

Exercise 1 – Foundations of Cryptography 89-856

Solutions

June 22, 2008

Exercise 1: Show that the addition function $f(x, y) = x + y$ (where $|x| = |y|$ and x and y are interpreted as natural numbers) is not one-way.

Solution 1: Given $z = f(x, y)$, return $(z, 0)$. Clearly, $f(z, 0) = z = f(x, y)$ and so $(z, 0)$ is a preimage. The purpose of this exercise is to demonstrate the fact that it suffices to return *any* preimage of z under f (and it is not necessary to return the preimage used in computing z).

Exercise 2: Prove that if there exist one-way functions, then there exists a one-way function f such that for every n , $f(0^n) = 0^n$. Provide a full (formal) proof of your answer.

Solution 2: I provide a painfully detailed proof.

Let f be one-way function (that exists by the assumption) and define $g(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \neq 0^{|x|}$ and $g(0^n) = 0^n$ for every n . Clearly, g fulfills the requirements. It remains to prove that it is one-way. First, g is efficiently computable. Second, assume by contradiction that there exists a PPT algorithm A and a polynomial $p(\cdot)$ such that for infinitely many n 's, algorithm A inverts g with probability at least $1/p(n)$. We begin by analyzing the probability that A succeeds in inverting g on non-zero inputs:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n))] &= \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] \cdot \Pr [U_n \neq 0^n] \\ &\quad + \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n = 0^n] \cdot \Pr [U_n = 0^n] \\ &\leq \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] + \Pr [U_n = 0^n] \\ &= \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] + \frac{1}{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for infinitely many n 's we have that:

$$\Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] \geq \frac{1}{p(n)} - \frac{1}{2^n}$$

We now construct B that inverts f as follows. Upon receiving an input y , B invokes A and returns whatever A outputs. We analyze B 's success:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [B(f(U_n)) \in f^{-1}(f(U_n))] &= \Pr [B(f(U_n)) \in f^{-1}(f(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] \cdot \Pr [U_n \neq 0^n] \\ &\quad + \Pr [B(f(U_n)) \in f^{-1}(f(U_n)) \mid U_n = 0^n] \cdot \Pr [U_n = 0^n] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \Pr [B(f(U_n)) \in f^{-1}(f(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] \cdot \Pr [U_n \neq 0^n] \\
&= \Pr [A(g(U_n)) \in g^{-1}(g(U_n)) \mid U_n \neq 0^n] \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p(n)} - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) > \frac{1}{2p(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for infinitely many n 's, algorithm B inverts f with probability greater than $1/2p(n)$, in contradiction to the one-wayness of f .

Exercise 3: A function f is said to be **length regular** if for every $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$ such that $|x| = |y|$, it holds that $|f(x)| = |f(y)|$. Show that if there exist one-way functions, then there exist length-regular one-way functions. Provide a full (formal) proof of your answer.

Solution 3: Let f be a one-way function. Since f is one-way, it is efficiently computable. Thus, there exists a polynomial $p(\cdot)$ such that for every x , $|f(x)| \leq p(|x|)$. Define $f'(x) = f(x)10^{p(|x|)-|f(x)|}$. Clearly, f' is length-regular. This is due to the fact that the output-length of $f'(x)$ for every x of length n is exactly $p(n) + 1$. We now prove that f' is one-way. Our proof here is somewhat more concise than in the previous exercise.

Assume by contradiction that there exists a PPT adversary \mathcal{A}' and a polynomial q such that \mathcal{A}' inverts f' with probability at least $1/q(n)$ for infinitely many n 's. We construct an adversary \mathcal{A} as follows: \mathcal{A} receives $(y, 1^n)$ for input, invokes \mathcal{A}' on $(y10^{p(|x|)-|f(x)|}, 1^n)$ and returns whatever \mathcal{A}' returns (we can assume that \mathcal{A} knows the polynomial $p(\cdot)$). An important point to note here is that due to the fact that “padding” includes a single one followed by zeroes, the output of $f'(x)$ uniquely defines the length of the portion that is $f(x)$. Therefore, the set of preimages of $f'(x)$ equals the set of preimages of $f(x)$. This implies that if \mathcal{A}' inverts f' , then \mathcal{A} will have inverted f . By our assumption, \mathcal{A}' inverts with success probability of $1/q(n)$; therefore the same is true of \mathcal{A} .

Note: I expect a more detailed proof in your solutions.

Exercise 4: Prove that if there exist collections of one-way functions, then there also exist one-way functions. Can you say the same for 1–1 one-way functions? Explain.

Solution 3: Let (I, D, F) be a collection of one-way functions. Let $p_I(\cdot)$ denote the (polynomial) running-time of I and let $p_D(\cdot)$ denote the (polynomial) running-time of D . Note that $p_I(n)$ and $p_D(n)$ constitute an upper bound on the number of random coins used by I and D , respectively, upon input of length n . Furthermore, $p_D(n)$ also constitutes an upper bound on the length of values in the range of D .

We define a (single) one-way function g as follows. Upon input x of length n , first divide x into two parts x_1 and x_2 such that for some k , $|x_1| = p_I(k)$, $|x_2| = p_D(k)$ and $n/2 \leq |x_1| + |x_2| \leq n$. (Note that it is always possible to find such a k . In order to do this, choose the larger polynomial, w.l.o.g. let it be p_I . Then, choose k such that $p_I(k) = n/2$. This k suffices.) The function g is defined as:

$$g(U_n) = g(x_1, x_2) = \left(I(1^k; x_1), F\left(I(1^k; x_1), D(I(1^k; x_1); x_2) \right) \right)$$

where $I(1^k; x_1)$ denotes the output of algorithm I upon input 1^k and *random-tape* x_1 , and $D(I(1^k; x_1); x_2)$ denotes the output of D upon input $I(1^k; x_1)$ and *random-tape* x_2 .

Note that $I(1^k; x_1)$ is included in the output to force any inverting algorithm to find a preimage of $F(I(1^k; x_1), D(I(1^k; x_1); x_2))$ under $I(1^k; x_1)$ and not under some other function in the collection.

It remains to prove that g is a one-way function. First, it is clearly an efficiently computable function. Second, assume by contradiction that for infinitely many n 's, it can be inverted with probability $1/q(n)$. By the construction, this implies that for infinitely many k 's, the collection (I, D, F) can be inverted with probability $1/q(n)$. This holds because for every n there exists a k that results from the above derivation via p_I and p_D , and g can be inverted for these k 's with probability $1/q(n)$. Noting that for some constant, $n = k^c$, we have that for infinitely many k 's, the collection (I, D, F) can be inverted with probability $1/q(k^c) = 1/\text{poly}(k)$. This contradicts the one-wayness of (I, D, F) .

Notice that the above argument does *not* go through regarding 1-1 one-way functions. This is due to the fact that the mapping from random tapes to inputs may not be 1-1. Indeed, the question of obtaining 1-1 one-way functions (and *not* collections), or especially length-preserving 1-1 one-way functions (i.e., one-way permutations), is an interesting question. See <http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/oded/PS/gln.ps> for some prior work on this topic.

Exercise 5: Assume that $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$. Show that there exists a function that is easy to compute and hard to invert in the worst case, but is not one-way.

Let G be a graph and let ϕ be a 3-colouring of G . Then, consider the function $f(G, \phi) = (G, 1)$ if ϕ is a valid colouring of G and $f(G, \phi) = (G, 0)$ if ϕ is not a valid colouring of G . (Note, that G can be represented as a consecutive string of size approximately $n^2/2$ where each bit in the string denote the existence or nonexistence of the appropriate edge.)

First, f is easy to compute, because the validity of a colouring can be checked in polynomial-time. Next, in the worst case, the function f is not invertible. This is due to the assumption that $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ and so given $(G, 1)$ it is hard – in the worst case – to find a colouring for G . Finally, note that f is *not* a one-way function. This is due to the fact that a random colouring of a random graph will be valid with very low probability. Thus, with high probability over a random input, the output of f will be some pair $(G, 0)$ which is easily invertible (just compute an invalid colouring).

* **Exercise 6:** Let $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and denote $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$. Prove that if there exist one-way functions, then there exists a one-way function f such that for every i there exists an algorithm A_i such that,

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow U_n}[A_i(f(x)) = x_i] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}$$

We note that $x \leftarrow U_n$ means that x is chosen according to the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$.

This exercise demonstrates that it is not possible to claim that every one-way function hides at least one *specific* bit of the input.

Solution 4: Let f be a one-way function. Then, define g as follows:

$$g(U_{n+\log n}) = g(U_n^{(1)}, U_{\log n}^{(2)}) = f(U_n^{(1)}), U_{\log n}^{(2)}, [U_n^{(1)}]_{U_{\log n}^{(2)}}$$

where $[x]_i$ denotes the i^{th} bit of x . In words, g receives an input of length $n + \log n$ and outputs f applied to the first n bits, along with i and the i^{th} bit of the input, where i is the value of the binary number encoded in the last $\log n$ bits of its input.

Fix i . We begin by constructing an algorithm A_i such that $A_i(g(x))$ outputs x_i with probability $1/2 + 1/2n$. Algorithm A_i receives $g(U_{n+\log n})$ and checks second $\log n$ bits of the output. If they encode the value i , then A_i outputs the last bit of the output. If they encode some other value $j \neq i$, then A_i outputs a random bit $b \in_R \{0, 1\}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [A_i(g(U_n^{(1)}, U_{\log n}^{(2)})) = [U_n^{(1)}]_i] &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr[U_{\log n}^{(2)} \neq i] + 1 \cdot \Pr[U_{\log n}^{(2)} = i] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(n + \log n)} \end{aligned}$$

where this last annoying inequality is due to the fact that the input length is $n + \log n$ and not n (and it holds because $2n < 2(n + \log n)$). We conclude that on inputs of length k , A_i succeeds in guessing the i^{th} bit with probability at least $1/2 + 1/2k$, as required.

It remains to prove that g is one-way. However, this is easy. Assume by contradiction that it can be inverted with probability $1/\text{poly}(n)$ by some PPT algorithm A , then we can invert f with at least the same probability as follows. Upon receiving input $y = f(x)$, invoke A upon input $(y, i, 0)$ and $(y, i, 1)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that at least one of these tuples (y, i, b) is correct according to g . Therefore, A inverts this tuple, returning x , with the same probability that it inverts g . We can check all of the results and return the one that is correct, if there is such a one. (Note that we invoke A exactly $2n$ times so the inversion procedure remains polynomial.) This inversion procedure succeeds with probability at least as high as the probability that A inverts g , in contradiction.