

k-Nearest Neighbor Estimator for Bayesian EvidenceCHANGHOON HAHN^{1, 2, *}¹*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Rd, Berkeley CA 94720, USA*²*Berkeley Center for Cosmological Physics, University of California, Berkeley CA 94720, USA*

(Dated: DRAFT --- 53a1352 --- 2020-06-03 --- NOT READY FOR DISTRIBUTION)

ABSTRACT

abstract

Keywords: keyword1 – keyword2 – keyword3

1. ESTIMATOR

Consider the commonly used Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between two distributions $p(x)$ and $g(x)$:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p \parallel q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx. \quad (1)$$

The KL divergence between the posterior $p = p(\theta | D, m)$ and prior $\pi = p(\theta | m)$ is then

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p \parallel \pi) = \int p(\theta | D, m) \log \frac{p(\theta | D, m)}{p(\theta | m)} d\theta. \quad (2)$$

If we use Bayes' Theorem to substitute for $p(\theta | D, m)$ in the numerator, we can rewrite this as

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p \parallel \pi) = \int p(\theta | D, m) \log \frac{p(D | \theta, m)}{p(D | m)} d\theta \quad (3)$$

$$= -\log p(D | m) + \int p(\theta | D, m) \log p(D | \theta, m) d\theta. \quad (4)$$

The first term of the right hand side is the log evidence and the second term is the expectation value of the log likelihood under the posterior, $\langle \log \mathcal{L} \rangle$. In standard Bayesian analyses in astronomy where we use MCMC to sample the posterior, $\langle \log \mathcal{L} \rangle$ can be easily derived with these samples using Monte Carlo integration:

$$\langle \log \mathcal{L} \rangle \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathcal{L}(\theta^{(i)}) \quad (5)$$

Therefore, if we can estimate the KL divergence between the posterior and prior we can also estimate the evidence:

$$\log p(D | m) = \langle \log \mathcal{L} \rangle - D_{\text{KL}}(p(D | \theta, m) \parallel \pi). \quad (6)$$

* hahn.changhoon@gmail.com

To estimate the divergence, we can make use of non-parametric divergence estimators, which have been applied to Support Distribution Machines and used in the machine learning and astronomical literature (*e.g.* Póczos et al. 2011; Poczos et al. 2012a,b; Xu et al. 2013; Ntampaka et al. 2015, 2016; Ravanbakhsh et al. 2017; ?). These estimators allow us to estimate the divergence between distributions p and q , $D(p||q)$ using samples drawn from them. In our case, p and q are the posterior and prior distributions. Again, standard analyses already sample the posterir distribution; the prior distribution is typcially straightforward to sample.

For the KL divergence, we use the k -Nearest Neighbor (NN) estimator presented in Wang et al. (2009). Let $X_{1:n} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ and $Y_{1:m} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ be n and m samples drawn from the p and q d -dimensional distributions, respectively. Then the divergence between p and q can be estimated as:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p||q) \approx \widehat{D}_{\text{KL}}(p||q) = \frac{d}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\log \frac{\nu_{\ell_i}(i)}{\rho_{k_i}(i)} \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi(\ell_i) - \psi(k_i) \right] + \log \frac{m}{n-1}. \quad (7)$$

In the first term, $\rho_k(i)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between X_i and the k^{th} -NN of X_i in sample $\{X_j\}_{j \neq i}$. $\nu_\ell(i)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between X_i and the ℓ^{th} NN of X_i in the sample $Y_{1:m}$. In the second term, ψ is the Digamma function: $\psi(k) = \Gamma'(k)/\Gamma(k)$. This term corrects for the estimation bias that comes from nonuniformity of the distribution near each sample point and guarantees that the estimator is asymptotically unbiased. Furthermore, while some k -NN estimators require chome choice in k and ℓ (*e.g.* Poczos et al. 2012a), the Wang et al. (2009) estimator adaptively determines ℓ_i and k_i as the number of samples $X_{1:n}$ and $Y_{1:m}$, respectively, contained in $B(X_i, \epsilon(i))$, a Euclidean ball centered at X_i with radius $\epsilon(i)$.

$$\epsilon(i) = \max(\rho(i), \nu(i)) \quad (8)$$

where

$$\rho(i) = \min_{j \neq i} \|X_i - X_j\| \quad (9)$$

$$\nu(i) = \min_{j \neq i} \|X_i - Y_j\|. \quad (10)$$

For further details on the estimator and proofs that the estimator is asymptotically unbiased and mean-square consistent we refer readers to Wang et al. (2009).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It's a pleasure to thank ... This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This project used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

APPENDIX

REFERENCES

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>Ntampaka M., Trac H., Sutherland D. J.,
Battaglia N., Poczos B., Schneider J., 2015, <i>The Astrophysical Journal</i>, 803, 50</p> | <p>Ntampaka M., Trac H., Sutherland D. J.,
Fromenteau S., Poczos B., Schneider J., 2016,
<i>The Astrophysical Journal</i>, 831, 135</p> |
|---|---|

- Póczos B., Szabó Z., Schneider J., 2011, in 2011 19th European Signal Processing Conference. pp 1718–1722
- Póczos B., Xiong L., Schneider J., 2012a, arXiv:1202.3758 [cs, stat]
- Póczos B., Xiong L., Sutherland D., Schneider J., 2012b, Machine Learning Department
- Ravanbakhsh S., Lanusse F., Mandelbaum R., Schneider J., Póczos B., 2017, in Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- Wang Q., Sanjeev K., Sergio V., 2009, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, 55, 2392
- Xu X., Ho S., Trac H., Schneider J., Póczos B., Ntampaka M., 2013, [The Astrophysical Journal](#), 772, 147