The projective bundle theorem. G-equiv. vx v v.b. on x. R: (P(E) → X projective builde with filer 19th. O(k) germs of sections = germs of regular functions on IP(E) E\((zero section)) that are homogeneous of deg k along the fibers. Thy (Quillen) KG(IP(E)) is freely generated over KG(X) by the classes [O(k)], Osksn-1.

3 relative version of the Euler sequence O-) $O_{P/X}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^*V \rightarrow Q_{P/X} \rightarrow 0$ Same argument as above gives

If Kis a Gregur, sheaf on 18x1P, define a relative

version of the convolution action

 $\mathbb{Z}_{*}: \mathbb{K}^{G}(\mathbb{P}) \to \mathbb{K}^{G}(\mathbb{P})$

7 1-> Z(-1)2 (pr,)*Tor; (x, pr; f)

Take X= Ex OIPS

Ex OIPS & prz f = Ex (OIR B E* prz*f)

=) \(\mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L

In the other hand, using the volutive resolution of Exulps, we get ExUB* F = (Opx 10 - Opp (-1) 1252 p/x (1) + ...) * F.

$$\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Plx}}(-i) | \mathbb{X} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{Plx}}^{i}(i) \right) * \mathcal{F} \\
= p_{Y_{i}} * \left(p_{Y_{i}} * \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Plx}}(-i) \otimes p_{Y_{i}} * \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{Plx}}^{i}(i) \otimes \mathcal{F} \right) \right)$$

Thus, f = (Ex 1/2) * F

$$f = (2 \times 0)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (1)^{i} U_{i}(x^{(-i)}) \otimes x^{*}(x^{(i)}) \otimes f$$

Let S= F(M)

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (n-1-i) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{T}_{*} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (i-n+1) \otimes \mathcal{E} \right)$$

This finishes the proof.

3. The Chern Character map.

For a smooth variety x, Let A(x): = ring of cycles modulo rutural equivalence.

3 a homomorphism, carled Chern dravactor mop Ch: K(X) -> A(X)a

determined by:

(i) ch is a ring homomorphism

(ii) if f: Y→X, they chof*=f*,ch.

(iii) If Lisa line bundle on X,

([[]) = exp(C((L))

see the appendix of Hartshorne or Fultan

If X is a closed subvariety of a smooth quasi-proj. Variety M.

There is also a honology their diaracter map

Ch: K(X) -> H*(X, C) = Barel-Noore howelegy of X It has the followy properties, see Fulton's book. Prop: (i) Normalization: $ch_{1}O_{x}) = C_{x}I + r \in H_{x}(x), \quad r \in H_{<2dm_{c}x}(x)$ (11) Additivity: For any s.e.s. 0-) I'-> I -> I'-> 0, ch*(f) = ch*(f')+ ch*(f").

((ii) Restriction to an open subset: U = M Zariski open, IN Smooth X SM closed. i= XMU -> X. The-

 $k(x) \xrightarrow{i^*} k(x \cap u)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \downarrow ch_{*} & \varsigma, & \downarrow ch_{*} \\
& \downarrow ch_{*} & \uparrow ch_{*} & \downarrow ch_{*} & \downarrow ch_{*} \\
& \downarrow ch_{*} & \downarrow$$

This (Riemann-Roch for sugarar varieties, Baum-Futon-MacPherson)

Given
$$X \neq Y \mid M, N \leq S$$
 for f proper.

A $f \neq f$ for f proper.

The third $f \neq f$ for $f \neq f$ foref $f \neq f$ for f

5 The localization theorem

Let A be a complex torus, afA ~> multiplicative subset

SERIA) which do not vaursh at a.

Ra: = 57. R(A).

YR-mod M, let Ma:=RaBRM.

E A-equiv. U.b. Du X, where AZX trivially.

SpE = {A-weights of E} SHom (A, C*)

E= P Ea, deSpE

 $K^{A}(x) = R(A) \otimes_{i} K(x)$

J(E): = Z(H) NE = Z(H) Ni (Z LO) ERLA) OKO) Prop; Assume & de SpE, d(a) +1, st, X=E9. Then

Kj(x) ~ X(E) Kj (x) a is ou isomorphism.

Pf: Step1: First assume E = trivial u,b. if dimE=1, SpE= Sa] alal +0 then NE) = I-des SR(A) Thus, $\lambda(E)$ is invertible in Ra. In general. $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ $\lambda(E) = \prod_{\alpha} (I-\sigma) \in S$. Step 2: induction as den X. Linx 20 follows from Step 1. Assume dmx >0, 3 Zariski open deuse USX, Y:=XM. S.t. Elin is trivial.

St. E(u) is trivial. $A(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} (Y)_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{A} (Y)_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{A} (Y)_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{A} (Y)_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{A} (Y)_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{A} (Y)_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{A} (Y)_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{A}$

~ follows from step1, ~ follows from induction.

Thus, X(E): (<f(X)a -> Kf(X)a is also an isomorphism. Cor: E as before, Assume a EA s.t. E = X.

Then $i_*: K_j^A(x)_a \xrightarrow{\sim} K_j^A(E)_a$.

pf. Than isomorphism =) it is an isomorphism i*i*= λ(E) = i, is an iso. by the above prop.

More generally, we have

Thm (Thomason's Localization theorem)

For any A-variety X, $i: X^a \hookrightarrow X$, 1/4: KA (Xa) a ~ KA (X) a is an isomorphism.

RMC· i*· KA(XA) -> KA(X)

Kerix and colerix are K-(4+)-modules, and have some Support in T

Thomason proved.

Supp Keri*, Supp Colceri* = U [t"=1],

for finitely many non-trivial characters μ . Since $K^A(X^A) \simeq R(A) \otimes K(X^A)$ is torsion free,

=) Ker v_{*} = 0

Thus, in becomes an isomorphism by inverting those (tm-1).

In literatures, people usually invert all the non-zero

elements in RG), i.e. let

KA(X), c= KA(X) & Frack(A)

Fraction field of RM)

Then ix. KA(XA)(oc >> KA(X)(oc.

§ Functoriality. M Smooth Variety/C, Lenna: MG is a smooth subvariety of M.

(& Luna slice theorem)

G/a reductive.

Det: a EA is called 11-regular if MA = Ma.

Let A be a complex torus, M smooth quasi-proj. A-variety

Rule: N:= Tran normal bundle. ACN acts on the fiser, fixing the base MA.

Thus N= DNA.

Then a EA is M-regular iff x(a) # | + x & & N.

Let $\lambda_A := \sum (1)^1 \cdot \Lambda^1 N^2 \in \mathbb{R}^A(M^A) = \mathbb{R}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}(M^A)$

i: WA CAM. for any M-regular a.

Record i*i*= \(A. and Ki(MA) = \(Ki(MA) = Ki(M

Let ev: KA(pt)=R(A) -> Ca be the evaluation homomorphism. f (a)

Let λ_q be the image of λ_q under KA(My) = K(V) (S) K(My) = KC(My) = KC(My)

i.e. $\lambda_{\alpha} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in S_{pN}} \left(\sum_{i} \left(-\alpha(\alpha)^{i} \cdot \bigwedge^{i} N_{\alpha} \right) \right)$

Since $\lambda_A: K^A(M^A)_a \xrightarrow{\sim} K^A(M^A)_a$.

3 la E Ke(MA)

Define resa: KA(M) -> Kc(MA)

F (→) (λa) (Nev(i*F) ∈ Kc(MA)

Lemma: Let a be M-regular, then resa: Ca Spian KA(M) ~ KG(MA). Pf: Thomason Localization theorem =) in: KA(MA) => KA(M)a. tensoring with Ca, 2; (MA) ~> KA (M) BR (A) Fa. Moreover,

eu ° l'* l'x = la

-) (2/4) - 1 - 1/9 ev, i* = resa.

Thu f. X-) Y proper, A-equivariant, X, Y smooth ASSUME a EA is both X and & regular. then

KA(X) + XA(Y) Yesa S Vesa

Kc(xA) -> Kc(xA)

pf. KA(x) fx) KA(r) C_{c} GOKA(X) - COOKA(T) Ka(XA) to Ka(XA) Since resa = (ic) -1, the commutativity of the bottom Square is equivalent to GONKA(X) + GONKA(Y) (1/4) / 1/4 Kc(xA) fx Kc(YA), and this follows from tie Ti