Advanced Microeconomics II

Strictly Competitive Games

Brett Graham

Wang Yanan Institute for Studies in Economics Xiamen University, China

March 3, 2015

Brett Graham (WISE)

March 3, 2015

Strictly Competitive Games

Definition

A strategic game $\{\{1,2\},(A_i),(\succeq_i)\}$ is strictly competitive if for any $a \in A$ and $b \in A$ we have $a \succeq_1 b$ if and only if $b \succeq_2 a$.

Example:

Player 2
$$L R$$

Player 1 $U 3,4 6,1$
 $D 5,2 4,3$

Brett Graham (WISE)

March 3, 2015

March 3, 2015

Maxminimizing Strategies

Definition

Let $\{\{1,2\},(A_i),(\succeq_i)\}$ be a strictly competitive strategic game. The action $x^* \in A_1$ is a maxminimizer for player 1 if

$$\min_{y \in A_2} u_1(x^*, y) \ge \min_{y \in A_2} u_1(x, y) \text{ for all } x \in A_1.$$

The action $y^* \in A_2$ is a maxminimizer for player 2 if

$$\min_{x \in A_1} u_2(x, y^*) \ge \min_{x \in A_1} u_2(x, y) \text{ for all } y \in A_2.$$

Example:

Player 2
$$\frac{L}{R}$$
 Player 1 $\frac{U}{D}$ $\frac{3,4}{5,2}$ $\frac{6,1}{4,3}$

Zero-sum Games

Definition

A strategic game $\{\{1,2\},(A_i),(u_i)\}$ is zero-sum if for any $a \in A$ we have $u_2(a) = -u_1(a).$

Example:

Brett Graham (WISE) Advanced Microeconomics II March 3, 2015 Brett Graham (WISE) Advanced Microeconomics II

Zero-sum Games and Strictly Competitive Games

Lemma

Any strategic game can be translated into a zero-sum game that preserves player ordering over preference.

Example:

March 3, 2015

Zero-sum Games and Nash Equilibrium

Proposition

Let $G = \{\{1, 2\}, (A_i), (u_i)\}$ be a zero-sum strategic game.

- If (x^*, y^*) is a Nash equilibrium of G then x^* is a maxminimizer for player 1 and y^* is a maxminimizer for player 2.
- 2 If (x^*, y^*) is a Nash equilibrium of G then $\max_x \min_y u_1(x, y) = \min_y \max_x u_1(x, y)$, and thus all Nash equilibria of G yield the same payoffs.
- 3 If $\max_x \min_y u_1(x, y) = \min_y \max_x u_1(x, y)$, x^* is a maxminimizer for player 1, and y^* is a maxminimizer for player 2, then (x^*, y^*) is a Nash equilibrium of G.

Zero-sum Games and Nash Equilibrium

Lemma

Let $\{\{1,2\},(A_i),(u_i)\}$ be a zero-sum strategic game. Then $\max_{v \in A_2} \min_{x \in A_1} u_2(x, y) = -\min_{v \in A_2} \max_{x \in A_1} u_1(x, y)$. Further, $y \in A_2$ solves the problem $\max_{y \in A_2} \min_{x \in A_1} u_2(x, y)$ if and only if it solves the problem $\min_{y \in A_2} \max_{x \in A_1} u_1(x, y)$.

- For any function $f_1 \min_z f(z) = \max_z -f(z)$ and $arg min_z f(z) = arg max_z - f(z)$.
- \bullet min_{$x \in A_1$} $u_2(x, y) = \max_{x \in A_1} -u_2(x, y) = \max_{x \in A_1} u_1(x, y)$.
- $\max_{y \in A_2} \min_{x \in A_1} u_2(x, y) = -\min_{y \in A_2} \max_{x \in A_1} u_1(x, y).$

Zero-sum Games and Nash Equilibrium

- (x^*, y^*) is a NE $\Rightarrow u_2(x^*, y^*) > u_2(x^*, y)$ for all $y \in A_2$ $\Rightarrow u_1(x^*, y^*) < u_1(x^*, y) \text{ for all } y \in A_2$ $\Rightarrow u_1(x^*, y^*) = \min_v u_1(x^*, y) \le \max_x \min_v u_1(x, y).$
- (x^*, y^*) is a NE $\Rightarrow u_1(x^*, y^*) > u_1(x, y^*)$ for all $x \in A_1$ $\Rightarrow u_1(x^*, y^*) \ge \min_v u_1(x, y)$ for all $x \in A_1$ $\Rightarrow u_1(x^*, y^*) \geq \max_x \min_y u_1(x, y).$
- Repeat for player 2.
- What have we proven?

Brett Graham (WISE) Advanced Microeconomics II March 3, 2015 Brett Graham (WISE) Advanced Microeconomics II March 3, 2015

Zero-sum Games and Nash Equilibrium

- Let $v^* = \max_x \min_y u_1(x, y) = \min_y \max_x u_1(x, y)$.
- Since x^* is a maxminimizer for player 1, $u_1(x^*, y) \ge v^*$ for all $y \in A_2$. In particular, $u_1(x^*, y^*) \ge v^*$.
- From the lemma, $\max_y \min_x u_2(x,y) = -v^*$. y^* is a maxminimizer for player $2 \Rightarrow u_2(x,y^*) \ge -v^*$ for all $x \in A_1$. $\Rightarrow u_1(x,y^*) \le v^*$ for all $x \in A_1$. In particular, $u_2(x^*,y^*) \ge -v^* \Rightarrow u_1(x^*,y^*) \le v^*$.
- $v^* = u_1(x^*, y^*) \ge u_1(x, y^*)$ for all $x \in A_1$.
- Repeat for player 2.

Notes:

- A way to find Nash equilibria in strictly competitive games.
- Equilibria are interchangeable.
- $u_1(x^*, y^*)$ is the value of the game for player 1.
- $u_1(x^*, y^*)$ is the value of the game for player 1.

Brett Graham (WISE)

Advanced Microeconomics II

March 3, 2015

