Winter 09
Instructor: Mihir Bellare
February 20, 2009

Problem Set 4 Solutions

Problem 1. [30 points] Define the family of functions $H: \{0,1\}^{64} \times \{0,1\}^{192} \to \{0,1\}^{128}$ as follows:

Show that H is not collision-resistant by presenting a practical adversary A such that $\mathbf{Adv}_H^{\mathrm{cr}}(A)$ is close to one. (The better the attack, the more points you get.)

The first thing to do is look at the definition of collision-resistance. The game shows us that the adversary gets as input the randomly chosen key K defining the particular instance H_K of the family H that it is attacking. Now we use the fact that AES is a block cipher and thus given $K \parallel a_1$ one can easily compute $\mathsf{AES}_{K \parallel a_1}^{-1}$. The adversary with input the key K proceeds as follows:

adversary A

```
Let a_1, a_2 be two different 64-bit strings and let b_1 be any 128-bit string h \leftarrow \mathsf{AES}_{K \parallel a_1}(b_1); b_2 \leftarrow \mathsf{AES}_{K \parallel a_2}^{-1}(h) x_1 \leftarrow a_1 \parallel b_1; x_2 \leftarrow a_2 \parallel b_2 return x_1, x_2
```

This adversary is very practical, using only two AES or AES⁻¹ computations. We claim that the x_1, x_2 it returns is a collision for H_K , which means that $\mathbf{Adv}_H^{\mathrm{cr}}(A) = 1$. The claim is true because

$$\mathsf{AES}_{K \; \| \; a_2}(b_2) \; = \; \mathsf{AES}_{K \; \| \; a_2}(\mathsf{AES}_{K \; \| \; a_2}^{-1}(h)) \; = \; h \; = \; \mathsf{AES}_{K \; \| \; a_1}(b_1) \; ,$$

and also a_1, a_2 being different implies $x_1 \neq x_2$.

Problem 2. [40 points] Let $h: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2b} \to \{0,1\}^{b}$ be a compression function. Define $H: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{4b} \to \{0,1\}^{b}$ as follows:

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{function} \ H(K, M) \\ & \text{Break} \ M \ \text{into} \ 2b\text{-bit blocks}, \ M = M_1 \parallel M_2 \\ & V_1 \leftarrow h(K, M_1) \ ; \ V_2 \leftarrow h(K, M_2) \\ & V \leftarrow h(K, V_1 \parallel V_2) \\ & \textbf{return} \ V \end{aligned}
```

Figure 1: Adversary A_h for the proof of the theorem.

Show that if h is collision-resistant then so is H. Do this by stating and proving an analogue of Theorem 6.8 in the course notes.

Theorem: Let h, H be as above. Suppose we are given an adversary A_H that attempts to find collisions in H. Then we can construct an adversary A_h that attempts to find collisions in h, and

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{H}^{\mathrm{cr}}(A_{H}) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_{h}^{\mathrm{cr}}(A_{h}). \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, the running time of A_h is that of A_H plus the time to perform 6 computations of h.

This theorem says that if h is collision-resistant then so is H. Why? Let A_H be a practical adversary attacking H. Then A_h is also practical, because its running time is that of A_H plus a little more, namely the time for 6 computations of h. But h is collision-resistant so we know that $\mathbf{Adv}_h^{\mathrm{cr}}(A_h)$ is low. Equation (1) then tells us that $\mathbf{Adv}_h^{\mathrm{cr}}(A_H)$ is low, meaning H is collision-resistant as well.

Proof of theorem: We follow the proof of Theorem 6.8 in the notes. Adversary A_h , taking input a key $K \in \mathcal{K}$, is depicted in Fig. 1. It runs A_H on input K to get a pair (y_1, y_2) of messages, each 4b bits long. We claim that if y_1, y_2 is a collision for H_K then A_h will return a collision for h_K .

Adversary A_h computes $V_1 = H_K(y_1)$ and $V_2 = H_K(y_2)$. If y_1, y_2 is a collision for H_K then we know that $V_1 = V_2$. Let us assume this. Now, let us look at the inputs to the application of h_K that yielded these outputs. These are $V_{1,1} \parallel V_{1,2}$ and $V_{2,1} \parallel V_{2,2}$. If these inputs are different, they form a collision for h_K , and A_h outputs them.

If they are not different then we know that $V_{1,1} = V_{2,1}$ and $V_{1,2} = V_{2,2}$. That $V_{1,1} = V_{2,1}$ means that $h(K, M_{1,1}) = h(K, M_{2,1})$. So $M_{1,1}, M_{2,1}$ form a collision for h unless they happen to be equal. Similarly, that $V_{1,2} = V_{2,2}$ means that $h(K, M_{1,2}) = h(K, M_{2,2})$ and so $M_{1,2}, M_{2,2}$ form a collision for h unless they happen to be equal. Adversary A_h checks for these equalities and returns an unequal pair. The key point is that we cannot have both $M_{1,1} = M_{2,1}$ and $M_{1,2} = M_{2,2}$ since that would imply $y_1 = y_2$, but we know that $y_1 \neq y_2$ because it is a collision for H_K .

Problem 3. [50 points] Let sha1: $\{0,1\}^{672} \to \{0,1\}^{160}$ be the compression function underlying the SHA1 hash function. We define a message authentication scheme $\Pi = (\mathcal{K}, \text{MAC}, \text{VF})$ as follows. The key generation algorithm returns a random 160 bit string as the key K, and the tagging and verifying algorithms are:

```
Algorithm \mathrm{MAC}_K(M) Divide M into 512 bit blocks, M=M[1]\dots M[n] If \sigma=\mathrm{MAC}_K(M) then return 1 C[0]\leftarrow K For i=1,\dots,n do C[i]\leftarrow \mathrm{shal}(C[i-1]\parallel M[i]) EndFor Return C[n]
```

The message space is the set of all strings whose length is a positive multiple of 512.

Present a practical chosen-message attack that succeeds in forgery using one query to the tagging oracle.

```
Adversary A(K)

x \leftarrow 0^{512}

y \leftarrow \mathbf{Tag}(x)

T \leftarrow \mathsf{shal}(y \parallel 1^{512})

\mathbf{return} \ (0^{512} \parallel 1^{512}, T)
```

We have

$$y = \operatorname{sha1}(K \parallel 0^{512})$$

 $T = \operatorname{sha1}(y \parallel 1^{512})$
 $= \operatorname{MAC}_K(0^{512} \parallel 1^{512})$

So
$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\Pi}^{\text{uf-cma}}(A) = 1.$$