

## Mid-term Exam of Advanced Econometrics II

1. Consider the problem of testing conditional homoskedasticity (  $H_0 : E(\varepsilon_t^2 | X_t) = \sigma^2$  ) for a linear regression model

$$Y_t = X_t' \beta^0 + \varepsilon_t,$$

where  $X_t$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector consisting of an intercept and explanatory variables. To test conditional homoskedasticity, we consider the auxiliary regression

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_t &= \text{vec}(X_t X_t')' \gamma + v_t \\ &= U_t' \gamma + v_t \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\varepsilon_t$  is directly observable. Construct an test for the null hypothesis when  $E(\varepsilon_t^4 | X_t) \neq \mu_4$ , that is  $E(\varepsilon_t^4 | X_t)$  is a function of  $X_t$ . Derive its limiting distribution.

ANSWER: Under  $H_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(v_t | X_t) &= \text{var}(\varepsilon_t^2 | X_t) \\ &= E(\varepsilon_t^4 | X_t) - \sigma^4 \\ &\neq \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

This means the disturbance  $v_t$  in the auxiliary regression model display conditional heteroskedasticity. According to the auxiliary regression model, the null hypothesis is equivalent to

$$H_0 : R\gamma = 0$$

where  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_J \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $I_J$  is the identity matrix, and  $J = \frac{K(K+1)}{2} - 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}\hat{\gamma} &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) + \sqrt{n}(\gamma_0 - 0) \\ &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, Q_u^{-1} V_v Q_u^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_v = E(U_t U_t' v_t^2)$ .

It follows that a robust Wald test statistic

$$W = \sqrt{n} R \hat{\gamma} [R Q_u^{-1} V_v Q_u^{-1} R']^{-1} \sqrt{n} R \hat{\gamma} \xrightarrow{d} \chi_J^2$$

Because  $\hat{Q}_u \xrightarrow{p} Q_u$  and  $\hat{V}_u \xrightarrow{p} V_u$ , we have the Wald test statistic

$$W = \sqrt{n} R \hat{\gamma} [R \hat{Q}_u^{-1} \hat{V}_u \hat{Q}_u^{-1} R']^{-1} \sqrt{n} R \hat{\gamma} \xrightarrow{d} \chi_J^2$$

by the Slutsky theorem.

2. Define

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}' \Omega^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\Gamma_1$  is  $(q+1) \times p$  and has rank  $p$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  is non-singular, and  $\Omega$  is p.s. Let  $\Sigma^{11}$  be the upper-left  $p \times p$  block of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ , and let  $\Omega_{11}$  be the upper-left  $(q+1) \times (q+1)$  block of  $\Omega$ . Show that  $\Sigma^{11} = (\Gamma'_1 \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Gamma_1)^{-1}$ . (Hints:  $\Sigma^{11} = (\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21})^{-1}$ )

ANSWER:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}' \Omega^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} \Omega^{11} & \Omega^{12} \\ \Omega^{21} & \Omega^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma'_1 \Omega^{11} \Gamma_1 & \Gamma'_1 \Omega^{12} \Gamma_2 \\ \Gamma'_2 \Omega^{21} \Gamma_1 & \Gamma'_2 \Omega^{22} \Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{11} &= \left( \Gamma'_1 \Omega^{11} \Gamma_1 - \Gamma'_1 \Omega^{12} \Gamma_2 (\Gamma'_2 \Omega^{22} \Gamma_2)^{-1} \Gamma'_2 \Omega^{21} \Gamma_1 \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left( \Gamma'_1 \left( \Omega^{11} - \Omega^{12} (\Omega^{22})^{-1} \Omega^{21} \right) \Gamma_1 \right)^{-1} \\ &= (\Gamma'_1 \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Gamma_1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

3. Consider the linear model

$$y_{T \times 1} = X_{T \times k} \beta_{k \times 1} + \varepsilon_{T \times 1} \quad (1)$$

the disturbance vector  $\varepsilon$  is normally distributed with  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $E(\varepsilon \varepsilon') = \Omega$ . The hypothesis to be tested is

$$R_{p \times k} \beta = r_{p \times 1} \quad (2)$$

(a) Do we need to impose some assumptions of  $p$  and  $k$ ?

The likelihood is given by

$$L(\beta, \Omega) = (2\pi)^{-T/2} |\Omega|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) \right\} \quad (3)$$

(b) Denote by  $(\hat{\beta}_u, \hat{\Omega})$  the estimates which jointly maximize (3). Show that  $\hat{\beta}_u = (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} y$ .

(c) Denote by  $(\tilde{\beta}_R, \tilde{\Omega})$  the estimates which jointly maximize (3) while satisfying the hypothesized restrictions (2). Show that  $\tilde{\beta}_R = \hat{\beta}_R - (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \left[ R (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \right]^{-1} (R \hat{\beta}_R - r)$ ,

and  $\hat{\beta}_R = (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} y$ .

Note that the hat indicates an unrestricted estimate while the tilde indicates an estimate from imposing the hypothesized restrictions. Subscripts on an estimate of  $\beta$  indicate that it is the *ML*

estimate conditional on the unrestricted ( $u$ ) or restricted ( $R$ ) estimate of  $\Omega$ . Thus  $\hat{\beta}_R$  is the value of  $\beta$  which maximizes the likelihood when the hypothesized restrictions are ignored but  $\hat{\Omega}$  is used for  $\Omega$  in the likelihood. Similarly,  $\tilde{\beta}_u$  satisfies the restriction while maximizing the likelihood when  $\Omega$  is set equal to  $\hat{\Omega}$ . Define the residuals

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_u = y - X\hat{\beta}_u$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_R = y - X\tilde{\beta}_R$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_R = y - X\hat{\beta}_R$$

and

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_u = y - X\tilde{\beta}_u$$

(d) Show that

$$\tilde{\beta}_u = \hat{\beta}_u - \left(X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X\right)^{-1}R'\hat{A}^{-1}\left(R\hat{\beta}_u - r\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_u = \hat{\varepsilon}_u + X\left(X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X\right)^{-1}R'\hat{A}^{-1}\left(R\hat{\beta}_u - r\right)$$

where  $\hat{A} = \left[R\left(X'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X\right)^{-1}R'\right]$ .

Note that the *Wald* statistic is given by

$$W = \left(R\hat{\beta}_u - r\right)'\hat{A}^{-1}\left(R\hat{\beta}_u - r\right).$$

(e) Show that  $W = \tilde{\varepsilon}_u'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}_u - \hat{\varepsilon}_u'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\hat{\varepsilon}_u$ , and furthermore, show that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_u'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}_u - \hat{\varepsilon}_u'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\hat{\varepsilon}_u = -2\left[\log \sup_{R\beta=r} L\left(\beta\left|\hat{\Omega}\right.\right) - \log \sup_{\beta} L\left(\beta\left|\hat{\Omega}\right.\right)\right]$ .

Note that the *LM* statistic is given by

$$LM = \lambda'\tilde{A}\lambda,$$

where  $\tilde{A} = \left[R\left(X'\tilde{\Omega}^{-1}X\right)^{-1}R'\right]$ . (f) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} LM &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_R'\tilde{\Omega}^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \hat{\varepsilon}_R'\tilde{\Omega}^{-1}\hat{\varepsilon}_R \\ &= -2\left[\log \sup_{R\beta=r} L\left(\beta\left|\tilde{\Omega}\right.\right) - \log \sup_{\beta} L\left(\beta\left|\tilde{\Omega}\right.\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} LR &= -2\left[\log \sup_{R\beta=r,\Omega} L\left(\beta\left|\Omega\right.\right) - \log \sup_{\beta,\Omega} L\left(\beta\left|\Omega\right.\right)\right] \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_R'\tilde{\Omega}^{-1}\tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \hat{\varepsilon}_u'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\hat{\varepsilon}_u \end{aligned}$$

(g) Show that  $W \geq LR \geq LM$

ANSWER:

(a)  $k < T$  and  $p < k$ .

(b) The likelihood function is

$$L(\beta, \Omega) = (2\pi)^{-T/2} |\Omega|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) \right\}$$

$$(\hat{\beta}_u, \hat{\Omega}) = \arg \max_{\beta, \Omega} \ln L(\beta, \Omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \Omega)}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta)}{\partial \beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (2X' \Omega^{-1} X \beta - 2X' \Omega^{-1} y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\hat{\beta}_u = (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} y$ .

(c)

$$\max_{\beta, \Omega} \ln L(\beta, \Omega) \quad s.t. \quad R\beta = r$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \ln L(\beta, \Omega) + \lambda(r - R\beta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = X' \Omega^{-1} y - X' \Omega^{-1} X \beta - R' \lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = r - R\beta = 0$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_R &= (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} y - R' \lambda) \\ &= (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} y - (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \lambda \\ &= \hat{\beta}_R - (X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \lambda \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_R = (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} y$ .

From  $r - R\tilde{\beta}_R = 0$ , we can get

$$\lambda = (RX' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta}_R - r)$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_u &= (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} y - (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \hat{\lambda} \\ &= \hat{\beta}_u - (X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X)^{-1} R' \hat{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\lambda} = \left( RX' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X R' \right)^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right) = \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right)$ ,  $\hat{A} = RX' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X R'$ . So

$$\tilde{\beta}_u = \hat{\beta}_u - \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right).$$

Notice that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_u = y - X \tilde{\beta}_u$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_u = y - X \hat{\beta}_u$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_u - \hat{\varepsilon}_u = X \left( \hat{\beta}_u - \tilde{\beta}_u \right) = X \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right)$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_u = \hat{\varepsilon}_u + X \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right)$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varepsilon}_u' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_u &= \left( \hat{\varepsilon}_u + X \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right) \right)' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \left( \hat{\varepsilon}_u + X \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right) \right) \\ &= \hat{\varepsilon}_u' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_u + \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right)' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the cross term  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_u' X \left( X' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \hat{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_u - r \right) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \left[ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L \left( \beta \mid \hat{\Omega} \right) - \log \sup_{\beta} L \left( \beta \mid \hat{\Omega} \right) \right] &= \left( y - X \tilde{\beta}_u \right)' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \left( y - X \tilde{\beta}_u \right) - \left( y - X \hat{\beta}_u \right)' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \left( y - X \hat{\beta}_u \right) \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_u' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_u - \hat{\varepsilon}_u' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_u \\ &= W \end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$LM = \lambda' \tilde{A} \lambda$$

where  $\tilde{A} = R \left( X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R'$ ,  $\lambda = \left( R \left( X' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} X \right)^{-1} R' \right)^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_R - r \right)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} LM &= \lambda' \tilde{A} \lambda \\ &= \left( R \hat{\beta}_R - r \right)' \tilde{A}^{-1} \left( R \hat{\beta}_R - r \right) \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_R' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \tilde{\varepsilon}_R' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_R \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \left[ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L \left( \beta \mid \tilde{\Omega} \right) - \log \sup_{\beta} L \left( \beta \mid \tilde{\Omega} \right) \right] &= \left( y - X \tilde{\beta}_R \right)' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \left( y - X \tilde{\beta}_R \right) - \left( y - X \hat{\beta}_R \right)' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \left( y - X \hat{\beta}_R \right) \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_R' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \tilde{\varepsilon}_R' \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_R \\ &= LW \end{aligned}$$

(g) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= -2 \left[ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \hat{\Omega}) - \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \hat{\Omega}) \right] = \tilde{\varepsilon}'_u \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_u - \hat{\varepsilon}'_u \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_u \\
LM &= -2 \left[ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \tilde{\Omega}) - \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \tilde{\Omega}) \right] = \tilde{\varepsilon}'_R \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \hat{\varepsilon}'_R \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_R \\
LR &= -2 \left[ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \Omega) - \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \Omega) \right] = \tilde{\varepsilon}'_R \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_R - \hat{\varepsilon}'_u \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_u
\end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left. \begin{aligned} \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \hat{\Omega}) &= \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \Omega) \\ \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \hat{\Omega}) &\leq \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \Omega) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow W \geq LR \\
&\left. \begin{aligned} \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \tilde{\Omega}) &= \log \sup_{R\beta=r} L(\beta | \Omega) \\ \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \tilde{\Omega}) &\leq \log \sup_{\beta} L(\beta | \Omega) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow LR \geq LM
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$W \geq LR \geq LM$$