1 The Gibbs sampler as a special case of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (Based on Gelman et al BDA 2nd edn, p.293)

1.1 Preliminaries

Suppose the vector of unknown parameters is $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_M$. The unknowns could include missing data as well as model parameters but we will just use the generic notation $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to represent the unknowns of interest. Our aim is to compute the posterior distribution $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\text{data})$.

The t^{th} iteration of a Gibbs Sampler consists of a series of steps. In each of these steps a draw is made from the full conditional distribution of each component of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ given all other components of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. The Gibbs sampling algorithm can be described as follows:

```
1 initialise \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \dots, \theta_{M} to \theta_{2}^{(0)}, \theta_{3}^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_{M}^{(0)}

2 for (t in 1 : T) {

2.1 draw \theta_{1}^{(t)} from p(\theta_{1}|\theta_{2}^{(t-1)}, \dots, \theta_{M}^{(t-1)}, \text{data})

2.2 draw \theta_{2}^{(t)} from p(\theta_{2}|\theta_{1}^{(t)}, \theta_{3}^{(t-1)}, \dots, \theta_{M}^{(t-1)}, \text{data})

\vdots

2.M draw \theta_{M}^{(t)} from p(\theta_{M}|\theta_{1}^{(t)}, \theta_{2}^{t}, \dots, \theta_{M-1}^{(t)}, \text{data}) }
```

At convergence, the parameter values generated from this algorithm can be regarded as draws from the posterior $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\text{data})$.

To simplify the presentation we will use notation such as $\boldsymbol{\theta}_j$ to denote j^{th} parameter and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}$ to denote all parameters in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ except $\boldsymbol{\theta}_j$. Thus for any j in $1, \ldots, M$ we can can write $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j})$.

1.2 Relating the Gibbs sampler to the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm works with the full parameter vector, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, and jumps through the parameter space using, at the t^{th} iteration, the jumping distribution $J_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)})$. To see the connection between the Gibbs sampler and Metropolis-Hastings algorithm we first note that, for any j in $(1 \dots M)$, we can write $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new} = (\theta_j^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)} = (\theta_j^{(t-1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)})$. The t^{th} iteration of Gibbs sampler comprises M steps, corresponding to the draws from each of the full conditional posterior distributions. Each of these steps can be thought of as a jump in the Metropolis-Hastings sense but with a jumping density that allows only jumps to points in the θ space that agree with the current point in all components except the one being updated. Let $\theta_{-j}^{(t-1)*}$ denote the subvector of θ that does not contain j^{th} element and has each component set to its most currently updated value. Thus if θ_i , refers to the first element to be updated, $\theta_{-j}^{(t-1)*} = \theta_{-j}^{(t-1)}$, whereas if θ_j is updated at a later step in the updating sequence $\theta_{-i}^{(t-1)*}$ will comprise values updated at the t^{th} iteration for components updated earlier than θ_j in the updating sequence, and values corresponding to the $(t-1)^{th}$ iteration for components of θ updated later in the updating sequence than θ_i .

At the j^{th} step of the t^{th} Gibbs sampler iteration, the full conditional $p(\theta_j^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)}, \text{data})$ can be viewed as a jump from $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}$ to the proposed new point $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}$ using a jumping distribution that ensures

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}.\tag{1}$$

This idea can be formalised by defining the jumping distribution at each step of the t^{th} iteration at the Gibbs sampler as follows:

for
$$j = 1, ..., M$$

draw from

$$J_{j,t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}) = \begin{cases} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2)

The jumping distribution (2) enforces the condition that at each step j of the t^{th} iteration the only possible jumps are to points which are identical with respect to all elements except those in the j^{th} block.

1.3 Deriving the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance ratio

Suppose θ_j^{new} is a draw from the j^{th} full conditional of a Gibbs Sampler at the t^{th} iteration. The jumping distribution (2) ensures

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new} = (\theta_j^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}). \tag{3}$$

In addition, since for any j, and any value of θ , $\theta = (\theta_j, \theta_{-j})$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\text{data}) = p(\theta_j|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}, \text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}|\text{data}).$$
 (4)

The Metropolis-Hastings ratio at the j^{th} step of the t^{th} iteration is by definition

$$r_{MH,j}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\text{data})/J_{j,t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})/J_{j,t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new})}$$
(5)

$$= \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\text{data})/p(\theta_j^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*},\text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})/p(\theta_j^{(t-1)*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new},\text{data})}$$
(6)

But since our jumping density ensures $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}$ we can replace $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}$ in the denominator of (6). This gives

$$r_{MH,j}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}|\text{data})/p(\theta_j^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})/p(\theta_j^{(t-1)*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}$$
(7)

Now, since $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j})$, the joint posterior density, $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\text{data})$, can be written as the product of a conditional and marginal density as in (4). Applying the decomposition (4) in the numerator and denominator of (7) gives

$$r_{MH,j}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}) = \frac{p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}, \text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}|\text{data})/p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}{p(\theta_{j}^{(t-1)*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})/p(\theta_{j}^{(t-1)*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)}, \text{data})}$$

$$= \frac{p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}, \text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}|\text{data})/p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})}$$

$$= \frac{p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}, \text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new}|\text{data})/p(\theta_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})}$$
(8)

Since our jumping density requires $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{new} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}$ (8) can be written

$$r_{MH,j}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{new}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)*}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}\text{data})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})/p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{new}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}, \text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})}$$

$$= \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{-j}^{(t-1)*}|\text{data})}$$

$$= 1$$
(9)

Thus a Gibbs sampler is equivalent to Metropolis-Hastings sampler with jumping distributions defined by the full conditionals as in (2) and acceptance probability equal to 1.