
Progress Report Phase 1: A system to regulate E-waste export in the EU

Anita Baier

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
anita.baier@gmx.de

Jonas Mattes

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
j.mattes@campus.lmu.de

An Tien Go

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
antiengo@campus.lmu.de

Guoliang Xue

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
guoliang.xue@campus.lmu.de

Zhe Li

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
li.zhe@campus.lmu.de

Bruno Müller

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
muellerbr@cip.ifi.lmu.de

Changkum Ou

Ludwigs-Maximilian-Universität
Munich, Germany
hi@changkun.us

ABSTRACT

In today's society electronics become a more and more crucial part of our lives. The demand for electronic devices increases and so does the amount of waste we produce with them. E-waste is a

SPWAL SS17, May 25, 2017, Munich, Germany

© 2017 Association for Computing Machinery.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of Seminar und Praktikum "Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren" Sommersemester 2017*, May 25, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>.

term used for old electronic devices that are of no use anymore and thus become scrap. This causes serious pollution to our surroundings and other countries since most of the e-waste is being exported to third world countries.

Our goal is to find a solution to this pollution problem. Our plan is to create a trading system similar to the CO2 trading system of the European Union. Countries are allowed to only export a certain amount of e-waste. This amount can be increased if they either buy allowances from other countries or if they invest in recycling of e-waste. Meanwhile, we leverage a mathematical model to prove our rationality of the system. The global e-waste export limits will be lowered year by year, thus lowering global pollution created by no longer used electronics.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Hardware** → **Power and energy**; *Impact on the environment*;

KEYWORDS

E-waste; Fee-Upon-Disposal Regulation; recycling.

ACM Reference format:

Anita Baier, Zhe Li, Jonas Mattes, Bruno Müller, An Tien Go, Changkum Ou, and Guoliang Xue. 2017. Progress Report Phase 1: A system to regulate E-waste export in the EU. In *Proceedings of Seminar und Praktikum “Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren” Sommersemester 2017, Munich, Germany, May 25, 2017 (SPWAL SS17)*, 4 pages.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

ACHIEVEMENTS

We collect and analyze related data. Several diagrams would be plotted to demonstrate what the current situation of E-waste in EU is, and which hazards it has brought into daily citizens life. More specifically, we could find out what the development tendency of E-waste is in respective country, and is there any difference in terms of volume of E-waste among countries.

So now we have a comprehensive understanding regarding the current situation of E-waste: keeping increasing E-waste, rigorous solutions(eg. ship e-waste to third world countries)[6], ineffective solutions (eg. deposit for producer), recycling and reusing only not long-lasting lower e-waste[1, 3], which gives the benefits for our next e-waste measuring analysis report and a system design step.

NEXT STEP

More Researches regarding Measurement

We have done some researches about how the existing methods [2, 4] contend with E-waste problem, and find out whether they have any flaws that we can avoid in my project, or could we put forward a

new way to solve those issues. As reference to our proposal description, CO2 trading system will be an appropriate analogy to our system. We should leverage the “cap and trade” principle to solve the reasonable distribution of E-waste.

In the next step, we accentuate the task how to measure the E-waste and what is the quality criteria. An analysis report shall be presented in the final paper.

Tiny System Design

To provide a better prerequisite for mathematical model, and we also plans to refine a tiny robust system design with a methemathical proof to justify our analysis report.

We could use more scientific and efficient way [7] to manage system. Additionally, from the regulation aspect, Plambeck and Wang [5] investigates the influence of how e-waste regulation types and market structure affects related four aspects of new production introduction: the quantify of e-waste, profits of manufacturer, consumer surplus and social welfare. So we also need to integrate those four aspects to our system.

A Methemathical Proof

Since many solution to the e-waste problems are extreme, it is important for a successful transfer from old plans to efficient e-waste plans to make small adjustments first.

For this reason, we introduce an e-waste allowance[8]. This will force countries to slowly but surely reduce their e-waste production. Therefore, we try to build a mathematical model [9], which could efficiently solve the allocation of E-waste all around countries in EU.

Optimized resource allocation algorithm will play a important role to implement this model. After that we try to prove its rationality. This part is the most crucial part to justify our system is theoretically positive.

Here we introduce a new concept “certificate” as an impact factor. we could impose the restriction on the distribution of e-waste-shipping by certificate, at the same time, the number of available certificates for each country is supposed to consider as well.

Additional Aspects

In the following, it is necessary to mention what the risks our model is going to face and present our limitations of our project. Never to ignore is to analyze the reasons behind those risks.

In the last section a conclusion and future work on our topic will be provided.

Deviation from proposal

In this phase, in sort, we shifted our main target from developing a whole trading system to a measuring analysis and theoretical model of a tiny system design, which significantly reduces our actual workload of the huge EU e-waste system topic.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bundesministerium fuer Umwelt, Naturschutz, Bau und Reaktorsicherheit. 2017. FAQ zur BMUB-Internetseite. http://www.bmub.bund.de/service/buergerforum/haeufige-fragen-faq/faq-detailansicht/?no_cache=1&tx_irfaq_pi1. (2017). [Online; accessed May 11, 2017].
- [2] DUH. 2017. Handy Recycling. <http://www.duh.de/projekte/althandy/>. (2017). [Online; accessed May 11, 2017].
- [3] Ramzy Kahhat, Junbeum Kim, Ming Xu, Braden Allenby, Eric Williams, and Peng Zhang. 2008. Exploring e-waste management systems in the United States. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 52, 7 (2008), 955–964.
- [4] NABU. 2017. Alte Handys fuer die Havel. <https://www.nabu.de/umwelt-und-ressourcen/aktionen-und-projekte/alte-handys-fuer-die-havel/index.html>. (2017). [Online; accessed May 11, 2017].
- [5] Erica Plambeck and Qiong Wang. 2009. Effects of e-waste regulation on new product introduction. *Management Science* 55, 3 (2009), 333–347.
- [6] Brett H. Robinson. 2009. E-waste: An assessment of global production and environmental impacts. *Science of The Total Environment* 408, 2 (2009), 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.09.044>
- [7] Munam Ali Shah and Rakhshanda Batool. 2015. An Overview of Electronic Waste Management, Practices and Impending Challenges. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 125, 2 (2015).
- [8] Rie Watanabe and Guy Robinson. 2005. The European Union emissions trading scheme (EU ETS). *Climate Policy* 5, 1 (2005), 10–14.
- [9] Rolf Widmer, Heidi Oswald-Krapf, Deepali Sinha-Khetriwal, Max Schnellmann, and Heinz Böni. 2005. Global perspectives on e-waste. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 25 (2005).