Name: Chandrika Sharma

GITHUB: https://github.ccs.neu.edu/chandrika2311/MapReduce-CS-6240

I have made a new class in assignment 3 the git-repo called

- 1. MatrixPageRank.java
- 2. MatrixColumnPageRank.java

PSEUDOCODE:

Parallel Matrix Multiplication: Row by column Partition Approach:

JOB1: Preprocessing

```
Preprocessing Mapper
map(Object key, Text value){
Bz2WikiParser1 bz2 = new Bz2WikiParser1();
String x = bz2.parsing(String.valueOf(value));
For all link in outlinks:
        Emit(page Name, link)
Preprocessing Reducer
reduce(Text key, ListOfOutlinks){
        emit(key, SetOfOutlinks)
JOB2: Creating the file with keys of the matrix and R matrix: Set Reducer
To 1
Upload the graph from Job1 to Mapper, then emit all the (1, link)
Multiple outputs used here to emit the keys file and to make the R matrix.
Map(map(Object key, Text value){
Emit(1,link+":"+out-link-count)
}
On receiving all the links with key 1, emit each link with a counter value. Since counter cannot be
maintained globally, setting number of reducers to 1
Reducer (1, [links]) {
Count = 0;
Graph Size = x
Initial PageRank = 1/ Graph Size
For link in links:
        Emit (link, count+":"+out-link-count)
        Emit(count, link, Initial PageRank)
Now the output key file and R-Matrix looks like following:
KeyFile:
                id:outlinkCount
Link
MCLinux_355e
                8:0
They_Might
                2016:3
Theism
                2037:7
```

RMatrix:

2038:8

2039:8

Theia

Theft

```
500
        Wrench: 5.257623554153522E-5
501
        Wrapping paper:5.257623554153522E-5
        Worms,_Germany_b18a:5.257623554153522E-5
502
503
        Worms:5.257623554153522E-5
<u> JOB3: Here we create the matrix with the keys:</u>
PLEASE NOTE: Using file cache, I pass the key file to all the machines so that the keys are
available to each machine to assign to the new matrix.
Mapper(){
Setup(){
        Put all the links from the key File into a hash map
}
map(){
iterate over the graph and assign each link with its ID from the hash map and emit by inversion of
so if the record is as follows: o2, o3, o4 here are the number of out links
                        link2:o2, link3:o3, link4:o4"
"link1: o1
and say link1 has id - 1, link2 has id - 2, link3 has id - 3 and so on.
Emit (2: o2,1: o1)
Emit (3: o3,1: o1)
Emit (4: o4,1: o1)
}
Reduce(){
Now here we create a sparse matrix with link and list of its in links.
10010:10
            7940:505,10010:10,10011:5,
           9394:507,7929:382,10011:5,6295:535,
10011:5
           10005:20,14731:19,7311:9,6559:154,9288:9,3709:5,
10012:17
           5376:38,13115:29,3291:28,10013:13,
10013:13
Here 10010:10 is a link with number of out links equal to 10
And "7940:505,10010:10,10011:5"
7940 is the in link for 10010 and it has 505 out links.
Similarly, 10011:5 and 10010:10
So our new matrix is inverted in the sense that, now instead of "link: list of out links", it
depicts "link: list of in links" with each link's count of out links concatenated with semicolon.
```

JOB4: This JOB is to do the page rank calculations.


```
Here, 505,10 and 5 are the cj values. Row id is 10010 and column id = 7940,10010,10011

}

reducer(){
    setup(){
1. Load the R matrix from the file cache and make a hash map with it. Key will be the key of the link.
    And page name also is included in this as R matrix has it.
}

reduce(){
        get old page rank value form the hash map made in setup,
            get the total number of out links(cj) in the record as sent by mapper.

For each values:
        Running total = Summation of 0.85*(1/cj)*PR_from_hashmap;

}
emit(row_Id , page name +":"+running_total)// This is exactly how out R matrix looks like.
}
```

J0B5: Top 100

this will be repeated 10 times and the top 100 records with the maximum page rank will be gathered in this job

Parallel Matrix Multiplication: Column by Row Partition Approach:

The first 3 jobs are same here. From the 4th job the intuition is as follows:

JOB4: This JOB is to do the page rank calculations.

```
PLEASE NOTE: Using file cache, I pass the key file to all the machines so that the keys are
available to each machine to assign to the new matrix.
Mapper(){
Setup(){
Take the R matrix and create a HashMap with it.
rMatrix.put(colId, value);
here colId is the key and value is the page rank value
}
Map(){
Take each record from the new matrix:
Emit:(row id,row col id value)
Example: 10010:10
                    7940:505,10010:10,10011:5
        (7940,"10010,7940,505")
Emit,
        (10010,"10010, 10010,10")
And
        (10011,"10010, 10011,5")
And
Here, 505,10 and 5 are the cj values. Row id is 10010 and column id = 7940,10010,10011
```

I have emitted the column id as key so that they get partitioned based on the column numbers.

Also check if the column exists in the hashmap rMatrix and get the Beta value from there and multiply it with the cj value for that row_id = col_id colValue = alpha*Beta Now emit(col_id, (row_id+","+colId+","+colValue.toString()) } reducer(){ reduce(){ get all the records with same column id: for each row: HashMap.put(row, colValue) All the rows in that column will come into this hash map by the end of the reduce phase and So the hash map will have each row and its out link counts multiplied with the page rank value on that row of } cleanup{ In Cleanup, where all the rows are filled into the Hash Map, emit the row and the calculated value here, emit(row, temp value) } JOB5: Final Map Reduce Phase To merge values for each row Get all the (row,tempValue) records and emit with row as key reducer(){ setup(){ 1. get R matrix into the filecache. 2. Make hashmap of the id,pagename:pagerank 3. This will be used to match the rows with the Pagenames in reduce phase }

get the name of the link from the hashmap by inputing the row id and add all the temp values for

TOPK: Same as assignment 3

the row to get the file page rank.

NOT HANDLING DANGLING NODES

reduce(){

PERFORMANCE COMPARITION:

Below times include parsing the original input. Dangling nodes have not been handled.

Row-Col 6 machines:

- 1. 6 machines: 4176 seconds
- 2. preprocessing: "launchTime": 1522475976541, "finishTime": 1522478321231
- 3. key and R Matrix creation: "launchTime": 1522478331710, "finishTime": 1522478385020
- get key Matrix: "launchTime":1522478398981, "finishTime":1522478703751
 do Pageranking: "launchTime":1522478716196 "finishTime":1522478851388
- top100: "launchTime":1522480103556, "finishTime":1522480130786

Preprocessing	1522475976541	1522478321231	2344690
key and R Matrix creation	1522478331710	1522478385020	53310
get key Matrix	1522478398981	1522478703751	304770
Do Pageranking	1522478716196	1522478851388	135192
top100	1522480103556	1522480130786	27230
Total			2865192

Row-Column 11 machines:

- 1. time taken : 2440 seconds
- 2. preprocessing: "launchTime":1522511667824, "finishTime":1522512826140
- key + R Matrix creation: "launchTime":1522512836432, "finishTime":1522512877505
- 4. get key Matrix: "launchTime":1522512891407 "finishTime":1522513062342
- 5. do Pageranking: "launchTime":1522513075805 "finishTime":1522513167678
- 6. top100 "launchTime":1522514059238 "finishTime":1522514085276

Preprocessing	1522511667824	1522512826140	1158316
key and R Matrix	1522512836432	1522512877505	41073
creation			
get key Matrix	1522512891407	1522513062342	170935
Do Pageranking	1522513167678	1522513075805	91873
top100			26038
Total			1488235

Col-Row 11 machines:

- 1. Time taken: 3320 seconds
- 2. **Preprocessing** "launchTime": 1522531220982 "finishTime":1522532317336
- 3. create key and R-matrix: "launchTime":1522532326849, "finishTime":1522532368152
- 4. create new keys Matrix: "launchTime":1522532382207, "finishTime":1522532556038
- 5. do-ranking: launchTime:1522532568055, finishTime:1522532828936
- 6. final-ranking: launchTime:1522532838858, finishTime:1522532904829

Preprocessing	1522531220982	1522532317336	1096354
create key and R-	1522532326849	1522532368152	41303
matrix			
create new keys	1522532382207	1522532556038	173831
Matrix			
do-ranking	1522532568055	1522532828936	260881
final-ranking	1522532838858	1522532904829	65971
Top100	1522353284538658	1552535905829	26038
Total			1664378

Col-Row 6 machines:

- 1. Time taken: 5678 seconds
- 2. Preprocessing "launchTime":1522535001198, "finishTime":1522537438791
- 3. create key + Rmatrix: "launchTime": 1522537449545, "finishTime": 1522537501793
- 4. create new keys Matrix: "launchTime":1522537515793 "finishTime":1522537777466
- 5. do-ranking: "launchTime": 1522537789765 "finishTime": 1522538416262
- 6. final-ranking :"launchTime":1522538426529 "finishTime":1522538492538
- 7. top100: "launchTime":1522540629736 "finishTime":15225406566

Preprocessing	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	2437593
create key and R-matrix	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	52248
create new keys Matrix	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	261673
do-ranking	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	626497
final-ranking	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	66009
Top100	1.52254E+12	1.52254E+12	26902
Total Time			3470922

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS WITH ADJECENCY LIST REPRESENTATION

ANALYSIS	Adjacency list(From Assignment 3 logs)	Row-Column	Column—Row
6 machines	3894	4176	5678
11 machines	2260	2440	3320

It's quite surprising that the matrix based approach has taken longer. This could be because the partitioning has taken more time to happen in this case as the data is being divided into many machines.