Monte-Carlo Method in American Option Pricing

Chang-ye Tu

July 8, 2018

Motivation

Financial contracts in mind that allow to exercise early before expiry:

- Early surrender of life insurance contracts
- Formosa bond: callable

How to price / hedge (Computing sensitivities)?

Pricing contracts with early exercise feature is very hard, if not impossible. Anaytical (closed-form) solutions are rare, should be numerically solved.



In a complete and arbitrage-free market

Pricing Formula of European Option

$$E_0 = \mathsf{E}^Q \{ d_{0,T} g_T(X_T) \}$$

where

- g_t nonnegative payoff function
 - $(X_t)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ underlying stochastic process
 - $d_{s,t}$ nonnegative $\mathcal{F}((X_u)_{s \leqslant u \leqslant t})$ -measurable discount factors satisfying $d_{0,t} = d_{0,s} \cdot d_{s,t}$ for s < t

Pricing Formula of American Option

$$V_0 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}([0,T])} \mathsf{E}^Q \{ d_{0,\tau} \, g_\tau(X_\tau) \}$$

Stopping Time

A stopping time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}([0,T])$ is a measurable function of $(X_t)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ with values in [0,T] and has the property that $\forall r \in [0,T]$, the event $\{\tau \leqslant r\}$ is contained in the $\sigma-$ algebra $\mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}((X_t)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant r})$.

Reduction From Continuous Time to Discrete Time

Set $f_{ au} \equiv d_{0, au}\,g_{ au}$, the discrete time formula is

$$V_0 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(0,\dots,T)} \mathsf{E}^Q \{ f_\tau(X_\tau) \}$$

- $\{X_0, X_1, \dots X_T\}$ underlying discrete time stochastic process
- $\mathcal{T}(0,\ldots,T)$ all stopping times with value in $0,\ldots,T$

The Aim

The optimal stopping time τ^* such that

$$V_0 = \sup_{ au \in \mathcal{T}(0,...,\mathcal{T})} \mathsf{E}^Q \{ f_ au(X_ au) \} = \mathsf{E} \{ f_{ au^*}(X_{ au^*}) \}$$



Essential Notions

$$\begin{split} q_t(x) &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(t+1,...,T)} \mathsf{E}\{f_\tau(X_\tau) \,|\, X_t = x\} \text{ as } 0 \leqslant t < T; \; q_T(x) = 0 \\ v_t(x) &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(t,t+1,...,T)} \mathsf{E}\{f_\tau(X_\tau) \,|\, X_t = x\} \\ \tau_t^* &= \inf\{s \geqslant t+1: q_s(X_s) \leqslant f_s(X_s)\}, \quad t \in \{-1,0,\ldots,T-1\} \end{split}$$

Interpretation

- $q_t(x)$: Given $X_t = x$, the value of the option at t without selling.
- $v_t(x)$: Given $X_t = x$, the value we get in the mean if we sell the option optimally after t-1.

Theorem

The optimal stopping time au^* is

$$\tau^* = \tau_{-1}^* = \inf\{s \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\} : q_s(X_s) \leqslant f_s(X_s)\}$$

N.B. Books about American option usually state this result without proof; those with proofs are incomplete at best. Complete proof is given in Kohler M. "A Review on Regression-Based Monte Carlo Method for Pricing American Options".



Representations of $q_t(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} q_t(x) &= \mathsf{E}\{f_{\tau_t^*}(X_{\tau_t^*})|X_t = x\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\{\max\left(f_{t+1}(X_{t+1}), q_{t+1}(X_{t+1})\right)|X_t = x\} \\ &= \mathsf{E}\{\Theta_{t+1, t+w+1}^{(w)}|X_t = x\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{t+1,t+w+1}^{(w)} &= \\ &\sum_{s=t+1}^{t+w+1} f_s(X_s) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{f_{t+1}(X_{t+1}) < q_{t+1}(X_{t+1}), \dots, f_{s-1}(X_{s-1}) < q_{s-1}(X_{s-1}), f_s(X_s) \geqslant q_s(X_s)\right\}} \\ &+ q_{t+w+1}(X_{t+w+1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{f_{t+1}(X_{t+1}) < q_{t+1}(X_{t+1}), \dots, f_{t+w+1}(X_{t+w+1}) < q_{t+w+1}(X_{t+w+1})\right\}} \end{split}$$

The exact value of $q_t(x)$ is hard to compute because of the conditional expectation. Insight: use regression estimates to approximately compute!

Excursion: Variance Reduction by Control Variate

If we want to compute E(X), suppose we can find another r.v. Y 'close' to X with known E(Y). Then r.v.

$$Z = X + E(Y) - Y$$

satisfies E(Z) = E(X) + E(Y) - E(Y) = E(X). In this context, Y is the control variate.