

# Evaluation Metrics

## CS229

Saahil Jain

(Adapted from Anand Avati)

October 16, 2020

# Topics

- Why are metrics important?
- Binary classifiers
  - Rank view, Thresholding
- Metrics
  - Confusion Matrix
  - Point metrics: Accuracy, Precision, Recall / Sensitivity, Specificity, F-score
  - Summary metrics: AU-ROC, AU-PRC, Log-loss.
- Choosing Metrics
- Class Imbalance
  - Failure scenarios for each metric
- Multi-class

# Why are metrics important?

- Training objective (cost function) is only a proxy for real world objectives.
- Metrics help capture a business goal into a quantitative target (not all errors are equal).
- Helps organize ML team effort towards that target.
  - Generally in the form of improving that metric on the dev set.
- Useful to quantify the “gap” between:
  - Desired performance and baseline (estimate effort initially).
  - Desired performance and current performance.
  - Measure progress over time.
- Useful for lower level tasks and debugging (e.g. diagnosing bias vs variance).
- Ideally training objective should be the metric, but not always possible. Still, metrics are useful and important for evaluation.

# Binary Classification

- $x$  is input
- $y$  is binary output (0/1)
- Model is  $\hat{y} = h(x)$
- Two types of models
  - Models that output a categorical class directly (K-nearest neighbor, Decision tree)
  - Models that output a real valued score (SVM, Logistic Regression)
    - Score could be margin (SVM), probability (LR, NN)
    - Need to pick a threshold
    - We focus on this type (the other type can be interpreted as an instance)

# Score based models

Score = 1



Score = 0

●	Positive example
○	Negative example


Example of Score: Output of logistic regression.  
For most metrics: Only ranking matters.  
If too many examples: Plot class-wise histogram.

$$\text{Prevalence} = \frac{\text{\# positive examples}}{\text{\# positive examples} + \text{\# negatives examples}}$$

# Threshold -> Classifier -> Point Metrics



# Point metrics: Confusion Matrix




Th

0.5

## Properties:

- Total sum is fixed (population).
- Column sums are fixed (class-wise population).
- Quality of model & threshold decide how columns are split into rows.
- We want diagonals to be “heavy”, off diagonals to be “light”.


# Point metrics: True Positives



Th	TP
0.5	9



# Point metrics: True Negatives




Th	TP	TN
0.5	9	8

# Point metrics: False Positives



Th	TP	TN	FP
0.5	9	8	2


# Point metrics: False Negatives



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN
0.5	9	8	2	1

# FP and FN also called Type-1 and Type-2 errors


**Type I error**  
(false positive)



**Type II error**  
(false negative)




# Point metrics: Accuracy



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc
0.5	9	8	2	1	.85

Equivalent to 0-1 Loss!

# Point metrics: Precision



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc	Pr
0.5	9	8	2	1	.85	.81

# Point metrics: Positive Recall (Sensitivity)



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc	Pr	Recall
0.5	9	8	2	1	.85	.81	.9

Trivial 100% recall = pull everybody above the threshold.


Trivial 100% precision = push everybody below the threshold except 1 green on top.

(Hopefully no gray above it!)

Striving for good precision with 100% recall = pulling up the lowest green as high as possible in the ranking.

Striving for good recall with 100% precision = pushing down the top gray as low as possible in the ranking.

# Point metrics: Negative Recall (Specificity)



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc	Pr	Recall	Spec
0.5	9	8	2	1	.85	.81	.9	0.8




# Point metrics: F1-score



Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc	Pr	Recall	Spec	F1
0.5	9	8	2	1	.85	.81	.9	.8	.857

$$F_1 = \left( \frac{2}{\text{recall}^{-1} + \text{precision}^{-1}} \right) = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}}$$

# Point metrics: Changing threshold




Th	TP	TN	FP	FN	Acc	Pr	Recall	Spec	F1
0.6	7	8	2	3	.75	.77	.7	.8	.733

$\# \text{ effective thresholds} = \# \text{ examples} + 1$

# Threshold Scanning

Score = 1

Threshold = 1.00



Threshold = 0.00


Score = 0

Threshold	TP	TN	FP	FN	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	Specificity	F1
1.00	0	10	0	10	0.50	1	0	1	0
0.95	1	10	0	9	0.55	1	0.1	1	0.182
0.90	2	10	0	8	0.60	1	0.2	1	0.333
0.85	2	9	1	8	0.55	0.667	0.2	0.9	0.308
0.80	3	9	1	7	0.60	0.750	0.3	0.9	0.429
0.75	4	9	1	6	0.65	0.800	0.4	0.9	0.533
0.70	5	9	1	5	0.70	0.833	0.5	0.9	0.625
0.65	5	8	2	5	0.65	0.714	0.5	0.8	0.588
0.60	6	8	2	4	0.70	0.750	0.6	0.8	0.667
0.55	7	8	2	3	0.75	0.778	0.7	0.8	0.737
0.50	8	8	2	2	0.80	0.800	0.8	0.8	0.800
0.45	9	8	2	1	0.85	0.818	0.9	0.8	0.857
0.40	9	7	3	1	0.80	0.750	0.9	0.7	0.818
0.35	9	6	4	1	0.75	0.692	0.9	0.6	0.783
0.30	9	5	5	1	0.70	0.643	0.9	0.5	0.750
0.25	9	4	6	1	0.65	0.600	0.9	0.4	0.720
0.20	9	3	7	1	0.60	0.562	0.9	0.3	0.692
0.15	9	2	8	1	0.55	0.529	0.9	0.2	0.667
0.10	9	1	9	1	0.50	0.500	0.9	0.1	0.643
0.05	10	1	9	0	0.55	0.526	1	0.1	0.690
0.00	10	0	10	0	0.50	0.500	1	0	0.667


# Point Metrics (Formulas)

		True condition				
Total population		Condition positive	Condition negative	Prevalence = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ Condition positive}}{\Sigma \text{ Total population}}$	Accuracy (ACC) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ True positive} + \Sigma \text{ True negative}}{\Sigma \text{ Total population}}$	
Predicted condition	Predicted condition positive	True positive	False positive, Type I error	Positive predictive value (PPV), Precision = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ True positive}}{\Sigma \text{ Predicted condition positive}}$	False discovery rate (FDR) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ False positive}}{\Sigma \text{ Predicted condition positive}}$	
	Predicted condition negative	False negative, Type II error	True negative	False omission rate (FOR) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ False negative}}{\Sigma \text{ Predicted condition negative}}$	Negative predictive value (NPV) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ True negative}}{\Sigma \text{ Predicted condition negative}}$	
		True positive rate (TPR), Recall, Sensitivity, probability of detection, Power $= \frac{\Sigma \text{ True positive}}{\Sigma \text{ Condition positive}}$	False positive rate (FPR), Fall-out, probability of false alarm $= \frac{\Sigma \text{ False positive}}{\Sigma \text{ Condition negative}}$	Positive likelihood ratio (LR+) $= \frac{\text{TPR}}{\text{FPR}}$	Diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) = $\frac{\text{LR+}}{\text{LR-}}$	F <sub>1</sub> score = $2 \cdot \frac{\text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$
		False negative rate (FNR), Miss rate $= \frac{\Sigma \text{ False negative}}{\Sigma \text{ Condition positive}}$	Specificity (SPC), Selectivity, True negative rate (TNR) $= \frac{\Sigma \text{ True negative}}{\Sigma \text{ Condition negative}}$	Negative likelihood ratio (LR-) $= \frac{\text{FNR}}{\text{TNR}}$		

# Summary metrics: Rotated ROC (Sen vs. Spec)



# Summary metrics: PRC (Recall vs. Precision)



# Summary metrics:



Two models scoring the same data set. Is one of them better than the other?

# Summary metrics: Log-Loss vs Brier Score

- Same ranking, and therefore the same AUROC, AUPRC, accuracy!


$$\text{Log Loss} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N -y_i \log \hat{y}_i - (1 - y_i) \log (1 - \hat{y}_i).$$

- Rewards confident correct answers, heavily penalizes confident wrong answers.
  - One perfectly confident wrong prediction is fatal.
- > Well-**calibrated** model

- **Proper** scoring rule: Minimized at  $\hat{y} = y$


$$\text{Brier Score} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2$$

Score = 1



Score = 0

Score = 1



Score = 0




# Calibration vs Discriminative Power

Logistic (th=0.5):  
Precision: 0.872  
Recall: 0.851  
F1: 0.862  
Brier: 0.099


SVC (th=0.5):  
Precision: 0.872  
Recall: 0.852  
F1: 0.862  
Brier: 0.163

Fraction of Positives



Output

Histogram



# Class Imbalance

Symptom: Prevalence  $< 5\%$  (no strict definition)

Metrics: May not be meaningful.

Learning: May not focus on minority class examples at all

(majority class can overwhelm logistic regression, to a lesser extent SVM)

# What happen to the metrics under class imbalance?


Accuracy: Blindly predicts majority class -> prevalence is the baseline.

Log-Loss: Majority class can dominate the loss.


AUROC: Easy to keep AUC high by scoring most negatives very low.

AUPRC: Somewhat more robust than AUROC. But other challenges.

In general:      $\text{Accuracy} < \text{AUROC} < \text{AUPRC}$



Rotated ROC



Sensitivity = True Pos / Pos

# Multi-class

- Confusion matrix will be  $N * N$  (still want heavy diagonals, light off-diagonals)
- Most metrics (except accuracy) generally analyzed as multiple 1-vs-many
- Multiclass variants of AUROC and AUPRC (micro vs macro averaging)
- Class imbalance is common (both in absolute and relative sense)
- Cost sensitive learning techniques (also helps in binary Imbalance)
  - Assign weights for each block in the confusion matrix.
  - Incorporate weights into the loss function.

# Choosing Metrics

Some common patterns:

- High precision is hard constraint, do best recall (search engine results, grammar correction): Intolerant to FP
  - Metric: Recall at Precision = XX %
- High recall is hard constraint, do best precision (medical diagnosis): Intolerant to FN
  - Metric: Precision at Recall = 100 %
- Capacity constrained (by K)
  - Metric: Precision in top-K.
- .....

Thank You!