

Wine Quality

Francisco Prado, Vienna 2021

Contents

I	Wine quality	3
1	Introduction	3
2	Exploratory analysis	4
3	Analyses	6
3.1	Linear Regression	7
3.2	Lasso Regression	7
3.3	Neural Networks	8
3.4	Regression Tree	9
3.5	Support Vector Regression (SVR)	9
4	Results	9
5	Discussion	9

Part I

Wine quality

1 Introduction

In the paragraphs to come we will discuss different approaches and models to be used in the dataset used in [1].

The following dataset consist of different a sample of wines with different characteristics and their relevant quality. The box below has an overview description of the dataset we will analyse. A further description of each variable can be found in Table 2.

```
>>> wine_data.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 1599 entries, 0 to 1598
Data columns (total 12 columns):
 #   Column                Non-Null Count  Dtype  
---  -
 0   fixed acidity          1599 non-null   float64
 1   volatile acidity       1599 non-null   float64
 2   citric acid            1599 non-null   float64
 3   residual sugar         1599 non-null   float64
 4   chlorides              1599 non-null   float64
 5   free sulfur dioxide    1599 non-null   float64
 6   total sulfur dioxide   1599 non-null   float64
 7   density                1599 non-null   float64
 8   pH                    1599 non-null   float64
 9   sulphates              1599 non-null   float64
10   alcohol                1599 non-null   float64
11   quality                1599 non-null   int64  
dtypes: float64(11), int64(1)
memory usage: 150.0 KB
>>> wine_data.describe().round(decimals=2).transpose()
```

	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%	max
fixed acidity	1599.0	8.32	1.74	4.60	7.10	7.90	9.20	15.90
volatile acidity	1599.0	0.53	0.18	0.12	0.39	0.52	0.64	1.58
citric acid	1599.0	0.27	0.19	0.00	0.09	0.26	0.42	1.00
residual sugar	1599.0	2.54	1.41	0.90	1.90	2.20	2.60	15.50
chlorides	1599.0	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.61
free sulfur dioxide	1599.0	15.87	10.46	1.00	7.00	14.00	21.00	72.00
total sulfur dioxide	1599.0	46.47	32.90	6.00	22.00	38.00	62.00	289.00
density	1599.0	1.00	0.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
pH	1599.0	3.31	0.15	2.74	3.21	3.31	3.40	4.01
sulphates	1599.0	0.66	0.17	0.33	0.55	0.62	0.73	2.00
alcohol	1599.0	10.42	1.07	8.40	9.50	10.20	11.10	14.90
quality	1599.0	5.64	0.81	3.00	5.00	6.00	6.00	8.00

2 Exploratory analysis

To avoid unnecessary analysis, first we will perform a few checks to get a deeper understanding of the data we are using. To that end, we first check that the correlation structure amongst the variables (see fig. 1), including the quality of the wine. This should give us a general idea of how and if the variables are related to one another.




Figure 1: Correlation structure of wine characteristics.

There are a few things we could check in the data to assess whether what we are looking relates somehow to our prior knowledge about the topic. This prior knowledge might help us identify certain links, that might not be obvious if the data were not labelled.

At first glance, one can note that *fixed acidity* and *citric acidity* are strongly correlated (negatively) to the *pH*, although their correlation is not -1 . This should relate to our prior knowledge, given that *pH* is directly related to the acidity of a solution. Additionally, we can see that *alcohol* correlates negatively with the *density* of the wine. This makes sense, given that the wine is a solution of different solutes, those in all likelihood are “heavier” than the alcohol solute, as well as the water solvent which is definitely “heavier” than alcohol. Hence, the

more the alcohol content increases in the wine, the less dense it becomes. Another interesting characteristic of the wine that is highly correlated to its quality is the alcohol content.

Another visual analysis we could perform are KDEs (Kernel Density Estimates). These, we could imagine as a cross-sectional cut in a bi-variate probability distribution. Figure 2 shows us that even though the wines in the sample range from quality 3 to 8 (see histogram subplot in row 3, column 4), it would seem that there are mostly 4 important groups. The earlier stated fact, ad priori, provide us with a key insight we should have in mind when trying to fit any kind of model. I.e., the tails of the quality (grades 3 and 8) will be underrepresented, then most models we could think of fitting will have trouble predicting a grade close to 3 and 8 and beyond (to each direction).

KDE plots - Quality against each wine characteristic




Figure 2: KDEs for wine features.

3 Analyses

Among the many features that we could analyse in these data¹, we will stick to predicting the quality of a wine given its characteristics.

To predict the quality of the wines, we will use all possible available features² and split our database: 80% of the data will be used for training and the remaining 20% will be used for testing and computing assessment metrics. To achieve this, we will mostly use *sci-kit learn* library and sub-libraries.

```
>>> # Pre-processing
>>> # Shaping data
>>> X = wine_data.drop('quality', axis=1)
>>> y = wine_data['quality']
>>> # Defining a seed for shuffling the data
>>> seed = 123
>>> # Splitting data into train/test.
>>> from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
>>> X_train, X_test, Y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.20,
...                                                    random_state=seed)
```

After splitting our initial sample into train and test, we proceed to standardize it. Although this is not absolutely necessary, there are some models we will use in the following that have better performance and provide better estimates³. Then, some models will use the standardized data, and some others (like in *tree regression*) will not.

```
>>> # Converting 1D arrays to dataframe
>>> y_train = pd.DataFrame(Y_train, columns=['quality'])
>>> # Scaling training samples
>>> from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
>>> train_X_scaler = StandardScaler()
>>> train_Y_scaler = StandardScaler()
>>> # we first fit the training data to different scaling objects
>>> # to keep track of them
>>> train_X_scaler.fit(X_train)
StandardScaler()
>>> train_Y_scaler.fit(y_train)
StandardScaler()
>>> x_train = train_X_scaler.transform(X_train)
>>> y_train = train_Y_scaler.transform(y_train)
```

¹Some other analyses, like clustering the wine sample do carry the same importance. I.e., we could try clustering the wine sample, to find that certain wines belong or were produced by the same vineyard due to the similarities in the grapes which will most likely relate to their characteristics when turned into wine. Even though this might be interesting, it is not as useful to a researcher/data scientist trying to add value to the winemaking processes.

²Could be that using all features decreases the predictive power of certain models. But these we could only find out through thorough investigation which is out of the scope in this project.

³Less prone to be biased.

It is important to note that the scaling (standardization) is only performed in the **training sample**. Then, when we have our predictions from the models in the *scaled space*, we will revert them back to the *observation space* using the inverse scaling we determined on the train sample. This is of high importance when trying to build a predictive model. If we used the scaling from the test sample, we would be *snooping into the data*, which would probably increase the models' accuracy (artificially), but with the power of *hindsight*.

In the following snippets, code of the fitting will be provided. After all models are presented, the results will be shown, and some discussion stemming from them will be held.

3.1 Linear Regression

```
>>> # Linear Regression
>>> # Normal Linear Regression (L2 Norm)
>>> from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression as lr

>>> # We first initialise the model and then fit with the training obs.
>>> normal_lr = lr(fit_intercept=True, normalize=False)
>>> normal_lr.fit(X=x_train, y=y_train)
LinearRegression()
>>> # Predicting values, we first need to transform the X_test matrix
>>> # using our earlier defined scale
>>> nlr_y = normal_lr.predict(train_X_scaler.transform(X_test))
>>> # Reverting our predicted values to their level using the scale determined
>>> # from the training sample
>>> nlr_y = train_Y_scaler.inverse_transform(nlr_y)
```

3.2 Lasso Regression

```
>>> # Lasso Linear Regression (L1 Norm)
>>> # we do not standardize here otherwise the lasso regression might turn
>>> # all coefficients to 0 only to use the intercept.
>>> from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso as lasso
>>> # We first initialise the model and then fit with the training obs.
>>> # also do not use intercept, if the intercept is allowed, again makes
>>> # most of the coefficients to be 0.
>>> lasso_lr = lasso(fit_intercept=False, normalize=False)
>>> lasso_lr.fit(X=X_train, y=Y_train)
Lasso(fit_intercept=False)
>>> # Predicting values, we first need to transform the X_test matrix using
>>> # our earlier defined scale.
>>> lassolr_y = lasso_lr.predict(X_test)
>>> # Reverting our predicted values to their level using the scale determined
>>> # from the training sample.
>>> lassolr_y = train_Y_scaler.inverse_transform(lassolr_y)
```

3.3 Neural Networks

```
# Neural Network - using sklearn
from sklearn.neural_network import MLPRegressor

NN_scikit = MLPRegressor(random_state=seed,
                          max_iter=500,
                          hidden_layer_sizes=(22,),
                          activation='logistic').fit(x_train,
                                                    np.ravel(y_train))

NN_scikit.out_activation_ = 'identity'
# we use (22,) in the hidden layers to try to capture the features and
# their interactions, we will do it because it is too little data.
# Using more neurons or hidden layers in this case might induce
# over-fitting, given the small sample size.
nn_scikit_y = NN_scikit.predict(train_X_scaler.transform(X_test))
# Reverting our predicted values to their level using the scale determined
# from the training sample.
nn_scikit_y = train_Y_scaler.inverse_transform(nn_scikit_y)

# NN With Keras
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers import Dense
import tensorflow as tf
tf.random.set_seed(seed)

def squared_loss(y_true, y_pred):
    squared_difference = tf.square(y_true - y_pred)
    return tf.reduce_sum(squared_difference, axis=-1) # Note the `axis=-1`

nn_keras_tf = Sequential()
nn_keras_tf.add(Dense(22,
                      input_dim=11, # expects 11 inputs
                      activation='sigmoid'))
nn_keras_tf.add(Dense(1, activation='linear')) # output layer
# since we are using keras to call TF we need to compile the model we
# designed in keras for it to be into the TF framework.
# print(dir(tf.keras.optimizers))
# print(dir(tf.keras.losses))
# print(dir(tf.keras.metrics))
nn_keras_tf.compile(loss=squared_loss, optimizer='adam')
nn_keras_tf.fit(x_train, y_train, epochs=500)
nn_keras_tf_y = train_Y_scaler.inverse_transform(
    nn_keras_tf.predict(train_X_scaler.transform(X_test)))
```


3.4 Regression Tree

```
# Regression Tree
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor

tree_model = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth=4)
# Data without scaling.
tree_model.fit(X_train, Y_train)
tree_y = tree_model.predict(X_test)
```

3.5 Support Vector Regression (SVR)

```
# SVM (Regression)
from sklearn.svm import SVR

svr = SVR()
svr.fit(x_train, np.ravel(y_train))
svr_y = train_Y_scaler.inverse_transform(svr.predict(
    train_X_scaler.transform(X_test)))
```

4 Results

Most of the models used in Section 3 were fitted using *sk-learn* libraries. This was done just for ease of quick prototyping and implementation, but for production models, probably other libraries would be preferred, if not outright developing some of them from scratch. One model, one neural network, was fitted with *keras* to emphasize that the results should be quite similar, however not identical⁴.

Table 1: Metric comparison different competing models

	RMSE	MAPE	Score
linear regression	0.66	0.10	0.34
lasso linear regression	4.50	0.82	-29.52
NN Scikit	0.65	0.10	0.36
NN Keras TF	0.64	0.09	0.38
Regression Tree	0.69	0.10	0.28
SVR	0.61	0.08	0.44

5 Discussion

[1] All these characteristics are important in a wine. We used all these statistical models to try to understand to which degree they are important to determine a wine’s quality. These analyses

⁴There are several reasons why almost two identically defined models can have different outputs, in particular when it comes to neural networks. Some of these factors are the solvers used, the number of epochs, learning rate and steps, tolerances and staring points.

might be really important for a winemaker to know. Given that by affecting the inherent characteristics of the wine will most likely have an impact on the quality of that wine.

References

- [1] P. Cortez, A. Cerdeira, F. Almeida, T. Matos and J. Reis. *Modeling wine preferences by data mining from physicochemical properties. In Decision Support Systems, Elsevier, 47(4):547-553, 2009.*

Table 2: Description of wine characteristics.

Characteristic	Description
fixed acidity	most acids involved with wine or fixed or nonvolatile (do not evaporate readily).
volatile acidity	the amount of acetic acid in wine, which at too high of levels can lead to an unpleasant, vinegar taste.
citric acidity	found in small quantities, citric acid can add "freshness" and flavor to wines.
residual sugar	the amount of sugar remaining after fermentation stops, it's rare to find wines with less than 1 gram/liter and wines with greater than 45 grams/liter are considered sweet.
chlorides	the amount of salt in the wine.
free sulfur dioxide	the free form of SO ₂ exists in equilibrium between molecular SO ₂ (as a dissolved gas) and bi-sulfate ion; it prevents microbial growth and the oxidation of wine.
total sulfur dioxide	amount of free and bound forms of SO ₂ ; in low concentrations, SO ₂ is mostly undetectable in wine, but at free SO ₂ concentrations over 50 ppm, SO ₂ becomes evident in the nose and taste of wine.
density	the density of water is close to that of water depending on the percent alcohol and sugar content.
pH	describes how acidic or basic a wine is on a scale from 0 (very acidic) to 14 (very basic); most wines are between 3-4 on the pH scale.
sulphates	a wine additive which can contribute to sulfur dioxide gas (SO ₂) levels, which acts as an antimicrobial and antioxidant.
alcohol	the percent alcohol content of the wine.
quality	output variable (based on sensory data, score between 0 and 10).