 $= \underline{14}$

$z_2 > 0$  implies  $h_2 = z_2$   
 $\underline{h_2 = 14}$

value of  $h_3 \Rightarrow z_3 = h_2 w_h + x_3 w_x$   
 $= 14 \times 3 + 4 \times 2$   
 $= 42 + 8$   
 $= \underline{50}$

$z_3 > 0$  implies  $h_3 = z_3$   
 $\underline{h_3 = 50}$

② value of  $y_1 = h_1 \times w_y = 6 \times 2 = 12$

value of  $y_2 = h_2 \times w_y = 14 \times 1 = 14$

value of  $y_3 = h_3 \times w_y = 50 \times 4 = 200$

$\therefore$  the output  $y (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (12, 14, 200)$

Q4 Case 1:

$$TP = 482$$

$$FP = 72$$

$$FN = 13$$

$$TN = 422$$

$$P = 495$$

$$N = 494$$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Accuracy} = \frac{TP+TN}{P+N}$$

$$= \frac{482+422}{495+494} = \frac{904}{989} = 0.917 \approx \underline{\underline{91.7\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Balanced accuracy} = \frac{TPR+TNR}{2}$$

$$= \frac{0.97+0.85}{2} = 0.91 \approx \underline{\underline{91\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{3} TPR = \frac{TP}{P}$$

$$= \frac{482}{495} = 0.97 = \underline{\underline{97\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{4} TNR = \frac{TN}{N}$$

$$= \frac{422}{494} = 0.85 \approx \underline{\underline{85\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{5} FRR = \frac{FP}{N}$$

$$= \frac{72}{494} = 0.145 \approx \underline{\underline{14.5\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{6} FNR = \frac{FN}{P}$$

$$= \frac{13}{495} = 0.026 \approx \underline{\underline{2.6\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{7} PPV = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

$$= \frac{482}{482+72} = \frac{482}{554} = 0.87 \approx \underline{\underline{87\%}}$$

$$\textcircled{8} F_{\text{Score}} = 2 \times \frac{PPV \times TPR}{PPV + TPR}$$

$$= 2 \times \frac{0.87 \times 0.97}{0.87 + 0.97}$$

$$= 2 \times \frac{0.843}{1.84}$$

$$= 2 \times 0.458 = \underline{\underline{0.916}}$$

$$= \underline{\underline{91.6\%}}$$

Case 2:

$$TP = 803$$

$$FP = 72$$

$$FN = 13$$

$$TN = 101$$

$$P = 816$$

$$N = 173$$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Accuracy} = \frac{803+101}{816+173}$$

$$= \frac{904}{989} = 0.914 \approx \underline{91.4\%}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Balanced Accuracy} = \frac{0.984+0.583}{2}$$
$$= 0.783 \approx \underline{78.3\%}$$

$$\textcircled{3} TPR = \frac{803}{816} = 0.984 \approx \underline{98.4\%}$$

$$\textcircled{4} TNR = \frac{101}{173} = 0.583 \approx \underline{58.3\%}$$

$$\textcircled{5} FPR = \frac{72}{173} = 0.416 \approx \underline{41.6\%}$$

$$\textcircled{6} FNR = \frac{13}{816} = 0.0159 \approx \underline{1.5\%}$$

$$\textcircled{7} PPV = \frac{803}{803+72} = \frac{803}{875} = 0.917 \approx \underline{91.7\%}$$

$$\textcircled{8} F \text{ SCORE} = 2 \times \frac{0.917 \times 0.984}{0.917 + 0.984} = 2 \times \frac{0.902}{1.901} = 0.948 = \underline{94.8\%}$$

Observation:

In Case 1: accuracy = 91.7 %.

Balanced accuracy = 91 %.

Whereas in Case 2:

accuracy = 91.4 %.

Balanced accuracy = 78.3 %.

Case 1 represents balanced data with high precision (PPV) and low recall value (FPR). In Case 2, since there is a huge variation between the accuracy which represents imbalanced data with high precision and moderate recall.