1) Lagrangian Construction of the West group. N S y Shill Recall Z=JXXN $\overline{\text{thm}}: \text{H}_{\text{top}}(Z) \cong \widehat{\text{QCW}}$ as ass algo. preparations: recal u. grs - grs is a principle w-bundle. for any heh, ~ ~ (h)~ G~ (h+n). v: grs - L is W-equivariant. Let h be a senisimple regular element WEW, W: Th -> 3 Wh)

Let
$$\Lambda_{\omega}^{h} \subseteq \widehat{g}^{\omega(h)} \times \widehat{g}^{h}$$
 denote the graph of the W -action.
Hence $\Lambda_{\omega}^{h} = \{(x,b,x',b') \mid x = x' \in b \cap b', v(x',b') = h, \}$
 $(b,b) \in Y_{\omega}$
 $(\omega:=G,(b,\omega(b)) \subseteq B \times B$ orbit cow. to ω .
Lemma: $\Lambda_{\omega}^{h} \longrightarrow G \times B \times B$ (h+ $\pi \Lambda \omega(n)$)

$$T^{2}$$
 $V_{\omega} \cong G_{B \cap \omega(B)}$

The way Tulki y To gulli)

proof of the theorem: idea: Study the above via hoo, use specialization in Borel - Moore homology. (Record we need a local trivial fibration Z(S*) -) S*= S(80)) We can't take the base S to be has the local trivid fibration condition will not be satisfied. Instead, take a complex like L E h res, set L*= L/Fo?

Let 31: - va(1), 3 w(1): = va(w(1))

Define $\Lambda_{\omega}^{L} := \left(\vec{g}_{\omega}^{(l)} \times \vec{g}_{\omega}^{(l)} \right) \cap \left(\vec{g}_{\omega}^{(l)} \times \vec{g}_{\omega}^{(l)} \right)$

Apply the specialization construction to

 $\gamma: \wedge^{\iota} \rightarrow \iota$ $\Lambda_{\omega}^{\circ} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}) \cap (\widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\eta} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}) = Z$

Therefore, Specialization defines m= dlm1RZ Mm: H_{m+2} (N_w) -> H_m (Z), h a varging pt in l.

Let (\(\lambda_{\omega}^{\change\hat{h}}\) := \(\lambda_{\omega}^{\change} \lambda_{\omega}^{\change} \rangle \).

Fact: [No.h] doesn't depend on h. Denote it by [Nw].

(= transitivity of specialization)

On the other hand,

specialization commutes with C Nyu = C Ny + (Nu)Conrolation.

 $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}) \to H_{top}(2)$ CUNJ (-) W

It remans to show that FCNill(veW) is a basis for H_{top}(Z) We already know {[T*(BXB) | w ∈ W] is a basis for H top (2) Notice that RZ(Nw) = Yw => Nw = I nwy [Ty* (BxB)], nwy Eq (4 = w is 4(0) = 4(m)) C(aim: Nww=1 Restrict to Y(w), Nw, h El" is isomorphic to the flat family of affine bundles

G-XRUMB) (M+DUMU)) -> (M.

which degenerates to (=xong) (numn) -> 1n or (-> i This is exactly the conormal bundle Tyw (BXB). Thus, Nw, = 1, and we are done. 17 Open duestioni what is nowy?

 $Z = \left\{ (g, h, g_2 h, \chi) \mid g_1, g_2 \in G, \chi \in \mathcal{N} \right\}$ B 9,1 The fiber over $b = \frac{926}{5}$, $x > \frac{926}{5}$, $x \in 92000$

GATIC TO GXN

(9,x) = (9/2 9297) 1-> (9.6, 9297)

9-1(G/2×n) = {(gb,x) | 9EG, xEN] =: R

=> Z = GXR

Recont work of Braverman-Finkelberg-Nakajime on Coulomb branch.

(G, NON*), N a finite dimit rep of G

~) Mc CG,N) = Spec (some comm. alg A)
Gulomb branch.

How to construct this alg A?

Kaccitil, Oa Geetlij,

Gr:= G(K)/G(y) affile Grasswannian

No: = NCCt1]

 $N_{\mathsf{k}} := \mathcal{N}((\mathsf{t}))$

17

4

V

 $T:=G(X)X_{G(0)}N_{O}\stackrel{\text{mult}}{\longrightarrow}N_{K}$ Gr=G(K)/(+(19) Define RBFN: = (Pr, x mut) (Gr x N19) R Consider HG(0), BM (RBFV) HBBM (R) SI + G(K), BM (TXT) NK HG,BM (Z) BFN Constructed a convolution alg structure on HG(6),BM(RBFW), and proved that it's commutative. 2) Geometric Analysis of H(2)-action. $\mu:\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\to\mathcal{N}$, $Z=\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{K}\in\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}:=\mu^{-1}(x)$ we already proved H(2) = QCM]. We will obtain all W. vops of W vin H(Ox) $Write H(B_r) : = Ht_{qp}(B_r)$ Note ZoBx=Bx, and BxoZ=Bx. =) H((Bx) is a H(2)-bimodule. H(Bx) L/H(Bx)R left/right H(2)-module. geG, Bx -> Bg(K) 9: H*(B*)-> H*(Bolks), (~) g.c Lem: the left (vesp. right) H(21-action on Hx (Pr) is Compatible with the natural G-action, i.e. g.(z.c)= z.(g.c)

If: Galso acts on Z, g: Bx -> Bg(x) g.(t.c) = ga) g(c) But Gis (surrected =) Gaction on H(2) is trivial. Gx = ZG(x) CBx by oujligation =) C(x): = Gr/G; the can component group acts on H(Bx). Let. 3 natural ((x)-action on H (Bx), which commutes with the H(2)-artion. Therefore, we have decomposition: $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}} H(\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{R}})^{\Gamma} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}}} \chi \otimes H(\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{R}})^{\chi} \xrightarrow{(*)}$ ((x)= Scomplex irreps of (cx) which occur M H(Bx)]/~

for geG, teH(2), CEH*(Bx)

Remark: We need to use a coefficient since not all Theres
of C(R) (an be defined over Q,

This: a) $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall \in (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}, H(\mathbb{B}_x)_{\chi})$ is a shiple H(2)-med. b). $H(\mathbb{B}_x)_{\chi}$ and $H(\mathbb{B}_y)_{\psi}$ are isomorphic iff the pairs

 (χ,χ) and (y, ψ) are G-conjugate.

c). The set of H(Bx) x | r EN, x E ((r)) is a complete collection of isomorphism classes of Shaple H(2)-mods.

Rule: The proof of this holds in a move general setty.

. N G-variety consists of finitely many G-orbits

· M: N-> N G-aquivariant, Semi-Smarl.

We will work in the Springer resolution case.

To prove this, we need some preparations. Let (H(Px)L): = Homa(H(Bx)L, Q), and define a right H(2)-action on it by (Ŭ·Z)(W)=Ŭ(Z·W), Z∈H(Z), Ŭ∈(H(Bx)L), $\omega \in H(\mathbb{B}_{K})_{L}$ Lemmal: 3 an isomorphism of right H(2)-modules. H(Bx) = (H(Bx)L), which is compatible with the C(x)-actions, where C(x) acts on (H (Bx)L) by

Lemma 2: H(2) is a semissimple of .

(g, v)(w) = v (g, w), v ∈ (H(Bx)), g ∈ (ck), w∈ H(Dx),

Rule: Lem 1 2 2 Can be proved use Sheaf-theoretic techniques in the more general setty. Lemma 2 already holds in the

Springer case as H(2) = DCW] Pf of the theorem based on Lemma I and 2: Introduce a paritial order on the set of nilpotent orbits usw. 0' \(\text{0} \) if \(\text{0}' \) \(\text{0} \). 0'<0 if b' = 5/0. M: Z)N, for any SEN, Let Zs:=uz(s) Lat Zso: = 11 Zo = Zo, Z<0'= 11 Zo'. Then Z. Zso=Zso. Z=Zso. Or: H(20) and H(20) are 2-sided ideals in HQ

put Ho: = H(2=0)/H(2<0)

Ho is a H (2) bi-mod, and it has a basis through by
the fundamental classes of the im. Comps of Zo.

Recall $Z_0 = \mu^{-1}(0) = \hat{0} \times 0.0$ $= G \times_{G_X} (B_X \times B_X).$

M. comps of Zo are of the form

Gx (Bx x Bx), where { Bx} = irr. comps of Bx

Hence, (iv. comps of Zo) bijection (x)-orbits on pairs of comps of Bx.

The natural restriction map (Lemma 2.7.46) fires an alg

 $H_{\mathcal{Q}} \to H(2_x) = H(\mathcal{B}_x \times \mathcal{B}_x) = H(\mathcal{B}_x) \times H(\mathcal{D}_x)$

(Moreover, by the description of the basis of Ho, we get an H(2)-binod isomorphism.

 $H_{0} \supset \left(H(\mathbb{R}_{x})_{L} \otimes H(\mathbb{R}_{x})_{R}\right)^{C(x)}$

The closure relation < on the orbits U gives a filtration of H(2) by the two sided ideals H(250). gr H(2) = the ass. graded space w.r.t. to this filtration,

Which is a H(2)-bimod.

Moreover, H(2) = grH(2) as H(2)-bimod Shu

H(2) is a semistiple alg. (Lemma 2).

Thus $H(2) \simeq grH(2) = \bigoplus H_0$

 $= \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{C(X)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{C(X)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{C(X)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{C(X)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{C(X)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{equation(*)}} + \frac{\text{equation(*)}}{\text{equat$ = (+) Ham (H(Bx)x, H(Bx)y). - (**) (xex)/wj as H(2)-bimods.

On the other hand, let FEA? be a complete collection of

simple H(2)-mods, since C&H(2) is semisimple,

CBH(2) = (Hom(E, Ed) - (K*X) (ompave (++) and (+++), we see each

H(Bx)x must be a simple H(2)-mod, and

{H(Bx)x (XEN, XEC(x)^) is a complete collection of Bomorphism classes of shiple H(2)-mods