Solution to P.S.3

March 13, 2012

1. solution

- Assuming the individual's absolute risk aversion is increasing in wealth. As before, let r^h denote a realization of \tilde{r} such that it exceeds r_f , and let W^h be the corresponding level of \tilde{W} . Then for $A \geq 0$, we have $W^h \geq W_0(1+r_f)$.
- If the absolute risk aversion is increasing in wealth, this implies

$$R(W^h) \ge R(W_0(1+r_f)) \tag{1}$$

where, as before, R(W) = -U''(W)/U'(W). Multiplying both terms of (1) by $-U'(W^h)(r^h - r_f)$, which is negative, then the inequality sign changes

$$U''(W^h)(r^h - r_f) \le -U'(W^h)(r^h - r_f)R(W_0(1 + r_f))$$
 (2)

• similarly, let r^l denote a realization of \tilde{r} that is lower than r_f , and define W^l to be the corresponding level of \tilde{W} . By similar approach, one could easily get

$$U''(W^l)(r^l - r_f) \le -U'(W^l)(r^l - r_f)R(W_0(1 + r_f))$$
(3)

• Notice the inequalities (2) and (3) are of the same form, i.e. the inequality holds whether the realization is $\tilde{r} = r^h$ or $\tilde{r} = r^l$. There fore, if we take expectations over all realizations, we could obtain

$$E[U''(\tilde{W})(\tilde{r} - r_f)] \le -E[U'(\tilde{W})(\tilde{r} - r_f)]R(W_0(1 + r_f)) \tag{4}$$

• Since the first term on the right-hand-side is the first order condition, inequality (4) reduces to $E[U''(\tilde{W})(\tilde{r}-r_f)] \leq 0$. Thus we have

$$\frac{dA}{dW_0} = \frac{(1+r_f)E[U''(\tilde{W})(\tilde{r}-r_f)]}{-E[U''(\tilde{W})(\tilde{r}-r_f)^2]} \le 0$$

2. for the bonus question, one can refer to the textbook, Page 31 and 32, the method is almost the same as that in the textbook.