$$u_{i1} - u_{i2} = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2)$$
(1)

$$u_{i1} - u_{i2} = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2)$$
 (1)

We observe  $X_i$ ,  $Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$ 

$$u_{i1} - u_{i2} = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2)$$
 (1)

We observe  $X_i$ ,  $Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$ 

We also observe the choice that was made,  $d_i$ 

$$u_{i1} - u_{i2} = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2)$$
 (1)

We observe  $X_i$ ,  $Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$ 

We also observe the choice that was made,  $d_i$ 

We can mathematically express this choice in two different ways:

- $d_i$  is integer-valued,  $d_i \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ; (J = 2 in this case)
- $d_{ij}$  is binary,  $d_{ij} \equiv 1[d_i = j]$

Assuming  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} T1EV$  gives the likelihood of choosing 1 and 2:

Assuming 
$$e_1, e_2 \sim 7127$$
 gives the intermood of choosing 1 and 2

 $P_{i1} = rac{\exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$   $P_{i2} = rac{1}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$ 

Assuming  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} T1EV$  gives the likelihood of choosing 1 and 2:

$$= \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})$$

 $P_{i2} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$ 

$$P_{i1} = rac{\exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$$

Note: if  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} T1EV$  then  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \sim \text{Logistic}$ 

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \equiv \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ 

Assuming  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} T1EV$  gives the likelihood of choosing 1 and 2:

$$P_{i1} = rac{\exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$$
  $P_{i2} = rac{1}{1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2})}$ 

Note: if  $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2 \stackrel{\textit{iid}}{\sim} T1EV$  then  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \sim \mathsf{Logistic}$ 

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \equiv \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ 

We can view the event  $d_i = j$  as a weighted coin flip

This gives us a random variable that follows the Bernoulli distribution

Supposing our sample is of size N, the likelihood function is:

 $\mathcal{L}(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{i1}^{d_{i1}} P_{i2}^{d_{i2}}$ 

Supposing our sample is of size N, the likelihood function is:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Z},\mathsf{d};eta,\gamma
ight) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{i1}^{d_{i1}} P_{i2}^{d_{i2}}$$

 $=\prod^{N}P_{i1}^{d_{i1}}\left[1-P_{i1}\right]^{(1-d_{i1})}$ 

Supposing our sample is of size N, the likelihood function is:

$$\mathcal{L}(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{i1}^{d_{i1}} P_{i2}^{d_{i2}}$$

$$= \prod^{N} P_{i1}^{d_{i1}} \left[1 - P_{i1}\right]^{(1-d_{i1})}$$

$$i=1 \ = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( rac{ \exp((eta_1 - eta_2) X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2))}{1 + \exp((eta_1 - eta_2) X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2))} 
ight)^{d_{i1}} imes \ \left( rac{1}{1 + \exp((eta_1 - eta_2) X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2))} 
ight)^{(1-d_{i1})}$$

For many reasons, it's better to maximize the log likelihood function

Taking the log gives:

$$\ell\left(X,Z,d;eta,\gamma
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{i1} \log P_{i1} + \left(1-d_{i1}
ight) \log \left(1-P_{i1}
ight)$$

For many reasons, it's better to maximize the log likelihood function

Taking the log gives:

$$\ell(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{i1} \log P_{i1} + (1 - d_{i1}) \log (1 - P_{i1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^2 d_{ij} \log P_{ij}$$

Expanding the log likelihood:

 $\ell(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ \log \left( \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right] + \right\}$ 

 $(1-d_{i1}) [\log (1) - \log (1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}))]$ 

Expanding the log likelihood:

$$\ell(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ \log \left( \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right] + \left( 1 - d_{i1} \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 \right) - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$i=1$$
  $(1-d_{i1}) [\log (1) - \log (1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}))] \}$ 

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ u_{i1} - u_{i2} \right] - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right\}$ 

Expanding the log likelihood:

$$\ell(X, Z, d; \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ \log \left( \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right] + \\ \left( 1 - d_{i1} \right) \left[ \log \left( 1 \right) - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ u_{i1} - u_{i2} \right] - \log \left( 1 + \exp(u_{i1} - u_{i2}) \right) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ d_{i1} \left[ (\beta_1 - \beta_2) X_i + \gamma (Z_1 - Z_2) \right] - \right\}$$

 $\log (1 + \exp((\beta_1 - \beta_2)X_i + \gamma(Z_1 - Z_2)))$ 

We can then estimate  $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)$  and  $\gamma$  using any nonlinear optimizer