Quiz 1 Grading Policies & Solutions

Jiao Li

March 8, 2013

1 Solutions

• Prove Jensen's Inequality.

PROOF: Concavity. For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lambda U(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) U(x_2) \le U(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2).$$

If $U \in C^2$,

Discrete random variables.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U\left(\tilde{x}\right)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}U\left(x_{i}\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}\right) \frac{p_{1}U\left(x_{1}\right) + p_{2}U\left(x_{2}\right)}{1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}} + \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}U\left(x_{i}\right)$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}\right) U\left(\frac{p_{1}x_{1} + p_{2}x_{2}}{1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}}\right) + p_{3}U\left(x_{3}\right) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}U\left(x_{i}\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}\right) \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}}{1 - \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}}U\left(\frac{p_{1}x_{1} + p_{2}x_{2}}{1 - \sum_{i=3}^{n} p_{i}}\right) + \frac{p_{3}}{1 - \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}}U\left(x_{3}\right)\right] + \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}U\left(x_{i}\right)$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}\right) U\left(\frac{p_{1}x_{1} + p_{2}x_{2} + p_{3}x_{3}}{1 - \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}}\right) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} p_{i}U\left(x_{i}\right)$$

$$\dots$$

$$= \left(1 - p_{n}\right) U\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{i}x_{i}}{1 - p_{n}}\right) + p_{n}U\left(x_{n}\right)$$

$$\leq U\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}x_{i}\right) = U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)$$

The procedure above may be converted to an induction.

Continuous random variables. For simplicity, assume $U \in \mathbb{C}^{2-1}$.

$$U(x(\omega)) \le U(\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}]) + U'(\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}])(x - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}])$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U\left(\tilde{x}\right)\right] = \int_{\Omega} U\left(x\left(\omega\right)\right) d\mathbb{P}\left(\omega\right)$$

$$\leq U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) + \int_{\Omega} U'\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) \left(x - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) d\mathbb{P}\left(\omega\right)$$

$$= U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)$$

Alternatively,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U\left(\tilde{x}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) + U'\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)\left(\tilde{x} - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}U''\left(\tilde{x} + \delta\right)\left(\tilde{x} - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[U''\left(\tilde{x} + \delta\right)\right]\left(\tilde{x} - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq U\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]\right)$$

Q.E.D.

Remark: See Stochastic Calculus for Finance Vol.I (Shreve) pp. 30~31 for another cunning proof.

2 Grading Policies

- The quiz has 10 marks in total.
- If you have proven the propostion for only one of the above cases you may earn 7 marks for max.
- Listing the concavity condition earns 1 mark. You would not lose anything if your proof is correct but this condition is not shown explicitly.
- Discrete random variables. Proving the bi-point case earns 2 marks, and additional 5 marks for completing the induction.
- Continuous random variables. The notion on the differentiability of the function is compulsory and worth 2 marks. The rigorous usage of the Taylor expansion is required and worth 1 marks.
- If your proof does not help its readers in the sense of comprehensibility you are given no credit.
- THE GRADING POLICIES ARE NOT NEGOTIABLE AND YOUR SCORES ARE FINAL. YOU MAY FILE YOUR QUESTIONS ON THE QUIZ DIRECTLY TO PROF. TSAI.

¹It may refrain the proof for further generalizations. However the issue is not of our interest. After all this is not a course on theoretical mathematics.